
San Francisco Voter Information Pamphlet

Special Recall Election April 26, 1983 Sample Ballot Page 2

SUTRO SF R45 +1 4/26/83 SPECIAL



MAR 2 4 1983 SAN FRANCISCO

Jay Patterson Registrar of Voters

City and County of San Francisco **Special Recall Election** Sample Ballot April 26, 1983 Cludad y Condado de San Francisco Elección Especial de Destitución 26 de Abril de 1983 一九八三年四月二十六日 舊金山市、縣 -- 特别罷免選舉 應否能免(撤除)黛安・范斯坦 的市長職位? (如投"贊成"票,請在第143號打孔) (如投"反對"票,請在第146號打孔) YES 143→ Shall Dianne Feinstein be recalled (removed) from the office of Mayor? NO 146 → ¿ Debe Dianne Feinstein Ser destituida (depuesta) del cargo de alcaldesa? (SI = 143; NO = 146)

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS:

To vote to REMOVE Dianne Feinstein from office punch out the hole at the point of the arrow marked "YES 143"

To vote to RETAIN Dianne Feinstein in office punch out the hole at the point of the arrow marked "NO 146"

Punch out the ballot card only with the punching device attached to the voting machine; never with pen or pencil.

If you wrongly punch, tear or deface your ballot card return it to the election officers and obtain another.

YOUR RIGHTS AS A VOTER

By Ballot Simplification Committee

Q-What issue will voters be deciding on at this election?

A—The issue in this election is the recall of Mayor Dianne Feinstein.

O—When do I vote?

A—The election will be Tuesday, April 26, 1983.
Your voting place will be open from 7 A.M. to 8 P.M. that day.

Q—Can I vote without going to my polling place on election day?

A—Yes. You can vote early by:

- going to the Registrar of Voters' office in City Hall and voting there any time beginning March 28, 1983; or
- mailing in the application in this Voter Handbook requesting an absentee ballot be sent; or
- mailing in the application in this Voter Handbook requesting Permanent Absentee Voter status.

Q—What shall I write when I ask for an absentee ballot?

A—You must write:

• that you want to vote early

- your address when you registered to vote
- the address where you want the ballot mailed
- then sign your name and also print your name underneath.

Q—When do I mail my absentee ballot back to the Registrar of Voters?

A—You can mail your absentee ballot back to the Registrar of Voters as soon as you want. You must be sure your absentee ballot gets to the Registrar of Voters by 8 P.M. on election day, April 26, 1983.

O—Where do I go to vote?

A—Your voting place is printed above your name and address sent with this Voters Handbook (back cover).

Q-What do I do if my voting place is not open?

A—Call 558-3061 or 558-3417.

Q—Can an election worker at the voting place ask me to take any test?

A-No.

Q—If I don't know what to do when I get to my voting place, is there someone there to help me?

A—Yes. The election workers at the voting place will help you. If they can't help you, call 558-3061.

Q—Can I have someone help me in the voting booth if I need help?

A—Yes, if you are a handicapped person, or if you have language difficulties.

Q—What do I do if I cannot work the voting machine?

A—Ask one of the election workers and they will help you.

Q—Can I take my sample ballot into the voting booth even if I've written on it?

A—Yes.

Q-What do I do if I am sick on election day?

A—Call 558-3061 for information.

IF YOU HAVE OTHER QUESTIONS ON VOTING CALL THE REGISTRAR OF VOTERS AT 558-3417.

WORDS YOU NEED TO KNOW

By Ballot Simplification Committee

Here are a few of the words that you will need to know:

ABSENTEE BALLOT — If you are going to be away on election day, or if you cannot get to the place where you vote because you are physically disabled, you can get a special ballot to fill out. This ballot is called an absentee ballot. You get this ballot from the Registrar of Voters at City Hall. See page 23.

If you are permanently unable to go to your voting place because of a disability, you may apply for Permanent Absentee Voter status with the Registrar of Voters. See the bottom of page 23 for application. After your application is approved, the Registrar will automatically mail you an absentee ballot for every election.

BALLOT — A list of candidates and propositions on which you vote.

CHALLENGE — Any citizen can ask an officer at

the polls to challenge any voter if the citizen thinks the voter does not live at the address given on the registration form.

MAYOR — The elected chief executive officer of the City and County of San Francisco.

PETITION — A statement signed by voters who agree that a certain idea or question should be on the ballot.

POLLING PLACE — The place where you go to

RECALL — This is a way for voters to remove a public official from office. A recall election is held after a specified number of registered voters have signed a petition calling for such an election. A majority of the voters must approve the measure for it to pass.

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS — The official in charge of conducting elections for the City and County of San Francisco.

Official Statements

STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR PROPOSED RECALL

By conspiring to impose a ruthless, reckless fiat against possession of handguns even in one's own home, DIANNE FEINSTEIN is guilty of a tyrannical attack on the Second Amendment which, far from making San Francisco safer, will, by disarming potential victims, be the direct cause of the deaths of innocent people.

This fiat premises that handguns are less safe than long guns, which is IMBECILIC. It decrees that women living alone be subjected to real terror, which is MISOGYNOUS. It promises that the gun ban will be enforced only selectively (obviously against those the government disfavors), which is TOTALITARIAN.

This scheme can only help 1) criminals, 2) the turgid class of lawyers, whose collective income increases with the crime rate, 3) advocates of a police state, 4) organized crime, which will take over handgun sales from legitimate vendors, 5) politicians receiving funds from the above. It will hurt in the cruelest fashion everyone else.

Regardless of whether this fiat is enjoined or repealed, we have a sacred responsibility to protect the Bill of Rights against the treachery of officials who, with no comprehension of the theory of limited government, would plunge the citizenry into abject dependency on a ruinously expensive police state.

DATED: July 6, 1982

Shirley Ann Freitas
341 Divisadero Street
San Francisco

Larry Alan Weissman 1889 Oak Street San Francisco

ANSWER OF DIANNE FEINSTEIN, MAYOR

This petition is an attempt by the White Panther Party to harass and intimidate public officials by misuse of the recall process.

The White Panthers are radicals who have a history of confrontations with police and other authorities. Theirs seems to be a persistent battle against established authority.

The organization has had difficulties with law enforcement officials in San Francisco since 1974, when the White Panthers exchanged gunfire with police at their communal house on Page Street. White Panthers have repeatedly been charged with wrongful use of weapons and battery and obstruction of police.

One of the two persons who signed the notification for this petition, Lawrence A. Weissman, has spent nine days in County Jail for exhibiting and using a firearm. The other, Shirley Ann Freitas, was jailed nine days after pleading guilty to resisting arrest.

This recall petition appears to be part of a pattern of White Panther activity designed to undermine our institutions. I believe it is a serious abuse of the recall process itself and of the electoral system.

FILED: July 13, 1982

Dianne Feinstein Mayor

The charges and the answer printed above are the official statements which appeared on the recall petition itself.

Objective Analysis

QUESTION ON THE RECALL

Shall Dianne Feinstein be recalled (removed) from the office of Mayor?

YES 143 **▶**NO 146 **▶**

Analysis

By Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: Dianne Feinstein is Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco. She was elected for a four-year term ending on January 8, 1984.

THE PROPOSAL: This recall would remove Dianne Feinstein from the Office of Mayor ten days after the official count of the vote. If recalled, she would not be allowed to hold a San Francisco City and County office for two years. The President of the Board of Supervisors would act

as Mayor until the Board elected a new Mayor. The new Mayor would hold office until January 8, 1984.

yes, you want to remove Dianne Feinstein from the Office of Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco.

A NO VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you want to keep Dianne Feinstein as Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco.

How the Recall Got on the Ballot

On February 10th Registrar of Voters Jay Patterson certified that the recall petition had a sufficient number of signatures to qualify for the ballot at a special election.

Shirley Freitas and Larry Weissman, proponents of the recall, had gathered 34,800 signatures which they turned in to the Registrar on January 13th.

A random check of the signatures showed that 23,780 of the signatures were valid. This is more than the 19,357 signatures the Charter requires for a special recall election.

Controller's Statement on the Recall

City Controller John Farrell has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of the recall:

"In my opinion, in and of itself, the proposed recall of the Mayor would have no effect on the cost of government. However, should the Mayor be retained in her office, she may be reimbursed for her expenses incurred in such election, the cost of which cannot be determined at this time."

Apply for Your Absentee Ballot Early

See the inside back cover

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF THE RECALL

We stand on our original Statement. Attempts by the Mayor and others to confuse the issue are deplorable. Public officials facing recall, like citizens facing criminal charges, should, in fairness, be judged only on the accusations brought against them.

The attempt to impose a minimum jail sentence of thirty days on good citizens who assert traditional constitutional rights is sufficient reason to recall any office holder. The Mayor is guilty of precisely this. Attempting to punish people for retaining desperately needed means of protection was unjust and cruel, as well as unconstitutional.

Voters whose loyalty to the Constitution runs deep should vote YES on this recall.

Larry Weissman

Shirley Freitas

Proponents

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF THE RECALL

Mayor Feinstein's continuing public support of Philippine Dictators Ferdinand and Imelda Marcos and of their corrupt, discredited and repressive regime, constitutes a betrayal of San Franciscans' commitment to human rights and democratic ideals. A political leader in a democracy who promotes the cause of dictatorships anywhere in the world, for whatever reasons, does not deserve the public trust.

Alex Esclamado
Publisher, Philippine News

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF THE RECALL

Vote Yes

The Mayor's face beams from billboards asking help for the homeless in the Tenderloin. Why, one wonders, did she vote against legislation that would have brought in federal money and code enforcement forcing heartless landlords to shape up?

That's Feinstein for you. Few are her equal at saying one thing and meaning another. Even politicians marvel at her ability to flip-flop, to skillfully sidestep blame. Take the Dan White riots in 1979.

By law, the Mayor is commander in chief of the police department. Yet her chief of police took the blame that night for the looting, vandalism and ensuing police reaction. This even though he got his orders from his Mayor, who loves to don police jumpsuits and pay stagy visits to crime scenes.

Victims would have benefited more from the 911 emergency telephone system Feinstein bottled up for five years than from these kind of theatrics. Image is everything, however.

Feinstein's present political goals dictate appealing to the conservative mainstream, even if this means temporarily abandoning long-held beliefs. Consider Feinstein's sleight-of-hand involving her pro-abortion views. In January, in a further sop to conservatives, she avoided signing a resolution favoring abortion, calling it "not appropriate". People figured Feinstein had a change of heart. But the sly Mayor knew the resolution took effect without her signature.

Feinstein gave up carrying a revolver and signed the handgun ban into law when she thought the political winds were right. She was not troubled that ordinary people without her 24-hour police guard felt unsafe.

Similarly, her veto of the live-in lover law was meant to signal a change in Feinstein's attitude toward gays. She could have bottled up this law quietly. Instead, the Mayor allowed it to reach her desk. Then only after the newspapers editorialized against it and the Archbishop opposed, she vetoed it.

This argument costs \$3.00 a word precluding an analysis that would fully illustrate the Mayor's sorry record. Vote yes.

John Barbagelata Richard Bodisco Helen Dawson

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF THE RECALL

A despicable group, White Panthers, dedicated to destroying our free enterprise system placed this issue on the ballot.

Unsavory, though they are, they are not the issue or is it the \$450,000 already spent on the election, nor on gun control?

The question is do you want to change the direction of our city, yes or no?

For eight of the thirteen years she has been in office I was the supervisor with ringside view. That's why I speak out now. Feinstein's politics may be characterized as nimble hypocrisy. It's time Feinstein returned to private life.

Time passes and memories dim. Feinstein championed forced busing for others while sending her daughter to a ritzy private school. She authored legislation forcing city employees to live in San Francisco while buying lavish retreats for herself out of the city.

Remember Feinstein's industry in finding new ways to separate us from our money: utility tax, payroll tax, fivefold increase in property transfer tax, parking tax, mammoth increases in parking fines, all initiated by her.

Then there was her fateful advocacy of the Super Sewer, that vast boondoggle raised water bills tenfold and won't be paid off for a century. People remember, I hope, how Feinstein told us our bills would go down and how the federal government would take care of things. But now the Feds are backing out and who's left holding the bag? Us.

Everyone seems surprised that a renegade band of Marxists could gather so many recall signatures. Why?

I think it's because people familiar with her record know there is no decision Dianne makes that is not first in her best interest then possible in the interest of our city. A yes vote doesn't mean you condone the actions of the White Panthers any more than I do.

Don't be misled by the hundreds of people urging a no vote. The power of the Mayor is awesome.

Many must have access. Dozens of the endorsements were directly from the Mayor's Office. **Vote Yes**—

John Barbagelata

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF THE RECALL

Thank Feinstein for more than doubling our sewer tax and Muni fares.

VOTE YES ON RECALL

Send Contributions to:

Citizens to Stop the Sewer Tax 2578 Great Highway City 94116

Bob Geary

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF THE RECALL

RETIRE FEINSTEIN

Mayor Feinstein told San Franciscans to "sell their pistols in OAKLAND." She then had her staff, the city attorney and the supervisors wasting thousands of hours and hundreds of thousands of city dollars promoting her illegal scheme of pistol prohibition.

Forget the White Panthers, vote against the small group of political extremists in the Mayor's office. VOTE FOR A NEW MAYOR.

Peter Buxtun
I'm mad as Hell!

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF THE RECALL

TENANTS:

Are you better off now than four years ago?

Our landlord-mayor vetoed vacancy control and consistently opposed fairness for tenants.

Minorities, seniors and families have been especially hurt.

This election is a vote of confidence, which we can't give Feinstein.

Support tenants. Support recall.

San Francisco Tenants Union 282-6622

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF THE RECALL

The issue is not the recall process — which is our democratic right. Nor is it the White Panthers or gun control.

The issue is accountability and four years of broken promises.

The Mayor's policies in the areas of housing, MUNI, energy, downtown growth, protection of neighborhoods, human rights and corporate taxation are not in the interests of the people of San Francisco.

Don't give her a vote of confidence for four more years of broken promises.

Dianne Feinstein is the representative of Downtown special interests.

Her endorsers have all received political favors. Have you?

Vote YES on the recall.

CITIZENS FOR A NEW MAYOR

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF THE RECALL

RENTERS —

You gave Dianne Feinstein her margin of victory in 1979 — DO YOU REALLY AGREE WITH HER POSITIONS?

- —She vetoed vacancy rent control (more unlawful evictions and higher rents when you move) and the condominium conversion moratorium (fewer rental units).
- —She supports spending millions for a domed stadium, convention facilities, and tourism; but affordable housing construction gets shortchanged.
- —She did nothing to enforce heating code compliance until the problem hit the newspapers.
- —She allowed desperately needed public housing units to become vacant and vandalized.
- -She supported virtually every highrise project proposed, and encouraged the destruction of our

neighborhoods, as housing and small businesses are replaced by more offices, boutiques, and financial institutions.

- —She converted the Tenderloin apartment she owns into a tourist hotel.
- —All this because she has received hundreds of thousands of dollars in campaign contributions from landlords and developers.

LET THE MAYOR KNOW THAT YOU DON'T AGREE!

Vote YES on the recall

Renters Against Feinstein San Francisco Tenants Union

Alison Brennan
David Brigode
— Co-Chairs

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF THE RECALL

The recall process is a vital part of our electoral system. It ensures that public officials are held accountable for their actions and policies.

Characterization of this election as "unfair" and of the 35,000 signers of the recall petition as an "irresponsible fringe element" by the Mayor's campaign reveals the contempt for democratic processes of the current city administration.

We urge a YES vote on the recall.

Citizens for Representative
Government
Haight Ashbury Neighborhood Council
San Franciscan Democratic Club
San Franciscans for Public Power

Arguments printed on this page are the opinions of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.

Polls are open from 7 a.m. to 8 p.m.

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF THE RECALL

Recall Feinstein For:

- 1. Vetoing domestic partners and abortion rights.
- 2. Betraying Bethlehem shipyard workers.
- 3. Breaking the hotel workers strike by arrests and

support for scabbing.

Doctor Steinsmith,
Socialist Gandidate for Mayor
Member Peace and Freedom Party
641-4440/386-4575

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF THE RECALL

STONEWALL GAY DEMOCRATIC CLUB supports the recall of Mayor Feinstein. She has broken her campaign promises to the lesbian/gay community; the facts are clear:

Fact: Anti-gay violence and police abuse continue.

Mayor Feinstein's police sweep Polk, conduct unwarranted arrests in Castro (including her own gay aide), harass minority communities.

Fact: Vetoed domestic partner legislation which extended benefits to lesbian/gay couples now enjoyed only by married couples. Her remarks on this issue were homophobic.

Fact: She has appointed shockingly few lesbian/gay

people to city-wide boards.

Fact: She has never attended annual gay parade.

Fact: She's downtown's Mayor, promoting maximum high-rise development, while opposing renters, and condominium bans. She opposes taxing downtown, as MUNI fares and parking fines skyrocket!

We need a new mayor fighting for the lesbian/gay community's program, not downtown's!

VOTE YES TO RECALL MAYOR FEINSTEIN.

Paul Boneberg, Pres.
Leslie Ann Manning, V. Pres.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinions of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.

IMPORTANT NOTICE FROM THE REGISTRAR

This is one of those elections when some people think "yes" means no and "no" means yes. It is very important that you study the analysis on page 5.

YES = Remove NO = Retain

A "YES" vote **removes** Mayor Feinstein from office.

A "NO" vote **retains** Mayor Feinstein in office.

ARGUMENT AGAINST THE RECALL

The recall is an invitation to chaos. If I am recalled, it is possible San Francisco could have four Mayors within a period of one year. I would serve until early May. Then the President of the Board of Supervisors would act as Mayor until the Board elects a Mayor to serve out the remainder of my term. Then, in November, the voters would elect a Mayor.

No major city should be pitched into such uncertainty. In 1979, the voters elected me to a four-year term. The recall election in April comes just seven months before the November election and pits me against an unknown.

There is no other candidate against whom to compare my record. There is no choice, no alternative, just a question mark as to who will adminster this complex city until January 8, 1984, when the next four-year term begins.

Orderly government cannot prevail on the shifting sands of a recall brought, not because of any corruption or incompetence, but because of a difference of opinion on an issue. Recall, for such a narrow purpose becomes an instrument to stalemate decisionmaking and disrupt government. Government should not hinge on the virulence of single-issue groups.

Government must be grounded on firmer rock, and I believe my record is strong and merits my asking you to vote "no" against the recall.

- I have led this City from a deficit in 1979 to a
- ' surplus today.
- Crime is at its lowest level since 1975.
- Our libraries, hospitals, parks, and streets are in the best condition in a decade.
- MUNI is being modernized with new vehicles.

I care deeply about San Francisco. I became Mayor in the tragic aftermath of assassination. But thanks to you, we put this City together again, spirit by spirit, just as was done, brick by brick, after the great earthquake.

Together, we can defeat this recall and assure stability and balance to our political system. I ask that you vote "NO" against the recall.

Dianne Feinstein Mayor

ARGUMENT AGAINST THE RECALL

As former Mayors of San Francisco we oppose the effort to recall Mayor Dianne Feinstein. Nothing in her record as Mayor justifies this attempt to remove her from office. It is difficult enough governing this great City without having to face a Recall every time a sufficient number of voters takes exception to the Mayor's stand on an issue. Because Mayor Feinstein

has not abused her powers nor violated the trust of the voters, we feel that the time to judge her record as Mayor is on November 8, 1983. We urge conscientious San Franciscans to vote NO on the Recall.

Joseph L. Alioto George Christopher

ARGUMENT AGAINST THE RECALL

I have watched Mayor Dianne Feinstein lead this City from fiscal chaos to a position of financial stability unique in the State of California. Please vote "no" and support your Mayor against this undeserved

recall.

Leo T. McCarthy Lieutenant Governor of California

ARGUMENT AGAINST THE RECALL

Dianne Feinstein has "brought back the bacon" from Washington to the people of San Francisco. From a \$48 million "cable car restoration grant," to reforestation monies for Golden Gate Park, a community center in the Mission, to low cost housing in

Hunters Point, she has worked tirelessly for this City. In my opinion, she's the best mayor in the country. This recall is cruel and ridiculous. Vote "No" on the recall.

Congressman Phillip Burton

ARGUMENT AGAINST THE RECALL

Though we don't always agree with Mayor Dianne Feinstein, we feel that the appropriate time for the voters of San Francisco to pass judgment on her record as Mayor is on November 8, 1983. The attempt to recall the Mayor barely more than six months before that election is an expensive abuse of a legitimate political tool. This is a very diverse city, therefore, any Mayor of San Francisco is bound to disturb some citizens with stands on certain issues. As voters, you should ask yourself this question: Is dis-

content with Mayor Feinstein's stand on a single issue sufficient rationale to disrupt the political process with a premature effort to vote her out of office? We think not. That is why we are urging you to take the time to vote on April 26, and to vote NO.

U.S. Senator Alan Cranston Congresswoman Barbara Boxer Senator John Francis Foran Assemblyman Art Agnos Assemblyman Louis J. Papan

ARGUMENT AGAINST THE RECALL

I have seen this Mayor put together projects and proposals to benefit the economy of this City and provide thousands of jobs for San Franciscans. She has consistently supported the rights of minorities and her administration has been a strong advocate for affirmative action. Join me in voting "no" on this need-less recall.

Willie L. Brown
Speaker of the California Assembly

ARGUMENT AGAINST THE RECALL

A political difference of opinion is not sufficient to warrant Mayor Dianne Feinstein's recall.

The powerful right of recall should be reserved for an official who fails to perform his or her job or who participates in activities involving moral turpitude.

She is accused only of pursuing legislation over which there are strong differences of opinion. I disagree with the legislation, but it had numerous supporters whose point of view the Mayor represents.

Public election mandated the Mayor to perform official duties honestly and conscientiously — but could not mandate her perspective. The recall seeks to do that. To vote for the recall is to vote for government by intimidation. Vote "no."

Wendy Nelder
President, Board of Supervisors

ARGUMENT AGAINST THE RECALL

We consider the attempted recall of Mayor Dianne Feinstein unwarranted, its expense unnecessary, and its timing inappropriate. We feel that Mayor Feinstein has conscientiously performed the duties of the office to which she was elected. Therefore, we urge that you vote NO on the Recall of the Mayor on April 26.

Supervisor Doris Ward
Supervisor Nancy Walker
Supervisor Bill Maher
Supervisor Willie B. Kennedy
Supervisor Harry Britt

ARGUMENT AGAINST THE RECALL

Mayor Feinstein has brought stability and strong management to City Hall. Her budgets, over the past 4 years, have consistently increased services to the people of this City. She has had to make tough decisions and has led our City with courage and dignity. I intend to vote "no" and urge you to join me.

John L. Molinari Supervisor

Louise Renne Supervisor

ARGUMENT AGAINST THE RECALL

Recall elections are expensive and create turmoil in government. This special election, months before a regular election, simply isn't justified.

Supervisor Richard Hongisto

ARGUMENT AGAINST THE RECALL

I urge the citizens of San Francisco to vote NO on the recall of Mayor Dianne Feinstein. As a former member of the Board of Supervisors for 12 years, I have great respect for the integrity of our political process. However, I think it is grossly unfair that Mayor Feinstein is being subjected to a recall election when she is not accused of any malfeasance or incompetence in office.

It is sad that in these times of limited budgets for local governments, the citizens of San Francisco will have to pay \$450,000 for an election that an overwhelming majority of taxpayers do not want held. Instead of forcing the City to expend money it can ill afford to spend, those few individuals who have forced this recall should have waited until the regular-

ly scheduled election to be held in November. To force an election only 7 months before the November election without any basis except a disagreement with the Mayor on a single legal issue is a disservice to the taxpayers of this city.

I have total respect for Mayor Feinstein's integrity and the strong leadership that she has brought to San Francisco. I urge you to reject this misguided attempt by a small minority of dissatisfied citizens and give Mayor Feinstein the vote of confidence she deserves.

You must go to the polls on April 26th and vote against the recall.

Harold S. Dobbs
Former Member,
Board of Supervisors

ARGUMENT AGAINST THE RECALL

Mayor Feinstein's right to hold office is being challenged because she took a firm stand on handgun control legislation. If we start recalling our legislators on the basis of controversial stands, leadership with conviction will disappear and our vibrant democratic process will be in serious jeopardy. We, the voters of San Francisco, must protect all elected representatives who show courage in the face of controversy.

Therefore, the San Francisco Democratic County Central Committee, the governing body of the San Francisco Democratic Party, urges a vote of NO on the recall of Mayor Dianne Feinstein.

Linda Post,

Chair, S. F. Democratic County Central Committee

ARGUMENT AGAINST THE RECALL

As a former Republican National Committeeman, I seldom support Democrats — even for nonpartisan offices. However, the case for Mayor Feinstein is so clear that I must abandon my usual practice. She has been an excellent Mayor and proved herself courageous and devoted to the best interests of San Fran-

cisco. The petty reasons given by the proponents of the recall movement do not form a reasonable basis for such an action. In the difficult days ahead we need the leadership of Mayor Feinstein, not the tyranny of a small minority.

Joseph Martin, Jr.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinions of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.

Apply for Your Absentee Ballot Early

ARGUMENT AGAINST THE RECALL

The proponents of the recall petition contend that Mayor Dianne Feinstein is "guilty of a tyrannical attack on the Second Amendment" and that they "have a sacred responsibility to protect the Bill of Rights against the treachery of officials ... who would plunge the citizenry into abject dependence on a ruinously expensive police state."

The handgun ordinance was enacted by the Board of Supervisors. That is hardly a tyrannical attack.

The people of San Francisco overwhelmingly supported handgun control (Prop. 15) by a vote of 128,596 to 85,573.

Attempting to effectuate the will of the majority is not tyranny.

The Bill of Rights was not violated by the handgun ordinance.

The Supreme Court of the United States has consistently held that the Second Amendment applies only to the maintenance of a state militia and does not guarantee an unrestricted right to bear arms, nor does it guarantee a personal right to own firearms. (U.S. v. Cruickshank, 92 U.S. 588; Presser v. Illinois, 116 U.S. 252; Miller v. Texas, 153 U.S. 535; U.S. v. Miller, 397 U.S. 174; U.S. v. Tot, 131 F.2d 261.)

The nation's first community-wide ban on the possession of handguns became law in suburban Morton Grove, Illinois, about one year ago.

The Illinois Appellate Court upheld its constitutionality February 10, 1983, affirming a Cook County trial judge.

A United States District Judge and the United States Court of Appeals previously upheld the ban.

The California Court of Appeal held the San Francisco ordinance invalid on the ground that the State Legislature had impliedly preempted the field of gun possession.

It did not hold the ordinance violative of the Second Amendment.

The objective of the handgun ordinance was to save the lives of the men, women and children killed each year by handguns.

There are no sound reasons for the attempted recall of Mayor Dianne Feinstein.

It should be soundly rejected.

Francis McCarty
Judge of the Superior Court (Retired)
Former President of the Board of Supervisors

ARGUMENT AGAINST THE RECALL

Vote NO on recall.

The recall measure has been placed on the ballot because its sponsors object to Mayor Feinstein's support of a handgun ban in San Francisco.

So do the undersigned. All of us are shooters, gun collectors, hunters, firearms dealers, keep guns for self-defense or otherwise believe in our right to own and use firearms. Most of us are members of the National Rifle Association, the California Rifle and Pistol Association and other shooting organizations.

But we are opposed to the recall.

When former Mayor Alioto proposed a handgun ban in San Francisco we went to the Legislature and sponsored the law which gave the State of California the sole right to regulate firearms.

When the Board of Supervisors passed and Mayor Feinstein signed the handgun ordinance we went to court and successfully overturned the San Francisco han.

When anti-gun forces placed Proposition 15 on the state ballot last year we joined with thousands of other Californians to campaign against the measure—and it was resoundingly defeated.

The Legislature and the ballot box is the place to resolve public policy issues. Recall is wrong.

As responsible firearms owners and users we refuse to associate with extremist groups from the right or the left. We specifically refuse to support extremist measures such as the recall.

We are voting NO on recall and urge other San Franciscans to do likewise.

Signed:

Michell N. (Mike) Abramson, Jr.
Life Member and Committeeman,
NRA and CRPA
Leonard Stefanelli
Michael S. Salerno
Thomas J. Dickson

ARGUMENT AGAINST THE RECALL

As your Chief of Police I urge you to vote NO on the recall of Mayor Feinstein. Let me take a few minutes of your time to tell, you why I think you should vote NO.

Your police department is recognized as one of the best departments in the country. Last year in San Francisco the volume of serious crimes dropped to its lowest level since 1975. The number of arrests was at its highest in the history of the department. Response time to in-progress serious crimes was slightly over two minutes. The visible presence of uniformed of-

ficers walking beats has been increased, and you now have a modern police department that is truly responsive to the needs and concerns of its citizens. Certainly credit has to be given to the dedicated police officers who are fighting crime day in and day out, but without the unwaivering support of Mayor Feinstein, these achievements would not have been possible.

I am asking that you take the time to vote on this issue, and that you join me in a resounding NO vote.

Police Chief Cornelius Murphy

ARGUMENT AGAINST THE RECALL

Those of us who've spent our professional lives in law enforcement, know first hand Mayor Feinstein's efforts to fight crime. She has brought the San Francisco Police Department to its fully authorized strength of 1,971 officers for the first time in history. More officers are on the street, and crime is at its lowest level since 1975.

Mayor Feinstein stood with the men of the Police

Department, and we are standing with her now against the recall. Join us in voting "no" against the recall.

Deputy Chief James A. Ryan
Deputy Chief Stanley F. Cordes
Deputy Chief James Shannon
Commander Raymond J. Canepa
Commander Richard H. Trueb
Lieutenant Timothy J. Thorsen

Criminologist Shoji Horikoshi Sergeant Michael E. Johnson Inspector Gary Lemos Sergeant Kenneth R. Moses Sergeant Lee McVeigh Sergeant James Farrell

ARGUMENT AGAINST THE RECALL

As San Franciscans concerned about the integrity of this City's political systems, we are opposed to this effort to recall Mayor Dianne Feinstein. The Recall procedure is intended to give voters some form of redress when elected officials have abused their trust. We don't feel Mayor Feinstein has done so. To the contrary, we feel Mayor Feinstein has performed the duties of her office diligently and with a genuine concern for the best interests of all San Franciscans. Therefore, we are urging you, our fellow citizens of San Francisco, to vote on April 26, and to vote NO on the Recall.

Sheriff Michael Hennessey Public Defender Jeff Brown Treasurer Mary Callanan Assessor Sam Duca Myra Kopf Commissioner Board of Education Benjamin Tom Member, Board of Education Judy Dellamonica President, SFCTA Ron Atkinson Vice President, SFCTA Joyce Ream Director, Commission on Aging Esta Soler Human Rights Commissioner Thelma Shelley Director, War Memorial Board of Trustees

Julie Tang Community College Board Member Claire C. Pilcher Gladys C. Hansen Burl Toler Police Commissioner Edward F. Callanan Jr. Library Commissioner Nancy Achilles H. Welton Flynn **Public Utilities Commissioner** Walter G. Jebe Library Commissioner Bernard Averbuch Rov Scola Nancy Larson Zuretti L. Goosby Airport Commissioner

Curtis E. Green Sr.
Fire Commissioner
Margot Patterson Doss
Julia C. Porter
Margit Roos-Collins
Virginia S. Lynch
Thomas C. Lynch
John J. Spring
Thomas P. Curtin
Joseph P. Lucey
Ernest P. Ayala
Community College Board Member
Dr. Carlota Texidor del Portilla
President, Civil Service Commission
Dr. David J. Sanchez, Jr.

ARGUMENT AGAINST THE RECALL

Under Mayor Feinstein's administration, the San Francisco Fire Department has stabilized manpower, introduced innovative fire prevention programs and reduced the loss of life and property in San Francisco to its lowest level in the last twenty years. The Mayor's continuing strong support for the Fire Department is one of the important reasons that the

San Francisco Fire Department is rated as one of the best fire departments in the nation.

I urge all citizens to vote no on the recall of our Mayor.

Emmet D. Condon Chief of Department

ARGUMENT AGAINST THE RECALL

We, the undersigned neighborhood, community and environmental organization representatives, support Mayor Dianne Feinstein and oppose her recall in this special election. The Mayor has supported our efforts in downzoning, rezoning of neighborhood commercial districts, opposition to the 1982 Proposition M medical hotel, and many other issues of concern to the neighborhoods of San Francisco.

Though some of our groups, like some San Franciscans, have disagreed on occasion with the Mayor, Dianne Feinstein has demonstrated a willingness to listen to and understand all viewpoints during her administration. This special election is an inappropriate method for one group to settle its differences with the Mayor, and will be expensive for all San Franciscans.

We urge you to vote no on this ill-advised recall and to support Mayor Dianne Feinstein.

Douglas J. Engmann

Member, Board of Permit Appeals

Susan J. Bierman

Planning Commissioner

Doris W. Kahn

Metropolitan Transportation

Commissioner

Jane McKaskle Murphy

Police Commissioner

Marjorie G. Stern

Library Commissioner

Peter McCrea

President, Public Utilities

Commission

Claire Pilcher,

Executive Director,

Board of Permit Appeals

Board of Fernit Appea

Dian Blomquist,

Vice President, Russian

Hill Neighbors

Oskar A. Moe

President, Stanyan-Fulton St.

Neighborhood Assoc.

Dale A. Carlson

Treasurer, STOP M Committee

Barbara Hopkins

Friends of Noe Valley

Jonathan D. Bulkley

President, Coalition For San

Francisco Neighborhoods

Leo J. Murphy, Sr.

President, University Terrace

Assoc.

Bette Wallace Landis

Open Space Advisory Committee

Ellen McII. LaFollette

Russian Hill Improvement Assn.

Dorice A. Murphy

President, Eureka Valley Trails

and Art Network

Jean Kortum

Vice Pres., Landmarks Preservation

Advisory Board

Rosemary Brandon

SPEAK

Allan J. Chalmers

Inner Sunset Action Committee (ISAC)

Albert Meakin

Twin Peaks Council

Carolyn C. Klemeyer

Landmarks Preservation Advisory

Board

R. Bruce McNair

Alamo Square Neighborhood Assn.

Evelyn L. Wilson

SPEAK

Doris R. Thomas

Black Leadership Forum

Amv Mever

Vice President, Recreation & Park

Commission

Rosemary F. MacIntyre

University Terrace Assn.

Walter G. Jebe

Excelsior Merchants

Michael Painter

San Francisco Planning & Urban

Research Assoc.

Jeannette M. Harris

Sacramento St. Neighborhood Improvement

Assn.

Elsa B. Strait

Past President, Democratic Women's Forum

Thelma O. Montellano

Advisory Board, Glen Park Recreation

Center

Theodore Scourkes

Eureka Valley Trails & Art Network

Chapin Coit

President, Francisco Hts. Civic Assn.

Irene Young

Jordan Park Improvement Assn.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinions of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.

Polls are open from 7 a.m. to 8 p.m.

ARGUMENT AGAINST THE RECALL

We, the undersigned neighborhood, community and environmental organization representatives, Mayor Feinstein and oppose her recall.

Ted Thompson Stanyan-Fulton St. Neighborhood Assn. William S. Clark Cow Hollow Assn. Veronica Hunnicutt OMI Improvement Assn. Phyllis W. Gomez

Ingleside Terrace Homeowners' Assn. Barbara Coit

Francisco Heights Civic Assn. L. Kirk Miller

Russian Hill Neighbors

Lonnie Lawson OMI-CA Marion Hinman

Russian Hill Improvement Assn.

Jurline Lawson OMI-CA Peter C. Witmer

Alamo Square Neighborhood Assn.

H. Marcia Smolens Friends of Noe Valley Patrick McGrew

Pres., Landmarks Preservation

Advisory Board Donald A. Nehls

Alamo Square Neighborhood Assn.

Robert Tibbits

Telegraph Hill Dwellers

Theresa K. Glynn

Alamo Square Neighborhood Assn.

Jean Amos

Friends of Noe Valley

Bryan Kemnitzer STOP M Committee Kirby Ortiz-de-Montellano Vice Pres., Eureka Valley Trails & Art Network Dr. & Mrs. Kenneth Brown STOP M Committee N. Arden Danekas

Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods

Beatrice C. Laws John A. Knox, Jr. Naomi T. Grav

Martin L. MacIntyre, DDS. Jacqueline L. Young Robert L. Landis James W. Haas

Anne W. Halsted James Augustino John Elberline Patrick J. Flanagan Charlotte D. Maeck Eleanor L. Siden Christopher Martin Kamini Gupta Ann Fogelberg Margaret Cunningham Alan Palmer Frederick D. Euphrat John M. Sanger Frank J. Murphy Grant Dehart Toby Levine Dr. Frank Hinman Mary Hart Thompson

Inez K. Scourkes

Rebecca Smith

ARGUMENT AGAINST THE RECALL

David Ishida

It's time for senior citizens to support Mayor Feinstein. The orderly processes of government are threatened by chaos. This recall election is totally unfair to a mayor who has kept commitments to our city's el-

derly. She is responsive, decisive and she has produced. Seniors need to produce for her. Vote NO on April 26th!

Louise Araguas Otis Bakerink Hon. John Blumlein* Emma Breda Ida Brenes Hon. Marian Brislane* Fred Brown Ellis Byrd H. Leroy Cannon Alejandro Capistrano Arthur Cardenas Gerald Carlin Mary Caron Graciela Cashion Alex Chalmers Lynn Childs** Geraldine Clay** Tina Burgess Coan Jeanne Cook Rev. Mark Coonradt Dolores Cosio Ron Cummings James Daniels George Davis Mrs. Willie B. Dorn Lillian Douglas

Ceasar Duque Annie Edwards Richard Eijima Joe Espino Brenda Evans Josephine Ferrantino Elaine Ferrero Marsha Fine Linda Finell Florence Finn Mark Forrester Hon. Ettle Francis* Hon. William Gee* Charles Gilbert ** Fay Graf Daisie Harrison ** Ruby Hata Mrs. Willie Hawthorne Gene Henderson Robert Henneberg Marion Hewitt Dr. Sam Ho Fred Hubbard Leigh Hubert ** Orlando Hudson June Ikemoto

Hon. Moira Jackson Hon. Demetrio Jayme* Ray Johnson Dorwin Jones Vera Mae Jones Lillian Kang Thelma Kavanagh Catherine King John King George Kirchhoff Alan Kitashima Cathy Koechlin Terry Keith Mary Knecht Sau Lin Kou Joseph P. Lacey Lewis Langston Audrey Lavalais Oliver Lee Wayne Lee** Pierre Lemieux Felix Louis Rev. Eugene Lumpkin Joe Lynch

Madeline Mercier Sandy Ouye-Mori Eunice Morrison Steve Nakajo Grace Nelson David Newcomer Estelle O'Connor Laura O'Connor Hon. Jose Olmedo * Mary Pennington Floyd Pierce Angie Pikios Joan Richardson Tommy Richardson Mary Rivers Etta Robinson Ocie Rogers Harry Saks Victor M. Santis Asterio Saquing Marie Sanders Barbara Scodel George Siebel Marion Sills** Hon, Lawrence Simi* Ardell Slack Betty Lee Smith

Charleston Smith

Rebecca Stark Jean M. Storey Emma Strong Lucy Stumes Peggy Suttas Elsie Suttle** Annie May Sweet Edith Tanaka** Joe Tandy Ina Taylor J.C. Thompson Generosa Tibon Simeon Tolentino Delores Umbarger Keith Vacha Elisabeth Villiger James Wade Ted Warren Anita Waters Lucy Werner Helen Wilds Naomi Williams Ora Lee Woods Mae Wooten Ah Choi Wu Harry Yip Pam Young

Arguments printed on this page are the opinions of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency. 16

Patrick Maguire

Gregory Marks

^{*}Member, Commission on the Aging

^{**}Member, Advisory Council for the Commission on the Aging

ARGUMENT AGAINST THE RECALL

Those of us who live in San Francisco, love the City and want to continue to have our businesses prosper here, believe that stability and a calm measured hand at the controls of City government is essential to the continued economic health of our City.

Mayor Feinstein has provided that kind of leadership. The City's finances are sound, its once threatened bond rating has been restored, its books are in order and its financial statements on time. The ability of our economy to grow, to provide the jobs and the tax revenues City Hall needs, depends on the continuation of the kind of open and frank relationship we have established. We join the many other San Franciscans who urge you to vote "no" on this extraordinary and wasteful recall.

Samuel B. Stewart
Robert L. Kemper
Dorman L. Commons
K. Courtenay Hawkins, Jr.
James R. Harvey
William K. Coblentz
James R. Bronkema
Cyril Magnin
John B. M. Place
Arthur V. Toupin
John H. Jacobs

ARGUMENT AGAINST THE RECALL

We oppose this effort to recall Mayor Dianne Feinstein. We feel the voters of San Francisco will be given sufficient opportunity to pass their individual judgments on her record as Mayor in the General Election to be held on November 8, 1983. Furthermore, it is our opinion that the money being spent to stage this Recall Election would be better spent meeting the needs of San Franciscans. We urge all registered voters of San Francisco to make the effort to vote on April 26, and to vote NO on the Recall.

John F. Crowley — Secretary — San Francisco Labor Council

James R. Herman — President — International Longshoremen's & Warehousemen's Union

LeRoy King — Regional Director — International Longshoremen's & Warehousemen's Union

Charles Lamb — President — Hotel and Restaurant Employees and Bartenders Union Local 2

Paul Dempster — President — San Francisco Bay Area Maritime Trades Port Council

Joaquin Joseph Cabezud — Secretary — Painter's Local Union No. 4

Stanley Smith — Secretary-Treasurer — San Francisco Building and Construction Trades Council

Rodger Dillon — Secretary-Treasurer — Service Employees Union Local 87

Charles Mack — President — Teamsters' Joint Council No. 7

Rudy S. Meraz — President — Graphic Arts International Union Local 3-B

T.J. Stapleton — Business Manager — Operating Engineers Local Union No. 3

Leon Bruschera — Secretary — Firefighters Local 798

Jonnie Gilbert — President — Transport Workers

Union of America — Local 250A

ARGUMENT AGAINST THE RECALL

MAYOR STRONGLY SUPPORTS PARKS, RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE

Dianne Feinstein says proudly, "I grew up in Golden Gate Park." That experience became a lifelong love of parks, recreation and open space. As Mayor, she insists on a recreation and park department second to none and has matched her demand with the greatest budget support in history. The reforestation of Golden Gate Park is a well-established process with her 100% support; this assures the Park's future

for generations. Dianne's motto is "Neighborhood Parks Need Love Too." She mandated improved maintenance because these parks are so important to our diverse neighborhoods.

The Mayor supports the Open Space program. Eighty-three neighborhood parks have been renovated in whole or in part. New facilities are underway or planned in the Mission, Central City, South of Market, Western Addition and Hunters Point. She is committed to a new park for Chinatown. Recreation pro-

grams must be relevant to serve the City's changing population. The Mayor personally ordered a recreation outreach program in the Tenderloin and South of Market. New soccer facilities are funded in the Sunset. Senior programs are expanding. Dianne has not allowed Proposition 13 to gut the City's parks and leisure services, unlike most other California communities.

Her ongoing support for recreation programs and open spaces is witnessed dramatically by her recent request for \$1.6 million to repair storm damage to our parks. Out of the \$20 million from the City's surplus to overcome deferred maintenance, \$6 million is for Golden Gate Park and neighborhood parks! San Francisco's recreation and park system contributes importantly to its quality of life. Under Dianne the system has improved, not deteriorated. If you believe in the beauty of Golden Gate Park, the importance of good recreation programs, well maintained neighborhood parks — a recreation and park system that truly

belongs to you, vote to retain the Mayor. Everyone who loves parks, recreation and open space, owes Dianne a vote of support.

Eugene L. Friend, President, Recreation and Park Commission

Members, Recreation and Park Commission:

Amy Meyer

Keith Eickman

Tommy Harris

Frances M. McAteer

Jest Mori

G. Samantha Yruegas

Tom Malloy, General Manager,

Recreation and Park Department

Mary Burns

Mary B. Connolly

Rebecca Evans

Jo Kuney

Bette Wallace Landis

Willinda McCrea

Jane H. Otto

Jack R. Trujillo

ARGUMENT AGAINST THE RECALL

Vote NO on recall!!

Keep Mayor Dianne Feinstein in office. Through her personal leadership, your Port of San Francisco

- (1) Become solvent. In December, 1978, the Port had a net surplus of only \$195,000.00. Today, the Port's surplus is \$5,600,000.00.
- (2) Seven new steamship lines have come to your Port. Mayor Feinstein's personal participation was directly responsible for acquiring these lines. This means jobs!
- (3) Container cargo has increased 35% over the last three years. This means jobs!
- (4) Holland America selected your Port to be home port for its new passenger ship, the Nieu Amsterdam. This means jobs!
- (5) San Francisco's shipyard work (our largest bluecollar employers) — is growing rapidly, spearheaded by Mayor Feinstein. The number of jobs has been dramatically increased since she took office.
- (6) Fleet Week was established by Mayor Feinstein to promote the City and the Port, and to bring more Navy jobs to San Francisco. It is a tremendous success.

- (7) Major development plans have been initiated at Mayor Feinstein's direction to improve all areas of your Port:
 - (a) A new passenger terminal to attract more trade
 - (b) A magnificient promenade for you and your family and friends
 - (c) The complete refurbishing and redevelopment of the Ferry Building
 - (d) The doubling of maritime facilities to handle new container cargo at your Port
 - (e) The construction of a major new Fishing Center at Fisherman's Wharf.

We have come a long way in four short years with Mayor Feinstein's leadership.

DON'T throw it away!

Vote **NO** on recall!

San Francisco Port Commissioners:

Eugene L. Gartland Arthur H. Coleman, M.D. James R. Herman Jack Morrison James J. Rudden

Arguments printed on this page are the opinions of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.

ARGUMENT AGAINST THE RECALL

Throughout her tenure, Mayor Dianne Feinstein has consistently demonstrated a strong interest in providing good public library services to all San Franciscans.

In the spring of 1982, during preparation of the 1982-83 budget, a study of the library system was made by a nationally recognized urban library expert. Assuming no substantial additional funds, and aiming for maximum cost-effectiveness, his report recommended closing ten branches and increasing the resources, services and hours open of the remaining facilities.

Mayor Feinstein recognized the logic and rationale of the study but, upon reflection, became convinced that it was simply bad, wrong, unnecessary and uncivilized to close libraries.

Conclusive evidence of her conviction and concern is provided by the fact that she then increased the library's budget by 30% for fiscal year 1982-83. This additional \$2.6 million made possible the creation of

seven 'superbranch' libraries in existing facilities which are now open every day of the week and which have larger collections of books and other library materials. The main library in the Civic Center is also now open seven days a week.

Both Library Commissioners and library staff members know they have the personal, sympathetic and informed support of Mayor Feinstein whenever the need may arise.

Mayor Feinstein recognizes the contribution which the public library makes to the quality of life in San Francisco. She understands how branch libraries give stability, continuity and a sense of identity to the neighborhoods they serve. She endorses the library as an opportunity open to all for the informal selfeducation essential to an informed populace.

John C. Frantz City Librarian

ARGUMENT AGAINST THE RECALL

The Library Commissioners are opposed to the recall of Mayor Dianne Feinstein because it is unwarranted, ill conceived, and without cause.

Mayor Feinstein has improved library services throughout the city which has enhanced the quality of life for all San Franciscans. We urge a NO vote on the recall.

Lucio C. Raymundo
President
Edward Bransten
Edward F. Callanan, Jr.
Walter Jebe
Raye Richardson
Marjorie Stern
Mary Louise Stong

ARGUMENT AGAINST THE RECALL

Since 1979, when Dianne Feinstein took office as Mayor of San Francisco, San Francisco public schools have become first in the State in terms of academic performance, increased enrollment, higher standards, and improved discipline.

In an effort to head off a major fiscal crisis in the School District, Mayor Feinstein provided direct financial support which prevented layoffs of School District personnel. She has been a constructive critic and an effective mediator between labor and management. Her personal interest in the public schools is reflected by the fact that she takes the time to personally visit

with students, faculties, and parents in order to obtain first-hand information regarding our schools.

Mayor Feinstein is the most supportive mayor of public education in the entire nation. Her commitment to improving the quality of education has provided positive reinforcement to the School District. Dianne has earned the respect and continuing support of all of those interested in the welfare of San Francisco's children.

Robert F. Alioto
Superintendent of Schools

ARGUMENT AGAINST THE RECALL

As President of the Board of Education, I have found Mayor Feinstein to be most responsive and concerned about public education in our city. She has served the City with hard work and dedication which is making a positive difference in the quality of life in San Francisco.

At this critical time and with the regular election six months away, a recall election is wasteful and unnecessary. The City would be better served by having Mayor Feinstein's energy and managerial abilities fully devoted to administering city government.

Rosario Anaya President, Board of Education

ARGUMENT AGAINST THE RECALL

Martin Luther King proclaimed, "I have a dream." He died by gunfire, as did Mayor Moscone and Supervisor Milk here in San Francisco, but his dream of a nation united in brotherhood lives on.

Mayor Feinstein has fought for that dream. Those of us who are part of the Black struggle for dignity and hope know firsthand her efforts for better housing and more jobs. We know her commitment to affirmative action and for civil rights.

She has worked hard to represent all segments of our City, to bind up the wounds left by assassination and to bring this City together.

We urge you to vote "no" against the recall. The White Panthers put guns ahead of orderly govern-

ment, and their recall of the Mayor would be a retreat for all of us who believe in the dreams of Martin Luther King.

Carlton B. Goodlett
Rev. Amos C. Brown
Rev. Howard Gloyd
Rev. Nolan Mills
Rev. Samuel Morgan
Rev. Rance Whiteside
Rev. William Turner
Rev. Hannibal Williams
Rev. Calvin Jones
Rev. Charlie Cook
Rev. D.J. Ridley
Rev. Victor Medearis
Herman G. Griffin
Lee Cayton
Revels H. Cayton

Larry Griffin
Naomi T. Gray
Doris R. Thomas
Mabel B. Schine
Barbara L. Cohen
Julia Commer
Cheryl E. Amana
Gloria R. Davis
Lulu M. Carter
Member, Democratic
Central Committee
Cleophas Rand
Wilfred T. Ussery
Mattie Jackson

Terry A. Francois

ARGUMENT AGAINST THE RECALL

VOTE "NO" ON THE RECALL OF THE MAYOR

I first met Dianne Feinstein over twenty years ago when, as NAACP president. I was leading a protest against a developer who was denying Willie Brown and his family the opportunity to purchase a home, solely because they were black. Dianne came out, introduced herself, and joined our picket line. And she has been a consistent supporter of equal rights during the ensuing years.

You can appreciate then, how saddened I was to learn that a number of black people had signed the recall petition to oust her from office. In my view, the Mayor has done nothing to justify this affront, this humiliation and embarrasment.

I urge my fellow blacks and all fair-minded San Franciscans to turn out on April 26th and vote "No" on the recall of our Mayor.

Terry A. Francois

Arguments printed on this page are the opinions of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.

Workers are needed at the polls in many San Francisco neighborhoods.

Apply now in room 155, City Hall

Se necesitan trabajadores en las urnas electorales de muchos barrios en San Francisco. Presentese ahora en el cuarto 155 del City Hall.

徵聘雙重語言人員 在選舉站協助選舉 詩即向市政廳一五五號室申請

ARGUMENT AGAINST THE RECALL

We urge you to vote "NO" on the recall.

From the introduction of her historic non-discrimination ordinance in 1971 to her appointment of 16 gay people to city Boards and Commissions, from her televised debate of Senator John Briggs on Proposition 6 to her address to the Democratic National Convention in support of the Gay Rights platform in 1980, Mayor Dianne Feinstein has proven her concern for the lesbian and gay community. She has fought hard to ensure that our rights are protected: locally, statewide, and on a national level.

We all agree that San Francisco is a special place and for the past 14 years Dianne Feinstein has been a civic leader devoting her time to see that it remains so, not only for us in the gay community, but for all San Franciscans.

Please join us in defeating this needless recall. Vote 'NO' on April 26.

Thomas E. Horn
War Memorial Trustee
Arthur Lazere
Bob Little
Jerry E. Berg
Member, Board of Permit Appeals
Patrick McGrew
Dennis M. Collins
Peter J. Nardoza
Thomas Specht
Paul Lorch
Tanya Starnes
Wayne Friday
Donald Bules
James Haas

Jo Daly
Police Commissioner

Del Martin Phyllis A. Lyon

Chuck Forester

Human Rights Commissioner

Anne Kronenberg Paul D. Hardman Lawrence A. Wilson E. Robert Scrofani Lori Shannon Gery Menger John Wylie Hall Steve Beery Jon Henry Kouba Donald Disler John H. Hudson John Cailleau Allan Johnson Ronald Gertz Howard Blechman David Landis Deborah Borda Linda Edelman Henry Berg Joseph Ellis Barbara Daitch

ARGUMENT AGAINST THE RECALL

We oppose the attempted recall of Mayor Dianne Feinstein and we urge our fellow San Franciscans who are concerned about governmental stability to do the same. Nothing in the Mayor's record justifies this attempt to have her removed from office just slightly more than six months before her term ends. In fact, Mayor Feinstein has put together a record in office that San Franciscans can point to with pride. Our streets are safer since she took office. Our public transit system is improving daily. Essential City services are being guaranteed. Our City Treasury is solvent. If you vote NO on the attempted recall on

April 26, you will reaffirm our belief that San Franciscans believe in fair play. Let the Mayor finish her term. She has done nothing to warrant cutting it short. Vote NO on the recall on April 26.

Alan S. Wong
President, Community College

Board Tom Hsieh

Public Utilities Commissioner

Public Utilities
Benny Y. Yee
Steve C. Owyang
Melvin D. Lee
Joe Yuey
Dean Woo

Frank Fung Jeffrey Lee

Director Public Works Dept.

Director Public
Dr. Dale C. King
Pius Lee
Richard Chin
Joe Quan
Jason Yuen
Richard Lim
Ben Hom

ARGUMENT AGAINST THE RECALL

We support Mayor Dianne Feinstein in her effort to defeat the recall and urge all our fellow San Franciscans to do the same by voting NO on April 26.

The Mayor has shown genuine concern about the needs of the Chinese Community and the door to her office has always been open to us. Nothing in the Mayor's performance of her duties justifies this expensive and unnecessary election. At a time when our City government must be careful about every dollar spent, it seems foolish to us to spend hundreds of thousands of dollars on a recall election. We believe that all sectors of the City must pull together if San

Francisco is to be managed effectively. Voting for the Mayor and against the recall is one way of assuring this kind of unity. Please make the effort to go to the polls on April 26 and vote NO on the recall.

Tommy T. Chin
President, Chinese American
Citizens Alliance
George Leung
George H. Louie
Albert Gong Soo
Will Leong
Barbara Lee
Helen Y. H. Hui
Louis Hop Lee

Douglas S. Chan Richard G. Fung Margaret K. Lee James Sing Stan Moy Vincent S. Chao Mamie How Daniel E. Chu Helen S. Chin

ARGUMENT AGAINST THE RECALL

Mayor Dianne Feinstein has led San Francisco with courage and timeless energy. She has always worked to bring services equitably to all neighborhoods in our City.

Mayor Feinstein has established firm control over spending, while at the same time extending vital people-oriented services.

- Police protection has been increased resulting in a 5% drop in the overall crime rate.
- MUNI service has been expanded and improved; this year 57 new buses will be added to the City's fleet.
- San Francisco's job market has been expanded unemployment here is the lowest of any major U.S. City.
- Library, mental health, housing and community medical outreach services have grown to meet increased demands.

In view of this outstanding record of achievement we ask you to join us in supporting Mayor Dianne Feinstein. We ask you to add your vote to the thousands of Filipino Americans who are totally rejecting the misguided recall attempt.

Your support is needed now — Vote NO on the recall.

Vote NO on RECALL.

Ronald E. Quidachay Sally B. Famarin Lucio C. Raymundo Antomina A. Raymundo R.N. Zoilo R. Inacay David G. Ilumin Daniel Phil Gonzales Francisco D. Gerardo, Jr. Ismael C. Udasco Francisca R. Gerardo Telesforo Batara Maria Natividad R. Purisima Eusebio Domingo Moy Velasquez Cris D. Kabasares Ramon Dychitan Hilario B. Guiab Ramon' A. R. Jayme Josephine J. Gerardo Jovita D. Buted

Ruth Batara Domingo R. Reyes Jr. Maria Osorio William Ramirez Epitacio F. Bacud William J. Espiritu Lucy S. Bacud Carmen R. Falcon Primitiva Reyes Falen Ananias M. Falcon Aniceta A. Guiab Fara L. Madamba Avelino G. Madamba M. Rayos, M.D. Virgie Gualberto Demmie B. Acosta Perry S. Acosta Aron Meterparel Lucille Garde Frank Perez

ARGUMENT AGAINST THE RECALL

We urge you to vote NO on the recall of Mayor Feinstein for the following reason:

THE RECALL HURTS OUR COMMUNITY

*Over the past 4 years Mayor Feinstein has appointed over 25 Hispanics to important City Commissions. She has remained an active voice in support of Civil Rights. A vote to recall Mayor Feinstein is a vote against these progressive policies.

THE RECALL IS A WASTE OF MONEY

*The \$400,000 that the City will spend to open the polls, mail ballots, and count votes could be better spent on creating jobs and helping the poor.

MAYOR FEINSTEIN DESERVES OUR SUPPORT

*Mayor Feinstein has increased affordable housing, job opportunities, and police services. The recall is not about her record - the recall is merely a disruptive reaction against Mayor Feinstein's strong and courageous leadership.

A VOTE FOR THE RECALL HELPS THOSE WHO WANT TO TURN BACK THE CLOCK AND LIMIT OUR COMMUNITY'S PROGRESS.

VOTE NO ON THE RECALL — Let's Support our Mayor — Dianne Feinstein

Hector J. Chinchilla. Member, Residential Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Board Benjamin J. Flores Al Borvice Pansy Ponzio Jose Ortiz Olmedo, Member, Commission on Aging N. J. Martinez Ena Aguirre G. Samantha Yruegas, Member, Recreation and Park Commission Bob Rojas Juan Pifarre

Ricardo Hernandez. President, Residential Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Board Lilia Gonzales Beatrice Duncan Lorina Urbina Joan Moulton, Member, Human Rights Commission Ed Sandoval George Suncin, Member, Human Rights Commission Richard A. Sevilla, Member, Human Rights Commission

Jose G. Flores

TEAR OUT AND RETURN ENTIRE PAGE TO REGISTRAR OF VOTERS

APPLICATION FOR ABSENT VOTER'S BALLOT APLICACION PARA BALOTA DE VOTANTE AUSENTE

缺席選票申請表

BALLOT TO BE MAILED TO ME AT:

ENVIEME LA BALOTA A: 調將選票寄給本人下址:

PRINTED NAME	LETRAS DE IMPRENTA	正楷書寫姓名
ADDRESS-CALLE		
CITY-CIUDAD	STATE-ESTADO	ZIP CODE Area Postal

FOR REGISTRAR'S USE ONLY SOLAMENTE PARA USO DEL REGISTRAR 選民註册官之川

Prec. No.	
Ballot No.	
Ballot Mailed	
Inspector's Notice	
Signature and Registration Verified as Correct:	
Date	Deputy Registrar

MAIL TO:

ABSENT VOTING SECTION

ENVIAR A:

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS OFFICE

郵寄至: ROOM 158, CITY HALL

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

I hereby apply for an absent voter's ballot: for the election on April 26, 1983.

Por la presente, solicito una balota de votante ausente: 請寄一份缺席選票給本人,以參加上述所示之選舉

入 SIGNATURE-FIRMA 申請人簽名

Registered San Francisco Address of Applicant (if different) Dirección del solictante registrada en San Francisco 申請人在舊金山登記選舉之住址

DATE-FECHA

APPLICATION MUST BE RECEIVED IN REGISTRAR'S OFFICE BY 5:00 P.M., TUESDAY, APRIL 19, 7 DAYS BEFORE ELECTION DAY.

LA SOLICITUD DEBE RECIBIRSE EN LA OFICINA DEL REGISTRAR ANTES DE LAS CINCO EN PUNTO DE LA TARDE, MARTES, 19 DE ABRIL, EL SEPTIMO DIA ANTERIOR AL DIA DE LA ELECCION.

申請缺席選票必須在選舉日七日之前 ,即<u>四月46年</u>星期二下午五時經由 選民註册官辦事處收到此申請表格。

APPLICATION FOR PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER STATUS

Persons meeting one or more of the criterion below are eligible for permanent absentee voter status and will automatically be mailed an absentee ballot for each election. If you wish to apply for permanent absent voter status for future elections, please complete and return the form below. It is not necessary to complete this half of the form if you want a ballot for this election only.

	Lost use of one or more limbs. Lost use of both hands.
	Unable to move about without the aid of an assistant device (e.g. canes, crutches, walker, wheelchair).
	_ Suffering from lung disease, blindness or cardiovascular disease.
	Significant limitation in the use of the lower extremities.
	Suffering from a diagnosed disease or disorder which substantially impairs or interferes with mobility.
	Other (Please see explanatory letter attached)
	I hereby apply for "Permanent Absent Voter" status in San Francisco.
	I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.
Print	ed Name
Resid	dence Address
Maili	ng Address (if different)
Siana	ature
$\mathbf{O}(\mathbf{Q})$	ature

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-4691 **REGISTRAR OF VOTERS** 155 CITY HALL JAY PATTERSON

ELECTION DAY: 558-3061 558-3417

MAILING ADDRESS

CARRIER ROUTE PRESORT

LOCATION OF YOUR POLLING PLACE

你按摩的地方 Top Line of Label

Third Class

BULK RATE U.S. POSTAGE

San Francisco Calif. Permit No. 4

PAID

Polls are open from 7 a.m. to 8 p.m.

ABSENTEE VOTING

In Person

a.m. and 4:30 p.m. trar's Office will be open for absentee voting on weekends as well as weekdays between the hours of 8:30 For the convenience of working people, the Regis-

open on weekends. Only the Polk Street entrance to City Hall will be

By Mail

Registrar not later than April 15th. Applications received after April 19th will not be processed. the application on the reverse side and mail it to the To vote absentee by mail tear off this page, fill out

RIGHTS OF THE HANDICAPPED VOTER

- into the voting booth to assist them. may bring one or two persons with them l. Persons unable to mark their ballot
- a ballot on the sidewalk in front of the dicapped voter from entering the polling Code). polling place place then the voter will be allowed to vote 2. If architectural barriers prevent a han-(Section 14234. Elections
- the previous page. may apply as "Permanent Absentee Voters" An application appears at the bottom of 3. Under a new law, the handicapped



WHEELCHAIR ACCESSIBILITY:

The letter in parentheses on the second line of your address label sibility at the precinct: indicates degree of wheelchair acces-

(A) Easily accessible

(B) Accessible with assistance

(C) Very difficult or impossible

architectural barriers only. Geogra-These evaluations take into account enroute to the polls have not been phical barriers you may encounter considered.

SAN FRANCISCO VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET

NOVEMBER 2, 1982 GENERAL ELECTION

APRIL 26, 1983 SPECIAL RECALL ELECTION

JUNE 21, 1983 SAMPLE BALLOT

NOVEMBER 8, 1983 MUNICIPAL ELECTION

JUNE 5, 1984 PRIMARY ELECTION