

**PROPOSITIONS**  
together with  
**ARGUMENTS**  
and  
**STATEMENTS OF CONTROLLER**


Relating to Costs

to be voted on at

**DIRECT PRIMARY ELECTION AND  
PRESIDENTIAL PRIMARY ELECTION  
AND SPECIAL ELECTIONS**

to be held

**JUNE 7, 1960**



Attest

**Thos. A. Toomey**

Registrar of Voters

Published under provisions of Section 183 of the Charter  
of the City and County of San Francisco

**SPECIAL NOTE:**

In order to avoid congestion and possible delay at the polls on election day voters are urged to:

1. Use the polling place card enclosed herewith. Mark your choices for the various offices and propositions. **TAKE THE CARD WITH YOU TO THE POLLS** and you can complete your voting in less than **ONE MINUTE**.

2. Vote early, if possible.

**THOS. A. TOOMEY,**  
Registrar of Voters.

Permanent registration is maintained by **VOTING**.

**Voters who fail to vote this year will have their registration CANCELLED.**

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

D

\* 352.9

Sa52ep

# INDEX

Proposition	Page
A—M. H. de Young Museum Bonds, 1960, \$2,725,000 .....	4
B—Charter Amendment relating to Health Service System .....	10
C—Charter Amendment relating to Inspection of Civil Service Examination Papers.....	11
D—Charter Amendment relating to certain retired persons .....	14
E—Charter Amendment relating to Health Department .....	16
F—Ordinance amending initiative ordinance relating to refuse disposal and collection.....	20

(Requests for "Absent Voter's Ballot" must  
be received not later than June 2nd.)

## PROPOSITION A

**M. H. De Young Museum Bonds, 1960. To incur a bonded indebtedness in the sum of \$2,725,000 for the construction, reconstruction and enlargement of the De Young Museum in Golden Gate Park within the City and County of San Francisco.**

FILE NO. 82-60-2

ORD. NO. 96-60

**CALLING AND PROVIDING FOR A SPECIAL ELECTION TO BE HELD IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ON TUESDAY, JUNE 7, 1960, FOR THE PURPOSE OF SUBMITTING TO THE VOTERS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO A PROPOSITION TO INCUR A BONDED DEBT OF THE CITY AND COUNTY TO THE AMOUNT OF \$2,725,000 FOR THE ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION AND COMPLETION BY THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO OF THE FOLLOWING MUNICIPAL IMPROVEMENT, TO WIT: CONSTRUCTION, RECONSTRUCTION AND ENLARGEMENT OF THE M. H. DE YOUNG MEMORIAL MUSEUM, LOCATED IN GOLDEN GATE PARK, INCLUDING A LECTURE HALL WITHIN THE PREMISES, TOGETHER WITH FURNITURE, FIXTURES, DISPLAY CABINETS, EQUIPMENT, ELEVATORS, FIRE PROTECTION, PLUMBING, LIGHTING, POWER, ELECTRICAL WORK, HEATING AND AIR CONDITIONING SYSTEMS, AND ALL OTHER WORKS, PROPERTIES OR STRUCTURES NECESSARY OR CONVENIENT TO PROVIDE AREA FOR MUSEUM PURPOSES, AND THAT THE ESTIMATED COST TO THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAID MUNICIPAL IMPROVEMENT IS AND WILL BE TOO GREAT TO BE PAID OUT OF THE ORDINARY ANNUAL INCOME AND REVENUE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY AND WILL REQUIRE AN EXPENDITURE GREATER THAN THE AMOUNT ALLOWED THEREFOR BY THE ANNUAL TAX LEVY; ALL IN ORDER TO DO AND PERFORM ANY AND ALL OF THE MATTERS HEREINABOVE REFERRED TO FOR THE IMPROVEMENT OF THE DE YOUNG MUSEUM; FIXING RATE OF INTEREST OF SAID BONDS AND PROVIDING FOR THE LEVY AND COLLECTION OF TAXES TO PAY BOTH PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST THEREOF, PRESCRIBING NOTICE TO BE GIVEN OF SUCH ELECTION AND CONSOLIDATING THE SPECIAL ELECTION WITH THE PRESIDENTIAL PRIMARY ELECTION.**

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th day of June, 1960, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said city and county

a proposition to incur a bonded indebtedness of the City and County of San Francisco in the principal amount of \$2,725,000 for the acquisition, construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of the following municipal improvement, to wit: Construction, reconstruction and enlargement of the M. H. De Young Memorial Museum, located in Golden Gate Park, including a lecture hall within the premises, together with furniture, fixtures, display cabinets, equipment, elevators, fire protection, plumbing, lighting, power, electrical work, heating and air conditioning systems, and all other works, properties or structures necessary or convenient to provide area for museum purposes.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein was fixed by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 92-60, passed by more than two-thirds of said board, and approved by the Mayor in the sum of \$2,725,000, and such sum is, and was found by said resolution to be, too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the city and county, in addition to the other annual expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and will require an expenditure greater than the amount allowed therefor by the annual tax levy.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco in the principal amount specified in Section 1 hereof.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and in all particulars not herein recited said election shall be held according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the Presidential Primary Election to be held Tuesday, June 7, 1960, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said Presidential Primary Election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth, in the official publication, by the Registrar of Voters of precincts, polling places and election officers for the said Presidential Primary Election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said Presidential Primary Election and the reference is hereby made to the notice of election setting forth the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election by the Registrar of Voters for the Presidential Primary

Election to be published in the San Francisco Chronicle on or about May 20, 1960.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"M. H. De Young Museum Bonds, 1960. To incur a bonded indebtedness in the sum of \$2,725,000 for the construction, reconstruction and enlargement of the De Young Museum in Golden Gate Park within the City and County of San Francisco."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purposes stated herein, stamp a cross (x) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purposes stated herein, stamp a cross (x) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same shall comply in all respects with the provisions of law.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the voters voting on said proposition voted in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purposes set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the municipal improvement described herein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials," and shall bear interest at a rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 7. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest on said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy and in the manner for such general tax levy provided, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid, or until there is a sum in the Treasury of said city and county set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest on said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds as the same becomes due and also such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the proceeds of a tax levied at the time for making the next general tax levy can be made available for the payment of such principal.

Section 8. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in the San Francisco Examiner, a newspaper published daily

in the City and County of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said city and county, and such publication shall constitute notice of said election, and no other notice of the election hereby called need be given.

Approved as to form,  
DION HOLM, City Attorney

Passed for Second Reading — Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, February 29, 1960.

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Casey, Dobbs, Ertola, Halley, McMahon, Rolph, James J. Sullivan, Zirpoli.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed — Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, March 7, 1960.

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Casey, Dobbs, Ertola, Ferdon, McMahon, Rolph, J. Joseph Sullivan, James J. Sullivan.

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

Approved March 8, 1960.

HAROLD S. DOBBS, Acting Mayor

### ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION "A"

Your "Yes" vote on Proposition A will make it possible for the people of San Francisco to receive and enjoy one of the greatest gifts of all time.

Seldom has any city had the golden opportunity to acquire a treasure of such immense cultural importance and world renown as the Brundage art collection.

Mr. Avery Brundage, widely known as chairman of the International Olympic Committee, has offered as a gift to our city his 6,830 piece collection of oriental and world art which took 30 years to acquire. Currently appraised at 18 million dollars, the collection is recognized as the largest and most valuable aggregation of its kind now available in the world.

Mr. Brundage's only stipulation was that suitable facilities be provided to house this great treasure in the de Young Museum in Golden Gate Park, where it will be on permanent display for the public's enjoyment.

San Francisco officials and Mr. Brundage have jointly approved a plan to provide three floors of galleries and storage space, by remodeling the west wing of the museum. The architect's plans have been carefully designed so as not to disturb the symmetry of the de Young Museum building as a whole.

Fittingly, the location of the de Young Museum wing will be immediately adjacent to the beautiful, world famed Japanese Gardens—one of

the city's attractions that led Mr. Brundage to offer the collection to San Francisco.

A bond issue in the amount of \$2,725,000 to finance this construction has been designated as Proposition A on the June 7 ballot.

When it became known that Mr. Brundage was planning to donate this fabulous collection of world treasure to an American city, the museums of Los Angeles, Seattle, Honolulu, Chicago and other large cities sought to obtain it. It was San Francisco's great good fortune, as the historic gateway to the Orient, to be chosen.

San Franciscans from every walk of life—men and women, in every district, downtown and uptown—have been enthusiastically working in support of Proposition A because they recognize it as a great bargain and a matchless opportunity for our city.

The cost of housing this remarkable gift is roughly only about one-sixth of its current appraised value. But the Brundage collection's real value cannot be measured in money alone. It is a priceless educational treasure, which will provide enriched learning experiences for San Francisco's school children.

It will be of very real value, too, as a tourist attraction and like all tourist and cultural attractions will help keep San Francisco the vital, prosperous hub of the Bay Area.

The great Brundage art collection—avidly desired by the museums of many other cities—is a gift we cannot afford to turn down. Seldom before has a city been offered so much for so little. It is one of the great gifts of all time—and it is ours for the voting.

All San Francisco is united in saying: Vote "Yes" on A.

This Argument is sponsored by San Franciscans United for Proposition A:

Co-chairmen: Claude Jinkerson, President, San Francisco Labor Council; Dan E. London, President, San Francisco Chamber of Commerce; Harold Spears, San Francisco Superintendent of Schools.

Members of the Committee supporting Proposition A include: Mayor George Christopher, Governor Edmund G. Brown, Assessor Russell L. Wolden, Congressman William S. Mailliard, Congressman John F. Shelley, State Senator J. Eugene McAteer, Assemblyman Phillip Burton, Assemblyman John A. O'Connell, Assemblyman Edward M. Gaffney, Assemblyman Milton Marks, Assemblyman Charles W. Meyers, Assemblyman John A. Busterud, Paul A. Bissinger, Mrs. Allan E. Charles, Christian de Guigne, Mrs. Alfred B. Edwards, Mrs. Morse Erskine, R. G. Follis, Mrs. Lawrence W. Harris, Mrs. Robbins Milbank, Mrs. Paige Monteagle, Mrs. A. Boyd Pucinelli, Mrs. Carl W. Stern, Harold L. Zellerbach.

On April 11, 1960, the Board of Supervisors authorized the foregoing argument for inclusion in the election pamphlet for June 7, 1960, by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Casey, Dobbs, Ertola, Ferdon, Halley, McMahon, Rolph, James J. Sullivan, Zirpoli.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk



# CONTROLLER'S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 183 PROPOSITION "A"

M. H. de Young Memorial Museum Bonds, 1960. To Incur a Bonded Debt In the Sum of \$2,725,000 for the Construction, Reconstruction and Enlargement of the M. H. De Young Memorial Museum Located In Golden Gate Park, City and County of San Francisco.

Should the proposed \$2,725,000 M. H. de Young Memorial Museum Bonds, 1960, be authorized, and when all bonds shall have been issued on a 15-year basis, and after consideration of the interest rates related to current municipal bond sales, and after evaluation of estimated additional operation and maintenance expenses at current price levels contained in a report submitted by the M. H. de Young Memorial Museum Trustees, and using the 1959-1960 assessment roll as the basis for calculating the effect upon the tax rate, in my opinion, the approximate costs and effect on the tax rate would be as follows:

Bond redemption .....	\$ 2,725,000
Interest requirement .....	763,000
Total debt service requirement .....	<u>\$ 3,488,000</u>

Average annual debt service requirement .....	\$ 232,533
Additional annual operation and maintenance costs .....	137,531
Total .....	<u>\$ 370,064</u>

Non-recurring cost attendant to receipt of the Brundage Collection .....	<u>\$ 63,096</u>
---	------------------

\$232,533 is equivalent, in the tax rate, to one and seven tenths cents .....	(\$0.017)
137,531 is equivalent, in the tax rate, to one cent .....	<u>(\$0.010)</u>

\$370,064 is equivalent, in the tax rate, to two and seven tenths cents .....	<u>(\$0.027)</u>
--	------------------

\$ 63,096 is equivalent, in the tax rate, to five tenths of one cent .....	<u>(\$0.005)</u>
---	------------------

HARRY D. ROSS, Controller  
City and County of San Francisco

## PROPOSITION B

**Amends Section 172.1.7 relating to the health service system. Repeals retirement board's duty to review health service system service rates of compensation and proposed contracts for such service.**

### CHARTER AMENDMENT

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the charter of said city and county by amending Section 172.1.7 thereof, relating to the Health Service System.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county at an election to be held therein on June 7, 1960, a proposal to amend the charter of said city and county by amending Section 172.1.7 thereof, so that the same shall read as follows:

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are indicated by bold-face type; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Section 172.1.7. No member of the system shall be required to accept the services or medical supplies of any physician (physician includes physicians and surgeons, optometrists, dentists, chiroprodists and osteopathic and chiropractic practitioners licensed by California State Law and within the scope of their practice as defined by California State Law), person licensed to treat human diseases without the use of drugs, nurse, pharmacist or hospital selected by the health service board, but, subject to rules and regulations of that board, every member shall have the right to select, of his own choice, any duly licensed physician, as defined herein, person licensed to treat human diseases without the use of drugs, nurse, pharmacist, hospital or other agency of medical care as herein defined, who or which will render the required services pursuant to said rules and regulations, and the health service board shall make provision for the exercise of such choice; and is hereby expressly prohibited from entering into any exclusive contract for the rendering of said services.

Any duly licensed physician, as defined herein, person licensed to treat human diseases without the use of drugs, nurse, pharmacist, hospital or other agency of medical care shall have the right to furnish such services or medical supplies at uniform rates of compensation to be fixed by the health service board.

((Such rates of compensation and any and all proposed contracts respecting the rendering of such services shall be reviewed by the retirement board of the city and county and shall not become effective unless and

until approved by the retirement board. The retirement board may approve, refer to the health service board for further consideration, or disapprove any matter or proposal which is within its jurisdiction under the provisions of this section, and it shall act within sixty (60) days after any matter has been submitted to it, and failure of the retirement board to approve, refer or disapprove the same within said period shall constitute an approval.)

Ordered submitted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, November 23, 1959.

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Casey, Dobbs, Ertola, Ferdon, Halley, McMahon, Rolph, J. Joseph Sullivan, James J. Sullivan, Zirpoli.

I hereby certify that the foregoing charter amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

### PROPOSITION C

**Amends Section 147.1 relating to inspection of civil service examination papers. Provides method of reviewing answers and questions by participants and inspection of papers by public. Restricts copying.**

### CHARTER AMENDMENT

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the charter of said city and county by amending Section 147.1 thereof, relating to inspection of civil service examination papers.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county at an election to be held therein on June 7, 1960, a proposal to amend the charter of said city and county by amending Section 147.1 thereof, so that the same shall read as follows:

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are indicated by bold-face type; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

#### Inspection of Civil Service Examination Papers

Section 147.1. After the written portion of a civil service examination has been held, and prior to the scoring thereof, the questions used and the answers thereto shall be made available for review by the participants. During the review period, participants shall have an opportunity to protest questions or answers they believe to be incorrect or improper, and for this purpose shall be permitted to bring to the place of review such written authorities as they may desire to assist them in the preparation of their protests. The written portion of the examination shall not be scored until all protested items have been acted on by the civil service commission and an official rating key has been adopted. After the official rating key has

been adopted, the examination papers have been scored and the identification sheets of the participants have been opened so that the identity of the participant is known, the civil service commission shall not make any changes in the examination questions or answers.

After the civil service commission has prepared and published or posted a tentative list of eligibles, arranged in order of relative excellence, as the result of any examination held by said commission, ((said list shall not be finally approved for two weeks after the date of the publication or posting of said list, during which time)) all examination papers, questions and answers, and all marks and grades given on any test given in said examination shall be open to public inspection ((and copying by any citizen, providing)), provided that the identity of the examiner giving any mark or grade in an oral test shall not be disclosed; and provided further that the commission may require the payment of a fee of not more than one dollar (\$1) for the inspection of all of the papers relating to the examination of any one person participating in said examination; and provided further that a participant may examine his own examination papers without charge. The civil service commission shall have power to correct any error which in its judgment may have occurred in the rating of any participant in said examination, and to alter said published or posted tentative list of eligibles and to make changes accordingly therein. ((which in the opinion of said commission may be justified by any re-examination of said papers, questions, answers, marks or grades given in said examination; provided that said changes shall be made within a period of not more than sixty (60) days after the date of the publication or posting of said list.)) The civil service commission shall provide for a reasonable period of time for such inspection, but not less than three (3) working days for entrance examinations nor less than five (5) working days for promotive examinations nor more than two (2) calendar weeks for either entrance or promotive examinations. If no protests are received during the time limits provided by the civil service commission for such inspection, the tentative list of eligibles shall be given immediate final approval and adoption. If any protests are filed within the inspection period provided by the civil service commission, the investigation and action on such protests shall be expedited to the end that final approval and adoption of the eligible list may be made at the earliest possible time, provided that in no event shall such final approval and adoption be delayed beyond sixty (60) days after the date of publication or posting of the tentative list of eligibles.

The civil service commission by rule shall establish procedures for the review of written tests and the inspection of examination papers, as herein provided, for the maintenance of the security of examination material and for the protection of the public interest.

Ordered submitted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, March 28, 1960.

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Casey, Dobbs, Ertola, Ferdon, Halley, J. Joseph Sullivan, James J. Sullivan, Zirpoli.

I hereby certify that the foregoing charter amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

## ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION "C"

### Vote "Yes" on Proposition "C"

This charter amendment has been presented by the Civil Service Commission and has been approved for inclusion on the ballot by the Board of Supervisors. There is no known opposition to this amendment. A number of city employee organizations have endorsed this amendment. These organizations include the Civil Service Association, the Federation of Municipal Employees, the Building Service Employees Union-San Francisco City and County Employees Union Local 400 AFL-CIO, Coordinating Council San Francisco Policemen and Firemen, Carmen's Union, Local 1380, A.F.L., and the San Francisco Municipal Park Employees Union.

This amendment makes several necessary and important corrections in the present language of Section 147.1 of the Charter.

(1) It will guarantee the right of civil service examination participants to inspect the questions and answers given in a written examination prior to the rating of the papers, and to protest any questions or answers that they may feel are improper or incorrect.

(2) It will specifically prohibit any possibility of manipulation of eligible lists after the candidates have been identified.

(3) It will permit the Civil Service Commission to prepare better examination material at reduced cost per examination.

(4) It will permit the Civil Service Commission to refine and improve the quality of civil service examinations and thus select better personnel for the city and county service.

(5) It will permit the Civil Service Commission to police the inspection of examination material and to prohibit the indiscriminate copying of questions and answers.

(6) It will continue the right of citizens to inspect civil service examinations after they have been held and the right of examination participants to check each others examination papers.

(7) It will permit the Civil Service Commission to use examinations prepared by other agencies and experts in specialized fields, and to thus improve the quality of examinations.

(8) It will permit the Civil Service Commission to speed up the examination work and to appoint qualified persons earlier than is now possible to positions required to carry on good public service. It will require that eligible lists must be adopted within a reasonable period of time. Any lessening of the time to produce an eligible list is important because it will minimize the possibility of political non-civil service appointees being continued in employment because of undue delay in adopting eligible lists.

The approval of this charter amendment is a vital step in the present program of improvement of civil service administration in the City and County of San Francisco.

On April 11, 1960, the Board of Supervisors authorized the foregoing argument for inclusion in the election pamphlet for June 7, 1960, by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Casey, Dobbs, Ertola, Ferdon, Halley, McMahon, Rolph, James J. Sullivan, Zirpoli.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

## PROPOSITION D

**Adds Section 161.1.5 relating to certain retired persons. Provides for increases or decreases based upon changes in maximum allowance of Federal Old Age Insurance benefit payment.**

### CHARTER AMENDMENT

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the charter of said city and county by adding section 165.1.5 thereto, to provide for an increase (or decrease) in retirement allowances to persons retired as members under charter section 165 based on future increases or decreases in the maximum Old-Age Insurance benefit, payable to persons who were qualified prior to October 16, 1959, for such Old-Age benefit, under the Old-Age Survivors and Disability Insurance provisions of the Federal Social Security Act.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county at an election to be held therein on June 7, 1960, a proposal to amend the charter of said city and county by adding section 165.1.5 thereto, reading as follows:

**Section 165.1.5.** Subject to the other paragraph of this section, every allowance payable by the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System to or on account of a person retired as a member of said system under section 165 and whose retirement allowance was effective prior to October 16, 1959, shall be increased or decreased by an amount equal to the amount of any increase or decrease in the maximum Old-Age Insurance benefit payable to persons who were qualified prior to October 16, 1959, for such Old-Age benefit under the Old-Age Survivors and Disability Insurance provisions of the Federal Social Security Act, which becomes effective after the effective date of this section, hereby designated as the first day of the month next following its ratification by the legislature, provided, however, that no such allowance shall be decreased under the provisions of this section to an amount less than the amount of such allowance as it was prior to the effective date of this section. Such increases or decreases in allowances shall become effective on the effective dates of any increases or decreases in said maximum Old-Age Insurance benefit.

Not later than the last Monday of July of each year, the board of supervisors shall have and it shall be its duty, subject to the fiscal provisions of the charter but, without reference or amendment to the annual budget, to amend the annual appropriation ordinance to include the provisions necessary for paying to the retirement system the contributions required of the city and county on account of the increases in monthly allowances provided in this section for the then current fiscal year, which shall not be less than the amount disbursed during that year on account of such increases.

Ordered submitted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, April 4, 1960.

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Casey, Dobbs, Ertola, Ferdon, Halley, McMahon, Rolph, J. Joseph Sullivan, James J. Sullivan, Zirpoli.



I hereby certify that the foregoing charter amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

### **ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION "D"**

#### **Vote "No" on Proposition "D". Another Patchwork Pension Proposal**

Voters should reject Proposition "D" which is another attempt to freeze into the city charter pension increases of unknown amounts for retired employees. Members of the group that would benefit from these increases have already had one, two and three \$25 increases per month in their pensions—all at taxpayer expense.

The cost of Proposition "D" is unknown and entirely out of the control of the city authorities or the taxpayers. Any increases would be automatic following increases made in Social Security by Congress. No one can say how much Congress will increase Social Security in the future. If the experience of the past ten years is repeated, the retirement actuary's report shows that Proposition "D" could cost the taxpayers \$366,000 a year.

Although Proposition "D" is presented as a proposal to relieve hardship among city pensioners, it is not limited to hardship cases. It applies to all the retired employees. A person getting a \$300 pension would receive as large an increase as one drawing \$100 a month. Generally those getting the lowest pensions worked for the city less than 20 years—the city is not obligated to keep making increases for these short-term employees. There are thousands of persons retired from private employment who receive little or no pensions or fixed annuities with no further increases.

Vote "No" on Proposition "D." Don't add an unknown cost to the city pension system which is costing you, the taxpayer, \$14,281,507 this year. The voters are asked to sign a blank check and continue to sign blank checks into the future with no idea of the amounts.

This argument is sponsored by The San Francisco Municipal Conference, composed of representatives of the following organizations:

Apartment House Associations  
Consolidated, Inc.  
Building Owners and Managers  
Association  
California Northern Hotel Assn.

Down Town Association  
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce  
S. F. Junior Chamber of Commerce  
San Francisco Real Estate Board

ARTHUR E. WILKENS, Chairman

### **CONTROLLER'S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 183 PROPOSITION "D"**

Charter Amendment, Adding Section 165.1.5 to Provide for an Increase (or Decrease) In Retirement Allowances to Persons Retired As Members Under Charter Section 165 Based On Future Increases or Decreases In the Maximum Old-Age Insurance Benefit, Payable to Persons Who Were Qualified Prior to October 16, 1959, for Such Old-Age Benefit, Under the Old-Age Survivors and Disability Insurance Provisions of the Federal Social Security Act.

Proposition "D" provides that the retirement allowances payable to or on account of certain former employees (981 in number) of the City and

County of San Francisco and the San Francisco Unified School District, whose retirement allowance was effective prior to October 16, 1959, shall have their retirement allowance increased or decreased by an amount equal to future increases or decreases in the maximum Old-Age Insurance benefit payable under the Federal Social Security Act to persons who are already receiving Social Security benefits. There shall be no decrease which would reduce the allowance below the present allowance currently payable.

In my opinion, there is no way of predicting future increases and decreases in the maximum Old-Age Insurance benefit; however, should Proposition "D" be enacted, and based upon the present recipients of retirement allowances affected thereby, and based upon a report by the Employees' Retirement System, it is estimated that the cost of government of the City and County of San Francisco, including the San Francisco Unified School District, would increase \$11,772 annually for each \$1.00 increase in the maximum Old-Age Insurance benefit. Based on the 1959-1960 assessment roll, \$11,772 is equivalent to nine one hundredths of one cent (\$0.0009) in the tax rate.

The persons affected by this proposition are presently retired people or their beneficiaries, all of whom are of advanced ages and upon the death of each such person, his retirement allowance, increased if at all, because of enactment of this proposition, will terminate.

HARRY D. ROSS, Controller  
City and County of San Francisco

## PROPOSITION E

**Amends Section 61 relating to health department. Provides method for appointing to exempt position an assistant director of public health, hospital services. Specifies qualifications. Repeals obsolete language.**

## CHARTER AMENDMENT

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the charter of said city and county by amending Section 61 thereof, relating to the appointment of an assistant director of public health in charge of institutions:

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county at an election to be held therein on June 7, 1960, a proposal to amend the charter of said city and county by amending Section 61 thereof, so that the same shall read as follows:

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are indicated by bold-face type; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Administrative Departments Under Chief Administrative Officer

Section 61. From and after twelve o'clock noon on the 8th day of January, 1932, the functions, activities and affairs of the city and county that are hereby placed under the direction of the chief administrative officer by the provisions of this charter, and the powers and duties of officers



and employees charged with specific jurisdiction thereof, shall, subject to the provisions of section 2 and section 20 of this charter, be allocated by the chief administrative officer, among the following departments:

Department of Finance and Records, which shall include the functions and personnel of the offices of tax collector, registrar of voters, recorder, county clerk and public administrator, and shall be administered by a director of finance and records who shall be appointed by the chief administrative officer and hold office at his pleasure. The public administrator shall appoint and at his pleasure may remove an attorney ((who shall be paid an annual salary of eight thousand dollars (\$8,000) )). He may also appoint such assistant attorneys as may be provided by the budget and annual appropriation ordinance.

Purchasing Department, which shall include the functions and personnel of the bureau of supplies, the operation of central stores and warehouses, and the operation of central garages and shops, and shall be administered by the purchaser of supplies who shall be appointed by the chief administrative officer and shall hold office at his pleasure.

Real Estate Department, which shall include the functions and personnel of the office of the right-of-way agent as established in the bureau of engineering at the time this charter shall go into effect, and also the control, management and leasing of the exposition auditorium.

Department of Public Works, which shall include the functions and personnel of the department of public works, as established at the time this charter shall go into effect, with the exception of functions and personnel which are established by this charter under the management, direction and control of the public utilities commission, and which department shall also include the functions and personnel of the telephone exchange. This department shall be administered by the director of public works, who shall be appointed by the chief administrative officer and shall hold office at his pleasure.

Department of Electricity, which shall include the functions and personnel of the department of electricity as established at the time this charter shall go into effect. The department shall be administered by a chief of department who shall, from and after twelve o'clock noon on the 8th day of January, 1932, have the powers and duties of the joint board of fire and police commissioners composing the joint commission in charge of the department of electricity, at which time the joint commission shall be abolished.

The premises of any person, firm or corporation may, for the purpose of police or fire protection, be connected with the police or fire signal or telephone system of the city and county upon paying a fair compensation for such connection and the use of the same, provided that any such connection shall require the approval of the chief of the department of electricity and shall not in any way overload or interfere with the proper and efficient operation of the circuit to which it is connected. The conditions upon which such connection shall be made and the compensation to be paid therefor shall be fixed by the board of supervisors by ordinance upon the recommendation of the chief of the department.

Department of Public Health, which shall include the functions, institutions and personnel of the department of public health as existing at the

time this charter shall go into effect. Said department shall be administered by a director of health, who shall be a regularly licensed physician or surgeon in the State of California, with not less than ten years' practice in his profession immediately preceding his appointment thereto. He shall be appointed by the chief administrative officer and shall hold office at his pleasure, provided that the incumbent health officer at the time this charter shall go into effect shall be deemed appointed to such office. The director of public health shall have and continue the powers and duties of the health officer and the board of health, from and after twelve o'clock noon on the 8th day of January, 1932, at which time the terms of members of said board shall terminate, and such board as theretofore existing shall be abolished.

The chief administrative officer shall have power to appoint and to remove an assistant director of public health for hospital services, who shall be responsible for the administrative and business management of the institutions of the department of public health, including, but not limited to, the San Francisco General Hospital, Laguna Honda Home, Hassler Health Home and the Emergency Hospital Service, and who shall be exempt from the civil service provisions of the charter. The position of assistant director of public health for hospital services shall be held only by a person who possesses the educational and administrative qualifications and experience necessary to manage the institutions of the department of public health.

Health Advisory Board. There is hereby created a health advisory board of seven members, three of whom shall be physicians and one a dentist, all regularly certificated. Members of the board shall serve without compensation. They shall be appointed by the chief administrative officer for terms of four years; provided, however, that those first appointed shall classify themselves by lot so that the terms of one physician and one lay member shall expire in 1933, 1934 and 1935, respectively, and the term of one member in 1936.

Such board shall consider and report on problems and matters under the jurisdiction of the department of public health and shall consult, advise with and make recommendations to the director of health relative to the functions and affairs of the department. The recommendations of such board shall be made in writing to the director of health and to the chief administrative officer.

Coroner's Office, which shall include the functions and personnel of the existing office of coroner as established at the time this charter shall go into effect.

County Agricultural Department, which shall be administered by a county agricultural commissioner and shall include functions established by state law and those assigned to it by or in accordance with provisions of this charter.

Department of Weights and Measures, which shall include the functions and personnel of the office of sealer of weights and measures as established at the time this charter shall go into effect.

Ordered submitted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, April 4, 1960.

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Casey, Dobbs, Ertola, Ferdon, Halley, McMahon, Rolph, J. Joseph Sullivan, James J. Sullivan, Zirpoli.

I hereby certify that the foregoing charter amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

## **ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION "E"**

### **Vote Yes For Proposition "E"**

#### **To insure good management of the City's hospital system**

This charter amendment will enable the Chief Administrative Officer to appoint, and remove if necessary, an Assistant Director of Public Health for Hospital Services. He will be a professional hospital administrator.

### **Vote Yes For Proposition "E"**

The charter amendment requires that this Assistant Director have the educational and administrative qualifications and experience to manage San Francisco General Hospital, Laguna Honda Home, Hassler Health Home and the Emergency Hospital System. These institutions have over 2,300 employees and cost \$14,000,000 a year to operate. They require expert management.

### **Vote Yes For Proposition "E"**

Professional hospital administrators are in great demand. Hospitals compete for their services. This charter amendment will enable the Chief Administrative Officer to seek a hospital administrator of proven ability. The Chief Administrative Officer will be able to go out and find the person best qualified for this job and employ him without delay.

### **Vote Yes For Proposition "E"**

Obviously, it takes a specialist to run the fast-paced and complex hospital system of our City and County. The administrator of our hospital system has to be a top-notch executive. In his over-all responsibility, he is the coordinator of professional and non-professional people. He has to be a capable planner and he must be adept in handling complicated problems in providing effective and economical medical services. Such a man can best be found, and obtained, by the direct hiring method.

### **Vote Yes For Proposition "E"**

And once hired, his performance can best be assured by having his tenure subject to the judgment of the Chief Administrative Officer. Many of our top City and County administrative positions have this kind of tenure. And in many of our Nation's best hospital systems the tenure of the hospital administrator is dependent upon his performance.

### **Vote Yes For Proposition "E"**

Hospital administration is a field undergoing constant improvement. Capable administration of San Francisco's hospital system benefits the patient and keeps hospital costs to the minimum consistent with good care.

### **Vote Yes For Proposition "E"**

A vote for Proposition "E" is a vote for good hospital administration. The following, among many others, urge you to vote YES on Proposition "E":

GEORGE CHRISTOPHER, Mayor

SHERMAN P. DUCKEL, Chief Administrative  
Officer

HEALTH ADVISORY BOARD, Charles A. Noble,  
Jr., M.D., Chairman

On April 11, 1960, the Board of Supervisors authorized the foregoing argument for inclusion in the election pamphlet for June 7, 1960, by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Casey, Dobbs, Ertola, Ferdon, Halley, McMahon, Rolph, James J. Sullivan, Zirpoli.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

## CONTROLLER'S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 183 PROPOSITION "E"

**Charter Amendment, Amending Section 61 thereof Relating to the Appointment of an Assistant Director of Public Health In Charge of Institutions.**

Should Proposition "E" be enacted, a position of Assistant Director of Public Health for hospital services would be created. Based on information furnished by the Chief Administrative Officer, it is estimated that if Proposition "E" is enacted, the cost of government of the City and County will increase by \$22,100 annually. Based on the 1959-1960 assessment roll, \$22,100 is equivalent to two tenths of one cent (\$0.002) in the tax rate.

HARRY D. ROSS, Controller  
City and County of San Francisco

## PROPOSITION F

**Amends and repeals various sections of initiative ordinance No. 17.083. Makes rates for disposal of garbage subject to San Francisco rate board. Redefines refuse. Makes no change in existing rates.**

## ORDERING SUBMISSION OF PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO INITIATIVE ORDINANCE REGULATING REFUSE COLLECTION AND DISPOSAL

The Board of Supervisors hereby orders submitted to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, at an election to be held therein on June 7, 1960, an ordinance amending the initiative ordinance adopted at an election held in said City and County November 8, 1932, entitled, "Providing for the Collection and Disposition of Refuse in the City and County of San Francisco; Providing for the Licensing of Refuse Collectors by the Director of Public Health; Fixing the Maximum Rates or Charges for the Collection of Refuse by Licensed Refuse Collectors from Homes, Apartment Houses, Stores, etc.; Dividing the City and County of San Francisco into Collection Routes; Providing Penalties for the Violation of the Provisions of this Ordinance," by amending Sections 1, 5 and 7 of said ordinance, as follows:

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are indicated by bold-face type; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

## ORDINANCE NO. 52-60

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE REFUSE DISPOSAL AND COLLECTION ORDINANCE (ORDINANCE NO. 17.083, ADOPTED BY THE

VOTERS AT AN ELECTION HELD NOVEMBER 8, 1932) BY AMENDING SECTIONS 1, 5 AND 7 OF SAID ORDINANCE, PROVIDING FOR METHOD OF DISPOSAL OF REFUSE, CHARGES THEREFOR AND OTHER REGULATIONS RELATING THERETO.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Sections 1, 5 and 7 of the ordinance cited in the title hereof are amended to read as follows:

Section 1. The term "refuse" as used in this ordinance shall be taken to mean all waste and discarded materials from dwelling places, households, apartment houses, stores, office buildings, restaurants, hotels, institutions and all commercial establishments, including waste or discarded food, animal and vegetable matter from all kitchens thereof, waste paper, cans, glass, ashes, and boxes and cuttings from trees, lawns and gardens. Refuse as used herein does not include debris and waste construction materials, including wood, brick, plaster, glass, cement, wire and other ferrous materials, derived from the construction of or the partial or total demolition of buildings or other structures.

((Section 5. All refuse collected by any refuse collector shall be incinerated at the San Francisco incinerator, located in the block bounded by Alameda, Fifteenth, Rhode Island and DeHaro streets, or at some other incinerator, hereafter designated by the board of supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, or shall be disposed of by any other method designated by the board of supervisors, except dumping at sea. It is provided, however, that metals may be melted at places other than at said incinerator.

That said incinerator shall be placed under the control of some person, firm or corporation designated by the board of supervisors and said person, firm or corporation, shall incinerate in said incinerator all refuse received, as herein provided, or the Board of Supervisors may provide for the disposition of refuse by any other means, except dumping at sea, as provided by law or ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco, and said person, firm or corporation incinerating, or otherwise disposing of said refuse as herein provided, may charge the refuse collector for such disposition, a charge may be agreed upon between the refuse collector and said person, firm or corporation for so disposing of said refuse. Provided, however, that if such charge cannot be agreed upon, such charge shall be fixed and determined by the Board of Supervisors, but in no event shall the charge exceed the maximum of one dollar and fifty cents per ton. Should any dispute arise between the refuse collector and the person, firm or corporation having control of said incinerator, or other disposition of refuse, as provided for by law, concerning the amount of such charge, pending the determination thereof by the Board of Supervisors as herein provided, the person, firm or corporation having charge and control of said incinerator or other disposition of said refuse, shall continue such disposition until the matter of such charge will have been determined by the Board of Supervisors, and upon such determination, the refuse collector shall pay to the said person, firm or corporation the amount fixed by said person, firm or corporation in charge of said incinerator for all refuse incinerated or otherwise disposed of pending said dispute.))

Section 5. Refuse collected by refuse collectors shall be disposed of by such persons, firms or corporations and in such manner or by such method

or methods as from time to time designated by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Until and unless changed in the manner herein provided the maximum rate or charge for the disposal of refuse to be charged the refuse collector by any person, firm or corporation authorized by the Board of Supervisors to dispose of refuse shall be \$1.50 per ton. Such rate or charge may, from time to time, be adjusted in the same manner, and in accordance with the same procedures, as is provided for the adjustment of rates and charges for the collection of refuse in section 6 (a) of this ordinance.

Section 7. It shall be unlawful for any refuse disposer or refuse collector to charge a greater rate for the disposal of refuse or for the collection and disposition of refuse than that fixed in, or pursuant to, Sections 5 and 6 (a) of this ordinance.

Nothing herein contained shall be taken or construed as preventing a refuse disposer or a refuse collector from charging a lesser rate or charge for the disposal of refuse or for the collection and disposition of refuse than that fixed in, or pursuant to, Sections 5 and 6 (a) of this ordinance.

Ordered submitted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, February 8, 1960.

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Casey, Dobbs, Ertola, Ferdon, Halley, McMahon, Rolph, J. Joseph Sullivan, James J. Sullivan.

I hereby certify that the foregoing initiative ordinance was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

### ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION "F"

Proposition "F" is a long overdue and simple amendment to bring procedures for controlling garbage disposal rates paid by the two scavenger companies to the fill and cover company for refuse disposal into conformity with the existing, workable ordinance controlling garbage collection rates paid by San Francisco householders.

Proposition "F" only affects disposal rate setting. It does not affect collection rate setting procedures adopted by the public in 1954.

Proposition "F" does not increase disposal rates.

This proposal merely provides that any future changes in disposal rates, up or down, must be approved by the existing public Rate Board which already establishes collection rates through public hearings for the protection of San Francisco householders.

San Francisco is entitled to the same protection in setting disposal rates as it now demands in the establishment of collection rates.

Proposition "F" has the unanimous approval of the Board of Supervisors.

A "yes" vote on Proposition "F" to bring garbage disposal rates under the public Rate Board is every San Franciscan's complete guarantee of

the lowest possible disposal rates and the most efficient service.

Make a good system better, vote "yes" on Proposition "F."

This argument is sponsored by the San Francisco Citizens Committee for Garbage Disposal Control,

J. R. KLAWANS, Chairman

On April 11, 1960, the Board of Supervisors authorized the foregoing argument for inclusion in the election pamphlet for June 7, 1960, by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Casey, Dobbs, Ertola, Ferdon, Halley, McMahon, Rolph, James J. Sullivan, Zirpoli.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk



21 SAMPLE BALLOT


DIRECT PRIMARY ELECTION AND PRESIDENTIAL

Vote Early  
Polls Open  
From 7 A.M.  
to 8 P.M.

The Voting Machine Will  
Record Votes ONLY Where  
The Pointers Are Left DOWN.



DIRECTIONS  
FOR VOTING



- 1. MOVE RED HANDLE LEVER of VOTING MACHINE to the RIGHT as far LEAVE IT THERE.
- 2. To vote for the group of candidates preferring a person for Presidential Nomir appears on the BALLOT LABEL CARD, pull down THE POINTER OVER SUCH PERSON and LEAVE IT DOWN. By pulling down such pointer, a vote for each candidate of group preferring such person.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----

 <b>YES</b>	<b>STATE PROPOSITION 1</b>	 <b>NO</b>	 <b>YES</b>	<b>STATE PROPOSITION 2</b>	 <b>NO</b>	 <b>YES</b>	<b>STATE PROPOSITION 3</b>	 <b>NO</b>	 <b>YES</b>	<b>CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION A</b>	 <b>NO</b>
VETERANS FARM AND HOME BONDS. Authorizes \$400,000,000 State bond issue for Department of Veterans Affairs in assisting California war veterans to acquire farms and homes.			SCHOOL BONDS. Authorizes \$300,000,000 State bond issue for loans and grants to school districts for school buildings, sites, furniture and equipment.			STATE INDEBTEDNESS. Authorizes vote on State bond issues at primary election if directed by Legislature. Gives legislative members on State Allocation Board equal rights.			M. H. De Young Museum Bonds, 1960. To incur bonded indebtedness in the sum of \$2,725,000 for construction, reconstruction and enlargement of De Young Museum in Golden Gate Park within City and County of San Francisco.		

Line C  
Republican  
Party  
Ballot

3	8	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	
<b>FOR DELEGATES TO NATIONAL CONVENTION</b> VOTE FOR ONE GROUP ONLY			<b>Representative in Congress</b> (4th DISTRICT) VOTE FOR ONE			<b>MEMBER OF ASSEMBLY</b> (21st Dist.) Vote for One						
 3-C Candidates Preferring <b>RICHARD M. NIXON</b> Pointer pulled down and left down over his name shall be counted as a vote for all candidates preferring Richard M. Nixon.			 11-C William S. Mailliard U. S. Congressman Republican			 14-C Milton Marks Incumbent Republican		 16-C William D. Evers Incumbent	 17-C E. D. Osgood Incumbent	 18-C Emily G. Pike Incumbent	 19-C Robert H. Steele Incumbent	 20-C John F. Ward Incumbent



# AL PRIMARY ELECTION AND SPECIAL ELECTIONS JL

it will go and

ee whose name  
**THE NAME OF**  
will be counted

3. **TO VOTE FOR CANDIDATES** of your choice, pull down the **POINTERS** over the names of the **CANDIDATES** for whom you wish to **VOTE** and **LEAVE THEM DOWN**.
4. **TO VOTE** for a person whose name does not appear on the **BALLOT LABEL CARD**, raise numbered slide at top of machine corresponding to number of office on **OFFICE TITLE CARD**, and write name of candidate on paper under slide. A write-in vote for Delegate to National

Convention is not legally p  
in office group in which

5. **TO VOTE FOR OR AGAINST**  
or **"NO"** as you may desire
6. **LEAVING THE POINTERS**

20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34
----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----



**CITY AND COUNTY  
PROPOSITION**

**B**



**YES**  
Amends Section 172.1.7 relating to the health service system. Repeals retirement board's duty to review health service system service rates of compensation and proposed contracts for such service.



**CITY AND COUNTY  
PROPOSITION**

**C**



**YES**  
Amends Section 147.1 relating to inspection of civil service examination papers. Provides method of reviewing answers and questions by participants and inspection of papers by public. Restricts copying.



**CITY AND COUNTY  
PROPOSITION**

**D**



**YES**  
Adds Section 161.1.5 relating to certain retired persons. Provides for increases or decreases based upon changes in maximum allowance of Federal Old Age Insurance benefit payment.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

**MEMBERS OF COUNTY CENTRAL COMMITTEE**

(21st DISTRICT)

VOTE FOR FIVE

NE 7, 1960

REPUBLICAN BALLOT


ossible. (Do not pull down pointer over name of any candidate  
and to write in name of a candidate.)

POSITIONS, pull DOWN pointers over the words "YES"  
and LEAVE THEM DOWN.

As you have placed them, move the RED HANDLE LEVER

of the VOTING MACHINE to the LEFT as far as it will go and you have voted and your vote  
is registered.

IF IN DOUBT AS TO OPERATING THE VOTING MACHINE, REQUEST INSTRUCTIONS FROM  
THE INSPECTOR OR JUDGE OF THE ELECTION BOARD BEFORE ATTEMPTING TO VOTE.



CITY AND COUNTY  
PROPOSITION

YES

E

NO

ends Section 61 relating to health department.  
rovides method for appointing to exempt position an  
ssistant director of public health, hospital services.  
ecifies qualifications. Repeals obsolete language.

CITY AND COUNTY  
PROPOSITION

YES













F

NO

Amends and repeals various sections of initiative ordi-  
nance No. 17.083. Makes rates for disposal of garbage  
subject to San Francisco rate board. Redefines refuse.  
Makes no change in existing rates.

STATE PROPOSITIONS AND  
CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITIONS

Vote YES or NO on Each

35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50
				Judge of Superior Court Office No. 1 Vote for One	Judge of Superior Court Office No. 2 Vote for One	Judge of Superior Court Office No. 3 Vote for One	Judge of Superior Court Office No. 4 Vote for One	Judge of Superior Court Office No. 5 Vote for One	Judge of Superior Court Office No. 6 Vote for One	Judge of Superior Court Office No. 7 Vote for One	Judge of Superior Court Office No. 8 Vote for One	JUDGE OF SUPERIOR COURT OFFICE No. 9 Vote for One			
				 39-C Daniel R. Shoemaker Incumbent	 40-C Preston Devine Incumbent	 41-C Gerald S. Levin Incumbent	 42-C Alvin E. Weinberger Incumbent	 43-C Norman Elkington Incumbent	 44-C Charles S. Peery Incumbent	 45-C Edward F. O'Day Incumbent	 46-C Carl H. Allen Incumbent	 47-C Thomas J. Murray Attorney at Law	 48-C Lenore D. Underwood Judge Municipal Court	 49-C Joseph Karesh United States Commissioner	 50-C Bernard Corrigan Kearns Attorney at Law

# 22 SAMPLE BALLOT

# DIRECT PRIMARY ELECTION

**Vote Early**

**Polls Open  
From 7 A.M.  
to 8 P.M.**


The Voting Machine Will  
Record Votes ONLY Where  
The Pointers Are Left DOWN.



## DIRECTIONS FOR VOTING



1. MOVE RED HANDLE  
LEAVE IT THERE.
2. To vote for the group  
appears on the **BALL**  
**SUCH PERSON** and  
for each candidate of




  
**YES**

STATE  
PROPOSITION  
**1**

  
**NO**


VETERANS FARM AND HOME BONDS. Authorizes \$400,000,000 State bond issue for Department of Veterans Affairs in assisting California war veterans to acquire farms and homes.

  
**YES**


STATE  
PROPOSITION  
**2**

  
**NO**

SCHOOL BONDS. Authorizes \$300,000,000 State bond issue for loans and grants to school districts for school buildings, sites, furniture and equipment.

  
**YES**

STATE  
PROPOSITION  
**3**

  
**NO**

STATE INDEBTEDNESS. Authorizes vote on State bond issues at primary election if directed by Legislature. Gives legislative members on State Allocation Board equal rights.

## FOR DELEGATES TO NATIONAL CONVENTION

VOTE FOR ONE GROUP ONLY

**Representative  
in Congress**  
(4th DISTRICT)  
VOTE FOR ONE


**MEMBER OF  
ASSEMBLY**  
(22nd Dist.)  
Vote for One



3-A

Candidates Preferring  
**EDMUND G. (PAT) BROWN**


Pointer pulled down and left down over his name shall be counted as a vote for all candidates preferring Edmund G. (Pat) Brown.



8-A

Candidates Preferring  
**GEORGE McLAIN**


Pointer pulled down and left down over his name shall be counted as a vote for all candidates preferring George McLain.



11-A

Angelo  
**Rolando**  
Real Estate  
Broker

Democratic



12-A

Joseph L.  
**Bortin**  
Attorney  
at Law

Democratic



13-A

Phillips S.  
(Phil)  
**Davies**  
Investment  
Counsellor

Democratic



14-A

Bernard R.  
**Brady**  
Accountant

Democratic



15-A

Frank  
**Brann**  
Attorney  
at Law

Democratic



16-A

Mary Lee  
**Washburn**  
Labor  
Union  
Representative

Democratic



16-B

Agar  
**Jaicks**  
Television  
Director

Lines A and B

**Democratic**

**Party  
Ballot B**

# AND PRESIDENTIAL PRIMARY ELECTION AND SPECIAL ELECTIONS J

LEVER of VOTING MACHINE to the RIGHT as far as it will go and

p of candidates preferring a person for Presidential Nominee whose name  
LOT LABEL CARD, pull down THE POINTER OVER THE NAME OF  
LEAVE IT DOWN. By pulling down such pointer, a vote will be counted  
of group preferring such person.

3. TO VOTE FOR CANDIDATES of your choice, pull down the POINTERS over the names of  
the CANDIDATES for whom you wish to VOTE and LEAVE THEM DOWN.

4. TO VOTE for a person whose name does not appear on the BALLOT LABEL CARD, raise  
numbered slide at top of machine corresponding to number of office on OFFICE TITLE CARD,  
and write name of candidate on paper under slide. A write-in vote for Delegate to National

Convention is not legally  
in office group in which

5. TO VOTE FOR OR AGA  
or "NO" as you may desin

6. LEAVING THE POINTER

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

<div><div></div><div>YES</div><div>CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION</div><div>A</div><div>NO</div><div>M. H. De Young Museum Bonds, 1960. To incur a bonded indebtedness in the sum of \$2,725,000 for the construction, reconstruction and enlargement of the De Young Museum in Golden Gate Park within the City and County of San Francisco.</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>YES</div><div>CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION</div><div>B</div><div>NO</div><div>Amends Section 172.1.7 relating to the health service system. Repeals retirement board's duty to review health service system service rates of compensation and proposed contracts for such service.</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>YES</div><div>CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION</div><div>C</div><div>NO</div><div>Amends Section 147.1 relating to inspection of civil service examination papers. Provides method of reviewing answers and questions by participants and inspection of papers by public. Restricts copying.</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>YES</div><div>CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION</div><div>D</div><div>NO</div><div>Adds Section 161.1.5 relating to certain retired persons. Provides for increases or decreases based up changes in maximum allowance of Federal Old Insurance benefit payment.</div></div>
171819	202122232425	2627282930	313233

## MEMBERS OF COUNTY CENTRAL COMMITTEE

(22nd DISTRICT)  
VOTE FOR FIVE

<div><div></div><div>17-A</div><div>Harry K. O'Malley</div><div>Union Official</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>18-A</div><div>Catherine B. Heckman</div><div>Dietitian</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>19-A</div><div>June Danforth</div><div>Housewife</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>20-A</div><div>Robert N. Danforth</div><div>Attorney at Law</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>21-A</div><div>Al Williams</div><div>Architect</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>22-A</div><div>Allan Lahr</div><div>Machinist</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>23-A</div><div>Robert Erickson</div><div>Attorney</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>24-A</div><div>Timothy J. Twomey</div><div>Incumbent</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>25-A</div><div>Stuart Hunt</div><div>Union Official</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>26-A</div><div>Beverley Spurgeon</div><div>Executive Secretary</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>27-A</div><div>Sara Laird Thompson</div><div>Secretary</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>28-A</div><div>Fred Schott</div><div>Advertising Executive</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>29-A</div><div>Hal J. Dunleavy</div><div>Research Analyst</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>30-A</div><div>Hugh J. McMahon</div><div>Public Accountant</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>31-A</div><div>Jeremiah F. Murphy</div><div>Incumbent</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>32-A</div><div>Sol Schonwetter</div><div>Garage Owner</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>33-A</div><div>James J. Collins</div><div>Incumbent</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>34-A</div><div>D. Cro</div><div>Plas</div></div>
<div><div></div><div>17-B</div><div>Charles A. O'Brien</div><div>Attorney</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>18-B</div><div>Philip Maloney</div><div>Newspaperman</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>19-B</div><div>Rudolf Nothenberg</div><div>Tax Consultant</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>20-B</div><div>Earl E. Payne</div><div>Cook</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>21-B</div><div>Mary Louise Allen</div><div>Executive Administrator</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>22-B</div><div>John Hill</div><div>Union Representative</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>23-B</div><div>Eldon S. Heckman</div><div>Warehouseman</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>24-B</div><div>Raymond J. McShane</div><div>Incumbent</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>25-B</div><div>Arthur F. Dougherty</div><div>Union Official</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>26-B</div><div>George A. Williams, Jr.</div><div>Attorney</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>27-B</div><div>Galen R. Thompson</div><div>Union Executive</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>28-B</div><div>Matthew W. Collins</div><div>Truck Driver</div></div>						

JUNE 7, 1960

DEMOCRATIC BALLOT



missible. (Do not pull down pointer over name of any candidate intend to write in name of a candidate.)

of the VOTING MACHINE to the LEFT as far as it will go and you have voted and your vote is registered.


PROPOSITIONS, pull DOWN pointers over the words "YES" to vote and LEAVE THEM DOWN.

DOWN as you have placed them, move the RED HANDLE LEVER


IF IN DOUBT AS TO OPERATING THE VOTING MACHINE, REQUEST INSTRUCTIONS FROM THE INSPECTOR OR JUDGE OF THE ELECTION BOARD BEFORE ATTEMPTING TO VOTE.



CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION E



CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION F



Amends Section 61 relating to health department. Provides method for appointing to exempt position an assistant director of public health, hospital services. Specifies qualifications. Repeals obsolete language.

Amends and repeals various sections of initiative ordinance No. 17.083. Makes rates for disposal of garbage subject to San Francisco rate board. Redefines refuse. Makes no change in existing rates.

STATE PROPOSITIONS AND CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITIONS  
Vote YES or NO on Each

35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50
				Judge of Superior Court Office No. 1 Vote for One	Judge of Superior Court Office No. 2 Vote for One	Judge of Superior Court Office No. 3 Vote for One	Judge of Superior Court Office No. 4 Vote for One	Judge of Superior Court Office No. 5 Vote for One	Judge of Superior Court Office No. 6 Vote for One	Judge of Superior Court Office No. 7 Vote for One	Judge of Superior Court Office No. 8 Vote for One	JUDGE OF SUPERIOR COURT OFFICE No. 9 Vote for One			
35-A Jack A. Pollatsek Attorney	36-A William H. Shea Public Accountant	37-A James J. Reilly Incumbent	38-A Ann Alanson Publicity Director	39-A Daniel R. Shoemaker Incumbent	40-A Preston Devine Incumbent	41-A Gerald S. Levin Incumbent	42-A Alvin E. Weinberger Incumbent	43-A Norman Elkington Incumbent	44-A Charles S. Peery Incumbent	45-A Edward F. O'Day Incumbent	46-A Carl H. Allen Incumbent	47-A Lenore D. Underwood Judge Municipal Court	48-A Joseph Karesh United States Commissioner	49-A Bernard Corrigan Kearns Attorney at Law	50-A Thomas J. Murray Attorney at Law