

San Francisco Voter Information Pamphlet

Special Run-Off Election
December 8, 1987

SF
R45
1
12/8/87
16th + 19th
A.D.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

DEC 3 1987

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY



Jay Patterson
Registrar of Voters

69

C

OFFICIAL BALLOT

CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

MUNICIPAL RUNOFF ELECTION

DECEMBER 8, 1987

This ballot stub shall be torn off by
voter or Precinct Officer.

CITY AND COUNTY	
(ALCALDE) 市長	請選一人 Vote por Uno Vote for One
Mayor	
JOHN L. MOLINARI Member, Board of Supervisors and Businessman	➡ ⊕
ART AGNOS Assemblyman, 16th District	➡ ⊕
	⊕

69

80

X

OFFICIAL BALLOT

CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

SPECIAL ELECTION

DECEMBER 8, 1987

This ballot stub shall be torn off by
voter or Precinct Officer.

MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS	
CITY & COUNCIL PROPOSITIONS	
PROPOSITION X	
Shall the ordinance approving the lease of air rights over the Robert C. Levy Tunnel to a nonprofit corporation to build housing for low-income elderly and handicapped persons be adopted?	YES ⊕
	NO ⊕

80

YOUR RIGHTS AS A VOTER

by Ballot Simplification Committee

Q — Who can vote?

A — You can vote at this election only if you registered to vote by November 9, 1987.

Q — Who can register to vote?

A — You can register to vote if you:

- are a U.S. Citizen,
- are at least 18 years of age on election day,
- are a resident of California, and
- are not imprisoned or on parole for the conviction of a felony.

Q — How do I register?

A — Phone the Registrar of Voters at 554-4399. You will be mailed a form.

Q — Do I have to belong to a political party?

A — Only if you want to. If you don't want to tell what political party you consider yours you can check the box on the form saying that you "Decline to State". At this election it doesn't matter what party you belong to.

Q — If I have picked a party, can I vote for candidates of another political party?

A — At a general election or a local election you can vote for any candidate whose name appears on your ballot. At a primary election, you can only choose among the candidates of your own party. This election is a local election.

Q — Once I have signed up, do I have to do it again?

A — Only if you have moved.

Q — If I have been convicted of a felony, can I sign up to vote?

A — Yes, if you have served your sentence and parole.

Q — What candidates will voters be choosing at this election?

A — Mayor.

Q — Where do I go to vote?

A — Your polling place is printed above your name and address sent with this Voters' Pamphlet (back cover).

Q — If I don't know what to do when I get to my polling place, is there someone there to help me?

A — Yes, the workers at the polling place will help you. If they can't help you, call 554-4375.

Q — When do I vote?

A — The election will be Tuesday, December 8, 1987. Your polling place is open from 7 A.M. to 8 P.M. that day.

Q — What do I do if my voting place is not open?

A — Call 554-4375.

Q — Can I take my sample ballot into the voting booth even if I've written on it?

A — Yes. Writing on your sample ballot will aid you in voting and will eliminate long lines at the polls.

Q — Can I vote for someone whose name is not on the ballot?

A — Yes. This is called a "write-in". If you want to and don't know how, ask one of the workers to help you. The poll workers will have a list of eligible write-ins.

Q — What do I do if I cannot work the voting machine?

A — Ask the workers and they will help you.

Q — Can a worker at the voting place ask me to take any test?

A — No.

Q — Is it true that I can take time off from my job to go vote on election day?

A — Not at this election. There is a law that permits time off for voting but it applies to statewide elections only.

Q — Is there any way to vote besides going to the polls on election day?

A — Yes. You can vote early by:

- Going to the Registrar of Voters office in City Hall and voting there, or
- mailing in the absentee ballot application sent with this voter information pamphlet.

Q — What can I do if I do not have an application form?

A — An application form is not necessary. You can send a letter or postcard asking for an absentee ballot. This letter or postcard should be sent to the Registrar of Voters, City Hall, San Francisco 94102.

Q — What do I say when I ask for an absentee ballot?

A — You must write:

- your home address
- the address where you want the ballot mailed,
- then sign your name, and also clearly print your name underneath.

Q — When do I mail my absentee ballot back to the Registrar of Voters?

A — You should mail your absentee ballot back to the Registrar of Voters as soon as possible. You must be sure your absentee ballot gets to the Registrar of Voters by 8 P.M. on election day, December 8, 1987.

IF YOU HAVE OTHER QUESTIONS CONCERNING VOTING CALL THE REGISTRAR OF VOTERS.

RIGHTS OF THE HANDICAPPED VOTER

The Registrar's staff and poll workers offer the following services to handicapped voters:

ASSISTANCE: Persons unable to mark their ballot may bring one or two persons with them into the voting booth to assist them. (Section 14234, Elections Code)

CURBSIDE VOTING: If architectural barriers prevent a handicapped voter from entering the polling place then the voter will be allowed to vote a ballot on the sidewalk in front of the polling place. (Section 14234, Elections Code)

PERMANENT ABSENTEE BALLOTS: Voters with specified disabilities may apply to become Permanent Absentee Voters. Permanent Absentee Voters will automatically be mailed ballots at all future elections. (Section 1450, Elections Code)

PARKING: If your polling place is in a residential garage then elderly and handicapped voters may park in the driveway while voting, provided that this will not impede the flow of traffic.

T.D.D. (TELECOMMUNICATIONS DEVICE FOR THE DEAF): Hearing-impaired voters who have a TDD may communicate with the Registrar's office by calling 554-4386.

TAPE RECORDINGS: The San Francisco Public Library's Branch for the Blind at 3150 Sacramento Street produces and distributes tape recorded copies of the Voter Information Pamphlet for use by visually-impaired voters.

WHEELCHAIR ACCESSIBILITY: The address label on the back of this pamphlet will inform you if your polling place is accessible to persons in wheelchairs.

WORDS YOU NEED TO KNOW

by Ballot Simplification Committee

Here are a few of the words that you will need to know:

BALLOT—An official list of candidates and propositions.

ABSENTEE BALLOT—If you wish to vote by mail you can get a special ballot to fill out. This ballot is called an absentee ballot. You can get this ballot by writing to the Registrar of Voters at City Hall. Please refer to the insert card in the pamphlet.

VOTE BY MAIL—See Absentee Ballot, above.

PRECINCT—A small geographical area with a common polling place. The average precinct contains 550 voters and covers four square blocks.

POLLING PLACE—The place where you go to vote.

PROPOSITION—This means any issue that you vote on. If it deals with City & County government it will have a letter, such as Proposition A. If it deals with State government it will have a number, such as Proposition 1.

MEASURE—Another name for proposition, above.

CHALLENGE—Officers at the polls can challenge a voter for various reasons, such as living in a different precinct from the one in which he or she is voting.

SUPERVISORS—Elected members of the governing legislative body for the City and County of San Francisco.

MAYOR—The chief executive officer of the City and County of San Francisco.

ORDINANCE—A law of the city and county, which is passed by the Board of Supervisors or approved by the voters.

INITIATIVE—This is a way for voters to put a proposition on the ballot for people to vote on. An initiative is put on the ballot by getting a certain number of voters to sign a petition. Each initiative ordinance needs signatures from 7,332 qualified voters. An ordinance passed by the people cannot be changed again without another vote of the people, unless the initiative expressly gives the Board of Supervisors the power to change it. An initiative Charter amendment needs 22,663 signatures.

REFERENDUM—If a legislative body passes a law you don't agree with you can put that law on the ballot for people to vote on. This is done by getting enough voters to sign a petition asking that the new law be placed on the ballot. A referendum needs 14,664 signatures.

PETITION—A list of signatures of voters who agree that a certain law or question should be on the ballot.

Lease Referendum



PROPOSITION X

Shall the ordinance approving the lease of air rights over the Robert C. Levy tunnel to a nonprofit corporation to build housing for low-income elderly and handicapped persons be adopted?

YES ⊕
NO ⊕

Analysis

by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The Board of Supervisors passed and the Mayor signed Ordinance No. 332-87, which authorizes the City to enter into a lease with a nonprofit corporation to build housing for low-income elderly and handicapped persons and their families on top of the Robert C. Levy tunnel (also known as the Broadway tunnel) at Mason Street and Broadway. Because a referendum petition was filed, this ordinance will not go into effect unless a majority of the voters approves it.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition X is an ordinance which authorizes the City to enter

into a lease with a nonprofit corporation to build housing for low-income elderly and handicapped persons and their families on top of the Robert C. Levy tunnel.

A YES VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want the City to enter into a lease with a nonprofit corporation to build housing for low-income elderly and handicapped persons and their families on top of the Robert C. Levy tunnel.

A NO VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want the City to enter into a lease to build housing on top of the Robert C. Levy tunnel.

Controller's Statement on "X"

City Controller John C. Farrell has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition X:

"Should the proposed referendum ordinance be adopted, in my opinion, it would not affect the cost of government."

POLLS CLOSE AT 8:00 PM

How Prop. X Got on the Ballot

On September 10 the Registrar of Voters certified that the referendum petition calling for a vote on the lease of air rights over the entrance of the Broadway Tunnel had qualified for the ballot.

Supporters of the petition had gathered 24,220 signatures which they turned in to the Board of Supervisors on September 2.

A random check of the signatures showed that 17,220 of the signatures were valid. This is more than the 14,663 signatures needed to place a referendum on the ballot.

THE FULL TEXT OF PROPOSITION X APPEARS ON PAGE 12

X

Lease Referendum

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION X

A yes vote on Proposition X means more affordable housing for senior and handicapped people living in San Francisco. The plans to build 70 affordable housing units over the air rights of the east portal of the Broadway Tunnel will implement a long-standing City policy to provide more affordable housing for our senior citizens and handicapped persons.

Many of our senior citizens now reside in hotel rooms without private baths or kitchens. These senior citizens with fixed incomes did not choose to live that way. They are victims of inflation and they deserve better living environments during the golden age of their lives.

The use of the air rights above the tunnel has been identified as an appropriate location for housing since 1971. The housing will be subsidized by a \$2.7 million grant from the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development, \$3.6 million for construction and approximately \$24 million for future rent subsidy.

Using the air rights above the Broadway Tunnel for housing

or for park use was debated extensively during more than ten public hearings before the Planning Commission, the Board of Supervisors, and the Board of Permit Appeals. Every aspect of this housing project has been examined in detail including the availability of an alternative site and the alternative use of the site as a park.

This public process resulted in an extremely well-designed project which will contain 70 affordable housing units at the site.

The final step in allowing new housing to be built on this site is execution of a lease between Pineview Housing Corporation, a non-profit organization, and the City. A YES vote on Proposition X will allow the construction of these units to proceed.

Everybody from the business community to neighborhood activists are behind this proposal.

We urge a yes vote on Proposition X.

SUBMITTED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION X

Proposition X will provide urgently needed housing — attractive, spacious and affordable — for elderly and disabled San Franciscans.

Proposition X will bring permits to those seeking better housing in the Chinatown/North Beach areas, and is a major component of efforts to build decent and affordable housing in San Francisco.

Proposition X will permit the construction of an attractive 70-unit building atop the Robert C. Levy (Broadway) Tunnel.

The sponsor of the project, Self-Help for the Elderly, already has obtained federal funding for the development, and it would be a shame to lose this valuable source of housing for seniors and the disabled. VOTE YES on X.

The housing would allow seniors to live in dignity, with rents they could afford. Self-Help for the Elderly has worked with neighbors in the area for more than a year, reviewing and revising the building plans to assure sufficient parking and an attractive design.

Federal funds to build housing for seniors and disabled people

have been sharply cut, so this is a rare opportunity for the City to add housing that serves a vital need.

This location will not displace any existing housing or businesses. San Francisco has very little land left for adding housing, so this use of air-rights over the tunnel would be an innovative way to address our housing shortage.

The group which opposes this much-needed housing has implied that a park would be built on the site, but, in fact, there are no resources for this plan. The only result of a "no" vote on this proposition would be to kill the chance for housing.

Widespread support among community agencies serving the elderly, and the unanimous approval of this project by the Board of Supervisors indicates the broad recognition that this housing is a high priority.

Help make more senior housing possible. Vote yes for Proposition X.

Dianne Feinstein, Mayor

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION X

Since the adoption of Proposition J, the Recreation and Park Department has actively acquired additional park sites. In 1986, the Recreation and Park Commission designated for acquisition a site 2½ blocks from the Broadway Tunnel for a major park after looking at many alternative sites and the Board of Supervisors appropriated the money for its acquisition. The use of the air rights above the Broadway Tunnel for housing will balance the competing need for housing and open space in an area where both are badly needed.

PLEASE VOTE YES ON X.

Eugene L. Friend, President, Recreation and Park Commission

Keith G. Eickman, Recreation and Park Commissioner

Tommy Harris, Recreation and Park Commissioner

Amy Meyer, Recreation and Park Commissioner

Jeff Mori, Recreation and Park Commissioner

Fred A. Rodriguez, Recreation and Park Commissioner

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.

Lease Referendum



ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION X

The proposed senior housing development above the Broadway Tunnel went through lengthy public hearings before the Planning Commission, the Board of Supervisors and the Board of Permit Appeals. Every aspect of the proposed development, from esthetics, the visual impact on the Church of Our Lady of Guadalupe, the height and bulk, alternative uses of the site, and potential alternative sites for this development, was exhaustively reviewed.

One of the objectives of the Housing Element of the City's Master Plan is to provide housing for persons with special needs, such as seniors and handicapped persons. As an implementation

policy, the Housing Element encourages the aggressive pursuit and use of vacant and underused public land for the development of affordable housing.

The use of the air rights above the Broadway Tunnel for senior and handicapped housing implements this policy.

Vote YES on Proposition X.

Richard B. Allen, City Planning Commissioner

Sue Bierman, City Planning Commissioner

Bernice M. Hemphill, City Planning Commissioner

Douglas M. Wright, City Planning Commissioner

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION X

The seniors and handicapped persons of San Francisco desperately need more affordable housing. The air rights above the east portal of the Broadway Tunnel is a suitable site for the development of housing for seniors. These housing units will be developed by a non-profit group and will add to our City's affordable housing stock.

Please vote YES.

Supervisor John L. Molinari

Supervisor Doris M. Ward

Supervisor Jim Gonzalez

Richard Guggenhime, Fire Commissioner

Frank M. Jordan, Chief of Police

Yori Wada

Ina Dearman

John L. Burton

Sue C. Hestor

James L. Lazarus

John M. Sanger

A. Cecil Williams

Patricia S. Mar

Po Wong

Buddy Tate Choy

Rosalyn Koo

Melvin A. Crowley

Dennis M. Collins

Victoria Molinari Berezin

William Witte

Jose Olmedo

Lawrence M. Ross

Tina Bergess Coan

Daisie Harrison

Jean McLean

Willie Lee Wright

Alexandra Glazunova

Eugene A. Louis

Dale A. Carlson

Alice Suet Yee Barkley

Dennis A. Gustafson

James R. Bronkema

Wayne T. Hu

Ed Ilumin

Pamela S. Duffy

Atea Koon

Lee Soto

Robert S. Basker

Naomi T. Gray

Stephen Berezin

Grey Day

Lawrence Simi

Marian Brislane

Marian Liang

Kelvin Quan

Barbara Le Count

Cynthia L. Senicka

Phyllis Cooley

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION X

The unused, vacant air space over the east portal of the Broadway Tunnel for the construction of 70 units of Senior Housing is proper use of the City's surplus land and air rights. We support the development of affordable housing and a multi-purpose senior center on this site. The City must not be denied this badly needed development which will be subsidized by HUD.

YES ON X.

Supervisor Willie Kennedy

Supervisor Carol Ruth Silver

Nancy Pelosi, Congress, 5th Congressional District

Michael Hennessey, Sheriff

Jeff Brown, Public Defender

James T. Clarke, President,

*San Francisco Chamber of Commerce**

John Jacobs, Executive Director,

*San Francisco Chamber of Commerce**

*Richard B. Morton, San Francisco Chamber of Commerce**

*Grant Mickens, Director, Human Rights Commission**

Henry Der

Will Leong

Bok Pon

John E. McInerney III

Linda A. Post

Paul Newman

Barbara L. Thompson

Ellen Buchannan

Jeanne Choy Tate

Eileen Friedman LeBlond

Jon G. Langley



Lease Referendum

*Peter S. Nardoza
Garrick Lew
Lloyd K. Wake
Neil D. Eisenberg
William K. Coblentz*

*Norman Lew
John Bardis
Bruce Lilienthal
Doris Thomas
Sheryl Pinal*

*Roland Quan
Sidney Chan
Jim Dobkins
Shimao Rod Umino
Lorraine Bannai*

Donald K. Tamaki

**For identification only*

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION X

As advocates for the construction of more affordable housing for San Franciscans, we urge a **YES VOTE** of the leasing of the air rights above the Broadway Tunnel to a non-profit group for the development of housing. With HUD subsidies for much of our senior housing coming to an end in the next five years, we cannot afford to lose 27.6 million dollars of new HUD funding for this senior housing development.

Harry Chuck, Member, San Francisco Housing Authority
Coalition for Better Housing
Council of Community Housing Organizations
International Hotel Citizens' Advisory Committee
Stephen L. Taber, Member, Polytechnic Advisory Committee*
Gordon Chin, Chinese Community Housing Corporation*
Rene Cazenave, Haight Ashbury Community Development Corporation*
Ben Martinez, Mission Housing Development Corporation*
Al Borvice, Housing Development and Neighborhood Preservation Corporation*

John Elberling, Tenants and Owners Development Corporation*
Charles B. Turner, Jr., Community Design Center*
James P. Queen, Potrero Hill Community Development Corporation*
Ricardo Hernandez, Director, Rent Board*
Ralph D. Knights, President,
Northern California Presbyterian Home, Inc.*
Barbara Solomon, Director,
San Francisco Living At Home Program*
I. D. Terner, President, BRIDGE Housing Corporation*
Randy Shaw, Tenderloin Housing Clinic*
Leslie Howard
Barbara Kolesar
Rolland C. Lowe
Benjamin Golvin
Sue Lee
Stephanie Mischak

*Calvin Welch
John Stewart
Frank Noto IV
Marcia Rosen
Barbara Smith*

**For identification only*

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION X

As San Franciscans who actively work toward the acquisition and development of more public open space and parks, we urge a **YES VOTE on X**. Opponents of this proposition want a park over the Broadway Tunnel. Both affordable housing and open space are needed in the City. The City recently decided to acquire a park site only 2½ blocks away. The ultimate use of each site suitable for housing or open space must be judged on a case-by-case basis. In this case, HUD funding is **available** for the development of housing on this site. There is **no money** for the development of the site for a park now. Therefore, on balance, we support this badly needed housing on this site.

*Dian Blomquist
Bette Landis
Teresa Ow-Wing
Anthony A. Pantaleoni
Jeremy Kotas
Anne Halsted
John Wilson
Lonnie Lawson, Jr.*

*Jennie Lew
Beverly A. Karnatz
Lisa Drury
Doreen Der-McLeod
Jack Morrison
Lisa Thompson
Jane Winslow
Jurline Lawson*

Committee for Better Parks and Recreation in Chinatown

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION X

"... The poor must be speedily and fittingly cared for since the majority of them live undeservedly in misery and wretched conditions"

Pope Leo XIII

The Roman Catholic Church believes providing shelter for needy seniors is an important part of its pastoral work. This teaching of the Church was reaffirmed by Pope John Paul II in his recent California visit.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.

Lease Referendum



In San Francisco, various Catholic agencies and parishes have sponsored housing for seniors and handicapped persons. More is needed. We urge a **YES VOTE ON X**.

Louis L. Giraudo

Gordon J. Lau

Nicholas A. Sapunar

Carol B. Brown

Catholic Committee on Aging

*Fr. Charles A. Donovan, C.S.P., Old St. Mary's**

*Fr. Daniel E. McCotter, C.S.P.,
St. Mary's Chinese Catholic Center**

John Yehall Chin

Joe Lacey

Robert McCarthy

John J. Nerney

Joe O'Donoghue

**For identification only*

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION X

The aging process is inevitable. Many of our seniors who have worked hard all their lives are forced to live in inferior housing. Their small pensions and social security benefits simply do not keep up with inflation. Subsidized units are the only affordable housing for a growing number of retirees. **YES ON X** means that they can live out their lives in dignity.

*Walter Johnson, Secretary Treasurer,
San Francisco Labor Council**

*Bob Barry, President, Police Officers Association**

*Stanley M. Smith, San Francisco Building Trades Council**

*George Wong, Asian American Federation of Union Members**

Residential Builders Association of San Francisco, Inc.

David Jenkins

*Sal Rosselli, Local 250**

**For identification only*

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION X

Disabled persons need affordable housing that meets their special needs. This development over the Broadway Tunnel will provide much-needed new, handicapped-accessible units for the disabled community.

VOTE YES ON X.

*John L. Darby, Director, Hearing Society for the Bay Area**

*Michael L. Comini, Director, Lighthouse for the Blind and Visually Impaired**

Bill Bruckner, In-Home Advisor,

*Independent Living Resource Center, San Francisco**

Thomas M. Berliner

David Gilbertson

Anne E. Just

G.R. Shulman

Reza Badice

Alexander J. Hiner

Barbara F. Bell

Michael V. Sisk

**For identification only*

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION X

We are housing professionals, involved in making sure that San Franciscans have decent, affordable housing. We work in every part of the City, from Hunters Point to North Beach. We know first hand about overcrowding and overpaying and the terrible conditions in which San Franciscans, young and old, must live. It's terrible to see elders forced to live in crowded, dangerous conditions, and paying half of their incomes in rent.

The proposed Pineview Project will do much to improve the lives of those elder San Franciscans fortunate to obtain housing there. They will be assured of decent, pleasant housing, forever affordable. Elders like these come from every neighborhood in the City and housing like Pineview is needed in every neighborhood in the City.

Subsidies like those set aside for Pineview have been killed by

the Reagan administration. That makes this opportunity even more important. Let's not turn our backs on the poor and the old. **JOIN US IN VOTING YES ON X.**

Rev. Bruce Der-McLeod

Yvonne Cheung

Daryl Higashi

Elaine Joe

David Charles Prowler

Lindsey Jang

Antonio Remington

Catherine S. Lam

Edwin M. Lee

Victor Jin

Michael Neumann

Janice M. Lee

Janet C. Platt

Lester Gee

Gordon Lam

Alton Chinn

Eva Y. Gardner



Lease Referendum

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION X

As providers of services to seniors, we strongly support the leasing of the air rights over the Broadway Tunnel for the development of housing and a multi-service center for seniors. Many of this City's homeless are seniors or disabled persons. The provision of decent shelter is a top priority for this City. Therefore, the use of this site for housing instead of open space is proper and appropriate. **YES ON X.**

Anni Chung, Director, Self-Help for the Elderly*
George Davis, Director, Bayview Hunters Point Senior Center*
Steve Nakajo, Director, Kimochi Inc.*
Stephen Graham, Director, Services for Seniors*
Gloria Bonilla, Director, Central Latino*
Vincent Reyes, Director, Senior Escort Services*
Norman Leach, Director, San Francisco Council of Churches*

Santiago "Sam" Ruiz, Director,
Mission Neighborhood Centers, Inc.*
Vera Haile Dalenberg, Director,
North of Market Senior Health Service*
Nafosaluma F.A. Tuiasosopo, Director, Samoans For Samoans*
Dorothy H. Kerr, Director,
Western Addition Senior Citizens Center*
Enola D. Maxwell, Director, Potrero Hill Neighborhood House*
Raul Moreno
Louella C. Leon
Gay Kaplan
June Williams Keller
Richard Eijima
Robert Cobb
Rev. Eugene Lumpkin, Jr.
Emil De Guzman
Gail R. Nakatsu
Joanne M. O'Shea

*For identification only

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION X

Appointed and elected city officials of Chinese descent join with the leaders of the Chinese Community in supporting the construction of senior housing over the Broadway Tunnel. Moreover, all major social and benevolent organizations have united their support behind this development. Senior Housing projects are critically needed in the City as shown by a five year average waiting period.

A YES VOTE ON X IS CRITICAL.

Supervisor Thomas Hsieh
Alan S. Wong, Member, Community College Board
Benjamin Tom, Member, Board of Education
John Yehall Chin, Member, San Francisco Commission on Aging
Melvin D. Lee, San Francisco Redevelopment Commissioner
Joe Jung, Human Rights Commissioner
Clement Shek, Health Commissioner
William Chang, San Francisco Port Commissioner
George Sycip, Social Service Commissioner
Louis Hop Lee, Civil Service Commissioner
Vyolet L. Chu, Asian Art Commissioner
James Ho, Member, Parking Authority

Florence Fang, Small Business Advisory Commissioner
Shek Chiu, President,
Chinese Consolidated Benevolent Association*
Joe Chin, President, Chinese American Citizens Alliance*
Thomas T. Ng, President, Chinese Hospital*
Harold Wong, Treasurer, Russian Hill Neighbors*
Benny Y. Yee
Harrison Lim
Chester Chin
John Fang
Helen Hui
Thomas Gee
Gordon Wong
Raymond Cheng
Harry Wong
Tom Q. Woo
Gregory Fung
Martin C. Leung
Winchell Quock
Dennis Wong
Harold Fong
Thomas Der
Hanley Hoey
William L. Gee
Ming Dear
Keung Wong
Bill Chin
Arnold Chin
Tang Moon Lum
Harvey Wong
Steve Jeong

*For identification only

Apply for Your Absentee Ballot Early

Your application must be received at least
one week before election day.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.

Lease Referendum



ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION X

Long-standing plans to locate a new public park (with public parking underneath) above the Broadway Tunnel are threatened by this ordinance to lease this city-owned public right of way for \$1 a year to Pineview Housing Corporation for construction of a 70 unit, 6 story apartment building.

Despite an appeal signed by some 70% of the area's resident property owners, and objections filed by the North Beach and Russian Hill neighborhood organizations, politicians backing this building project have rammed through City Hall a rezoning of the site from moderate to high density, a special exception to the neighborhood's 40 foot residential height limit, an acceptance of only 1 parking space for every 5 units, and permission to construct a building in the middle of a public right-of-way.

This building will wall off the beautiful and historic Our Lady of Guadalupe Church, converting the broad, open space now in front of the church to a narrow, sunless alley.

Neighbors and church parishioners, while strenuously objecting to the building's proposed site, have sympathized with its purpose (senior housing), and so have developed architectural and feasibility studies for four alternative sites for the building, and even secured and made available to Pineview options on two of these sites.

Help us preserve this last opportunity to create a sizeable new public park serving Russian Hill, Chinatown, Nob Hill and North Beach communities. Your **NO** vote on Proposition X will help encourage city leaders to seriously pursue an alternative site for the Pineview Project.

FOR THE BROADWAY TUNNEL PARK COMMITTEE

Rose Chin

Steve Kendrick

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION X

The Broadway Tunnel site was selected for the Pineview Project in great haste after Chinatown's controversial Orangeland Project (of which Pineview was originally a part) was defeated.

To clear the way, the Recreation and Parks Department was ordered to abandon a feasibility study already underway for creation of a new public park above the Broadway Tunnel.

Neighbors and Guadalupe Church parishioners in large numbers immediately joined together to save the Broadway Tunnel Park, carrying forward with the development of detailed plans for a six-tiered park with grassy open areas, play structures and swings for children, a bocce ball court, a platform for practicing tai chi, a flower garden, tables for picnicking or games, as well as an area at the top with benches where citizens, including our elderly, can sit and enjoy the view of the Bay.

The park's design includes a public parking garage underneath to help alleviate the area's chronic parking shortage.

The majority of the cost of constructing the park and parking garage underneath would be paid by revenues from the parking garage. The Broadway Tunnel Park Committee has put together a program to raise the remainder of the funds required

from private donations. Thus both the public park and the public parking garage will be created at **NO EXPENSE TO THE CITY.**

Privately, many officials in City government recognize and admit that surrendering this irreplaceable park site to the construction of a six-story building in the middle of the public right-of-way would be an urban planning disaster. Yet those officials do not wish to commit political suicide by risking offense to San Francisco's powerful and well-organized housing lobby. Your **NO** vote will encourage City leaders to respond to reason rather than to political pressure. Your **NO** vote will provide City leaders with the opportunity to put forth a more sensible plan which can provide San Francisco *both* a new public park and increased public parking above the Broadway Tunnel *as well as* a more appropriately sited housing project.

FOR THE BROADWAY TUNNEL PARK COMMITTEE

Rose Chin

Steve Kendrick

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION X


WHY DESTROY AN HISTORICAL CALIFORNIA LANDMARK???

The controversial Broadway Tunnel housing project will do more than wreck the North Beach skyline and increase the already outrageous parking problems of an already densely populated area of San Francisco.

Local historians and the neighbors of the proposed housing development particularly hate the fact that the project will ob-

scure the Hispanic Community's beautiful Guadalupe Church, a jewel-like California historical site of great importance.

Robert Silvestri, 1974-1984 Technical Consultant,
Historical Properties Department, California Historical Society
Terence Faulkner, San Francisco Republican Party Chairman
Arlo Hale Smith, BART Director
Tom Spinosa, Accounting Consultant



Lease Referendum

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION X

Citizens upset with the City's sudden change of plans, turning over the public property atop the Broadway Tunnel to private development of senior housing rather than a public park, have been told by politicians backing Pineview that the neighborhood's longstanding need for additional recreation and open space would be "satisfied" by a plan to instead condemn by eminent domain the Cathay Mortuary on Powell Street. Any park, however, which might eventually be created on this small parcel on Powell Street would be *only one third the size* of the planned Broadway Tunnel Park and would not begin to fill the need for increased open space and recreational facilities in this most congested sector of the City.

Furthermore, it is unclear whether the City will succeed in its attempt to seize the Cathay Mortuary, since the family which has owned and operated the mortuary and provided traditional Chi-

nese funeral services for forty years is fighting in the courts to save their family business. It is possible that the City may be blocked or that the acquisition cost set by the court may become prohibitive. If this ultimately happens, while in the meantime the City has given away to Pineview the Broadway Tunnel Park site (which, unlike the Cathay site, is three times as large, is already City-owned and is already vacant) then Chinatown, Russian Hill, North Beach and Nob Hill — the densest sector of the City — will have permanently lost *any* chance to create additional park space, so badly needed to keep San Francisco livable for families, children and the elderly. Please help us preserve our neighborhoods' last opportunity to create a *sizable* new park. Please vote **NO** on Proposition X.

Calvin Li

TEXT OF PROPOSED ORDINANCE PROPOSITION X

AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF A 75-YEAR AGREEMENT FOR LEASING AIR RIGHTS AND GRANTING EASEMENTS OVER THE ROBERT C. LEVY TUNNEL AND LOT 42, WITH OPTION TO PURCHASE, BETWEEN CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION, AS LESSOR, AND PINEVIEW HOUSING CORPORATION, A CALIFORNIA NONPROFIT CORPORATION, AS LESSEE, FOR PURPOSES OF CONSTRUCTING LOW-INCOME ELDERLY AND HANDICAPPED FAMILY RENTAL HOUSING.

BE IT ORDAINED, by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City and County of San Francisco owns air rights over the east portal of the Robert C. Levy Tunnel (the Housing Site) more particularly described in Exhibit A to the Agreement for Leasing of Air Rights and Granting Easements on file and incorporated herein (Agreement). The City and County of San Francisco also owns Lot 42 in Assessor's Block 158 located directly to the south of the Housing Site and more particularly described in Exhibit B to the Agreement and incorporated herein. (Lot 42)

Section 2. Pineview Housing Corporation, a non-profit corporation formed by Self-Help for the Elderly, Inc. proposes that the City and County of San Francisco lease to it the housing site, grant to it certain easements over Lot 42 (more particularly described in Exhibit D to the Agreement and incorporated herein), and grant to it certain easements through the east portal of the Robert C. Levy Tunnel (more particularly described in Exhibit E to the Agreement and incorporated herein) to be developed by Pineview Housing Corporation for Low-income and Elderly and Handicapped Family rental housing and a multi-purpose senior center with financing assistance from the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD).

Section 3. On May 26, 1987, the Board of Supervisors, by Resolution No. 392-87, adopted the final En-

vironmental Impact Report for the project, finding and determining that reclassification of the property from RM-2 to RM-4 and construction of the project would have no significant impact on the environment.

Section 4. The City and County of San Francisco has approved a rezoning of the site from RM-2 to RM-4 and a conditional use permit authorizing a structure exceeding forty feet in height in a residential district and a multi-purpose Senior Center in an RM-2 district proposed for an RM-4 district.

Section 5. The Planning Commission of the City and County of San Francisco has reported the project to be in conformity with the Master Plan.

Section 6. On May 26, 1987, the Board of Supervisors by Motion, made findings that the conditional use of the Housing Site is consistent with the Priority Policies set forth in Section 101.1 of the San Francisco City Planning Code. In addition, on June 1, 1987, the Board of Supervisors, by Ordinance No. 207-87 concurred with the determination of the City Planning Commission that the reclassification of the Housing Site is consistent with the Priority Policies set forth in Section 101.1 of the San Francisco City Planning Code. In adopting this Ordinance the Board of Supervisors hereby incorporates those findings herein.

Section 7. An appraisal prepared by the Department of Real Estate provides that the special costs required to develop the air rights for the proposed development of Low-income Elderly and Handicapped Family rental housing exceed the value a willing buyer would pay for a site to build the proposed development.

Section 8. On June 8, 1987, the Board of Supervisors, by Resolution No. 428-87, has authorized negotiation for the lease and sale of air rights and easements over the Robert C. Levy Tunnel and for easements over Lot 42 to facilitate the development of the Pineview Housing Corporation project.

Section 9. Notwithstanding Ordinance No. 412-59 providing that leases of surplus property shall not exceed a term of 50 years, this Agreement for-Leasing

of Air Rights and Granting of Easements, between the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, as Lessor, and Pineview Housing Corporation, as Lessee, and any amendments thereto, is hereby approved for a term of 75 years.

Section 10. The Board hereby finds the execution of the 75 year Agreement for Leasing of Air Rights and Granting of Easements above and through the Robert C. Levy Tunnel and Lot 42 between the City and County of San Francisco, as Lessor, and the Pineview Housing Corporation, as Lessee, a copy of which is on file with the Clerk of this Board, and the lease of said air rights and granting of easements to the Pineview Housing Corporation, for \$1.00 per year for development in accordance with said Agreement is for a public purpose and will promote the general welfare of the community.

Section 11. The Mayor is hereby authorized and directed to execute said Agreement for Leasing Air Rights and Granting Easements and such other agreements as may be required for the development of the project.

Section 12. The Mayor is hereby authorized to execute any amendments necessary to said Agreement in consultation with the City Attorney.

Section 13. In accordance with the form of lease to this Agreement, a copy of which is on file with the Clerk of the Board, HUD will have an option to purchase the housing site.

Section 14. In accordance with said Agreement, the Mayor is hereby authorized and directed to execute, and the Director of Property shall deliver the Agreement for Leasing Air Rights and Granting Easements to the Pineview Housing Corporation for the parcels described in Exhibit A to the Agreement.

The agreement described in the ordinance's title and referred to in the ordinance is on file with the clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.

POLL WORKERS NEEDED

Earn \$49 to \$58 (plus bonuses)!

Meet Your Neighbors!

Serve Your Community!

There is a shortage of poll workers in most San Francisco neighborhoods. Voters who are interested in this important work are encouraged to apply as soon as possible at the Registrar's Office at City Hall. If you apply while there is still a large selection of vacancies, **it is probable that you will be assigned to a poll in your own neighborhood.**

The Registrar is trying to build a permanent corps of polling officials, therefore **housewives** and **retired people**, as well as others who are interested in **community service** are particularly urged to apply.

The higher-paying and more responsible positions will be reserved for persons who **apply in person**. Others may mail in the application form provided below:

(The workday is from 6:30 a.m. to about 8:30 p.m., with breaks for lunch and dinner.)

APPLICATION TO SERVE AS ELECTION OFFICIAL

I want to work at the polls on Tuesday, Election Day. Please assign me to a polling place.

Name _____

Address _____ Apt. # _____

Telephone No. (required) _____

Do you have an automobile? yes ☐ no ☐


Availability:

I want to work in the following area(s): _____

Second choice locations (if any) _____

Signature _____

PAY TO THE ORDER OF:



Back to Schools!

YES! I pledge my State Tax Rebate to help restore needed programs to the San Francisco Unified School District. I would like my contribution applied to the following:

(Choose one — undesignated contributions will go toward reducing class size)

- ☐ Reduce class size in elementary, middle and high schools to the 1986-87 levels.
- ☐ Restore school sports in the middle and high schools.
- ☐ Purchase textbooks and/or library books for all schools.
- ☐ I prefer that my contribution go directly to my child's school for educational purposes.

Name of school _____

SIGNATURE _____

PRINT NAME _____

PHONE _____

ADDRESS _____

ZIP _____

- ☐ I enclose my endorsed rebate check or donation.

Rebate checks should be endorsed "Pay to the order of BACK TO SCHOOLS REBATE FUND" and signed by all the names appearing on the face of the check.

- ☐ I promise to send my rebate check, endorsed as above, as soon as it arrives.

All contributions to BACK TO SCHOOLS are tax-deductible under State and Federal laws.

PLEASE SEND ALL CHECKS TO ADDRESS BELOW:

BACK TO SCHOOLS

c/o Bank of America, San Francisco Main Office
345 Montgomery Street
San Francisco, CA 94104

SPONSORED BY THE OFFICE OF THE MAYOR AND THE SFSU.

Office of the Mayor
SAN FRANCISCO



DIANNE FEINSTEIN

Dear San Franciscans:

Education is the key that opens the door of opportunity for our young people, and every one of us who live in this city has a vital stake in the quality of our public schools. From those schools will come the workers, the professionals, the voters and the leaders of the future.

This very special appeal is addressed to San Franciscans to donate their State tax rebates to the public schools.

San Franciscans will get some \$35 million back from the State -- an average of about \$95 for each taxpayer. Contributions from those who can afford to give will be an extraordinary holiday gift that will directly benefit the 65,000 students in public schools. And if you itemize, your contribution is deductible from State and Federal income taxes.

A pledge card is attached for the "Back to Schools Rebate Fund," Bank of America Main Branch, 345 Montgomery St., San Francisco 94102.

You're asked to check off one of four specific purposes for which you want your money used:

1. Reduce class size. The size of classes in San Francisco are among the highest in the nation -- almost 30 per class. The contribution of \$3 million will reduce classes back to last year's average of about 27 students.
2. Restore sports programs in the middle and senior high schools. Many programs were eliminated or curtailed, and \$500,000 would restore them to what they were last school year.
3. Purchase library and text books. One million dollars would purchase at least one book for every student in school.
4. Parents who may want to, can designate the school in which their children are enrolled.

I know of no better way to say Merry Christmas and Happy Holidays to the children in our schools.

Sincerely yours,

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

ABSENT VOTER BALLOT APPLICATIONElection Date **December 8, 1987**PLEASE PRINT
REGISTERED NAME**MAIL COMPLETED
FORM TO:
Registrar of Voters**Room 158 City Hall
San Francisco, CA 94102**OFFICIAL USE ONLY**

FIRST NAME MIDDLE NAME LAST NAME DATE OF BIRTH

RESIDENCE ADDRESS (DO NOT USE P.O. BOX NUMBER)

NUMBER AND STREET CITY ZIP

X

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT (DO NOT PRINT) DATE (AREA CODE) DAYTIME TELEPHONE

I have not and will not apply for an absentee
ballot by any other means.

(AREA CODE) RESIDENCE TELEPHONE

THIS APPLICATION WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED WITHOUT PROPER SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT

MAILING ADDRESS FOR BALLOT (IF DIFFERENT FROM ABOVE)

STREET OR BOX

CITY STATE ZIP

Application must be received by the county
registrar of voters no later than**12/1/87**Voters with specified disabilities may
qualify as **PERMANENT ABSENT
VOTERS**. Contact your registrar of
voters for further information.The format used on this application must
be used by all individuals, organiza-
tions, and groups who distribute absen-
tee ballot applications. CA Elections
Code 1006.1THIS FORM WAS PROVIDED BY **the San Francisco Registrar of Voters**

Voter Pamphlets for the Visually Impaired

Tape recordings (Talking Books) of the San Francisco Voter Information Pamphlet are available from the San Francisco Public Library's branch for the blind at 3150 Sacramento Street. Copies of the tapes are free to voters who are visually impaired or otherwise unable to read printed material. Please inform any friends or family members who might benefit from this service.

JAY PATTERSON
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
155 CITY HALL
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-4691
554-4399

BULK RATE
U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
San Francisco
Calif.
Permit No. 4

Third Class

**ADDRESS CORRECTION REQUESTED
RETURN POSTAGE GUARANTEED**

DO NOT FORWARD

LOCATION OF YOUR
POLLING PLACE

MAILING
ADDRESS

ACCESSIBLE
TO HANDICAPPED
YES OR NO

BALLOT TYPE

69

MUNICIPAL
RUN-OFF ELECTION
(16th & 19th Assembly Districts)

PRECINCTS
APPLICABLE:

1000's, 2000's
8000's, 9000's

Application for Absentee Ballot
is printed at the top of the previous page.

If the person to whom this pamphlet is addressed no longer resides at this address, please draw a diagonal slash (/) through the address label and drop the pamphlet in the mailbox.

POLLS ARE OPEN FROM 7 AM to 8 PM

POLL WORKERS NEEDED

Election day workers are needed at the polls in most San Francisco Neighborhoods, Bilingual citizens are particularly encouraged to apply.

WHEELCHAIR ACCESSIBILITY:



The "yes" or "no" on the top line of your address label indicates whether or not your polling place is wheelchair accessible.

This evaluation takes into account architectural barriers only. Geographical barriers you may encounter enroute to the polls have not been considered.

San Francisco Voter Information Pamphlet

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

NOV 16 1987

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Special Run-Off Election
December 8, 1987

SF
R45
#1
12/8/87



Jay Patterson
Registrar of Voters

77

C

OFFICIAL BALLOT

CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

MUNICIPAL RUNOFF ELECTION

DECEMBER 8, 1987

This ballot stub shall be torn off by
voter or Precinct Officer.

CITY AND COUNTY	
(ALCALDE) 市長	請選一人 Vote por Uno Vote for One
Mayor	
ART AGNOS Assemblyman, 16th District	➔ ⊕
JOHN L. MOLINARI Member, Board of Supervisors and Businessman	➔ ⊕
	⊕

77

80

X

OFFICIAL BALLOT

CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

SPECIAL ELECTION

DECEMBER 8, 1987

This ballot stub shall be torn off by
voter or Precinct Officer.

MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS					
CITY & COUNCIL PROPOSITIONS					
PROPOSITION X Shall the ordinance approving the lease of air rights over the Robert C. Levy Tunnel to a nonprofit corporation to build housing for low-income elderly and handicapped persons be adopted?	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>YES</td> <td>⊕</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NO</td> <td>⊕</td> </tr> </table>	YES	⊕	NO	⊕
YES	⊕				
NO	⊕				

80

YOUR RIGHTS AS A VOTER

by Ballot Simplification Committee

Q — Who can vote?

A — You can vote at this election only if you registered to vote by November 9, 1987.

Q — Who can register to vote?

A — You can register to vote if you:

- are a U.S. Citizen,
- are at least 18 years of age on election day,
- are a resident of California, and
- are not imprisoned or on parole for the conviction of a felony.

Q — How do I register?

A — Phone the Registrar of Voters at 554-4399. You will be mailed a form.

Q — Do I have to belong to a political party?

A — Only if you want to. If you don't want to tell what political party you consider yours you can check the box on the form saying that you "Decline to State". At this election it doesn't matter what party you belong to.

Q — If I have picked a party, can I vote for candidates of another political party?

A — At a general election or a local election you can vote for any candidate whose name appears on your ballot. At a primary election, you can only choose among the candidates of your own party. This election is a local election.

Q — Once I have signed up, do I have to do it again?

A — Only if you have moved.

Q — If I have been convicted of a felony, can I sign up to vote?

A — Yes, if you have served your sentence and parole.

Q — What candidates will voters be choosing at this election?

A — Mayor.

Q — Where do I go to vote?

A — Your polling place is printed above your name and address sent with this Voters' Pamphlet (back cover).

Q — If I don't know what to do when I get to my polling place, is there someone there to help me?

A — Yes, the workers at the polling place will help you. If they can't help you, call 554-4375.

Q — When do I vote?

A — The election will be Tuesday, December 8, 1987. Your polling place is open from 7 A.M. to 8 P.M. that day.

Q — What do I do if my voting place is not open?

A — Call 554-4375.

Q — Can I take my sample ballot into the voting booth even if I've written on it?

A — Yes. Writing on your sample ballot will aid you in voting and will eliminate long lines at the polls.

Q — Can I vote for someone whose name is not on the ballot?

A — Yes. This is called a "write-in". If you want to and don't know how, ask one of the workers to help you. The poll workers will have a list of eligible write-ins.

Q — What do I do if I cannot work the voting machine?

A — Ask the workers and they will help you.

Q — Can a worker at the voting place ask me to take any test?

A — No.

Q — Is it true that I can take time off from my job to go vote on election day?

A — Not at this election. There is a law that permits time off for voting but it applies to statewide elections only.

Q — Is there any way to vote besides going to the polls on election day?

A — Yes. You can vote early by:

- Going to the Registrar of Voters office in City Hall and voting there, or
- mailing in the absentee ballot application sent with this voter information pamphlet.

Q — What can I do if I do not have an application form?

A — An application form is not necessary. You can send a letter or postcard asking for an absentee ballot. This letter or postcard should be sent to the Registrar of Voters, City Hall, San Francisco 94102.

Q — What do I say when I ask for an absentee ballot?

A — You must write:

- your home address
- the address where you want the ballot mailed,
- then sign your name, and also clearly print your name underneath.

Q — When do I mail my absentee ballot back to the Registrar of Voters?

A — You should mail your absentee ballot back to the Registrar of Voters as soon as possible. You must be sure your absentee ballot gets to the Registrar of Voters by 8 P.M. on election day, December 8, 1987.

IF YOU HAVE OTHER QUESTIONS CONCERNING VOTING CALL THE REGISTRAR OF VOTERS.

RIGHTS OF THE HANDICAPPED VOTER

The Registrar's staff and poll workers offer the following services to handicapped voters:

ASSISTANCE: Persons unable to mark their ballot may bring one or two persons with them into the voting booth to assist them. (Section 14234, Elections Code)

CURBSIDE VOTING: If architectural barriers prevent a handicapped voter from entering the polling place then the voter will be allowed to vote a ballot on the sidewalk in front of the polling place. (Section 14234, Elections Code)

PERMANENT ABSENTEE BALLOTS: Voters with specified disabilities may apply to become Permanent Absentee Voters. Permanent Absentee Voters will automatically be mailed ballots at all future elections. (Section 1450, Elections Code)

PARKING: If your polling place is in a residential garage then elderly and handicapped voters may park in the driveway while voting, provided that this will not impede the flow of traffic.

T.D.D. (TELECOMMUNICATIONS DEVICE FOR THE DEAF): Hearing-impaired voters who have a TDD may communicate with the Registrar's office by calling 554-4386.

TAPE RECORDINGS: The San Francisco Public Library's Branch for the Blind at 3150 Sacramento Street produces and distributes tape recorded copies of the Voter Information Pamphlet for use by visually-impaired voters.

WHEELCHAIR ACCESSIBILITY: The address label on the back of this pamphlet will inform you if your polling place is accessible to persons in wheelchairs.

WORDS YOU NEED TO KNOW

by Ballot Simplification Committee

Here are a few of the words that you will need to know:

BALLOT—An official list of candidates and propositions.

ABSENTEE BALLOT—If you wish to vote by mail you can get a special ballot to fill out. This ballot is called an absentee ballot. You can get this ballot by writing to the Registrar of Voters at City Hall. Please refer to the insert card in the pamphlet.

VOTE BY MAIL—See Absentee Ballot, above.

PRECINCT—A small geographical area with a common polling place. The average precinct contains 550 voters and covers four square blocks.

POLLING PLACE—The place where you go to vote.

PROPOSITION—This means any issue that you vote on. If it deals with City & County government it will have a letter, such as Proposition A. If it deals with State government it will have a number, such as Proposition 1.

MEASURE—Another name for proposition, above.

CHALLENGE—Officers at the polls can challenge a voter for various reasons, such as living in a different precinct from the one in which he or she is voting.

SUPERVISORS—Elected members of the governing legislative body for the City and County of San Francisco.

MAYOR—The chief executive officer of the City and County of San Francisco.


ORDINANCE—A law of the city and county, which is passed by the Board of Supervisors or approved by the voters.

INITIATIVE—This is a way for voters to put a proposition on the ballot for people to vote on. An initiative is put on the ballot by getting a certain number of voters to sign a petition. Each initiative ordinance needs signatures from 7,332 qualified voters. An ordinance passed by the people cannot be changed again without another vote of the people, unless the initiative expressly gives the Board of Supervisors the power to change it. An initiative Charter amendment needs 22,663 signatures.

REFERENDUM—If a legislative body passes a law you don't agree with you can put that law on the ballot for people to vote on. This is done by getting enough voters to sign a petition asking that the new law be placed on the ballot. A referendum needs 14,664 signatures.

PETITION—A list of signatures of voters who agree that a certain law or question should be on the ballot.

Lease Referendum



PROPOSITION X

Shall the ordinance approving the lease of air rights over the Robert C. Levy tunnel to a nonprofit corporation to build housing for low-income elderly and handicapped persons be adopted?

YES ☐
NO ☐

Analysis

by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The Board of Supervisors passed and the Mayor signed Ordinance No. 332-87, which authorizes the City to enter into a lease with a nonprofit corporation to build housing for low-income elderly and handicapped persons and their families on top of the Robert C. Levy tunnel (also known as the Broadway tunnel) at Mason Street and Broadway. Because a referendum petition was filed, this ordinance will not go into effect unless a majority of the voters approves it.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition X is an ordinance which authorizes the City to enter

into a lease with a nonprofit corporation to build housing for low-income elderly and handicapped persons and their families on top of the Robert C. Levy tunnel.

A YES VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want the City to enter into a lease with a nonprofit corporation to build housing for low-income elderly and handicapped persons and their families on top of the Robert C. Levy tunnel.

A NO VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want the City to enter into a lease to build housing on top of the Robert C. Levy tunnel.

Controller's Statement on "X"

City Controller John C. Farrell has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition X:

"Should the proposed referendum ordinance be adopted, in my opinion, it would not affect the cost of government."

POLLS CLOSE AT 8:00 PM


How Prop. X Got on the Ballot

On September 10 the Registrar of Voters certified that the referendum petition calling for a vote on the lease of air rights over the entrance of the Broadway Tunnel had qualified for the ballot.

Supporters of the petition had gathered 24,220 signatures which they turned in to the Board of Supervisors on September 2.

A random check of the signatures showed that 17,220 of the signatures were valid. This is more than the 14,663 signatures needed to place a referendum on the ballot.

THE FULL TEXT OF PROPOSITION X APPEARS ON PAGE 12



Lease Referendum

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION X

A yes vote on Proposition X means more affordable housing for senior and handicapped people living in San Francisco. The plans to build 70 affordable housing units over the air rights of the east portal of the Broadway Tunnel will implement a long-standing City policy to provide more affordable housing for our senior citizens and handicapped persons.

Many of our senior citizens now reside in hotel rooms without private baths or kitchens. These senior citizens with fixed incomes did not choose to live that way. They are victims of inflation and they deserve better living environments during the golden age of their lives.

The use of the air rights above the tunnel has been identified as an appropriate location for housing since 1971. The housing will be subsidized by a \$2.7 million grant from the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development, \$3.6 million for construction and approximately \$24 million for future rent subsidy.

Using the air rights above the Broadway Tunnel for housing

or for park use was debated extensively during more than ten public hearings before the Planning Commission, the Board of Supervisors, and the Board of Permit Appeals. Every aspect of this housing project has been examined in detail including the availability of an alternative site and the alternative use of the site as a park.

This public process resulted in an extremely well-designed project which will contain 70 affordable housing units at the site.

The final step in allowing new housing to be built on this site is execution of a lease between Pineview Housing Corporation, a non-profit organization, and the City. A YES vote on Proposition X will allow the construction of these units to proceed.

Everybody from the business community to neighborhood activists are behind this proposal.

We urge a yes vote on Proposition X.

SUBMITTED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION X

Proposition X will provide urgently needed housing — attractive, spacious and affordable — for elderly and disabled San Franciscans.

Proposition X will bring permits to those seeking better housing in the Chinatown/North Beach areas, and is a major component of efforts to build decent and affordable housing in San Francisco.

Proposition X will permit the construction of an attractive 70-unit building atop the Robert C. Levy (Broadway) Tunnel.

The sponsor of the project, Self-Help for the Elderly, already has obtained federal funding for the development, and it would be a shame to lose this valuable source of housing for seniors and the disabled. VOTE YES on X.

The housing would allow seniors to live in dignity, with rents they could afford. Self-Help for the Elderly has worked with neighbors in the area for more than a year, reviewing and revising the building plans to assure sufficient parking and an attractive design.

Federal funds to build housing for seniors and disabled people

have been sharply cut, so this is a rare opportunity for the City to add housing that serves a vital need.

This location will not displace any existing housing or businesses. San Francisco has very little land left for adding housing, so this use of air-rights over the tunnel would be an innovative way to address our housing shortage.

The group which opposes this much-needed housing has implied that a park would be built on the site, but, in fact, there are no resources for this plan. The only result of a "no" vote on this proposition would be to kill the chance for housing.

Widespread support among community agencies serving the elderly, and the unanimous approval of this project by the Board of Supervisors indicates the broad recognition that this housing is a high priority.

Help make more senior housing possible. Vote yes for Proposition X.

Dianne Feinstein, Mayor

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION X

Since the adoption of Proposition J, the Recreation and Park Department has actively acquired additional park sites. In 1986, the Recreation and Park Commission designated for acquisition a site 2½ blocks from the Broadway Tunnel for a major park after looking at many alternative sites and the Board of Supervisors appropriated the money for its acquisition. The use of the air rights above the Broadway Tunnel for housing will balance the competing need for housing and open space in an area where both are badly needed.

PLEASE VOTE YES ON X.

Eugene L. Friend, President, Recreation and Park Commission

Keith G. Eickman, Recreation and Park Commissioner

Tommy Harris, Recreation and Park Commissioner

Amy Meyer, Recreation and Park Commissioner

Jeff Mori, Recreation and Park Commissioner

Fred A. Rodriguez, Recreation and Park Commissioner

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.

Lease Referendum

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION X

The proposed senior housing development above the Broadway Tunnel went through lengthy public hearings before the Planning Commission, the Board of Supervisors and the Board of Permit Appeals. Every aspect of the proposed development, from esthetics, the visual impact on the Church of Our Lady of Guadalupe, the height and bulk, alternative uses of the site, and potential alternative sites for this development, was exhaustively reviewed.

One of the objectives of the Housing Element of the City's Master Plan is to provide housing for persons with special needs, such as seniors and handicapped persons. As an implementation

policy, the Housing Element encourages the aggressive pursuit and use of vacant and underused public land for the development of affordable housing.

The use of the air rights above the Broadway Tunnel for senior and handicapped housing implements this policy.

Vote YES on Proposition X.

Richard B. Allen, City Planning Commissioner
Sue Bierman, City Planning Commissioner
Bernice M. Hemphill, City Planning Commissioner
Douglas M. Wright, City Planning Commissioner

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION X

The seniors and handicapped persons of San Francisco desperately need more affordable housing. The air rights above the east portal of the Broadway Tunnel is a suitable site for the development of housing for seniors. These housing units will be developed by a non-profit group and will add to our City's affordable housing stock.

Please vote YES.

Supervisor John L. Molinari
Supervisor Doris M. Ward
Supervisor Jim Gonzalez
Richard Guggenhime, Fire Commissioner
Frank M. Jordan, Chief of Police
Yori Wada
Ina Dearman
John L. Burton
Sue C. Hestor

James L. Lazarus
John M. Sanger
A. Cecil Williams
Patricia S. Mar

Po Wong
Buddy Tate Choy
Rosalyn Koo
Melvin A. Crowley
Dennis M. Collins
Victoria Molinari Berezin
William Witte
Jose Olmedo
Lawrence M. Ross
Tina Bergess Coan
Daisie Harrison
Jean McLean
Willie Lee Wright
Alexandra Glazunova
Eugene A. Louis
Dale A. Carlson
Alice Suet Yee Barkley
Dennis A. Gustafson

James R. Bronkema
Wayne T. Hu
Ed Ilumin
Pamela S. Duffy
Atea Koon
Lee Soto
Robert S. Basker
Naomi T. Gray
Stephen Berezin
Grey Day
Lawrence Simi
Marian Brislane
Marian Liang
Kelvin Quan
Barbara Le Count
Cynthia L. Senicka
Phyllis Cooley

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION X

The unused, vacant air space over the east portal of the Broadway Tunnel for the construction of 70 units of Senior Housing is proper use of the City's surplus land and air rights. We support the development of affordable housing and a multi-purpose senior center on this site. The City must not be denied this badly needed development which will be subsidized by HUD.

YES ON X.

Supervisor Willie Kennedy
Supervisor Carol Ruth Silver
Nancy Pelosi, Congress, 5th Congressional District
Michael Hennessey, Sheriff
Jeff Brown, Public Defender
James T. Clarke, President,
*San Francisco Chamber of Commerce**

John Jacobs, Executive Director,
*San Francisco Chamber of Commerce**
*Richard B. Morton, San Francisco Chamber of Commerce**
*Grant Mickens, Director, Human Rights Commission**
Henry Der
Will Leong
Bok Pon
John E. McInerney III
Linda A. Post
Paul Newman
Barbara L. Thompson
Ellen Buchannan
Jeanne Choy Tate
Eileen Friedman LeBlond
Jon G. Langley



Lease Referendum

*Peter S. Nardoza
Garrick Lew
Lloyd K. Wake
Neil D. Eisenberg
William K. Coblentz*

*Norman Lew
John Bardis
Bruce Lilienthal
Doris Thomas
Sheryl Pinal*

*Roland Quan
Sidney Chan
Jim Dobkins
Shimao Rod Umino
Lorraine Bannai*

Donald K. Tamaki

**For identification only*

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION X

As advocates for the construction of more affordable housing for San Franciscans, we urge a **YES VOTE** of the leasing of the air rights above the Broadway Tunnel to a non-profit group for the development of housing. With HUD subsidies for much of our senior housing coming to an end in the next five years, we cannot afford to lose 27.6 million dollars of new HUD funding for this senior housing development.

*Harry Chuck, Member, San Francisco Housing Authority
Coalition for Better Housing
Council of Community Housing Organizations
International Hotel Citizens' Advisory Committee
Stephen L. Taber, Member, Polytechnic Advisory Committee*
Gordon Chin, Chinese Community Housing Corporation*
Rene Cazenave, Haight Ashbury Community Development Corporation*
Ben Martinez, Mission Housing Development Corporation*
Al Borvice, Housing Development and Neighborhood Preservation Corporation**

John Elberling, Tenants and Owners Development Corporation
Charles B. Turner, Jr., Community Design Center*
James P. Queen, Potrero Hill Community Development Corporation*
Ricardo Hernandez, Director, Rent Board*
Ralph D. Knights, President,
Northern California Presbyterian Home, Inc.*
Barbara Solomon, Director,
San Francisco Living At Home Program*
I. D. Terner, President, BRIDGE Housing Corporation*
Randy Shaw, Tenderloin Housing Clinic*
Leslie Howard
Barbara Kolesar
Rolland C. Lowe
Benjamin Golvin
Sue Lee
Stephanie Mischak*

**For identification only*

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION X

As San Franciscans who actively work toward the acquisition and development of more public open space and parks, we urge a **YES VOTE on X**. Opponents of this proposition want a park over the Broadway Tunnel. Both affordable housing and open space are needed in the City. The City recently decided to acquire a park site only 2½ blocks away. The ultimate use of each site suitable for housing or open space must be judged on a case-by-case basis. In this case, HUD funding is available for the development of housing on this site. There is **no money** for the development of the site for a park now. Therefore, on balance, we support this badly needed housing on this site.

*Dian Blomquist
Bette Landis
Teresa Ow-Wing
Anthony A. Pantaleoni
Jeremy Kotas
Anne Halsted
John Wilson
Lonnie Lawson, Jr.
Jennie Lew
Beverly A. Karnatz
Lisa Drury
Doreen Der-McLeod
Jack Morrison
Lisa Thompson
Jane Winslow
Jurline Lawson*

Committee for Better Parks and Recreation in Chinatown


ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION X

"... The poor must be speedily and fittingly cared for since the majority of them live undeservedly in misery and wretched conditions"

Pope Leo XIII

The Roman Catholic Church believes providing shelter for needy seniors is an important part of its pastoral work. This teaching of the Church was reaffirmed by Pope John Paul II in his recent California visit.

Lease Referendum



In San Francisco, various Catholic agencies and parishes have sponsored housing for seniors and handicapped persons. More is needed. We urge a **YES VOTE ON X**.

Louis L. Giraudo
Gordon J. Lau
Nicholas A. Sapunar
Carol B. Brown

Catholic Committee on Aging
*Fr. Charles A. Donovan, C.S.P., Old St. Mary's**

Fr. Daniel E. McCotter, C.S.P.,
*St. Mary's Chinese Catholic Center**
John Yehall Chin
Joe Lacey
Robert McCarthy
John J. Nerney
Joe O'Donoghue

*For identification only

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION X

The aging process is inevitable. Many of our seniors who have worked hard all their lives are forced to live in inferior housing. Their small pensions and social security benefits simply do not keep up with inflation. Subsidized units are the only affordable housing for a growing number of retirees. **YES ON X** means that they can live out their lives in dignity.

Walter Johnson, Secretary Treasurer,
*San Francisco Labor Council**

*Bob Barry, President, Police Officers Association**
*Stanley M. Smith, San Francisco Building Trades Council**
*George Wong, Asian American Federation of Union Members**
Residential Builders Association of San Francisco, Inc.
David Jenkins
*Sal Rosselli, Local 250**

*For identification only

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION X

Disabled persons need affordable housing that meets their special needs. This development over the Broadway Tunnel will provide much-needed new, handicapped-accessible units for the disabled community.

VOTE YES ON X.

*John L. Darby, Director, Hearing Society for the Bay Area**
*Michael L. Comini, Director, Lighthouse for the Blind and Visually Impaired**

Bill Bruckner, In-Home Advisor,
*Independent Living Resource Center, San Francisco**
Thomas M. Berliner
David Gilbertson
Anne E. Just
G.R. Shulman
Reza Badice
Alexander J. Hiner
Barbara F. Bell
Michael V. Sisk

*For identification only

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION X

We are housing professionals, involved in making sure that San Franciscans have decent, affordable housing. We work in every part of the City, from Hunters Point to North Beach. We know first hand about overcrowding and overpaying and the terrible conditions in which San Franciscans, young and old, must live. It's terrible to see elders forced to live in crowded, dangerous conditions, and paying half of their incomes in rent.

The proposed Pineview Project will do much to improve the lives of those elder San Franciscans fortunate to obtain housing there. They will be assured of decent, pleasant housing, forever affordable. Elders like these come from every neighborhood in the City and housing like Pineview is needed in every neighborhood in the City.

Subsidies like those set aside for Pineview have been killed by

the Reagan administration. That makes this opportunity even more important. Let's not turn our backs on the poor and the old.
JOIN US IN VOTING YES ON X.

Rev. Bruce Der-McLeod
Yvonne Cheung
Daryl Higashi
Elaine Joe
David Charles Prowler
Lindsey Jang
Antonio Remington
Catherine S. Lam
Edwin M. Lee
Victor Jin
Michael Neumann
Janice M. Lee
Janet C. Platt
Lester Gee
Gordon Lam
Alton Chinn
Eva Y. Gardner



Lease Referendum

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION X

As providers of services to seniors, we strongly support the leasing of the air rights over the Broadway Tunnel for the development of housing and a multi-service center for seniors. Many of this City's homeless are seniors or disabled persons. The provision of decent shelter is a top priority for this City. Therefore, the use of this site for housing instead of open space is proper and appropriate. **YES ON X.**

Anni Chung, Director, Self-Help for the Elderly*
George Davis, Director, Bayview Hunters Point Senior Center*
Steve Nakajo, Director, Kimochi Inc.*
Stephen Graham, Director, Services for Seniors*
Gloria Bonilla, Director, Central Latino*
Vincent Reyes, Director, Senior Escort Services*
Norman Leach, Director, San Francisco Council of Churches*

Santiago "Sam" Ruiz, Director,
Mission Neighborhood Centers, Inc.*
Vera Haile Dalenberg, Director,
North of Market Senior Health Service*
Nafosaluma F.A. Tuiasosopo, Director, Samoans For Samoans*
Dorothy H. Kerr, Director,
Western Addition Senior Citizens Center*
Enola D. Maxwell, Director, Potrero Hill Neighborhood House*
Raul Moreno
Louella C. Leon
Gay Kaplan
June Williams Keller
Richard Eijima
Robert Cobb
Rev. Eugene Lumpkin, Jr.
Emil De Guzman
Gail R. Nakatsu
Joanne M. O'Shea

*For identification only

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION X

Appointed and elected city officials of Chinese descent join with the leaders of the Chinese Community in supporting the construction of senior housing over the Broadway Tunnel. Moreover, all major social and benevolent organizations have united their support behind this development. Senior Housing projects are critically needed in the City as shown by a five year average waiting period.

A YES VOTE ON X IS CRITICAL.

Supervisor Thomas Hsieh
Alan S. Wong, Member, Community College Board
Benjamin Tom, Member, Board of Education
John Yehall Chin, Member, San Francisco Commission on Aging
Melvin D. Lee, San Francisco Redevelopment Commissioner
Joe Jung, Human Rights Commissioner
Clement Shek, Health Commissioner
William Chang, San Francisco Port Commissioner
George Sycip, Social Service Commissioner
Louis Hop Lee, Civil Service Commissioner
Violet L. Chu, Asian Art Commissioner
James Ho, Member, Parking Authority

Florence Fang, Small Business Advisory Commissioner
Shek Chiu, President,
Chinese Consolidated Benevolent Association*
Joe Chin, President, Chinese American Citizens Alliance*
Thomas T. Ng, President, Chinese Hospital*
Harold Wong, Treasurer, Russian Hill Neighbors*
Benny Y. Yee
Harrison Lim
Chester Chin
John Fang
Helen Hui
Thomas Gee
Gordon Wong
Raymond Cheng
Harry Wong
Tom Q. Woo
Gregory Fung
Martin C. Leung
Winchell Quock
Dennis Wong
Harold Fong
Thomas Der
Hanley Hoey
William L. Gee
Ming Dear
Keung Wong
Bill Chin
Arnold Chin
Tang Moon Lum
Harvey Wong
Steve Jeong

*For identification only

Apply for Your Absentee Ballot Early

Your application must be received at least
one week before election day.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.

Lease Referendum



ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION X

Long-standing plans to locate a new public park (with public parking underneath) above the Broadway Tunnel are threatened by this ordinance to lease this city-owned public right of way for \$1 a year to Pineview Housing Corporation for construction of a 70 unit, 6 story apartment building.

Despite an appeal signed by some 70% of the area's resident property owners, and objections filed by the North Beach and Russian Hill neighborhood organizations, politicians backing this building project have rammed through City Hall a rezoning of the site from moderate to high density, a special exception to the neighborhood's 40 foot residential height limit, an acceptance of only 1 parking space for every 5 units, and permission to construct a building in the middle of a public right-of-way.

This building will wall off the beautiful and historic Our Lady of Guadalupe Church, converting the broad, open space now in front of the church to a narrow, sunless alley.

Neighbors and church parishioners, while strenuously objecting to the building's proposed site, have sympathized with its purpose (senior housing), and so have developed architectural and feasibility studies for four alternative sites for the building, and even secured and made available to Pineview options on two of these sites.

Help us preserve this last opportunity to create a sizeable new public park serving Russian Hill, Chinatown, Nob Hill and North Beach communities. Your **NO** vote on Proposition X will help encourage city leaders to seriously pursue an alternative site for the Pineview Project.

FOR THE BROADWAY TUNNEL PARK COMMITTEE

Rose Chin

Steve Kendrick

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION X

The Broadway Tunnel site was selected for the Pineview Project in great haste after Chinatown's controversial Orangeland Project (of which Pineview was originally a part) was defeated.

To clear the way, the Recreation and Parks Department was ordered to abandon a feasibility study already underway for creation of a new public park above the Broadway Tunnel.

Neighbors and Guadalupe Church parishioners in large numbers immediately joined together to save the Broadway Tunnel Park, carrying forward with the development of detailed plans for a six-tiered park with grassy open areas, play structures and swings for children, a bocce ball court, a platform for practicing tai chi, a flower garden, tables for picnicking or games, as well as an area at the top with benches where citizens, including our elderly, can sit and enjoy the view of the Bay.

The park's design includes a public parking garage underneath to help alleviate the area's chronic parking shortage.

The majority of the cost of constructing the park and parking garage underneath would be paid by revenues from the parking garage. The Broadway Tunnel Park Committee has put together a program to raise the remainder of the funds required

from private donations. Thus both the public park and the public parking garage will be created at **NO EXPENSE TO THE CITY.**

Privately, many officials in City government recognize and admit that surrendering this irreplaceable park site to the construction of a six-story building in the middle of the public right-of-way would be an urban planning disaster. Yet those officials do not wish to commit political suicide by risking offense to San Francisco's powerful and well-organized housing lobby. Your **NO** vote will encourage City leaders to respond to reason rather than to political pressure. Your **NO** vote will provide City leaders with the opportunity to put forth a more sensible plan which can provide San Francisco *both* a new public park and increased public parking above the Broadway Tunnel *as well as* a more appropriately sited housing project.

FOR THE BROADWAY TUNNEL PARK COMMITTEE

Rose Chin

Steve Kendrick

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION X


WHY DESTROY AN HISTORICAL CALIFORNIA LANDMARK???

The controversial Broadway Tunnel housing project will do more than wreck the North Beach skyline and increase the already outrageous parking problems of an already densely populated area of San Francisco.

Local historians and the neighbors of the proposed housing development particularly hate the fact that the project will ob-

scure the Hispanic Community's beautiful Guadalupe Church, a jewel-like California historical site of great importance.

Robert Silvestri, 1974-1984 Technical Consultant,
Historical Properties Department, California Historical Society
Terence Faulkner, San Francisco Republican Party Chairman
Arlo Hale Smith, BART Director
Tom Spinosa, Accounting Consultant



Lease Referendum

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION X

Citizens upset with the City's sudden change of plans, turning over the public property atop the Broadway Tunnel to private development of senior housing rather than a public park, have been told by politicians backing Pineview that the neighborhood's longstanding need for additional recreation and open space would be "satisfied" by a plan to instead condemn by eminent domain the Cathay Mortuary on Powell Street. Any park, however, which might eventually be created on this small parcel on Powell Street would be *only one third the size* of the planned Broadway Tunnel Park and would not begin to fill the need for increased open space and recreational facilities in this most congested sector of the City.

Furthermore, it is unclear whether the City will succeed in its attempt to seize the Cathay Mortuary, since the family which has owned and operated the mortuary and provided traditional Chi-

nese funeral services for forty years is fighting in the courts to save their family business. It is possible that the City may be blocked or that the acquisition cost set by the court may become prohibitive. If this ultimately happens, while in the meantime the City has given away to Pineview the Broadway Tunnel Park site (which, unlike the Cathay site, is three times as large, is already City-owned and is already vacant) then Chinatown, Russian Hill, North Beach and Nob Hill — the densest sector of the City — will have permanently lost *any* chance to create additional park space, so badly needed to keep San Francisco livable for families, children and the elderly. Please help us preserve our neighborhoods' last opportunity to create a *sizable* new park. Please vote **NO** on Proposition X.

Calvin Li

TEXT OF PROPOSED ORDINANCE PROPOSITION X

AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF A 75-YEAR AGREEMENT FOR LEASING AIR RIGHTS AND GRANTING EASEMENTS OVER THE ROBERT C. LEVY TUNNEL AND LOT 42, WITH OPTION TO PURCHASE, BETWEEN CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION, AS LESSOR, AND PINEVIEW HOUSING CORPORATION, A CALIFORNIA NONPROFIT CORPORATION, AS LESSEE, FOR PURPOSES OF CONSTRUCTING LOW-INCOME ELDERLY AND HANDICAPPED FAMILY RENTAL HOUSING.

BE IT ORDAINED, by the People of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 1. The City and County of San Francisco owns air rights over the east portal of the Robert C. Levy Tunnel (the Housing Site) more particularly described in Exhibit A to the Agreement for Leasing of Air Rights and Granting Easements on file and incorporated herein (Agreement). The City and County of San Francisco also owns Lot 42 in Assessor's Block 158 located directly to the south of the Housing Site and more particularly described in Exhibit B to the Agreement and incorporated herein. (Lot 42)

Section 2. Pineview Housing Corporation, a non-profit corporation formed by Self-Help for the Elderly, Inc. proposes that the City and County of San Francisco lease to it the housing site, grant to it certain easements over Lot 42 (more particularly described in Exhibit D to the Agreement and incorporated herein), and grant to it certain easements through the east portal of the Robert C. Levy Tunnel (more particularly described in Exhibit E to the Agreement and incorporated herein) to be developed by Pineview Housing Corporation for Low-income and Elderly and Handicapped Family rental housing and a multi-purpose senior center with financing assistance from the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD).

Section 3. On May 26, 1987, the Board of Supervisors, by Resolution No. 392-87, adopted the final En-

vironmental Impact Report for the project, finding and determining that reclassification of the property from RM-2 to RM-4 and construction of the project would have no significant impact on the environment.

Section 4. The City and County of San Francisco has approved a rezoning of the site from RM-2 to RM-4 and a conditional use permit authorizing a structure exceeding forty feet in height in a residential district and a multi-purpose Senior Center in an RM-2 district proposed for an RM-4 district.

Section 5. The Planning Commission of the City and County of San Francisco has reported the project to be in conformity with the Master Plan.

Section 6. On May 26, 1987, the Board of Supervisors by Motion, made findings that the conditional use of the Housing Site is consistent with the Priority Policies set forth in Section 101.1 of the San Francisco City Planning Code. In addition, on June 1, 1987, the Board of Supervisors, by Ordinance No. 207-87 concurred with the determination of the City Planning Commission that the reclassification of the Housing Site is consistent with the Priority Policies set forth in Section 101.1 of the San Francisco City Planning Code. In adopting this Ordinance the Board of Supervisors hereby incorporates those findings herein.

Section 7. An appraisal prepared by the Department of Real Estate provides that the special costs required to develop the air rights for the proposed development of Low-income Elderly and Handicapped Family rental housing exceed the value a willing buyer would pay for a site to build the proposed development.

Section 8. On June 8, 1987, the Board of Supervisors, by Resolution No. 428-87, has authorized negotiation for the lease and sale of air rights and easements over the Robert C. Levy Tunnel and for easements over Lot 42 to facilitate the development of the Pineview Housing Corporation project.

Section 9. Notwithstanding Ordinance No. 412-59 providing that leases of surplus property shall not exceed a term of 50 years, this Agreement for Leasing

of Air Rights and Granting of Easements, between the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, as Lessor, and Pineview Housing Corporation, as Lessee, and any amendments thereto, is hereby approved for a term of 75 years.

Section 10. The Board hereby finds the execution of the 75 year Agreement for Leasing of Air Rights and Granting of Easements above and through the Robert C. Levy Tunnel and Lot 42 between the City and County of San Francisco, as Lessor, and the Pineview Housing Corporation, as Lessee, a copy of which is on file with the Clerk of this Board, and the lease of said air rights and granting of easements to the Pineview Housing Corporation, for \$1.00 per year for development in accordance with said Agreement is for a public purpose and will promote the general welfare of the community.

Section 11. The Mayor is hereby authorized and directed to execute said Agreement for Leasing Air Rights and Granting Easements and such other agreements as may be required for the development of the project.

Section 12. The Mayor is hereby authorized to execute any amendments necessary to said Agreement in consultation with the City Attorney.

Section 13. In accordance with the form of lease to this Agreement, a copy of which is on file with the Clerk of the Board, HUD will have an option to purchase the housing site.

Section 14. In accordance with said Agreement, the Mayor is hereby authorized and directed to execute, and the Director of Property shall deliver the Agreement for Leasing Air Rights and Granting Easements to the Pineview Housing Corporation for the parcels described in Exhibit A to the Agreement.

The agreement described in the ordinance's title and referred to in the ordinance is on file with the clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

POLL WORKERS NEEDED

Earn \$49 to \$58 (plus bonuses)!

Meet Your Neighbors!

Serve Your Community!

There is a shortage of poll workers in most San Francisco neighborhoods. Voters who are interested in this important work are encouraged to apply as soon as possible at the Registrar's Office at City Hall. If you apply while there is still a large selection of vacancies, **it is probable that you will be assigned to a poll in your own neighborhood.**

The Registrar is trying to build a permanent corps of polling officials, therefore **housewives and retired people**, as well as others who are interested in **community service** are particularly urged to apply.

The higher-paying and more responsible positions will be reserved for persons who **apply in person**. Others may mail in the application form provided below:

(The workday is from 6:30 a.m. to about 8:30 p.m., with breaks for lunch and dinner.)

APPLICATION TO SERVE AS ELECTION OFFICIAL

I want to work at the polls on Tuesday, Election Day. Please assign me to a polling place.

Name _____

Address _____ Apt. # _____

Telephone No. (required) _____

Do you have an automobile? yes ☐ no ☐

Availability:

I want to work in the following area(s): _____

Second choice locations (if any) _____

Signature _____

JAY PATTERSON
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
155 CITY HALL
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-4691
554-4399

BULK RATE
U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
San Francisco
Calif.
Permit No. 4

Third Class

**ADDRESS CORRECTION REQUESTED
RETURN POSTAGE GUARANTEED**

DO NOT FORWARD

LOCATION OF YOUR
POLLING PLACE

ACCESSIBLE
TO HANDICAPPED
YES OR NO

MAILING
ADDRESS

BALLOT TYPE

77

MUNICIPAL
RUN-OFF ELECTION
(17th Assembly District)

PRECINCTS
APPLICABLE:
4000's, 5000's
6000's

Application for Absentee Ballot
is printed at the top of the previous page.

If the person to whom this pamphlet is addressed no longer resides at this address, please draw a diagonal slash (/) through the address label and drop the pamphlet in the mailbox.

POLLS ARE OPEN FROM 7 AM to 8 PM

POLL WORKERS NEEDED

Election day workers are needed at the polls in most San Francisco Neighborhoods, Bilingual citizens are particularly encouraged to apply.

WHEELCHAIR ACCESSIBILITY:



The "yes" or "no" on the top line of your address label indicates whether or not your polling place is wheelchair accessible.

This evaluation takes into account architectural barriers only. Geographical barriers you may encounter enroute to the polls have not been considered.