

Declarations of
CANDIDACY
Including Statements of Qualifications of
CANDIDATES
•
PROPOSITIONS
together with
ARGUMENTS
and
STATEMENTS of CONTROLLER
Relating to Costs
to be voted on at
GENERAL MUNICIPAL ELECTION
to be held
NOVEMBER 2, 1965



Attest

Chas. A. Rogers

Registrar of Voters

Published under provisions of Sections 176 and 183 of the
Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

IMPORTANT NOTICE

In order to avoid congestion and possible delay at the polls on election day voters are urged to:

1. KEEP THE POLLING PLACE CARD ENCLOSED HEREWITH. MARK YOUR CHOICES FOR THE VARIOUS OFFICES AND PROPOSITIONS. TAKE THE CARD WITH YOU TO THE POLLS AND YOU CAN COMPLETE YOUR VOTING IN LESS THAN TWO MINUTES.

2. Vote early, if possible.

Chas. A. Rogers
Registrar of Voters.

Permanent registration is maintained by **VOTING.**

INDEX OF CANDIDATES

	Page		Page
William C. Blake	5	Donald Morgan	13
Roger Boas	6	Jack Morrison	14
Chris R. Boreta	7	Thomas M. O'Connor	25
Tom Collins	8	Kevin O'Shea	15
Emmet Daly	32	Al J. Quinn	16
Robert J. Drewes	30	Richard D. Riemann	17
Bernard B. Glickfeld	28	E. Robert Scrofani	18
Robert E. Gonzales.....	9	Dorothy Shinder	19
John J. Goodwin	26	Tom Spinosa	20
Emmet F. Hagerty	31	Charles Tait	21
E. Kenneth Harris	10	Peter Tamaras	22
J. T. Johnson	11	Joseph E. Tinney	23
Samuel Kline	12	Robert Vanderbilt	27
George E. Maloney	29	Henry R. van der Wyk.....	24

INDEX OF PROPOSITIONS

PROPOSITION		Page
A	\$33,670,000 Medical Center Bonds, 1965	36
B	\$29,000,000 War Memorial Center Bonds, 1965	41
C	Charter Amendment relating to retirement and death benefits for City employees.	48
D	Charter Amendment relating to replacement of personal property and funds stolen from officers and employees.	51
E	Charter Amendment relating to work week of platform men and bus operators.	53
F	Charter Amendment relating to certain training and economic assistance positions.	56
G	Charter Amendment relating to increase of membership in Police, Fire and Civil Service Commissions.	57

For Supervisor
WILLIAM C. BLAKE

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 2, 1965, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office: That my name is William C. Blake. My residence address is at No. 264 Mallorca Way, San Francisco; My business or occupation is Ship Repairing.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: I was born in San Francisco 45 years ago. I am married and have two children attending local schools. I operate a ship repair company here. I have served on the Board of Supervisors for ten years. I am a Captain in the U.S. Naval Reserve and a World War II veteran. I believe San Francisco demands strong, honest, independent leadership. I have sought to bring sanity to freeway planning in San Francisco. I was the only Supervisor to vote against this year's exorbitant 10 per cent property tax increase.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Incumbent" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1965.

Signature of Candidate: WILLIAM C. BLAKE.

Subscribed before me and filed this 14th day of September, 1965.

CHAS. A. ROGERS

Registrar of Voters

By HAROLD J. O'DONNELL,
Deputy Registrar of Voters

The sponsors for William C. Blake are:

Revels H. Cayton, 1445 Laguna St.; Estate Manager
George H. Cerasi, 1656 Powell St.; Property Management
William J. Chow, 550 Montgomery St.; Attorney at Law
Daniel F. Del Carlo, 50 Chumasero Drive; Labor Representative
Margot Patterson Doss, 1331 Greenwich St.; Writer and Citizen
Paul B. Fay, Jr., 3766 Clay St.; Heavy Construction
Thomas E. Feeney, 126 Miraloma Drive; Attorney
E. A. Hills, 90 Lopez Ave.; President, Hills Transportation Co.
Joseph I. Kelly, 460 Magellan Ave.; Attorney
George Killion, 1090 Chestnut St.; Steamship Business
Stephan C. Leonoudakis, 145 Ulloa St., Attorney at Law
William McCabe, 2878 Jackson St.; Union Representative
Chris D. McKeon, 405 Saint Francis Blvd.; Builder
Gerald J. O'Gara, 2845 Green St.; Lawyer
Terence J. O'Sullivan, 132 Baltimore Way; Labor Representative
Timothy Richardson, 1235 Dolores St.; Secretary Business Manager,
Teamster Local #85
Mrs. Emmett Scharetg, 2259 - 27th Ave.; Housewife
Roy Scola, 1735 Beach St.; Merchant
Max Sobel, 2127 Broadway St.; Wholesale Liquor
Stephen A. Zellerbach, 24 Presidio Terrace; Businessman

For Supervisor **ROGER BOAS**

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 2, 1965, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office: That my name is Roger Boas. My residence address is at No. 3329 Washington Street, San Francisco; My business or occupation is Businessman.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: As an incumbent Supervisor since 1961, I have endeavored to preserve the world-renowned beauty and culture of San Francisco; to attract new business enterprises, job opportunities and tourism; and to maintain the safety and moral values of our neighborhoods. I was elected to the Board with bi-partisan endorsement from numerous business, labor and community organizations. Born, raised and educated in San Francisco, I am a combat veteran of World War II. I am married and have three children. As Chairman of the Supervisors' Committee on Planning and Development, I have consistently advocated major economic revitalization of the South of Market district.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Incumbent" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1965.

Signature of Candidate: ROGER BOAS.

Subscribed before me and filed this 7th day of September, 1965.

CHAS. A. ROGERS
Registrar of Voters

The sponsors for Roger Boas are:

Mrs. Roger Boas, 3329 Washington St.; Housewife
Ann Alanson, 65 Montclair Terrace; Democratic National Committeewoman from California
Barney Apfel, 993 Duncan St.; Union Official, International Brotherhood of Teamsters
Mrs. Harold A. Berliner, 10 Crown Terrace; Housewife
William K. Coblentz, 10 - 5th Ave.; Attorney at Law
Arthur Coleman, M.D., 698 Los Palmos Drive; Physician
Wm. Hunt Conrad, 1948 Pacific Ave.; Businessman
Daniel F. Del Carlo, 50 Chumasero Drive; Labor Representative
Armond De Martini, 110 - 32nd Ave.; Educator
Collin H. Dong, M.D., 1730 Kearny St.; Physician & Surgeon
Herman E. Gallegos, 150 Corona St.; Social Worker
Wm. H. Kilpatrick, 2491 - 24th Ave.; Union Official
Louis T. Kruger, 31 Miguel St.; Attorney at Law
Mrs. Thomas C. Lynch, 98 Clarendon Ave.; Housewife.
Joseph S. Quan, 574-18th Ave.; Travel Service Agent.
Melvin M. Swig, 110 Cherry St.; Real Estate Investor
James F. Thacher, 3979 Washington St.; Lawyer
Frank Tufo, 2694 - 18th Ave.; Banker
Timothy J. Twomey, 2026 Lawton St.; Labor Union Representative
Yori Wada, 565-4th Ave.; Member of the Board, Calif. Youth Authority.

For Supervisor
CHRIS R. BORETA

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 2, 1965, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office: That my name is Chris R. Boreta. My residence address is at No. 901 Bush Street, San Francisco; My business or occupation is Night Club Operator.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor in the City and County of San Francisco. Being a native son of San Francisco for some 32 years I feel the time for sensible and responsible leadership has arrived for our community. I feel I can provide a portion of this leadership for the next four critical years.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Night Club Operator" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1965.

Signature of Candidate: CHRIS R. BORETA.

Subscribed before me and filed this 3rd day of September, 1965.

CHAS. A. ROGERS

Registrar of Voters

By T. L. ANDERSON,

Deputy Registrar of Voters

The sponsors for Chris R. Boreta are:

Mike Basta, 2845 Geary Blvd.; Bar Owner

Stella Belluomini, 1735 Eucalyptus Drive; Housewife

Don M. Douglass, 412 Lansdale Ave.; Retired

Douglas Gee, 118 Amber Drive; Wholesale Meat Jobber, United Meat Co.

Pedro Hernandez, 644 Goettingen St.; Carpenter

Alex Kantrowitz, 1957 - 48th Ave., Bar Owner

Robert Rubi, 64A San Juan St.; Teamster

Joaquin Ruiz, 1174 Florida St.; Vendor

Frances Ruiz, 1174 Florida St.; Housewife

Andrew Salerno, 1284 Noe St.; Race Horse Trainer

F. H. Schaffnit, 215 Buena Vista Ave., E.; Salesman

For Supervisor
TOM COLLINS

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 2, 1965, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office: That my name is Tom Collins. My residence address is at No. 65 - 6th Ave., San Francisco; My business or occupation is Businessman.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: A family man, I want a business administration that will reduce our already high taxes. I want safety on our streets and will support all law enforcement officers to the fullest extent. I will work for an ordinance that we homeowners may improve our property to \$500.00 without high inspection fees or the assessment raised. I am a Veteran and will support all Veterans rights. I am active in betterment of our youth and for many years have supported Police Athletic Leagues and have sponsored teams in baseball, football and bowling. Home districts must have more representation in City Hall.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Businessman" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1965.

Signature of Candidate: TOM COLLINS.

Subscribed before me and filed this 3rd day of September, 1965.

CHAS. A. ROGERS

Registrar of Voters

By WILLIAM E. SATTERFIELD,
Deputy Registrar of Voters

The sponsors for Tom Collins are:

Mrs. Tom Collins, 65 - 6th Ave.; Cosmetologist, Beauty Shop Owner

Bennie Barrish, 809 Foerster St.; Salesman

Harry Brown, 2547 - 47th Ave.; Real Estate Broker

Frederick T. Dong, 1748 Leavenworth St.; Clerk

Theodore Fischer, 810 Stanyan St.; Automotive Engineer

Edward Jacobs, 1966 - 31st Ave.; Investments

W. Harry Johns, 555 Pierce St., #103; Real Estate Broker

Milton J. Pearl, 146 - 26th Ave., Physician

John C. Schaefer, 1405 - 37th Ave., General Manager, University Club

Donald L. Towle, 4266 - 25th St.; Contractor

Ethyl Venturi, 109 Serrano Drive; Real Estate Agent

Henry Y. Wong, 1739 Leavenworth St.; Building Department, P.G.&E. Co.

For Supervisor
ROBERT E. GONZALES

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 2, 1965, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office: That my name is Robert E. Gonzales. My residence address is at No. 210 Upper Terrace, San Francisco; My business or occupation is Attorney.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: I am interested in the betterment of San Francisco through its people. There are problems confronting a large segment of our community that must be remedied. I will work for better education, housing and employment for all the people of San Francisco.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Attorney" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1965.

Signature of Candidate: ROBERT E. GONZALES.

Subscribed before me and filed this 17th day of September, 1965.

CHAS. A. ROGERS
Registrar of Voters

By GEO. HARLEY,
Deputy Registrar of Voters

The sponsors for Robert E. Gonzales are:

Donald R. Abrahamson, 210 Upper Terrace; Attorney
Harold B. Brooks, Jr., 732 Innes Ave.; Executive Director,
Bayview Neighborhood Community Center
Revels Cayton, 1445 Laguna St.; Estate Manager
June Collier, 1151 Broderick St.; Clerk-Typist
Al Corona, 126 Encline Court, Newspaper Reporter
Mrs. Margaret Cruz, 259 Monterey Blvd.; Theatrical Agent
Herman E. Gallegos, 150 Corona St.; Social Worker
Ruth Gottstein, 431 Belvedere St.; Executive Secretary
Jess Hernandez, 1255 Post St.; Attorney
Amado R. Martinez, 1442 - 25th Ave.; Insurance Agent
John Riordan, 1476 Willard St.; Lawyer
Mary Marguerite Riordan, 1476 Willard St.; Junior College Instructor
Sidney L. Staton, 338 - 8th Ave.; Real Estate Broker

For Supervisor
E. KENNETH HARRIS

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 2, 1965, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office: That my name is E. Kenneth Harris. My residence address is at No. 16 Presidio Terrace, San Francisco; My business or occupation is Doctor.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: Practicing physician, ten years in San Francisco. Married, five children & home owner. Graduate of University of Colorado School of Medicine. Licensed to practice in California in 1943. Military service in U.S. Navy during World War II and U.S. Army in 1953. Member of American Legion Post 766 in San Francisco. Graduate of Lincoln Law School in 1965 with LL.B. degree. Phi Beta Kappa. Interested in Civic affairs and public service.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Physician" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1965.

Signature of Candidate: E. KENNETH HARRIS.

Subscribed before me and filed this 17th day of September, 1965.

CHAS. A. ROGERS

Registrar of Voters

By HAROLD J. O'DONNELL,
Deputy Registrar of Voters

The sponsors for E. Kenneth Harris are:

Mary Y. Del Tonto, 142 Eastwood Drive; Clerk
Mrs. Rae M. Dickson, 1414 - 20th Ave.; Receptionist and Secretary
Daisy B. Faber, 1416 - 20th Ave.; Homemaker
Edith L. George, 90 Virgil St.; Housewife
Bonnie M. Harris, 16 Presidio Terrace; Student
Boris Katz, 138 Maynard St.; Store Owner
Geraldine M. Markt, 84 Virgil St.; Housewife
Conrad I. Ryndik, 322 San Jose Ave.; Janitor
Alma H. Sparks, 151 Baden St.; Salad Maker
Lillie Mae Thomas, 453 - 43rd Ave.; Owner and Operator of Mae's Home For The Aged
Madge S. Tolson, 3129 Divisadero St.; Retired Government Employee

For Supervisor
J. T. JOHNSON

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 2, 1965, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office: That my name is J. T. Johnson. My residence address is at No. 1 Ashton Avenue, San Francisco; My business or occupation is Real Estate Broker.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: Educationally I hold A.A. and LL.B. degrees. I served in World War II and in the Korean War, the latter as a commissioned officer. As a resident of the city for twenty years and as a businessman for the past ten years, I am familiar with and am fully aware of most of the pertinent problems and conditions with which the average citizen is confronted daily.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Real Estate Broker" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1965.

Signature of Candidate: J. T. Johnson.

Subscribed before me and filed this 15th day of September, 1965.

CHAS. A. ROGERS
Registrar of Voters
By GEO. HARLEY,
Deputy Registrar of Voters

The sponsors for J. T. Johnson are:

Everett L. Adams, 62 Lee Ave.; Counselor for Juvenile Court
Rev. Ezekiel Habersham, 574 Arch St.; Minister
Lynetta Doyle Haynes, 701 Grafton Ave.; Secretary
Genevieve M. Jefferson, 460 Ramsell St.; Social Worker
Lonnie E. Johnson, 133 Lobos St.; Bus Operator
Edward O. Major, 434 Orizaba St.; Garage Manager—Self Employed
Harrison Parker, 1035 Duncan St.; Dentist
Miriam A. Parker, 1035 Duncan St.; Housewife
Earl C. Smith, 379 Victoria St.; Paint Contractor
Charles Stringer II, 3069 Pine St.; Electronic Mech. & Tech.
Marshall Taylor, 102 Broad St.; Salesman
Burl A. Toler, 581 Orizaba Ave.; Asst. Principal

For Supervisor
SAMUEL KLINE

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 2, 1965, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office: That my name is Samuel Kline. My residence address is at No. 1640 Steiner Street, San Francisco; My business or occupation is retired.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: I am offering nine years of experience in City Governmental planning, administration and financing, on a full-time basis to assure the people full value for every tax dollar spent, and lower taxes. I believe that the issues of decent low-cost housing for Senior Citizens and low-income families, increased gainful employment of unskilled labor, and honest representation of all groups, must be dealt with at once. I am still a member of Building and Construction Trades Council No. 4 but retired from business. My election will guarantee an honest balance between the people and realistic growth of our city.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Retired" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1965.

Signature of Candidate: SAMUEL KLINE.

Subscribed before me and filed this 3rd day of September, 1965.

CHAS. A. ROGERS,
Registrar of Voters.

By HAROLD J. O'DONNELL,
Deputy Registrar of Voters.

The sponsors for Samuel Kline are:

Mrs. Samuel Kline, 1640 Steiner St., Housewife.
Leon Birman, 801 - 25th Ave., Retired.
William E. Drayton, 374 Grove St., Apartment Manager.
Lois W. Finnerty, 155 Hyde St., General Clerk Typist.
Harold F. Gilbert, 226 Mullen Ave., Retired.
Robert Hunt, Jr., 448 Page St., Retired.
Henry Infant, 2 - 28th St., Barber.
Gilbert Kay, 675 - 25th Ave., Retired.
Cornell A. Krsak, 520 Roosevelt Way, Retired.
Stenola Lewis, 82 Carr St., Hair Dresser.
Samuel J. Mayer, 909 Geary St., Retired.
Lloyd G. McKinsey, 1211 - 39th Ave., Retired.
Nathaniel L. Miller, 1640 Steiner St., Apt. 2A, Minister.
Dr. George B. Nelson, D.C., 729 Capp St., Doctor.
Clarence J. Williams, 5415 California St., Retired.

For Supervisor
DONALD MORGAN

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 2, 1965, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office: That my name is Donald Morgan. My residence address is at No. 657 - 14th Ave., San Francisco; My business or occupation is Businessman.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: I have lived in San Francisco since 1943. I have been active in San Francisco business both as an employee and employer. I am a withdrawn member of I.L.W.U. #6, I.B.E.W., and Auto Salesmen's Local 960. I have been active in San Francisco affairs both Civic and Political and am running for this office simply because the jobs which need to be done are not being done by the incumbents. The need for intelligent and imaginative efforts to solve our many pressing problems from race relations to unfair property taxation and transportation is too great to be left in incompetent, uncaring hands. I propose to represent the interests of the people.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Businessman" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1965.

Signature of Candidate: C. DONALD MORGAN.

Subscribed before me and filed this 3rd day of September, 1965.

CHAS. A. ROGERS,
Registrar of Voters.
By GEORGE HARLEY,
Deputy Registrar of Voters.

The sponsors for Donald Morgan are:

Mrs. Donald Morgan, 657 - 14th Ave., Housewife and Registered Nurse.
Irene B. Anderson, 120 - 7th Ave., Dance Coach.
Edna A. Calhoun, 120 - 7th Ave., Teacher.
Eleanor Rossi Crabtree, 1990 Gough St., Apt. 405, Housewife.
John J. Lauricella, 2730 Fulton, Retired Banker.
George Novacovich, M.D., 2080 - 16th Ave., Physician.
George K. Raad, 315 Chestnut St., Architect.
Joshua Rosberg, 1701 Sloat Blvd., Merchant.
Paul Shuttleworth, 741 - 14th St., Student, City College of S.F.
Mildred P. Smith, 798-10th Ave., Housewife
Stanley C. Smith, 798-10th Ave., Retired.
Mrs. Vincent Turner, 735 - 12th Ave., Housewife.

For Supervisor
JACK MORRISON

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 2, 1965, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office: That my name is Jack Morrison. My residence address is at No. 2590 Greenwich Street, San Francisco; My business or occupation is Journalist.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: In my four years of membership on the San Francisco Board of Supervisors I have given fair and equal treatment to all persons, maintained independence of judgment, and served no special interest. I am Chairman of the Finance Committee of the Board. My experience with government includes ten years as a San Francisco newspaper reporter covering city and state offices. I pledge further action in protecting the beauty, character, and tradition of San Francisco and at the same time moving our government ahead to meet the critical issues of transportation, housing, human rights, job opportunities, and better planning for our neighborhoods.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Incumbent" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1965.

Signature of Candidate: JACK MORRISON.

Subscribed before me and filed this 15th day of September, 1965.

CHAS. A. ROGERS,
Registrar of Voters.
By HAROLD J. O'DONNELL,
Deputy Registrar of Voters.

The sponsors for Jack Morrison are:

Lionel M. Alanson, Jr., 65 Montclair Terrace, Businessman.
Richard A. Bancroft, 285 Amber Drive, Attorney.
Jos. Belardi, 89 Woodhaven Court, Labor Official.
Susan J. Bierman, 1529 Shrader St., Housewife.
Catherine Breen, 225 Teresita Blvd., Retired.
Arthur H. Coleman, M.D., 698 Los Palms Drive, Physician.
Janice Dushkes, 224 - 16th Ave., Housewife.
John P. Figone, Jr., 1046 Union St., Insurance Broker-Travel Agent.
Louis Garcia, 383 Santa Ana Ave., Attorney.
F. D. Haynes, 1832 - 16th Ave., Clergyman.
Jackson K. Hu, 619 Clay St., Appraiser.
James E. Kenny, 133 Alton Ave., Transportation Executive.
Le Roy King, 75 Zampa Lane, Apt. #2, International Representative, I.L.W.U.
Mathe Kovac, 3984-20th St., Real Estate Salesman.
Atherton Phleger, 2623 Divisadero St., Lawyer.
Joseph S. Ravinsky, 2002 Pacific Ave., Merchant.
Geronimo M. Serafino, 1057 Powhattan Ave., Warehouseman.
Timothy J. Twomey, 2026 Lawton St., Labor Union Representative.
Carlos Vela, 3153 - 16th St., Real Estate Salesman.
Henriette M. Voorsanger, 250 Laurel St., Housewife.

For Supervisor
KEVIN O'SHEA

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 2, 1965, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office; That my name is Kevin O'Shea. My residence address is at No. 46 Allston Way, San Francisco; My business or occupation is Businessman.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: I was born in San Francisco, received my education here, served my country in World War II then graduated from the University of Notre Dame. After some years in professional sports as player and business manager, achieved a business career as insurance broker. The challenges of change facing San Francisco are primarily economic. They demand positive, dedicated, aggressive action to reverse declining industrial employment pattern, and provide practical advances in job opportunity, education, social adjustment, housing, problems of the aged, public safety, transportation and business-like Charter revision; for which my public service as President of the Board of Permit Appeals and business career have prepared me.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Businessman" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1965.

Signature of Candidate: KEVIN O'SHEA.

Subscribed before me and filed this 14th day of September, 1965.

CHAS. A. ROGERS,
Registrar of Voters.
By HAROLD J. O'DONNELL,
Deputy Registrar of Voters.

The sponsors for Kevin O'Shea are:

Jeanne E. O'Shea, 46 Allston Way, Housewife.
John Abraham, 2980 - 26th Ave., Painting Contractor and Merchant.
George T. Choppelas, 623 - 27th Ave., Attorney at Law.
George V. Curtis, 1458 - 31st Ave., Attorney at Law.
Daniel F. Del Carlo, 50 Chumasero Drive, Labor Representative.
Armond De Martini, 110 - 32nd Ave., Educator.
George B. Gillin, 295 Stratford Drive, Insurance and Real Estate.
John J. Goodwin, Jr., 2551 - 16th Ave., Savings and Loan Executive.
J. Rufus Klawans, 27 Avila St., Attorney.
Louis T. Kruger, 23 Miguel St., Attorney at Law.
Joseph P. Mazzola, 127 Lakeshore Drive, Business Manager, Plumbers & Steamfitters
Local 38
William Moskovitz, 1901 California St., Retired.
John M. Ohmura, 2074 - 12th Ave., Architect, Designer.
Terence J. O'Sullivan, 132 Baltimore Way, Labor Representative.
William H. Quayle, 2398 Broadway, Sales Executive-Shipbuilding.
L. A. Smookler, M.D., 367 Crestlake Drive, Physician and Surgeon.
Ruth Downs Sullivan, 23 Magellan Ave., Attorney.
Peter Lallas, 124 - 8th Ave., Business Agent.
Margaret M. Farbstein, 3566 - 19th St., Housewife.
Dr. Thomas Wu, 598-38th Ave., Dentistry.

For Supervisor

AL J. QUINN

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 2, 1965, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office: That my name is Al. J. Quinn. My residence address is at No. 32 Hidalgo Terrace, San Francisco; My business or occupation is Public Accountant & Notary Public.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: I was a law clerk (4 years) in office of Charles Evans Hughes, Chief Justice, U. S. Supreme Court, who was a partner in the firms of Carter, Hughes & Dwight & Hughes, Rounds & Schurman, 6 Wall St., New York. Printing shop proprietor and manufacturer, 128 Halleck St., and creator and developer of Telephone Secretarial Services, for the Medical-Dental Professions, as they are now operated—37 years ago. Resided most of my adult life South of Market & Mission Districts. Want lower and balanced budget and lower tax rate, than the current rate of \$10.17, which is too high, and 5c bus and car fare for senior citizens with free transfer. Affiliation: Elks, Moose and South of Market Boys.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Public Accountant" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1965.

Signature of Candidate: AL. J. QUINN.

Subscribed before me and filed this 14th day of September, 1965.

CHAS. A. ROGERS,
Registrar of Voters.

By HAROLD J. O'DONNELL,
Deputy Registrar of Voters.

The sponsors for Al J. Quinn are:

Hugh C. Baker, 214 Dolores St., College Professor.
William W. Benn, 1861 Hyde St., Retired Building Inspector.
Patrick J. Brady, 207 Dorland St., Parking Meter Service Man.
Samuel B. Edsall, 438 - 23rd Ave., Retired Newspaper Financial Director.
Robert Eisenberg, 1496 - 45th Ave., Realtor.
Cornelius P. Finnegan, 3537 - 17th St., Retired.
Amy H. Gillespie, 550 - 14th St., Retired.
Jos. M. Hannan, 68 Hancock St., Financial Secretary, I. A. of M. #732.
Griffith C. Hughes, 21 Seward St., Retired (Pac. Tel. & Tel. Co. 41 yrs.)
Henry Infant, 2 - 28th St., Barber.
Rafael Jakobovits, M.D., 178 Ewing Terrace, Physician.
Peter Lallas, 124 - 8th Ave., Business Agent.
Ernest Lotti, 59 Cuvier St., Chauffeur.
Arthur H. Middleton, 160 Grand View Ave., Planner.
J. Max Moore, 2470 Broadway, Businessman.
Edith C. Nielsen, 1 Hidalgo Terrace, Piano Teacher.
Dr. V. John Oulliber, 1199 Dolores St., Dentist.
Timothy Richardson, 1235 Dolores St., Secretary, Teamsters Local #85.
James J. Rourke, 3691 - 17th St., Business Agent, Teamsters Local #85.
A. D. Stancliff, Jr., 537 Sanchez St., Architect.

For Supervisor
RICHARD D. RIEMANN

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 2, 1965, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office: That my name is Richard D. Riemann. My residence address is at No. 1732 Gough Street, San Francisco; My business or occupation is Teacher.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: If elected, I'll resign. Nobody has right "representing" some at coerced expense of others. Government forces retaliation—rarely protects (why crime?!)—at governopoly prices extorted from freemen. Know-it-all pressure groups connive evermore to manipulate peace-loving individuals. Only free markets afford free exercise of equally absolute rights to life's sustenance by property use and disposal (ownership). Private enterprise built "Golden Gate"—and serves life with goods guaranteeing all pursuits of happiness. Man's welfare equals natural resources plus human energy multiplied by tool efficiency. Progress depends upon investment-inspired profits. Down with government-initiated restrictions, destruction, and tyranny. Up with free trade between freemen!

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Teacher" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1965.

Signature of Candidate: RICHARD D. RIEMANN.

Subscribed before me and filed this 10th day of September, 1965:

CHAS. A. ROGERS,
Registrar of Voters.

By HAROLD J. O'DONNELL,
Deputy Registrar of Voters.

The sponsors for Richard D. Riemann are:

Joseph J. Corbin, Jr., 1486 - 39th Ave., Parking Meter Serviceman.
William S. Dwyer, 2186 California St., Student.
Treva Holloway, 2979 Jackson St., Clerk-Stenographer and Student.
Miss Joyce M. Newhall, 1472 Filbert St., Secretary.
Gary A. Schneider, 3761 - 16th St., Clerk.
James L. Sharp, 2937 Scott St., Programmer.
Forrest Owen Smith, 3761 - 16th St., Chemist.
Alva E. Stroem, 2656 Van Ness Ave., Secretary.
Ann M. Thomas, 752 Spruce St., Apt. 3, Secretary.
Achilla M. Yager, 2230 Sacramento St., Cashier, Presbyterian Medical Center.

For Supervisor
E. ROBERT SCROFANI

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 2, 1965, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office: That my name is E. Robert Scrofani. My residence address is at No. 501 Duncan Street, San Francisco; My business or occupation is Teacher.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: I believe the "times demand more than a casual observer." In my own neighborhood, in the Mission and citywide, I have worked diligently for a more direct citizen's role in government. For these activities, the daily newspaper editors named me 1963 "Man of the Year" for outstanding community service. I will work for: (1) A sane tax policy which encourages home repairs and improvements and does not penalize business inventories. (2) Quality education for all children. (3) A coordinated transportation plan which preserves San Francisco's beauty. (4) More jobs and job training. (5) Improved housing. I am a Fordham University graduate and a Korean War veteran.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Teacher" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1965.

Signature of Candidate: E. ROBERT SCROFANI.

Subscribed before me and filed this 14th day of September, 1965.

CHAS. A. ROGERS,
Registrar of Voters.

By T. L. ANDERSON,
Deputy Registrar of Voters.

The sponsors for E. Robert Scrofani are:

Gloria F. Scrofani, 172 Highland Ave., Bookkeeper.

Hattie J. Anderson, 15 Sherwood Court, Housewife.

Robert S. Barone, 413 Shrader St., Accounting.

Morse Erskine, 233 Chestnut St., Attorney at Law.

Ronald Faina, 645 France Ave., Meat Cutter.

Marion I. (Jean) Ferguson, 1768 Noe St., Housewife.

Robert L. Fisher, 1738 - 11th Ave., Past President, S. F. Association for Childhood Education

Vesta M. Gray, 311 - 9th Ave., Art Dealer.

Walter G. Jebe, 314 Polaris Way, Businessman.

David Johnson, 77 Central Ave., Field Representative, Bay-Area Urban League.

Harlow L. E. Larson, 203 Fairmount St., Meat Retailer.

John K. Lawrence, 69 Dellbrook Ave., Insurance Agent.

Miss Carmen A. Maldonado, 241 Gates St., Retired.

Mrs. Katheryne B. McDonald, 453 - 34th Ave., Free Lance Secretary, Bookkeeper, Accountant.

Mrs. Catherine McKenzie, 238 Banks St., Housewife.

Joseph Ortiz Olmedo, 145 Colon Ave., Teacher.

John Riordan, 1476 Willard St., Lawyer.

Marie San Fillippo, 258 Whitney St., Shop Owner.

Robert J. Seiwald, 59 Burnside St., College Professor.

Mario J. Spagna, 4570 - 19th St., Consulting Coordinator.

For Supervisor
DOROTHY SHINDER

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 2, 1965, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office: That my name is Dorothy Shinder. My residence address is at No. 1692-A Green Street, San Francisco; My business or occupation is Tax Reform Lobbyist.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: As special investigator (jeopardizing civil service employment) I demanded investigation of Board of Supervisors and grand jury investigation, forwarding reports to President Johnson and Governor Brown, pleading for help. Shortly afterwards San Francisco investigated. Offered files to Senate Rackets Committee and District Attorney Ferdon. Brought misleading ballot to court, it insures appointed incumbents' re-election. Write and speak fearlessly about San Francisco corruption. Believe in fair taxation with representation for everyone, including single persons. 20 years San Francisco resident; University of Minnesota, San Francisco State College; 10 years San Francisco legal experience. President, National Tax Reform Lobby, Volunteer Protective Agency, research, writer, lecturer.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Tax Reform Lobbyist" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1965.

Signature of Candidate: DOROTHY SHINDER.

Subscribed before me and filed this 8th day of September, 1965.

CHAS. A. ROGERS,
Registrar of Voters.

By WILLIAM E. SATTERFIELD,
Deputy Registrar of Voters.

The sponsors for Dorothy Shinder are:

Bertha Ast, 1090 Eddy St., Attorney.
Birdie Emmerson, 1227 - 12th Ave., Clerk
Leroy A. Fitzgerald, 730 Stockton St., Apt. #43, Retirement Officer, State of California.
Arthur Gradwohl, 285 Guerrero St., Editor, California Senior Citizen News.
Sarah Gradwohl, 285 Guerrero St., Housewife.
H. E. Hoertkorn, 1756 Bay St., Retired.
Gladys R. Orozco, 1295 Geneva Ave., Clerk-typist.
Armena Russian, 2321 Clement St., Legal Stenographer.
Albert L. Snyder, 29 Sunview Drive, Storekeeper.
Virginia Tomlinson, 1135 Bay St., Sales.
Lenore V. Wilkins, 1856 Powell St., Legal Stenographer.
Dorothy M. Wright, 7 Fielding Place, Office Worker.

For Supervisor
TOM SPINOSA

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 2, 1965, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office: That my name is Tom Spinosa. My residence address is at No. 387 Sixth Street, San Francisco; My business or occupation is Accountant-Tax Consultant.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: Born and raised in S.F. with an extensive educational and business background in General and Governmental Appropriation Accounting, Tax Work and Business Administration which include: Accountant, S. F. Board of Education preparing financial statements, reports, estimates, Supt's Annual Report—State Annual Report, etc.; Ass't Resident Auditor, United States Maritime Commission; CAF 12 Dept. of the Army; Auditor, Kaiser Engineers; Notary Public; served with the U. S. armed forces in several capacities including chief Pharmacist and Ensign; Owner, Accounting & Tax Consultation firm; songwriting (member of ASCAP); member SAG; A. F. of M.; Lions Club; Printer and Publisher. My philosophy is political integrity and dignity.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Accountant-Tax Consultant" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1965.

Signature of Candidate: TOM SPINOSA.

Subscribed before me and filed this 3rd day of September, 1965.

CHAS. A. ROGERS,
Registrar of Voters.

By T. L. ANDERSON,
Deputy Registrar of Voters.

The sponsors for Tom Spinosa are:

Rose M. Alioto, 1725 Beach St., Owner of Alioto's.
Lucille Bliss, 4436 - 25th St., Actress-Producer.
Alexander Bobroff, 2501 - 41st Ave., Plumber.
Pete Butti, 400 Sanchez St., Orchestra Leader and Music Store Owner.
Mrs. Margaret Cruz, 259 Monterey Blvd., Theatrical Agent.
Teresa Doely, 560 Natoma St., Retired.
Harold A. Felt, 922 Taraval St., Merchant.
Meredith Jean Felt, 922 Taraval St., Housewife.
Larry Ford, 1297-i Turk St., Painter.
Vivian Hicks, 39 Mill St., Secretary.
Sam Jordan, 4004 - 3rd St., Bar Keeper.
Marie A. LePleux, 570 O'Farrell St., Artist.
Mary B. Stadlberger, 3817 - 22nd St., Office Manager.
Rudy Stadlberger, 3817 - 22nd St., Machine Shop Owner.
John S. Verducci, 1525 - 45th Ave., Federal Employee.
Mrs. Mary Verducci, 1525 - 45th Ave., Teletype Operator.
Helen Willard, 3432 Mission St., Housewife.
Frank D. Winston, 1456 Clayton St., Attorney.

For Supervisor
CHARLES TAIT

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 2, 1965, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office: That my name is Charles Tait. My residence address is at No. 795 Pine Street, San Francisco; My business or occupation is Maritime Consultant.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: Second generation San Franciscan; born, raised and educated in San Francisco—majoring in Business Administration. Coming up through the ranks in international shipping and world trade and as Port Director, San Francisco, have provided a penetrating insight to City and Port problems. Overseas Navy Veteran; Son, Michael, 12. On November 2nd, San Francisco voters will elect five supervisors. Consensus indicates a qualified maritime voice is needed on the Board of Supervisors—a man accredited by both Labor and Management who can speak with authority on San Francisco's Greatest Asset. Respectfully seek election on my lifelong administrative experience and acclaimed record of accomplishment as Port Director.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Maritime Consultant" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1965.

Signature of Candidate: CHARLES TAIT.

Subscribed before me and filed this 15th day of September, 1965.

CHAS. A. ROGERS,
Registrar of Voters.

By WILLIAM E. SATTERFIELD,
Deputy Registrar of Voters.

The sponsors for Charles Tait are:

William H. Blake, 530 Arballo Drive, Furniture Store Owner.
Margaret L. Brady, 771 - 9th Ave., Public Relations.
Henry T. Burns, 1962 - 11th Ave., Businessman.
F. D. Cadigan, Somerton Hotel, 440 Geary St., Retired.
Mrs. Paulyne Cronin, 1706 - 12th Ave., Homemaker.
Claire M. Elbing, 3819 - 23rd St., Supervisor-Office Records.
Ben H. Foster, 2925 Scott St., Publisher & Editor Western Transportation.
Dr. Mervyn Friedenberg, 930 Sutter St., Apt. 202, Dentist.
Jack Goldberger, 210 Gellert Drive, Labor Official.
John F. Hanavan, 1632 Ulloa St., Retired.
Douglas W. McEachern, 101 Christopher Drive, Teacher.
Frank J. O'Doul, 145 Laurel St., Restaurant Owner.
Manuel Rehfeld, 542 - 29th Ave., Businessman.
James D. Riley, 85 Aquavista Way, Insurance Sales.
Harry T. Thompson, 585 Laurel St., Retired.
George P. Whaley, 130 - 22nd Ave., Accountant.

For Supervisor
PETER TAMARAS

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 2, 1965, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office: That my name is Peter Tamaras. My residence address is at No. 35 San Rafael Way, San Francisco; My business or occupation is (owner of Tamaras Supply Co.)—Independent business man.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: A San Franciscan since infancy, graduate of local schools and the University of California, majoring in Business Administration, Artillery Captain World War II, independent businessman. Former President of Board of Permit Appeals and President of Board of Supervisors 1962-64. My 1965 legislative program includes a master plan for culture and a citywide senior citizens committee. Much must be done to preserve our natural beauty and our neighborhoods, and to solve problems of traffic, housing, creating more jobs and better business and educational opportunities. My desire is to represent impartially and honestly all segments of our community. My governmental and business experience is dedicated to solving these complex problems.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Incumbent" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1965.

Signature of Candidate: PETER TAMARAS.

Subscribed before me and filed this 3rd day of September, 1965.

CHAS. A. ROGERS,
Registrar of Voters.

The sponsors for Peter Tamaras are:

Euthemia Tamaras, 35 San Rafael Way, Housewife.
Dr. P. T. Angel, 1867 - 15th Ave., Dentist.
Harry L. Bigarani, 1384 York St., Labor Official.
Arthur Coleman, M.D., 698 Los Palms Drive, Physician.
A. F. Derré, 170 San Fernando Way, Banker.
Philip Dindia, 345 Banks St., Labor Representative.
Mrs. Henry F. Grady, 850 Powell St., National Committee Woman, Vice Chairman of Democratic Party.
Robert Grosso, 2362 Bay St., Dentist.
Elise S. Haas, 2100 Pacific Ave., Housewife.
Louis T. Kruger, 31 Miguel St., Attorney at Law.
Mrs. Thomas C. Lynch, 98 Clarendon Ave., Housewife.
Cyril I. Magnin, 999 California St., Merchant.
J. Max Moore, 2470 Broadway, Businessman.
Laurence Palacios, 459 Hazelwood St., President, Spanish Speaking Citizens Foundation.
Chas. I. Radetich, 782 Kansas St., Businessman.
Michael Riordan, 550 Laguna Honda Blvd., Attorney at Law.
Simon Toulouse, 821 Lincoln Way, Laundry Owner.
Edison Uno, 515 Ninth Ave., Administrative Assistant.
Anne Varakin, 124 - 9th Ave., Attorney at Law.
H. K. Wong, 28 Bernard St., Merchant.

For Supervisor
JOSEPH E. TINNEY

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 2, 1965, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office: That my name is Joseph E. Tinney. My residence address is at No. 1 Melba Avenue, San Francisco; My business or occupation is Attorney at Law and President Board of Supervisors.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: President of Board. Reorganized Committee system first time in 30 years. Originated new street lighting. Former President Planning Commission. Native San Franciscan, Past Grand Trustee N.S.G.W. Graduated, Junipero Serra, St. Paul's, St. Ignatius, University of San Francisco where I taught Law School for five years. Former Assistant District Attorney. Former Chairman Advisory Board Bay View Federal Savings. Wife, Helen; son, Joseph; daughter, Marcella. Platform: Efficiency, economy, preserve natural beauty; develop business, light industry, jobs. Broader tax base to relieve home owner, strong support of police, fire, school departments. Due consideration all citizens, highest quality education. Affiliations: N.S.G.W., Elks, Moose, A.O.H., W.O.W., Mission Merchants, Spanish Speaking Citizens, Booker T. Washington, S. F. Bar.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Incumbent" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1965.

Signature of Candidate: JOSEPH E. TINNEY.

Subscribed before me and filed this 8th day of September, 1965.

CHAS. A. ROGERS,
Registrar of Voters.

The sponsors for Joseph E. Tinney are:

Helen Tinney, 1 Melba Ave., Housewife.
William P. Clecak, 620 Miramar Ave., Lawyer.
Julia G. Porter, 142 - 27th Ave., Planning Commissioner.
Gardner W. Mein, 2615 California St., Executive.
Mrs. John B. Molinari, 1262 Lombard St., Housewife.
William M. Malone, 1 Gabilan Way, Attorney at Law.
Madlyn Day, 1000 Green St., Insurance Broker.
Mortimer Fleishhacker, Jr., 2600 Pacific Ave., Businessman.
Daniel F. Del Carlo, 50 Chumasero Drive, Labor Representative.
Stephen Walter, 2504 Leavenworth St., Merchant.
James J. Rudden, 148 Chenery St., Corporation Executive.
Jack Goldberger, 210 Gellert Drive, Labor Official.
Thomas A. Maloney, 350 Missouri St., Insurance Broker.
Philip Dindia, 345 Banks St., Labor Representative.
John P. Figone, Jr., 1046 Union St., Insurance Broker-Travel Agent.
Francis Louie, 1257 Jackson St., Merchant.
Joseph P. Mazzola, 127 Lakeshore Drive, Business Manager Plumbers & Steamfitters Local 38.
James E. Stratten, 662 - 15th Ave., Executive Director, Booker T. Washington Community Center.
Adolfo Majewsky, 11 Athens St., Realtor.
Peter Lallas, 124 - 8th Ave., Business Agent.

For Supervisor

HENRY R. VAN DER WYK

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 2, 1965, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office: That my name is Henry R. van der Wyk. My residence address is at No. 1407 12th Ave., San Francisco; My business or occupation is Co-owner, Trucking Co.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: Twenty-five years of business experience, fifteen of them in the transportation field.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation: "Owner, Trucking Company" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1965.

Signature of Candidate: HENRY R. VAN DER WYK.

Subscribed before me and filed this 8th day of September, 1965.

CHAS. A. ROGERS,
Registrar of Voters.

By WILLIAM E. SATTERFIELD,
Deputy Registrar of Voters.

The sponsors for Henry R. van der Wyk are:

C. P. Brandt, 456 Post St., Executive.

Albert H. Carlson, 779 Head St., Office Machine Technician.

Joseph Derenzo, 1263 - 14th Ave., Custom House Broker.

Chrystie M. De Soto, 241 Vidal Drive, Assistant Buyer.

Edward L. De Soto, 418 Vidal Drive, Route Serviceman.

Edward M. Esola, 3088-25th St., Salesman.

Bonnie J. Fleishell, 950 Quintara St., Special Accounts Representative.

Robert T. Jackel, 77 Concord St., Teamster.

Arthur M. Romsos, 566 Lombard St., Apt. #4, Business Air Freight.

Irene S. Romsos, 566 Lombard St., #4, Stewardess TWA.

William I. Sterett, 210 Vasquez Ave., Service Station Owner.

For City Attorney
THOMAS M. O'CONNOR

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of City Attorney for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 2, 1965, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office: That my name is Thomas M. O'Connor. My residence address is at No. 250 Magellan Avenue, San Francisco; My business or occupation is City Attorney of San Francisco.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: Having served 4 years as City Attorney and 14 years as Deputy City Attorney and as Chief Public Utilities Counsel, I submit my candidacy for re-election as City Attorney on my record of experience and accomplishment in this vital municipal office. I have conducted the office with energetic dedication to the principle that the increasing complexity of City government requires expert service in the transaction of its extensive legal business and court representation in litigation. I pledge my vigorous continuation of this policy on behalf of the citizens of the City and County of San Francisco.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Incumbent" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1965.

Signature of Candidate: THOMAS M. O'CONNOR.

Subscribed before me and filed this 13th day of September, 1965.

CHAS. A. ROGERS,
Registrar of Voters.

By HAROLD J. O'DONNELL,
Deputy Registrar of Voters.

The sponsors for Thomas M. O'Connor are:

Mrs. Byron Arnold, 65 Woodacre Drive, Homemaker.
Arthur Coleman, M.D., 698 Los Palmos Drive, Physician.
John Yehall Chin, 913 Stockton St., Teacher.
John F. Crowley, 87 Los Palmos Drive, Assistant Secretary, San Francisco Labor Council, A.F.L.-C.I.O.
Walter A. Dold, 200 Santa Clara Ave., Attorney at Law.
Burnham Enersen, 40 Arguello Blvd., Lawyer.
Mrs. Charles A. Ertola, 775 Francisco St., Homemaker.
Harold C. Faulkner, 1940 Vallejo St., Attorney at Law.
Vincent F. Finigan, Jr., 2121 Broadway St., Apt. 7, Real Estate Salesman.
Louis Garcia, 383 Santa Ana Ave., Attorney.
George Hardy, 1653 - 16th Ave., President, Building Service Employees Union Local 87.
Bert W. Levit, 850 Powell St., Lawyer.
William D. Kilduff, 3333 Jackson St., Vice-President, E. F. Hutton & Co., Inc.
Louis R. Lurie, Mark Hopkins Hotel, Realty Operator and Builder.
Mrs. Thomas C. Lynch, 98 Clarendon Ave., Housewife.
Cyril I. Magnin, 999 California St., Merchant.
Emerson O. Midyett, 410 Pierce St., Retired.
William Moskovitz, 1901 California St., Retired.
Albert Samuels, 1880 Jackson St., Jeweler.
Stephen A. Zellerbach, 24 Presidio Terrace, Businessman.

For Treasurer
JOHN J. GOODWIN

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Treasurer for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 2, 1965, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office: That my name is John J. Goodwin. My residence address is at No. 426 Jersey Street, San Francisco; My business or occupation is Treasurer—City & County of San Francisco.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: I am thoroughly familiar with all departments of the office of City and County Treasurer, having entered the department as a clerk, and through successful passage of civil service examinations, achieved the position of Chief Deputy Treasurer. During my incumbency as Treasurer, the office has been on a self-supporting basis, requiring no support from taxes. If retained I shall continue to devote my efforts to the maintenance of an efficient and well operated office.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Incumbent" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1965.

Signature of Candidate: JOHN J. GOODWIN.

Subscribed before me and filed this 3rd day of September, 1965.

CHAS. A. ROGERS,
Registrar of Voters.

By WILLIAM E. SATTERFIELD,
Deputy Registrar of Voters.

The sponsors for John J. Goodwin are:

Ethel M. Goodwin, 426 Jersey St., Housewife.
Daniel F. Del Carlo, 50 Chumasero Drive, Labor Representative.
Armond De Martini, 110-32nd Ave., Educator.
Mrs. Bessie G. Flaherty, 1771-25th Ave., Housewife.
Robert E. Halsing, 541 Darien Way, Attorney at Law.
Jackson K. Hu, 619 Clay St., Appraiser.
Elizabeth R. Kelley, 656 O'Farrell St., Business Agent, Waitresses' Union, Local 48.
J. Rufus Klawans, 27 Avila St., Attorney.
Ben K. Lerer, 520 El Camino del Mar, Attorney at Law.
Thomas A. Maloney, 350 Missouri St., Insurance Broker.
Eugene H. O'Donnell, 325 San Jose Ave., Attorney at Law.
Richard G. Raffetto, 560 Myra Way, Insurance Broker.
Michael Riordan, 230 Pacheco St., Dairy Enterprises.
John N. Rosekrans, 3035 Pacific Ave., Executive.
Jeremiah F. Sullivan, Jr., 364 Francisco St., Banker.
Benjamin H. Swig, 950 Mason St., Hotel Operator.
Burl A. Toler, 581 Orizaba Ave., Assistant Principal, Benjamin Franklin Junior High.
Timothy J. Twomey, 2026 Lawton St., Labor Union Representative.
Carl F. Wente, 60 Normandie Terrace, Retired Banker.
Raymond D. Williamson, 41 Roselyn Terrace, Attorney at Law.

For Treasurer
ROBERT VANDERBILT

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Treasurer for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 2, 1965, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office: That my name is Robert Vanderbilt; My residence address is at 536 Leavenworth Street, San Francisco; My business or occupation is Stock Broker, Union Official.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: Law school, Institute of Finance, N.Y.S.E., Graduate work in Corporate law and Finance. President and Controller of Hotel Corporation. Korean War Veteran. Union Official-Screen Guild (AFL*CIO), Labor negotiator-Union contracts, Pension Plans and Investment Trusts. National Executive Board Member and Delegate. Linguist-five languages. Endorsed by Italian-American, Spanish-American and Greek-American civic groups. Chamber of Commerce committees. Platform: a sweeping reform of Treasurers' Office. Install double-check systems. Modernize all operating procedures for a more effective co-ordination and efficiency. Conduct a complete survey of all files, records, systems and personnel in order to protect and benefit the people of San Francisco.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Broker, Union Official" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1965.

Signature of Candidate: ROBERT VANDERBILT.

Subscribed before me and filed this 3rd day of September, 1965.

CHAS. A. ROGERS,
Registrar of Voters.

By GEORGE HARLEY,
Deputy Registrar of Voters.

The sponsors for Robert Vanderbilt are:

Lucille E. Allen, 1490 Alemany Blvd., Housewife.
Neil D. Campbell, 140 Ellis St., Accountant.
Myrtle G. Curley, 2 Italy Ave., Retired.
Walter J. Graepp, 120 Ellis St., Asst.-Mgr. St. Francis Theatre.
Jacob M. Jakubowski, 66 Geary St., Retired.
Glen H. Krippendorf, 34 Ellis St., Presently Unemployed.
Helvi McClure, 247 Ney St., Housewife.
Lovell McGrath, 2888 Folsom St., Theater Doorman.
Albert Nalbandian, 154-17th Ave., Florist.
Harry Radomsky, 351 Turk St., Rm. 603, Investor.
Bernice Reed, 345 Taylor St., Don Hotel, Traffic Clerk.
John E. Soria, 1490 Alemany Blvd., Warehouseman.
Roger Stephens, 399 Dolores St., Painter.
John M. Vickery, 376 Ellis St., #301, Salesman.

For Judge of the Municipal Court, Office No. 1

BERNARD B. GLICKFELD

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Judge of the Municipal Court, Office No. 1, for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 2, 1965, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office: That my name is Bernard B. Glickfeld; My residence address is at No. 145 El Verano Way, San Francisco; My business or occupation is Judge of the Municipal Court.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: I am a native San Franciscan; attended public schools; graduated Mission High; graduated University of California; graduated Hastings Law College 1941; admitted to practice of law 1941; served in U. S. Army 1942-1946; thereafter resumed general law practice appearing before State and Federal courts in both trial and appellate divisions. November, 1961, Governor Brown appointed me Judge of the Municipal Court. Since my appointment, I have served in all branches of the Court, civil and criminal. I am married and the father of two children. I have endeavored to serve all the people with justice, understanding and dignity.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Judge of the Municipal Court" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1965.

Signature of Candidate: BERNARD B. GLICKFELD.

Subscribed before me and filed this 3rd day of September, 1965.

CHAS. A. ROGERS,
Registrar of Voters.

The sponsors for Bernard B. Glickfeld are:

Mrs. Bernard B. Glickfeld, 145 El Verano Way, Housewife.
Alfred P. Baylacq., 611-34th Ave., Insurance Broker.
Willie L. Brown, Jr., 1524 Masonic Ave., Attorney at Law.
George T. Choppelas, 623-27th Ave., Attorney at Law.
William J. Chow, 550 Montgomery St., Attorney at Law.
Mrs. Margaret Cruz, 259 Monterey Blvd., Theatrical Agent.
Howard C. Ellis, 138-28th Ave., Attorney at Law.
John A. Ertola, 219-32nd Ave., Attorney.
Don Fazackerley, 170 El Verano Way, Banker.
Louis Garcia, 383 Santa Ana Ave., Attorney.
Robert Emmett Halsing, 541 Darien Way, Attorney at Law.
Wm. Kilpatrick, 2491-24th Ave., Union Official.
Louis T. Kruger, 31 Miguel St., Attorney at Law.
Cyril I. Magnin, 999 California St., Merchant.
Joseph P. Mazzola, 127 Lakeshore Drive, Business Manager, Plumbers & Steamfitters Union, Local 38.
J. Eugene McAteer, 130 Santa Ana Ave., State Senator.
John D. Monaghan, 31 Grand View Ave., Caterer.
William Moskovitz, 1901 California St., Retired.
Timothy J. Richardson, 1235 Dolores St., Secretary Business Manager, Teamsters, Local 85.
Samuel D. Sayad, 35 Aptos Ave., Contractor

For Judge of the Municipal Court, Office No. 2

GEORGE E. MALONEY

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Judge of the Municipal Court, Office No. 2, for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 2, 1965, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office: That my name is George E. Maloney; My residence address is at No. 180 San Fernando Way, San Francisco; My business or occupation is Judge of the Municipal Court.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: Born in San Francisco, attended Hearst Grammar School, St. Ignatius High School, graduated from University of San Francisco, A.B. 1929, L.L.B. 1931; Married to the former Margaret Mary Martin, a native San Franciscan, father of four children. Served as Deputy District Attorney under the late Matthew Brady, 1937 to 1943; resigned to engage in private practice of law in 1943; Appointed Municipal Judge by Governor Brown in March, 1961. I have endeavored to serve the people fairly and impartially, and shall continue to do so.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Judge of the Municipal Court" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1965.

Signature of Candidate: GEORGE E. MALONEY.

Subscribed before me and filed this 3rd day of September, 1965.

CHAS. A. ROGERS,
Registrar of Voters.

By HAROLD J. O'DONNELL,
Deputy Registrar of Voters.

The sponsors for George E. Maloney are:

Mrs. George E. Maloney, 180 San Fernando Way, Housewife.
Arthur H. Coleman, M.D., 698 Los Palms Drive, Physician.
Clyde B. Dalton, 278 Edgewood Ave., Attorney at Law.
Daniel F. Del Carlo, 50 Chumasero Drive, Apt. 7K, Labor Representative.
A. F. Derre, 170 San Fernando Way, Banker.
Thomas E. Feeney, 126 Miraloma Drive, Attorney.
Jack Goldberger, 210 Gellert Drive, Labor Official.
Donald M. Haet, 450 Magellan Ave., Lawyer.
Frank Hand, M.D., 95 San Rafael Way, Physician.
John J. Haster, 190 Dorantes Ave., Insurance.
E. A. Hills, 90 Lopez Ave., President, Hills Transportation Co.
Ngai Ho Hong, 42 Morrell St., Attorney.
Stanley M. Kasper, 508 Arballo Drive, Public Relations.
William M. Malone, 1 Gabilan Way, Attorney at Law.
Thomas A. Maloney, 350 Missouri St., Insurance Broker.
Donald K. Negi, 2324-9th Ave., Attorney.
Anthony J. Quigley, 2027-16th Ave., Attorney at Law.
Edward C. Sequeira, 343 Castenada Ave., Assistant to the President, Western International Hotels.
Albert F. Skelly, 171 Commonwealth Ave., Attorney.
John H. Swanson, 3140 Mission St., Bowling Alley Owner.

For Judge of the Municipal Court, Office No. 3

ROBERT J. DREWES

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Judge of the Municipal Court, Office No. 3, for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 2, 1965, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office: That my name is Robert J. Drewes; My residence address is at No. 3367 Washington Street, San Francisco; My business or occupation is Presiding Judge of the Municipal Court.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: Bay Area native; graduate UC, Harvard Business School, USF Law School. Taught economics at USF while studying law. Discharged after World War II as Lt. Col. Following admission to Bar, engaged in private practice, served as Assistant U.S. Attorney. Appointed to bench, 1960. Serve as trustee of Grace Cathedral; director, Council of Civic Unity, member Advisory Committee, U.S. Commission on Civil Rights. Married, have three children in public schools. Have served in every Municipal Court department, currently presiding judge. For 15 months served as Superior Court judge, pro tempore. I have always strived to discharge my duties humanely and with impartiality.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Presiding Judge, Municipal Court" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1965.

Signature of Candidate: ROBERT J. DREWES.

Subscribed before me and filed this 7th day of September, 1965.

CHAS. A. ROGERS,
Registrar of Voters.

By HAROLD J. O'DONNELL,
Deputy Registrar of Voters.

The sponsors for Robert J. Drewes are:

Mrs. Robert J. Drewes, 3367 Washington St., Writer.

Richard A. Bancroft, 285 Amber Drive, Attorney.

The Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, 20 Presidio Terrace, Clergyman of the Episcopal Church, Dean of Grace Cathedral.

Caroline M. Charles, 850 Francisco St., Housewife.

William K. Coblentz, 10-5th Ave., Attorney at Law.

Jay A. Darwin, 2526 Union St., Lawyer.

Arthur J. Dolan, Jr., 372 Santa Clara Ave., Investment Banker.

Lee Ettelson, 2324 Vallejo St., Governor's Office, S.F.

Alvin I. Fine, 3330 Jackson St., College Professor, Rabbi.

Phoebe Galgiani, 2626 Larkin St., Housewife.

Louis Garcia, 383 Santa Ana Ave., Attorney.

Arthur Gradwohl, 285 Guerrero St., Editor, Senior Citizens News.

Walter A. Haas, Jr., 2666 Broadway, Manufacturer.

Chas. Kendrick, 2006 Washington St., Chairman of Board, Schlage Lock Co.

Lloyd D. Luckmann, 3806 Clay St., College Administrator, Dean, City College of San Francisco.

John P. Moscone, 2477 Vallejo St., President, Scavengers Protective Assn, Inc.

Charles A. O'Brien, 50 Ashbury Terrace, Attorney.

William H. Orrick, Jr., 6 Presidio Terrace, Lawyer.

Charlotte C. Poole, 90 Cedro Way, Housewife.

Zeppelin W. Wong, 919 Vallejo St., Attorney at Law.

For Judge of the Municipal Court, Office No. 3

EMMET F. HAGERTY

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Judge of the Municipal Court, Office No. 3, for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 2, 1965, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office: That my name is Emmet F. Hagerty; My residence address is at No. 527 Arch Street, San Francisco; My business or occupation is Attorney at Law.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: Having spent the past twenty years of my life in the trial courts of San Francisco, nearly always representing the little man, I feel I can bring to the bench, if elected, a judicial temperament founded upon actual experience, and tempered with a full understanding of the problems and hardships of the ordinary citizen.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Attorney at Law" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1965.

Signature of Candidate: EMMET F. HAGERTY.

Subscribed before me and filed this 15th day of September, 1965.

CHAS. A. ROGERS,
Registrar of Voters.

By HAROLD J. O'DONNELL,
Deputy Registrar of Voters.

The sponsors for Emmet F. Hagerty are:

Roy T. Beatty, 554 Goettingen St., Business Representative, Carpenters Local 2164.
Nathan Cohn, 540 Darien Way, Attorney at Law.
Ramiro Y. Cruz, D.D.S., 231 Ellis St., Dentist.
Daniel F. Del Carlo, 50 Chumasero Drive, Labor Representative.
Harold R. Freemon, 50 McLaren Ave., Consultant.
G. B. Gillen, 295 Stratford Drive, Insurance, Real Estate.
Conrad J. Grieder, 2567-22nd Ave., President, Meyers Safety Switch Co.
Chester H. Loveland, 901 California St., Executive Officer.
Mrs. Joyce McCabe, 2878 Jackson St., Union Official.
William McCabe, 2878 Jackson St., Union Representative.
William Moskovitz, 1901 California St., Retired.
Frank J. O'Doul, 145 Laurel St., Restaurant Owner.
Richard C. Shortall, 663 Marina Blvd., Attorney.
Walton R. Smith, 1750 Taylor St., Executive.
Stanley G. Stebbins, 1833 Filbert St., Apt. 6, Insurance Broker.
Louis V. Vasquez, 21 Dellbrook Ave., Lawyer.

For Judge of the Municipal Court, Office No. 4

EMMET DALY

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Judge of the Municipal Court, Office No. 4, for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 2, 1965, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office: That my name is Emmet Daly; My residence address is at No. 65 San Benito Way, San Francisco; My business or occupation is Judge of the Municipal Court.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: Pre-Law, Santa Clara University; L.L.B., Georgetown University; Federal Bureau of Investigation, 1925-30; Private practice law, Los Angeles and San Francisco; Director, Training School for Officers, Naval Intelligence, 12th Naval District; Intelligence & Security Officer, Pacific Overseas Division, Office of War Information; Deputy and Assistant District Attorney, San Francisco, 1947-50; Deputy and Assistant Attorney General, State of California, 1951-59; Member, California Board of Corrections, 1959-61; Member, California Youth Authority Board, 1959-62; Chairman, California Delinquency Prevention Commission, 1963 to date; Judge, Municipal Court, San Francisco, April, 1962, to date. I shall continue to try to serve all of the people with understanding and justice.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Judge of the Municipal Court" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1965.

Signature of Candidate: EMMET DALY.

Subscribed before me and filed this 13th day of September, 1965.

CHAS. A. ROGERS,
Registrar of Voters.

By WILLIAM E. SATTERFIELD,
Deputy Registrar of Voters.

The sponsors for Emmet Daly are:

Mrs. Emmet Daly, 65 San Benito Way, Housewife.
John Yehall Chin, 913 Stockton St., Teacher.
Daniel F. Del Carlo, 50 Chumasero Drive, Labor Representative.
John A. Ertola, 219-32nd Ave., Attorney at Law.
Harold C. Faulkner, 1940 Vallejo St., Attorney at Law.
William L. Ferdon, 132 Commonwealth Ave., Attorney at Law.
Jack Goldberger, 210 Gellert Drive, Labor Official.
Albert H. Jacobs, 1333 Jones St., Managing Director, East West Football Game.
Theodore A. Kolb, 23-7th Ave., Attorney.
Cyril I. Magnin, 999 California St., Merchant.
William M. Malone, 1 Gabilan Way, Attorney at Law.
Garret McEnerney II, 3725 Washington St., Attorney.
Joseph I. McNamara, 122-18th Ave., Attorney and Deputy Public Defender.
Julia G. Porter, 142-27th Ave., Planning Commissioner.
George R. Reilly, 2774-34th Ave., Member State Board of Equalization.
John A. Sutro, 3598 Jackson St., Lawyer.
Benjamin H. Swig, 950 Mason St., Hotel Operator.
Dr. Henry A. Tagliaferri, 282 Silver Ave., Oral Surgeon.
Karl C. Weber, 235 Marina Blvd., Honorary Consul of Austria.

ORDINANCE CALLING SPECIAL BOND ELECTIONS

(PROPOSITIONS A & B)

FILE NO. 277-65-2

ORDINANCE NO. 229-65

CALLING AND PROVIDING FOR A SPECIAL ELECTION TO BE HELD IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ON TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 2, 1965, FOR THE PURPOSE OF SUBMITTING TO THE VOTERS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO PROPOSITIONS TO INCUR BONDED DEBTS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY FOR THE ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION OR COMPLETION BY THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO OF THE FOLLOWING MUNICIPAL IMPROVEMENTS, TO WIT: \$33,670,000 SAN FRANCISCO MEDICAL CENTER; \$29,000,000 WAR MEMORIAL CENTER; AND THAT THE ESTIMATED COST TO THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAID MUNICIPAL IMPROVEMENTS IS AND WILL BE TOO GREAT TO BE PAID OUT OF THE ORDINARY ANNUAL INCOME AND REVENUE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY AND WILL REQUIRE EXPENDITURES GREATER THAN THE AMOUNTS ALLOWED THEREFOR BY THE ANNUAL TAX LEVY; ALL IN ORDER TO DO AND PERFORM ANY AND ALL OF THE MATTERS HEREINABOVE REFERRED TO; FIXING RATE OF INTEREST OF SAID BONDS AND PROVIDING FOR THE LEVY AND COLLECTION OF TAXES TO PAY BOTH PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST THEREOF, PRESCRIBING NOTICE TO BE GIVEN OF SUCH ELECTION AND CONSOLIDATING THE SPECIAL ELECTION WITH THE GENERAL MUNICIPAL ELECTION.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 2nd day of November, 1965, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said city and county propositions to incur bonded indebtedness of the City and County of San Francisco for the acquisition, construction or completion by the city and county of the hereinafter described municipal improvements in the amounts and for the purposes stated:

(a) MEDICAL CENTER BONDS, 1965.

\$33,670,000 to pay the cost of a San Francisco Medical Center, including additions to the county hospital, buildings, x-ray and laboratory apparatus, and clinic facilities and equipment, to provide for the diagnosis, care and treatment of disease and injury, including maternity care, nursing and research facilities, together with all other works, property or structures necessary or convenient for the San Francisco Medical Center for the protection of the public health.

(b) WAR MEMORIAL CENTER BONDS, 1965.

\$29,000,000 to pay the cost of improvement of the War Memorial Center of the City and County of San Francisco, including lands, buildings, additions to, remodeling of, and improvement and reconstruction of, the existing War Memorial Center for the benefit of war veterans and for public educational, cultural, recreational, entertainment and other municipal purposes, together with all other works, property or structures necessary or convenient for the improvement of the War Memorial Center of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. The estimated costs of the municipal improvements described in Section 1 hereof were fixed by the Board of Supervisors by the following resolutions and in the amounts specified:

Medical Center Bonds, Resolution No. 467-65—\$33,670,000;

War Memorial Center Bonds, Resolution No. 468-65—\$29,000,000.

That each of said resolutions was passed by two-thirds or more of the Board of Supervisors and approved by the Mayor, and in each of said resolutions it was recited and found that the sums of money specified were too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the city and county in addition to the other annual expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for those purposes and will require expenditures greater than the amounts allowed therefor by the annual tax levy.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated costs of the municipal improvements described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco in the principal amounts specified.

Said estimates of cost as set forth in said resolutions, and each thereof, are hereby adopted and determined to be the estimated costs of said improvements, and each thereof.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the results thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and in all particulars not herein recited said election shall be held according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the General Municipal Election to be held Tuesday, November 2, 1965, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of said election for said General Municipal Election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for such special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth, in the official publication, by the Registrar of Voters of precincts, polling places and election officers for the said General Municipal Election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said General Municipal Election and reference is hereby made to the notice of election setting forth the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election by the Registrar of Voters for the General Municipal Election to be published in the San Francisco Examiner on or about October 11, 1965.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following, each to be separately stated, and appear upon the ballots as separate propositions:

(a) "Medical Center Bonds, 1965. To incur a bonded indebtedness in the sum of \$33,670,000 to pay the cost of constructing and equipping a Medical Center for the City and County of San Francisco."

(b) "War Memorial Center Bonds, 1965. To incur a bonded indebtedness in the sum of \$29,000,000 for additions to and improvement of the War Memorial Center of the City and County of San Francisco."

To vote for any proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purposes stated herein, stamp a cross (x) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against any proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purposes stated herein, stamp a cross (x) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for any proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same shall comply in all respects with the provisions of law.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the voters voting on any proposition voted in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purposes set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the municipal improvements described herein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials," and shall bear interest at a rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually.

The votes cast for and against each of said respective propositions shall be counted separately and when two-thirds of the qualified electors, voting on any one of such propositions, vote in favor thereof, such proposition shall be deemed adopted.

Section 7. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest on said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy and in the manner for such general tax levy provided, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid, or until there is a sum in the Treasury of said city and county set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest on said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds as the same becomes due and also such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the proceeds of a tax levied at the time for making the next general tax levy can be made available for the payment of such principal.

Section 8. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in the San Francisco Examiner, a newspaper published daily in the City and County of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said city and county and such publication shall constitute notice of said election and no other notice of the election hereby called need be given.

Approved as to form:

THOMAS M. O'CONNOR,
City Attorney

Passed for Second Reading—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Aug. 16, 1965.

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Boas, Casey, Ertola, Francois, McCarthy, McMahon, Morrison, Moscone, Tamaras, Tinney.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Aug. 23, 1965.

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Boas, Casey, Ertola, Francois, McCarthy, McMahon, Morrison, Tamaras, Tinney.

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

Approved August 25, 1965.

JOHN F. SHELLEY, Mayor

PROPOSITION A

Medical Center Bonds, 1965. To incur a bonded indebtedness in the sum of \$33,670,000 to pay the cost of constructing and equipping a Medical Center for the City and County of San Francisco.

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION A

Our City Hospital is the first Order of business on your November ballot. Vote YES on Proposition A.

When you vote YES on Proposition A, you are authorizing the construction of a modern, centralized, efficient building at San Francisco General Hospital, to replace the obsolete, widely scattered group of structures that are there now.

Your YES vote on Proposition A will replace the Hospital with a new building, which will be designed to take full advantage of the tremendous strides that medicine has made since that time.

Vote YES on Proposition A . . . for the Hospital.

Your YES vote on A will prevent San Francisco General Hospital from losing its accreditation for teaching purposes. If we lose the accreditation, the interns and resident doctors would have to be replaced by physicians at much higher salaries.

Your YES vote on Proposition A will keep San Francisco General Hospital from losing its license because the buildings do not meet the hospital standards of the State of California. Loss of the license would mean that the essential community medical services provided by the Hospital—services that the City must continue to provide—would have to be bought from other sources (if they could be found)—at considerably greater cost.

Vote YES on Proposition A . . . for the Hospital . . . the first item on your ballot.

Your YES vote on Proposition A will entitle San Francisco General Hospital to receive State and Federal grants for construction.

The money from these State and Federal grants, conservatively estimated, could be \$12 million, and might be as high as \$15 or \$16 million. This money would go to reduce the cost to the City of the new building. Thus, Proposition A authorizes \$33,670,000 worth of bonds—but only about \$20 million of that amount may have to be actually sold.

An additional loss to the City, if we lose our license, is the nearly two million dollars a year we now receive from State and Federal contributions towards medical care.

We must maintain the Hospital at licensing standards. Proposition A, the result of four years' expert planning, is the most efficient—and the most economical—way to do it. That's why, however you look at it, your YES vote on A is a real Taxpayer's Bargain.

Vote YES on A . . . for a modern Hospital.

Your YES vote on Proposition A will give San Francisco a MODERN Hospital. Over the years we have remodeled; we have patched up; we have tried to make these old buildings meet today's medical requirements. Now we are at the end of the makeshift road. The State's licensing regulations make it imperative that a brand new building be constructed to do the Hospital's job right—efficiently and economically.

Vote YES on Proposition A . . . for a new, high-rise, centralized Hospital for San Francisco. It's the first item on your ballot.

Proposition A requires no additional land. The new building will rise on the present site, with no interruption in service—just a steady improvement.

The present buildings may be converted to other uses, permitted by the State regulations—such as administration, research, medical libraries, or may be demolished.

Vote YES on Proposition A . . . for the Hospital.

Day in and day out, San Francisco General Hospital takes thousands of cases that other hospitals are either unwilling or unable to handle. These 175,000 cases per year include accident victims and victims of violence. It includes cases of tuberculosis and contagious disease. It includes psychiatric cases—a vastly increased category since the days when this Hospital was built. It includes alcoholics. It includes the great army of those who can't afford private medical care.

To insure that these essential community services continue, especially the fine 24-hour emergency service at the disposal of all citizens, vote YES on Proposition A.

With the advent of Medicare, the community is going to need more hospital facilities than ever. This is another reason that we cannot delay. We must have a modern medical center as soon as possible to supplement the private hospital capacity.

Taxpayers' organizations ask you to vote YES on Proposition A. Doctors, nurses, psychiatrists, lawyers, business men, labor unions, all responsible citizens, ask you to vote YES on Proposition A.

There is no organized opposition.

But we must have a two-thirds vote. We need two YES votes for every No vote. There are some people who vote No automatically, without investigation. To offset these eternal No-men, we need twice as many who **know** the urgency of Proposition A and will vote YES.

First things first: Proposition A is the first order of business on November 2. It's the vital necessity in this election.

Be sure to vote YES on A . . . for an economical, modern Hospital . . . and be sure your neighbor does, too!

Proposition "A" has been endorsed by:

Building Owners and Managers Association of San Francisco
San Francisco Association for Mental Health
San Francisco County Grand Jury
San Francisco Labor Council
Retail Dry Goods Association of San Francisco
Citizens Advisory Committee, Hospital Conference
San Francisco Junior Chamber of Commerce
Retail Merchants Association
Apartment House Associations Consolidated
California Northern Hotel Association
Central Council of Civic Clubs
The Democratic Forum
Down Town Association of San Francisco
Health Council, United Community Fund of San Francisco
Hospital and Institutional Workers Union, Local 250, A.F.L.-C.I.O.
International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local Union No. 6
San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce
San Francisco Community Mental Health Services Advisory Board
San Francisco Joint I.L.W.U. Legislative Committee
San Francisco Municipal Conference
San Francisco Real Estate Board
San Francisco Tuberculosis & Health Association

CITIZENS' COMMITTEE FOR SAN FRANCISCO GENERAL HOSPITAL

J. W. Mailliard III, General Chairman
Thomas E. Feeney, Co-Chairman
Joseph A. Moore, Jr., Co-Chairman
William M. Brinton, Finance Chairman
Stephen H. Chase, Treasurer

MEMBERS OF THE CITIZENS' COMMITTEE FOR SAN FRANCISCO GENERAL HOSPITAL

Brent M. Abel	Donald B. King
Arnold Archibald	J. Rufus Klawans
Lawrence Arnstein	Daniel E. Koshland
K. K. Bechtel	Ralph N. Larson
A. Brooks Berlin	Harry A. Lee
James B. Black, Jr.	Earle H. LeMasters
Hon. William C. Blake	Milton K. Lepetich
Hon. Roger Boas	Bert W. Levit
William M. Brinton	Victor B. Levit
Belford Brown	Harold L. Levy
Hon. Willie L. Brown, Jr.	Dan E. London
Henry J. Budde	James H. Loo
Hon. John L. Burton	Louis Lurie
Thomas J. Cahill	Orville Luster
Ferd W. Callison, M.D.	Richard Lynden
Hon. Joseph M. Casey	Richard K. Lynn
Stephen H. Chase	Marshall P. Madison
William P. Clecak	Cyril Magnin
John W. Cline, M.D.	J. W. Mailliard, III
Daniel A. Collins, D.D.S.	Thomas A. Maloney
Louis G. Conlan	Joseph Martin, Jr.
Charles de Bretteville	Hon. J. Eugene McAteer
Christian de Guigne	Hon. Leo T. McCarthy
Daniel F. Del Carlo	J. L. Merrill
Armand De Martini	Dwight L. Merriman
Al Derre	Wilson Meyer
Joseph J. Diviny	Hon. Charles W. Meyers
Sherman P. Duckel	Emerson O. Midyett
Hon. John A. Ertola	H. C. Moffitt, M.D.
Adrien J. Falk	Joseph A. Moore, Jr.
Don Fazackerley	Hon. Jack Morrison
Thomas E. Feeney	Hon. George Moscone
Hon. John Jay Ferdon	Mrs. John J. Murray
John P. Figone, Jr.	Mrs. Ardath Nichols
Rabbi Alvin I. Fine	Charles A. Noble, M.D.
Thomas E. Flowers	Mrs. Hazel M. O'Brien
R. Gwin Follis	Gerald J. O'Gara
Hon. Terry A. Francois	Andrew Downey Orrick
A. Gary Garabedian	Kevin O'Shea
Louis Garcia	Angelos Papulias
Dr. and Mrs. Frank Gerbode	T. S. Petersen
Leon Goldman, M.D.	Rt. Rev. James A. Pike
Mrs. Henry F. Grady	Mrs. Charles B. Porter
Stuart N. Greenberg	Mrs. A. Boyd Puccinelli
Walter A. Haas, Jr.	George T. Rockrise
Chester R. Harris	Mrs. Wm. Lister Rogers
Rev. Frederick D. Haynes	Henry R. Rolph
I. W. Hellman	Irving S. Rosenblatt
John F. Henning	Thomas A. Rotell
Gerald N. Hill	James J. Rudden
Reed O. Hunt	Albert E. Schlesinger
James Hurst	C. Robert Scrofani
George W. Johns	Harold P. See
Solomon E. Johnson	Mayor John F. Shelley
David P. Kassoy	Jacob Shemano
Judge Joseph G. Kennedy	Albert S. Skelly
William D. Kilduff	E. G. Solomon
George Killion	John E. Sparks

Thomas F. Stack
 J. Joseph Sullivan
 Benjamin N. Swig
 Rev. T. T. Taam
 Hon. Peter Tamaras
 James F. Thacher
 H. E. Thelander, M.D.
 Hon. Joseph E. Tinney

John R. Upton, M.D.
 Malcolm S. M. Watts, M.D.
 Alvin E. Weinberger
 Morris Weisberger
 C. J. Wellington, M.D.
 Rev. A. J. White
 Harold B. Williams

DOCTORS FOR PROPOSITION A

Charlotte Baehr, M.D.
 Shirley Baron, M.D.
 H. J. Burhenne, M.D.
 Jesse Carr, M.D.
 Loren R. Chandler, M.D.
 Frank Choy, M.D.
 Arthur H. Coleman, M.D.
 Julian Davis, M.D.
 Norman Epstein, M.D.
 Roberta Fenlon, M.D.
 Bert Halter, M.D.
 Carlton Mathewson, M.D.

Joseph McGuinness, M.D.
 Herbert C. Moffitt, Jr., M.D.
 Edmund J. Morrissey, M.D.
 Walter Port, M.D.
 David L. Rogers, M.D.
 William L. Rogers, M.D.
 John Sampson, M.D.
 John B. Saunders, M.D.
 Edward B. Shaw, M.D.
 Ben Shenson, M.D.
 Helen B. Weyrauch, M.D.

On September 7, 1965, the Board of Supervisors authorized the foregoing argument for inclusion in the election pamphlet for November 2, 1965, by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Boas, Casey, Ertola, Francois, McCarthy, McMahan, Morrison, Moscone, Tamaras, Tinney.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

CONTROLLER'S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 183 PROPOSITION "A"

Medical Center Bonds, 1965. To incur a bonded indebtedness in the sum of \$33,670,000 to pay the cost of constructing and equipping a medical center for the city and county of San Francisco.

Should the proposed bond issue be authorized and when all bonds shall have been issued on a fifteen year basis, and after consideration of the interest rates related to current municipal bond sales, and using the 1965-1966 assessment roll as a basis for calculating the effect upon the tax rate, in my opinion, the approximate cost and effect on the tax rate would be as follows:

Bond redemption	\$33,670,000
Interest requirement	11,259,850
Total debt service requirement	<u>\$44,929,850</u>

The Board of Supervisors by its Resolution Number 590-65 has expressed its policy that any and all monies received from the state and the federal government in connection with the acquisition, construction or completion of this improvement will be used to finance said improvement in lieu of selling bonds and, to the extent not so used, will be used for debt service on this bonded debt.

We are unable at this time to estimate the amount of money, if any, San Francisco would receive from the state and federal government to apply to the cost of new hospital construction. The allocation of state and federal grants depends on many factors such as appropriation of funds at both the state and federal levels and a state-wide determination of priority need.

It is contemplated that upon completion of the construction under the proposed bond issue, the major portion of existing buildings in the San

Francisco General Hospital Complex will be available for purposes other than those for which they are now used.

Inasmuch as the uses to which these buildings will be put is unknown at this time, we cannot estimate either the cost of remodeling or the annual cost of operation and maintenance. The following figures do not indicate any costs in connection with the existing buildings except a sum required for the prevention of physical deterioration.

Based on a four year construction program
average annual debt service requirement for
21 years\$2,139,517

Based on a report prepared by the staff
of the San Francisco General Hospital, upon
completion of the work to be accomplished
under the proposed bond issue, the annual
operating costs are estimated to be affected as
follows:

Patient care currently provided in San Francisco General Hospital not planned to be accommodated in the proposed facility but is planned to be provided in other hospitals on a contractual basis—

Medical and Surgical (expense increase) 2,338,890

Tuberculosis (expense increase) 498,020

Additional care planned to be provided in new
out-patient facilities (expense increase) 1,476,736

Revenue from State related to medical assistance now given to the aged (MAA)-patient care planned to be provided in other hospitals
(revenue decrease) 795,541

San Francisco General Hospital staff estimated that the operating and maintenance costs of the proposed facility would be approximately equal to like costs of the existing plant.

Maintenance of existing plant in "moth ball" condition (expense increase) 182,928

Total\$7,431,632

Based on the continuance of present law and appropriation of funds by the State—

75% of the cost of additional psychiatric care (revenue increase)\$1,674,701

Net amount of recurring costs which are equivalent to thirty four and nine hundredths (34.09) cents in the tax rate.....\$5,756,931

HARRY D. ROSS, Controller
City and County of San Francisco

PROPOSITION B

War Memorial Center Bonds, 1965. To incur a bonded indebtedness in the sum of \$29,000,000 for additions and improvement of the War Memorial Center of the City and County of San Francisco.

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION B

Vote "Yes" on Proposition B

Nothing has brought San Francisco more lasting world acclaim than her majestic Civic Center War Memorial. It has been peace maker and pace setter. It has been the gathering place for the world's leaders, and a magnificent Mecca for the world's most talented artists.

The War Memorial's Opera House and Veterans Building were birthplace for the United Nations and for the Japanese Peace Treaty. Both buildings have established international standards for excellence in opera, symphony, ballet, painting, sculpture.

Most of all, the War Memorial, constructed more than three decades ago, has benefited all San Franciscans. It has provided a spectacular variety of musicals, concerts, ballets, shows, veteran's activities—attractions of all kinds for everyone's enjoyment. It has added to San Francisco's priceless heritage as the world's favorite city.

It has contributed tremendously to San Francisco's financial well being. Outstanding achievement inevitably attracts even greater success and opens new opportunities.

The Opera House and the Veterans Building, however, are becoming increasingly inadequate to history's latest challenge—the cultural explosion. There has been an amazing awakening to the arts. Americans now are spending one-third more to see the musical and theatrical arts than for spectator sports. The spectrum of attractions constantly expands. Audiences multiply.

Vote "Yes" on B

The Opera House and the Veterans Building, built in 1933, cannot accommodate the new demands. Nor, increasingly, can they meet the requirements of their historic occupants—the world-renowned San Francisco Opera, Symphony, Ballet and Museum of Art. Opera House seasons overlap. Rehearsals exclude paying performances.

Proposition B, with two-thirds of the vote on November 2, will insure for generations to come San Francisco's traditional pre-eminence as the cultural center of the West. The \$29 million bond issue will rehabilitate the aging Opera House and Veterans Building and will add a Musical Arts Building.

Together, the three stately buildings will make an impressive, integrated complex to serve conveniently, in one location, all San Franciscans. The War Memorial Center will be a splendid backdrop to Civic Center, and will be, because of its grandeur, an international attraction in itself.

Vote "Yes" on B

San Francisco, since the Gold Rush, has been an exciting, vital cultural and commercial hub around which all The West has grown and prospered. The arts have bestowed a special glow that has been San Francisco's enduring halo and greatest attraction. Great corporations locate here. Gifted

men and women come here to live. Unique skills such as those of the members of the 35 labor unions employed in the complex, find expression. Tourists add millions of dollars. Paychecks in every neighborhood benefit. New jobs are created, and old ones are strengthened.

Proposition B's enthusiastic endorsement by the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce and the San Francisco Labor Council—as well as the Opera Guild, Symphony Association, Ballet Guild, the Museum of Art, the Spring Opera and many other organizations—clearly indicates the magnitude of its popular support.

But, many cities now are recognizing what San Francisco has historically developed—the rising expectations of Americans in all walks of life for the fun and fulfillment the arts so abundantly give. Many cities—Detroit, Boston, Philadelphia, Dallas, Oklahoma City, Los Angeles, Washington D. C.—now are spending millions for centers to siphon talent—and the business dollars they generate—from the traditional leaders: San Francisco and New York.

Proposition B will make the War Memorial “the envy of the world.” The Opera House and the Veterans Building provide the stirring setting. The addition of the Musical Arts Building will create a complex which could not be duplicated for many times the cost of the bond issue.

Vote “Yes” on B

Rehabilitation of the venerable Opera House and Veterans Building is as urgent as is construction of the Musical Arts Building. Sandblasting and painting have kept the exterior of the two buildings imposing, but San Francisco has not been able to combat obsolescence inside. Deterioration has set in, and could be dangerous.

Walls and ceilings are cracked by age and earthquakes. Skylights leak. Walkways are uneven. Plumbing is old and wiring makeshift. Elevators are antiquated. Seats are worn and uncomfortable. Stage equipment is hopelessly obsolete.

Proposition B will correct these grave and growing faults and should spike, once and for all, the damaging pessimism and rumors of San Francisco's supposed cultural decay.

The Museum of Art on the top floor, which now rivals the attendance of the New York and Boston museums, will be remodeled. Jig-saw partitions will be removed and storage areas, which now are considered “dangerous” to works of art, will be thoroughly renovated.

The Musical Arts Building will be behind the Opera House and linked to it and the Veterans' Building by covered walkways and the present lovely War Memorial Courtyard. Its architecture will be in keeping with the other buildings of the War Memorial. It will have a music hall midway in size between the 3250-seat Opera House and the 1100-seat auditorium in the Veterans Building. In addition, it will house a separate rehearsal stage for the opera, symphony and ballet.

Rehearsals now keep the Opera House dark—and profitless—as much as six months of the year. This loss is tragic and costly, not only in terms of revenues lost because big productions go elsewhere, but to San Franciscans who thereby miss some of the world's finer attractions.

Vote “Yes” on B

Franklin Street will be put underground to keep the center an unbroken whole and easy access will be provided from all parts of the community. A restaurant, coffee shop and 500-car underground garage in the new Musical Arts Building will add to the convenience of the thousands who will attend the center, and the growing number of people now working in the Civic Center area.

The center—with its variety of stages, its major building free of elaborate and lengthy rehearsals—will be able to offer a matchless variety of exciting matinee and evening productions all year long for all San Franciscans.

The wonderfully enriching special concerts, operas and educational programs for thousands of San Francisco school children can be enhanced.

In this regard, President John F. Kennedy, who did so much for the arts, said: "I see little of more importance to the future of our country and our civilization than full recognition of the place of the artist . . . art establishes the basic human truths which must serve as the touchstones of our judgment."

There are no frills in the plan for the War Memorial Center:

Rehabilitation and Modernization.....	\$ 9,900,000
Musical Arts Building.....	\$10,750,000
500-car Garage.....	\$ 3,040,000
Franklin Street Underpass and streets.....	\$ 1,610,000
Land.....	\$ 3,700,000
Total.....	\$29,000,000

The plan is sound and reasonable—a dramatic dream, first included in the 1958 Master Plan, now on the threshold of reality after more than 18 months of intensive planning and review by architects, the City Planning Commission, the Board of Supervisors, the Citizens Downtown Bond Screening Committee, Mayor John F. Shelley and his Administration and neighborhood leaders from throughout San Francisco.

But, any delay in its construction will add millions to the cost later.

San Francisco is the world's favorite city—Let's keep her that way.

Vote "Yes" on Proposition "B"

Proposition "B" has been endorsed by:

Richard A. Bancroft	Charles Kennedy
The Very Reverend Dean C. Julian Bartlett	Judge Joseph G. Kennedy
S. Clark Beise	William Kent, III
William J. Bird	Donald B. King
Phillip Boone	Ted Kukula
Matthew J. Boxer	Louis Kruger
Fred Campagnoli	Miguel Leite
William Jack Chow	Putnam Livermore
Arthur Coleman, M. D.	James Ludwig
Richard P. Cooley	Robert D. Mackenzie
Mrs. Carmen J. Dominguez	Cyril Magnin
George T. Davis	Mrs. Hugh Maguire
Tony Devencenzi	James Mailliard
Madlyn Smyth Day	Assemblyman Milton Marks
Dan Del Carlo	Wilson Meyer
Mrs. Stuart Dodge	Assemblyman Charles Meyers
W. F. Doon	Emerson O. Midyett
Adolfo de Urioste	Robert Watt Miller
Roberta Fenlon, M. D.	John Molinari
Mortimer Fleishhacker, Jr.	Lawrence Palacios
Assemblyman John Francis Foran	Mrs. William Lister Rogers
Tony Gaetani	Sam Sayad
Dr. Robert Grosso	Mayor John F. Shelley
Mrs. Walter Haas	Evelyn D. Spellman
Gregory Harrison	Ralph J. A. Stern
Reverend Robert F. Hayburn, Mus.	John E. Sullivan
D., F.A.G.O.	Simon Toulouse
Samuel K. Harrison	Dorothy von Beroldingen
Robert Hornby	Yori Wada
Harold Hubbard	Emmet F. Walt
Rabbi Irving I. Hausman	Malcolm S. M. Watts, M.D.
Claude Jinkerson	Greater San Francisco Chamber of
George Johns	Commerce

Regional Arts Council of the San Francisco Bay Area
 Retail Clerks Union
 San Francisco Ballet Guild
 San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council
 San Francisco Labor Council
 San Francisco Museum of Art
 San Francisco Opera Association
 San Francisco Symphony Association
 Spanish Speaking Citizens Foundation
 Spring Opera of San Francisco
 The Society of Early San Franciscans

Upper Noe Valley Improvement Association
 War Memorial, Board of Trustees
 North Beach Merchants & Boosters Association
 Retail Dry Goods Association of San Francisco
 Retail Merchants Association
 San Francisco Musical Club
 Bayview Merchants Association
 City Planning Commission
 The Down Town Association
 Irving Street Merchants Association

On September 7, 1965, the Board of Supervisors authorized the foregoing argument for inclusion in the election pamphlet for November 2, 1965, by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Boas, Casey, Ertola, Francois, McCarthy, McMahon, Morrison, Moscone, Tamaras, Tinney.

ROBERT J. DOLAN Clerk

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION "B"

Vote No on Proposition "B"

Keep Your Taxes Down — Vote No on Proposition "B"

This extravagant proposition is badly planned and will result in increased taxes and rents over the next 20 years.

The present two buildings in the War Memorial Center were born through private initiative. Subscriptions totaling \$2 million were contributed. In Los Angeles, two-thirds the cost of the \$33.5 million center was raised by donations, the remainder by revenue bonds. The Los Angeles center will not cost taxpayers there a cent.

The opera, symphony and ballet serve a limited audience. But the cost of Proposition "B" must be borne by all San Francisco Taxpayers.

The "Establishment" in San Francisco wants a musical arts building—the major expense in the issue — involving the expenditure of some \$20,000,000.00. If the city needs such a building, let it be provided by the initiative of its potential patrons, as was the case in Los Angeles and with the San Francisco Opera House and Veterans Building originally.

The Opera House needs some fixing up. The "Establishment" has badly neglected it for the last 30 years. Two or three million dollars could take care of that. But the "Establishment" is asking the tax payer to pay at least \$20,000,000.00 for a building that nobody wants or understands.

Keep Your Taxes Down — Vote No on Proposition "B"

History has shown that the projected figure—\$29 million—will not be sufficient to complete the project. In New York, for example, the initial estimate of the "Establishment" was \$55 million for the erection of Lincoln Center. It wound up costing \$160 million.

In San Francisco, Candlestick Park needed, for completion, \$5 million in excess of the original issue. The Palace of Fine Arts required an additional \$850,000 from the 1964-1965 budget after the \$1.8 million bond issue was approved in 1959. Don't be fooled again.

The politicians are aware of this. They add an "invisible" 10 per cent to every project built with public money.

Keep Your Taxes Down — Vote No on Proposition "B"

Proposition "B" will cost considerably more than the \$29 million claimed by the "Establishment." Interest alone will amount to one-third

that amount—\$9,701,825.00. This will raise the tax rate more than 10 cents for every \$100 assessed valuation.

Then there is the annual loss at the opera house and veterans building. The "Establishment" has ignored this. The loss this year was \$436,306.00. The taxpayer had to pay the tab. If Proposition "B" is approved, the loss will be increased. The published plans for the musical arts building do not include a projected profit and loss statement, which is basic to any preliminary consideration of a taxpayer supported institution.

San Francisco may need a musical arts building, but there has been no comprehensive study made. There hasn't even been an inquiry to the major foundations to explore the possibility of getting matching funds to relieve the taxpayer's burden, as was the case in New York with Lincoln Center. Foundations gave \$65 million to Lincoln Center.

Keep Your Taxes Down — Vote No on Proposition "B"

A typical feature of the unsound planning behind this proposition is the 500 car garage slated for the center. Cost per stall is \$6,080.00—almost double the price per stall at the Civic Center Plaza, and significantly higher than any other now completed City built or operated parking lot. The report by the architects claims the underground garage is needed because opera and symphony goers wear dress clothing when they attend their gala affairs at the Opera House. They shouldn't be exposed to the elements. Should the taxpayer assume this additional \$3 million liability for the "Establishment"?

Keep Your Taxes Down — Vote No on Proposition "B"

Another haphazard aspect of Proposition "B" is the site planned for the Musical Arts Building—behind the existing buildings. It will require an additional \$3,700,000.00 for land and a \$1,500,000.00 underpass on Franklin Street. This is an unnecessary expense because there is school district owned land available adjacent to the Opera House which would not cost a dime. A parking lot occupies the space now.

Keep Your Taxes Down — Vote No on Proposition "B"

Still another foolish item in this ill conceived plan is the \$2,000,000.00 renovation of the Museum of Art on the fourth floor of the Veterans Building. The Museum is in an obscure, remote location. Mayor John F. Shelley on July 16, 1965 told trustees of the War Memorial buildings that the museum "is lost where it is," and he suggested that it might be relocated someday in the main library in Civic Center. Why compound the absurdity of the present location by spending another \$2,000,000.00 of taxpayers' money? The Museum would still suffer from inadequate room for expansion.

Keep Your Taxes Down — Vote No on Proposition "B"

The issue is not whether the Opera House should be repaired. The "Establishment" has used this as a red herring to shove at least \$24,000,000.00 worth of construction that nobody wants or understands down the throat of the taxpayer.

This proposition "B" is a war between the "Establishment" and the taxpayers. Don't be fooled—keep your taxes down.

This argument is sponsored by the Citizens Committee for NO on B,
LOUIS R. LURIE, Chairman

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION "B"

Vote No on Proposition "B" the \$29,000,000 Bond Proposal for the War Memorial Center:

We urge you to vote NO on Proposition "B" for the following reasons:

1. This large expenditure, costing over \$38 million with interest, is not San Francisco's most urgent need compared with the need for railway mod-

ernization, airport facilities, recreation and park facilities, proposed new sports and recreation center and others.

2. Acquisition of private property (to be removed from the tax rolls) will have a high cost estimated at \$3,700,000; a square block of city owned property just across the street from the Opera House is now used only for parking.

3. There is \$2 million earmarked for the Museum of Art in this issue. Even if this money were used as outlined the Museum would still be in a poor fourth-floor location and future showings would not be greatly enhanced.

4. Expensive and questionable frills such as \$1,342,200 for air-conditioning in the Veterans Building and Opera House are included.

5. The proposed 500-car garage would cost \$6,080 per stall—higher than any of the other city-connected garage enterprises now in operation. The nearby Civic Center garage has required a city subsidy for several years.

6. The War Memorial now requires \$436,000 in tax support. These new facilities may increase this loss. Bond interest and redemption alone will cost \$1,935,092 a year, equal to .1146¢ in the tax rate.

While our organizations recognize the desirability of improvements to these cultural facilities, we believe that Proposition "B" should be rejected by the voters. The proposal was hastily drawn and as far as we could determine did not give any consideration to possible alternate proposals which would be less costly to the taxpayers.

This argument is sponsored by the following organizations:

Apartment House Associations Consolidated

Building Owners and Managers Association of San Francisco

San Francisco Real Estate Board

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

San Francisco United Against Proposition B

There has been a concerted attempt to identify this Bond Issue for \$29 million worth of construction with the San Francisco Opera Guild and San Francisco Symphony. The continuance and excellence of these two groups are not the issue. The issue is the expenditure of \$18,900,000 for a 2200-2700 seat hall and 500 stall garage and \$4,100,000 for the renovation of the Veteran's Memorial Building.

The issue is not whether the Opera House be renovated, at a cost of \$5,800,000 so that San Francisco will have a home for Opera, Symphony and the Ballet, but should these organizations be used as a wedge to get \$24,200,000 worth of construction that nobody wants or understands. For a mere fraction of this sum, look what we could have for the benefit of all San Franciscans!

Use of the Palace of Fine Arts, which already has 75% of the building facilities bought and paid for, and could become what its name already implies.

Building of 500 seat performing arts theatres in your neighborhood where low priced, high-quality entertainment could be presented for your enjoyment and would encourage local talent to stay in San Francisco.

If Proposition B passes, all conceivable cultural money will be eliminated for 20 years to come.

Who was consulted by the powers behind Proposition B? None of the local talent groups were. Not the Actor's Workshop, not the Regional Arts Council, not the National Arts Council (which means no possibility of Federal aid), not even the San Francisco Art Commission, which is supposed to be the cultural arm of your city government. And this is

why the bond screening committee of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors elected not to place Proposition B on the ballot.

SPUR (San Francisco Planning and Urban Renewal) asks 18 pertinent probing questions. Among them:

1. Have the cultural facilities proposed in the Bond Issue been related to overall cultural needs in San Francisco and the Bay Area? (The answer is "No")

2. Have general priorities of need for cultural facilities been set? (The answer is "No")

3. What San Francisco and Bay Area planning agencies were involved in the planning process and when? (The answer is "None")

A professional member of your San Francisco Art Commission asked the following pertinent questions:

1. How much of their own money were the season-ticket opera-goers willing to give for improvements to the opera house as they did in Los Angeles and New York? (The answer is "Not One Cent")

2. What inquiries were made into the possibilities of receiving Federal aid or foundation support, as Los Angeles and New York received for their cultural centers? (The answer is "None")

The San Francisco cultural lag is a human problem, not a construction problem. Join us in defeating this multi-million dollar subsidy to an exclusive clique who wants you to pay for its exclusive luxury.

Vote No on Proposition B

This argument is sponsored by

Mr. Henry Arian
Dr. Richard Barr
Melvin Belli, Esq.
Mr. Stanley P. Berney
Mr. Harold B. Brooks, Jr.
Mr. Harold Edward Brooks
Nathan Cohn, Esq.
Mr. Sol E. Cooper
Mr. Richard S. Dinner
The Rev. Lewis E. Durham
Mr. Jeremy M. Ets-Hokin
Hon. Louis Ets-Hokin
Mr. Jacques Fabert
Mr. Jerome Flax
Dan L. Garrett, Jr., Esq.
Robert Gonzales, Esq.
Mrs. Ruth Gottstein

Mr. Marshall Kaplan
Dr. Leon Kolb
Hon. Robert S. Lauter
Mr. Louis R. Lurie
Mr. Jerry Mander
Hon. Tito Patri
Mr. Zev Putterman
Mrs. Mary Salazar
Miss Janet Sassoon
Mr. Henry Schubart
Mr. Maury Schwarz
Mrs. Osceola Washington
Mr. Harry Wentworth
The Rev. A. Cecil Williams
Mrs. Ruth Williams
Mr. Edward Zelinsky

CONTROLLER'S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 183 PROPOSITION "B"

War Memorial Center Bonds, 1965. To incur a bonded indebtedness in the sum of \$29,000,000 for additions and improvement of the war memorial center of the city and county of San Francisco.

Should the proposed bond issue be authorized and when all bonds shall have been issued on a fifteen year basis, and after consideration of the interest rates related to current municipal bond sales and using the 1965-1966 assessment roll as the basis for calculating the effect upon the tax rate, in my opinion, the approximate cost and effect on the tax rate would be as follows:

Bond redemption	\$29,000,000
Interest requirement	9,701,825
Total debt service requirement.....	<u>\$38,701,825</u>

Based on a report prepared for the Board of Trustees, War Memorial of San Francisco, upon completion of the work to be accomplished under the proposed bond issue, it is our considered judgment that revenues from the proposed new and reconstructed facilities can be increased so as to more than offset the estimated increase in operating and maintenance expense.

The estimated average amount required to pay the interest on the bonds and redemption thereof would be approximately \$1,935,092 annually for 20 years.

This amount of \$1,935,092 is equivalent to eleven and forty-six hundredths (11.46) cents in the tax rate.

HARRY D. ROSS, Controller
City and County of San Francisco

PROPOSITION C

Adds Section 158.01: Empowers Board of Supervisors to enact ordinances relative to retirement and death benefits of City employees.

CHARTER AMENDMENT

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said city and county by adding Section 158.01 thereto, relating to retirement and death benefits.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county at an election to be held therein on November 2, 1965 a proposal to amend the charter of said city and county by adding Section 158.01 thereto, to read as follows:

Section 158.01 The board of supervisors is hereby empowered to enact by a vote of a majority of its members an ordinance or ordinances providing retirement and death benefits under the retirement system, in addition to the retirement and death benefits provided by this Charter; all of which benefits provided by this Charter are hereby declared to be minimum benefits only; provided that the board of supervisors shall secure, through the retirement board, an actuarial report of the cost and effect of any proposed change in the benefits before enacting an ordinance providing for such benefits.

Contributions to the retirement system necessary to provide benefits enacted pursuant to this section, if applicable to members under Section 165.2, 168.1 or 171.1 shall be provided in the manner stated in Section 165.2(H), Section 168.1.10, or Section 171.1.10, respectively; or if applicable to members under Section 165, according to methods prescribed by the board of supervisors. Any additional benefits provided by such ordinance and which are applicable to beneficiaries who, on the effective date of such ordinance, are already receiving allowances under the retirement system shall be funded according to methods prescribed by the board of supervisors.

Ordered Submitted:—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Aug. 9, 1965.

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Boas, Ertola, Francois, McCarthy, McMahon, Morrison, Moscone, Tamaras, Tinney.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Charter Amendment was ordered

submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION "C"

Proposition C does not cost the taxpayer one single penny.

It is fair to all: to the taxpayer, to the city employee, to our city government.

Proposition C proposes a simple direct method of setting death and retirement benefits—just that, nothing more.

It authorizes the Board of Supervisors, after actuarial study and public hearing, to set retirement benefits for city employees, just as it now determines their wages and working conditions and other benefits.

Proposition C proposes to use facts in place of emotion, public hearings instead of political propaganda, careful and impartial investigation rather than campaign slush funds.

It is the same method that fixes retirement benefits for nearly a million public employees of Federal, state, and local governments in California. Not a single county in the state uses the complicated, old-fashioned method now required in San Francisco.

Proposition C maintains rigid safeguards over the city's retirement funds. Every safeguard now in the charter remains without change. At the same time, it provides a fair and democratic means of protecting the legitimate concerns of the taxpayer and the valid claim of the city employee—a more careful, a more direct method for the citizens of San Francisco to control their employees' retirement program.

For the past twenty years, the city employees' retirement benefits have been a political football—kicked one way by employees who felt they had a valid claim to improvement, booted in the other direction by self-serving interest groups who often resist any improvement, no matter how justified it may be.

The result has been injustice and unfairness to city employees, indignity and suffering to many who have retired—without insuring the taxpayer that he was getting full value for his dollar.

Proposition C ends the nightmare of politics and pensions—confusing, complicated, often unintelligible ballot propositions—endless political campaigns. It ends an unnecessary, wasteful expense to taxpayers, to city employees, and to the city itself.

Proposition C is the fair, the democratic, the wise way to handle the problem.

Proposition "C" has been endorsed by:

Construction & General Laborers' Union Local 261

Municipal Improvement League

Retirement Board, San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System

San Francisco Building and Construction Trades Council

San Francisco Labor Council AFL-CIO

1965 San Francisco County Grand Jury

George W. Johns

On September 7, 1965, the Board of Supervisors authorized the foregoing argument for inclusion in the election pamphlet for November 2, 1965, by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Boas, Casey, Ertola, Francois, McCarthy, McMahon, Morrison, Moscone, Tamaras, Tinney.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION "C"

Vote "No" on "C." Don't Give Up Your Right to Vote on Multi-Million Dollar Retirement Proposals.

City employee groups call "C" a "retirement proposition to end all retirement propositions." If "C" passes you would probably never get a chance to vote on questions involving millions of dollars of your money. Decisions would be made in supervisors' meeting rooms while taxpayers are busy earning a living. You would feel the effects in your pocketbook.

Vote "No" on "C" because pension schemes you have already rejected with your vote can be revived in a new form. Voters have turned down numerous costly pension proposals. If "C" is approved, a simple majority of the eleven member board of supervisors could approve many costly proposals of the kind you have rejected.

Vote "No" on "C" because it is a calculated move by organized city employee groups to take away your control over pension proposals. There are over 25,000 active and retired employees. The employees stated in their argument that Proposition "C" does not cost the taxpayer "one single penny." This is an insult to your intelligence. Because the possibly staggering costs that could follow from "C" are unknown, they tell you it does not cost a penny.

Vote "No" on "C"

Don't give the Supervisors a blank check. There is no known cost reported for "C" because there is no way of determining how greatly retirement costs might be increased by the supervisors in the future. Under this proposal all present charter benefits would be "minimum." "C" is a blank check for increased spending!

Vote "No" on "C" because your taxes are already too high! The \$10.168 tax rate now in effect is the highest in history. Approval of open-end proposals like "C" could send your taxes skyrocketing.

Vote "No" on "C"

It is imperative that you make your vote count against the city employee bloc that would deprive you of the right to vote on costly pension questions. Don't vote a blank check!

This argument is sponsored by The San Francisco Municipal Conference.

LLOYD E. GRAYBIEL, Chairman

The San Francisco Municipal Conference is composed of the following organizations:

Apartment House Associations

Consolidated, Inc.

Building Owners and Managers Association

California Northern Hotel Association

Down Town Association

San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

San Francisco Junior Chamber of Commerce

San Francisco Real Estate Board

CONTROLLER'S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 183 PROPOSITION "C"

Adds Section 158.01: Empowers Board of Supervisors to enact ordinances relative to retirement and death benefits of city employees.

Should the proposed charter amendment be adopted, it is our opinion that it would not, of itself, create any additional costs but as a product of its future application, additional costs may be created that are not determinable at this time.

HARRY D. ROSS, Controller
City and County of San Francisco

PROPOSITION D

Amends Section 151.6: Authorizes Board of Supervisors to provide for replacement costs of personal property stolen from certain uniformed officers and employees and relieves such officers and employees from liability for entrusted funds stolen.

CHARTER AMENDMENT

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the charter of said city and county by amending Section 151.6 thereof to provide that the board of supervisors may provide by ordinance for the payment of the costs of replacing various items of stolen personal property of certain uniformed officers and employees and for release of liability for, and replacement of, public funds stolen from any such officer or employee.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county at an election to be held therein on November 2, 1965, a proposal to amend the charter of said city and county by amending Section 151.6 thereof to read as follows:

NOTE: Additions are indicated by bold-face type; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Section 151.6. Notwithstanding the provisions of sections 35.5.1, 36.2, 150, 151, 151.3, or any other provision of this charter, the board of supervisors may provide by ordinance for the payment of the costs of replacing or repairing equipment, property, or prostheses of any uniformed officer or employee of the police department, fire department, sheriff's office or municipal railway, such as, but not confined to, eyeglasses, hearing aids, dentures, watches, or articles of clothing necessarily worn or carried by such employee when any such items are **stolen or** damaged in the line of duty without fault of the employee. If the items are damaged beyond repair, the actual value of such items may be paid. The value of such items shall be determined as of the time of the damage thereto.

In the event public funds entrusted to any such employee are stolen, without fault of the employee, the board of supervisors may provide by ordinance for the release of liability of such employee for said public funds and for the replacement, where necessary, of such funds in the employee's possession.

The board by a three-fourths vote of all of its members may make similar provision in relation to any other officer or employee where it finds that the damage or loss was occasioned by unusual circumstances or the occurrence of an extraordinary event.

The board is authorized to enact any and all ordinances necessary to carry out the provisions of this section.

Ordered submitted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Aug. 23, 1965.

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Boas, Casey, Ertola, Francois, McCarthy, McMahon, Morrison, Tamaras, Tinney.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Charter Amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION "D"

"Proposition D" is, to our knowledge, not opposed by any important segment or group in our City.

Month in, month out, year in, year out, in the newspapers you have read stories of drivers of Municipal Railway who have been beaten by thugs and robbed. Their clothing has been torn, eye glasses broken and on many runs, they stand in constant peril of thefts and robberies.

Because of the peculiarities of our City Charter, it has been possible to reimburse the employees for damage to their clothing and personal possessions in the course of attacks and, in the event of certain types of theft, we are able to assist the employees through reimbursement. But, because of the peculiarities of our City Charter, it has not been possible for the City to help the employees bear losses in other types of thefts even though the employee has been without fault and in line with duty.

This Charter Amendment merely corrects a technical deficiency to help the employees bear the loss of limited personal possessions, clothing and the change fund entrusted to them when such items are stolen without fault of the employee and in line of duty. The Board of Supervisors, by ordinance, may establish the ground rules for the release of liability for employees whose change fund has been stolen without personal fault.

Other transit companies in cities in other parts of the United States protect employees to the extent sought by this Charter Amendment. It is only fair and just for the City of San Francisco to assist the employees in these tragic incidents by the amendment here proposed.

Vote YES on "Proposition D"

This argument is sponsored by Carmens Union Local No. 1380, A.F.L.-C.I.O. Thomas W. McGrath, President.

Proposition D has been endorsed by:

John P. Clarke
Daniel Del Carlo
Eddie Havens
George W. Johns
Public Utilities Commission
San Francisco Building and Construction Trades Council
San Francisco Labor Council
Amalgamated Transit Union Division 1380, A.F.L.-C.I.O.

On September 20, 1965, the Board of Supervisors authorized the foregoing argument for inclusion in the election pamphlet for November 2, 1965, by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Boas, Casey, Ertola, Francois, McCarthy, McMahon, Morrison, Moscone, Tamaras, Tinney.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

CONTROLLER'S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 183 PROPOSITION "D"

Amends Section 151.6: Authorizes Board of Supervisors to provide for replacement costs of personal property stolen from certain uniformed officers and employees and relieves such officers and employees from liability for entrusted funds stolen.

Should the proposed charter amendment be adopted, it is our opinion that it does not, of itself, create any additional costs but as a product of its future application, additional costs may be created that are not determinable at this time.

HARRY D. ROSS, Controller
City and County of San Francisco

PROPOSITION E

Amends Section 125: Provides that platform men and bus operators who work less than forty hours per week shall be paid the difference between hours worked and forty hours under certain specified conditions. Deletes obsolete language.

CHARTER AMENDMENT

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the charter of said city and county by amending Section 125 thereof to provide that platform men and bus operators shall be paid an amount sufficient to equal the compensation for forty hours a week where the actual earnings are less than that amount, provided that each such platform man and bus operator reports ready for work on each day of his regularly assigned work week, and deleting obsolete language.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county at an election to be held therein on November 2, 1965, a proposal to amend the charter of said city and county by amending Section 125 thereof, to read as follows:

NOTE: Additions are indicated by **bold-face type**; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

EMPLOYMENTS

Section 125. All employees engaged in public utility work at the time this charter shall go into effect, and who have been permanently appointed to their respective positions in conformity with the civil service provisions of this charter, shall become employees of the public utilities commission under the classification held by each such employee at such time. All persons employed in the operating service of any public utility hereafter acquired by the city and county, at the time the same is taken over by the city and county, and who shall have been so employed for at least one year prior to the date of such acquisition, shall be continued in their respective positions and shall be deemed appointed to such positions, under, and entitled to all the benefits of, the civil service provisions of this charter; provided, however, that no person who is not a citizen of the United States shall be so continued in or appointed to his position. All persons residing outside the city and county claiming the benefit of this provision and who are not engaged on such utility work outside of the limits of the city and county shall be allowed a reasonable time, not exceeding one year, to become residents of the city and county.

Persons employed as platform men or bus operators in the operating department of the municipal railway system shall be subject to the following conditions of employment: The basic hours of labor shall be eight hours, to be completed within ten consecutive hours; there shall be one day of rest in each week of seven days; all labor performed in excess of eight hours in any one day, or six days in any one week, shall be paid for at the rate of time and one-half.

((For the fiscal year beginning July 1, 1957, the basic hours of labor shall be eight hours to be completed within ten consecutive hours, provided however, in alternate weeks, there shall be two days of rest, consecutive

where practicable, and all labor performed in excess of eight hours in any one day, or after a spread of ten consecutive hours in any one day, or five days in any one of such alternate weeks, shall be paid for at the rate of time and one-half.))

For the fiscal year beginning July 1, 1958, and thereafter, the basic hours of labor shall be eight hours, to be completed within ten consecutive hours, and there shall be two days off, consecutive where practicable, in each week. All labor performed in excess of eight hours in any one day, or after a spread of ten consecutive hours in any one day, or five days in any one week, shall be paid for at the rate of time and one-half.

On and after July 1, 1966 platform men and bus operators shall be provided a minimum of forty hours of work per week, where practicable, and, in the event forty hours of work in any one week are not available for any such platform man or bus operator and his actual earnings for any such week are less than the amount he would have earned by working forty hours at straight time in such week, then, and in that event, any such platform man or bus operator shall be paid a sum that will equal the difference between his actual earnings in any such week and the amount he would have earned by working forty hours at straight time in such week, at the then prevailing hourly rate of pay; provided, however, no such platform man or bus operator shall be entitled to receive such difference unless on each day of his regularly assigned work week he reports at such times and places and in a manner to be prescribed by the public utilities commission, in uniform, ready, and able to work a minimum of eight hours. The board of supervisors shall enact any and all ordinances necessary to administer, interpret and regulate the provisions of this paragraph.

For purposes of the retirement system, any sums paid pursuant to the preceding paragraph shall be related to hours and minutes at straight time and so reported on time rolls and payrolls, and such time shall be credited as service rendered on the last day of the week for which such sum was paid.

Conductors and motormen may be assigned to duty as bus operators and while assigned to such duty they shall receive the compensation fixed for such service. Such assignment shall be governed by seniority of service, subject to a qualifying test by the railroad management as to competency and to state laws as to qualifications and licensing.

The public utilities commission shall have jurisdiction over the airport now being conducted by the City and County of San Francisco, as well as over any other airport which said city and county may hereafter acquire, maintain or operate. All employees, exclusive of the manager of the present San Francisco airport, who are actually employed at the present airport operated and maintained by the City and County of San Francisco on the effective date of this amendment and who have been continuously so employed for one year immediately preceding said date shall be continued in their respective positions as if appointed thereto after examination and certification from a list of eligibles and shall thereafter be governed by and be subject to the civil service provisions of this charter. All said employees so continued in their respective positions shall be eligible for like positions in any other airport hereafter acquired, maintained or operated by the City and County of San Francisco.

Ordered Submitted: Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Aug. 30, 1965.

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Boas, Casey, Ertola, McCarthy, Morrison, Moscone, Tamaras, Tinney.

Noes: Supervisors Francois, McMahon.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Charter Amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION E

The proud and enlightened City of San Francisco is lagging behind many cities in the United States in some of the economic benefits provided to their municipal carmen.

The passage of this charter amendment, for a guaranteed work week, would be a significant step toward correcting one of these inequities.

One of the outstanding developments in recent years with respect to the improvement of conditions of municipal transportation employees has been the widespread acceptance of the concept of a guaranteed work week. Experience has shown that efficiency and morale of the affected workers have increased in those municipalities which, through collective bargaining contracts or statutory enactments, guaranteed affected workers that they will enjoy the security of regular employment. This is especially true of so-called "extra" employees who desperately need a guarantee of steady employment.

A survey of major cities in the United States shows that the following now guarantee forty hours per week or the equivalent, to employees in positions comparable to the Municipal Carmen:

Akron, Baltimore, Buffalo, Chicago, Cleveland, Columbus, Detroit, Los Angeles, New York City, Kansas City, Oakland, Omaha, Milwaukee, Philadelphia, Rochester, Minneapolis and Washington, D. C.

For the foregoing reasons, we strongly urge a YES vote on Proposition E.

This argument is sponsored by Municipal Carmen's Union, Local 250 TWU, AFL-CIO. Edward A. Coleman, President.

Proposition E has been endorsed by:

Robert F. Callahan

John P. Clarke

Daniel F. Del Carlo

George W. Johns

Federation of Public Employees

San Francisco Building and Construction Trades Council

San Francisco Labor Council, A.F.L.-C.I.O.

On September 7, 1965, the Board of Supervisors authorized the foregoing argument for inclusion in the election pamphlet for November 2, 1965, by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Boas, Casey, Ertola, Francois, McCarthy, McMahon, Morrison, Moscone, Tamaras, Tinney.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

CONTROLLER'S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 183 PROPOSITION "E"

Amends Section 125: Provides that platform men and bus operators who work less than forty hours per week shall be paid the difference between hours worked and forty hours under certain specified conditions.

Deletes obsolete language.

Should the proposed charter amendment be adopted, based on a report by the Public Utilities Commission considering the current level of platform men and bus operator employment and current wage rates, it is estimated that the annual increase in the cost of government would be approximately

\$51,000. Based on the 1965-1966 assessment roll, said amount of \$51,000 is equivalent to thirty hundredths (0.30) of one cent in the tax rate.

HARRY D. ROSS, Controller
City and County of San Francisco

PROPOSITION F

Adds Section 142.6: Provides that Civil Service Commission may exempt certain temporary training and economic assistance positions and their immediate supervisors from Civil Service examination.

CHARTER AMENDMENT

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the charter of said city and county by adding section 142.6 thereto, relating to the manner in which temporary training positions shall be filled.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county at an election, to be held therein on November 2, 1965, a proposal to amend the charter of said city and county by adding section 142.6 thereto, reading as follows:

Temporary Training and Economic Assistance Positions

Section 142.6. When funds for temporary training and economic assistance positions in any department or office of the city and county or in the Unified School District are provided in whole or in part by federal or state agencies for the training and economic assistance of persons who meet the qualifications of the program or programs for which such funds are provided, and when the duties of such positions do not involve functions normally performed by persons appointed pursuant to the civil service provisions of this charter, said positions may be exempted from being filled through civil service examination procedures for such periods of time as the civil service commission shall order. Immediate supervisory positions established for the exclusive purpose of supervising training and economic assistance programs as set forth in this section, may be exempted from being filled through civil service examination procedures by rule of the Civil Service Commission. Persons appointed to positions described in this section shall not by virtue of service in such positions become members of the retirement system.

Ordered submitted: Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Aug. 30, 1965.

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Boas, Casey, Ertola, Francois, McCarthy, McMahon, Morrison, Moscone, Tamaras, Tinney.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Charter Amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION F

This charter amendment has been presented by the Civil Service Commission and has been approved for inclusion on the ballot by the Board of Supervisors. This proposal will not affect regular civil service employees

or civil service positions nor will it change existing civil service provisions of the charter.

Under the Economic Opportunity Act, funds have been made available by the federal government for the temporary assignment to city departments of a number of persons needing job training and/or economic assistance.

The proposed amendment would authorize the Civil Service Commission to exempt such training and economic assistance positions for temporary periods of time.

There is no way under existing charter provisions to participate in this program on a continuing basis and to take advantage of available federal funds unless these persons are exempted from existing Civil Service provisions of the charter.

If the positions are not exempted, the City and County's participation in the program would be endangered.

The Civil Service Commission requests your "Yes" vote on this Charter amendment.

Proposition F has been endorsed by:

Construction and General Laborers' Union Local No. 261
San Francisco Building and Construction Trades Council
San Francisco Joint I.L.W.U. Legislative Committee
San Francisco Labor Council
George W. Johns
Daniel F. Del Carlo

On September 7, 1965, the Board of Supervisors authorized the foregoing argument for inclusion in the election pamphlet for November 2, 1965, by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Boas, Casey, Ertola, Francois, McCarthy, McMahon, Morrison, Moscone, Tamaras, Tinney.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

PROPOSITION G

Amends Sections 35, 36 and 140: Increases membership of Police Commission, Fire Commission and Civil Service Commission from three to five members each.

CHARTER AMENDMENT

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the charter of said city and county by amending Sections 35, 36, and 140 relating to membership on the Police Commission, Fire Commission and Civil Service Commission.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county at an election to be held therein on November 2, 1965, a proposal to amend the charter of said city and county by amending Sections 35, 36, and 140 thereof, so that the same shall read as follows:

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are indicated by bold-face type; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Police Department

Section 35. The Police Department shall consist of a Police Commission, a Chief of Police, a police force and such clerks and employees as shall be

necessary and appointed pursuant to the provisions of this charter, and shall be under the management of a Police Commission consisting of ((three)) five members, who shall be appointed by the Mayor, and each of whom shall receive an annual compensation of twelve hundred dollars (\$1200). The term of such Commissioner shall be four years. ((, commencing at 12:00 o'clock noon on the 15th day of January in the years, 1945, 1946 and 1948, respectively.)) The incumbents serving as members of the Commission on the effective date of this amendment, **increasing the membership of the Commission**, shall continue to hold their respective positions subject to the provisions of the charter, for the remainder of the terms for which they have been respectively appointed.

The police commissioners shall be the successors in office of the police commissioners holding office in the city and county at the time this amendment shall become effective, and shall have all the powers and duties thereof, except as in this charter otherwise provided. They shall have power to regulate traffic by means of police officers and the emergency use of temporary signs or devices.

The traffic bureau is hereby established under the jurisdiction of the chief of police. The bureau shall be in charge of a traffic director, who shall have powers and duties relating to street traffic, subject to laws relating thereto and to the police commission, as follows: (a) to regulate all street traffic by means of police officers and the emergency use of temporary signs or devices; (b) to promote traffic safety education and to receive and give prompt attention to complaints in relation to street traffic and to refer all complaints relating to or arising from street design or from traffic devices, or the absence thereof, to the department of public works; (c) to collect and compile traffic accident data, copies whereof shall be furnished to the department of public works; (d) to cooperate and advise for the best performance of these functions, with the department of public works, the public utilities commission, the fire department, the department of city planning, the board of supervisors and other departments and agencies of the city and county and state as may be necessary; and (e) to review all proposed plans relating to street traffic control devices which are received from the department of public works and to make such recommendations to that department as may be deemed necessary for the proper regulation of street traffic within fifteen (15) days after receipt of said plans from the department of public works, pursuant to Section 107.1 of this charter.

The powers and duties of the traffic director hereinabove stated shall not modify to any extent the powers and duties of any department or office, but shall be, first for the purpose of assisting the police commission in its regulation of traffic, and, second, for the purpose of recommendation only, to other departments or offices upon matters within their jurisdiction, but affecting to any extent the regulation of traffic.

Fire Department

Section 36. The Fire Department shall be under the management of a Fire Commission, consisting of ((three)) five members, who shall be appointed by the Mayor, and each of whom shall receive an annual compensation of twelve hundred dollars (\$1200). The term of each Commissioner shall be four years. ((, commencing at 12:00 o'clock noon on the 15th day of January in the years of 1948, 1949, and 1950 respectively.)) **The incumbents serving as members of the Commission on the effective date of this amendment shall continue to hold their respective offices subject to the provisions of the charter, for the remainder of the terms for which they have been respectively appointed.**

The fire commission shall appoint a chief of department, a secretary and a department physician who shall hold office at its pleasure.

The fire commissioners shall be successors in office of the fire commissioners holding office in the city and county at the time this charter shall go into effect, and shall have all the powers and duties thereof, except as in this charter otherwise provided. The commissioners shall have power, upon recommendation of the chief of department, to send fire boats, apparatus and men outside the City and County of San Francisco for fire-fighting purposes.

Positions of officers and employees of the fire department legally authorized shall continue, and the incumbents therein legally appointed thereto shall continue as the officers and employees of the department under the conditions governing their respective appointments, and except as in this charter otherwise provided.

The several ranks in the fire department shall be: chief of department; deputy chief of department; chief, division of fire prevention and investigation; first assistant and second assistant chiefs of department; secretary to chief of department; battalion chiefs; supervisor of assignments, captains; lieutenants; inspector of fire department apparatus; engineers; chief's operators; drivers; tillermen; truckmen; hosemen; pilots of fire boats and marine engineers of fire boats; and the ranks specified in section 38.01 and 38.1 of this charter. The compensation for these ranks shall be determined as provided in section 36.2 of this charter.

The chief of department shall recommend and the fire commission shall provide by rule for work schedules or tours of duty for the officers and members occupying the several ranks of the fire department, provided however that all tours of duty established for officers and members assigned to the fire fighting companies, including the salvage corps, shall start at eight o'clock A.M. No such officer or member shall be required to work more than one hundred and twenty (120) hours in any fifteen-day period, nor shall any officer or member be required to work more than twenty-four consecutive hours except in case of a conflagration requiring the services of more than one-half of the force of the department. Officers and members may exchange watches with permission of the chief of department and time worked on such exchange of watches shall not be construed as time in violation of the limitation of 120 hours in any fifteen-day period nor twenty-four consecutive hours. Each such officer and each such member shall be entitled to at least one (1) day off duty during each week.

When, in the judgment of the fire commission, it is in the public interest that any such officer or member shall work on his day off and said officer or member consents to so work, he may at the direction of the chief of department work on said day off, and in addition to the regular compensation provided for said officer or member as set forth in this charter, said officer or member shall be entitled to be compensated at his regular rate of pay as provided for herein for said extra time served, or he shall be allowed the equivalent time off.

In any computation in the administration of the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System in which the compensation, as defined in any provisions relating to the retirement system, is a factor, compensation for overtime provided for in this section shall be excluded, and no such overtime compensation shall be deemed as compensation for any purpose relating to such retirement provisions.

On the recommendation of the chief of department, the commission may reward any officer or member of the department for heroic or meri-

torious conduct, the form or amount of said award to be discretionary with the fire commission, but not to exceed one month's salary in any one instance.

Officers and members of the uniformed force shall be entitled to the days declared to be holidays for employees whose compensations are fixed on a monthly basis in the schedule of compensations adopted by the board of supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of section 151 of the charter, as additional days off with pay. Officers or members required to perform service in said departments on said days shall be compensated on the basis of straight time as herein computed or shall be granted equivalent time off duty with pay in the judgment of the fire commission.

For payroll purposes, that portion of each tour of duty which falls within each calendar day shall constitute a single tour of duty. The rate of compensation for the service performed by officers or members on a holiday or for service performed on an assigned day off, as in this charter provided, shall be calculated by dividing the annual rates of pay for each fiscal year by the number of single tours of duty as scheduled for the several ranks in the fire fighting companies in said fiscal year.

The chief of department or, in his absence, the deputy chief or any assistant chief of department or, in their absence any battalion chief in charge, may, during a conflagration, cause to be cut down or otherwise removed any buildings or structures for the purpose of checking the progress of such conflagration.

The absence of any officer or member of the fire department on military leave of absence, as defined by section 153 of this charter, shall be reckoned a part of his service under the city and county, for the purpose of computing years of service in gaining added compensation as provided in this charter.

Civil Service Commission

Section 140. There is hereby established a Civil Service Commission which is charged with the duty of providing qualified persons for appointment to the service of the city and county. All appointments in the public service shall be made for the good of the public service and solely upon merit and fitness, as established by appropriate tests, without regard to partisan, political, social or other considerations.

The Civil Service Commission shall consist of ((three)) five members, appointed by the Mayor. The Commissioners in office at the time ((of the adoption of this charter, and this charter section as amended,)) **this amendment shall become effective shall continue in office until the expiration of the terms for which they were appointed, and their successors shall be appointed for terms of six years beginning on the first day of July immediately following the expiration of the terms for which they were appointed; provided, however, that the terms of appointment of the two additional members, whose offices are created by this amendment, shall expire on June 30, 1967, and their successors shall be appointed for terms of six years beginning on the first day of July immediately following.**

The persons so appointed shall, before taking office, make under oath and file in the office of the county clerk the following declaration: "I am opposed to appointments to the public service as a reward for political activity and will execute the office of civil service commissioner in the spirit of this declaration."

A commissioner may be removed only upon charges preferred in the same manner as in this charter provided for elective officers. Each of the commissioners shall receive a monthly salary of one hundred dollars (\$100).

Special meetings of the commission for the purpose of considering and

adopting examination questions shall not be open to the public. The regular meetings of the civil service commission shall be open to the public and held at such a time as will give the general public and employees of the city and county adequate time within which to appear before the commission after the regular daily working hours of 8 A.M. to 5 P.M. Such person or persons shall be given an opportunity to be heard by the commission before final action is taken in any case involving such person or persons.

Ordered Submitted: Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Aug. 30, 1965.

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Boas, Francois, McCarthy, Morrison, Moscone, Tamaras.

Noes: Supervisors Casey, Ertola, McMahon, Tinney.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Charter Amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk.

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION "G"

Proposition "G" is one of the most progressive steps taken in recent years to redraft in meaningful terms the City Charter. It will expand the respective memberships of the Police Commission, the Fire Commission and the Civil Service Commission to five members instead of three, with all the advantages and benefits to the people at large which go along with broader cross-representation of the various segments of our community.

Proposition "G" brings the Charter up-to-date. It adds consistency to our method of appointing commissions which serve as policymakers for their particular functions. It eliminates an inexplicable short-handedness which confronts the Police, Fire and Civil Service Commissions. All other City commissions have at least five members to share discharge of their increasingly demanding duties.

Proposition "G" will produce direct and substantial benefits for the public. It will enhance the proper administration of the departments. It will relieve the burdens on the commissions in the following ways, among others:

The present three-member commissions were created long before 1932 when the present Charter became effective. In our new era today, the greatly increased scope of municipal services, the expanded local services which our citizens expect and need, and the almost bewildering complexity of urban affairs demands that adequately-manned commissions be available to accommodate the day-to-day business which must be transacted. The Federal Government has recognized the need for specialized intensive treatment of these complex matters by creating a Department of Urban Affairs.

Five-member commissions will permit a larger, but still not unwieldy, number of members to delve into departmental problems and fix administrative policies.

Five-member commissions will enable commission responsibilities to be distributed to a greater extent among the members, who can thus devote a greater amount of time to assigned duties. This will be of particular value to the City in the handling of personnel problems which in growing numbers clutter commission calendars.

Five-member commissions will enable the members to assume more intensive investigatory functions, and they can personally develop facts for considered action in the best interests of their department rather than

rely completely on the reports of administrators who may not be wholly objective toward the employees involved or toward the public.

Five member commissions will not change the appointing power of the Mayor in any way, but it will enable him to take advantage of the opportunity to appoint more members representative of more areas and interests in the community. This will mean that where today a particular commission is deprived of representation from labor, or from the woman's viewpoint, or from racial, ethnic and religious groups, the additional members may give the City the benefit of their fresh ideas in keeping with the demands of the times.

Five-member commissions will provide greater assurance that the commissions will be available at all times to discharge their duties. Today, if more than one member is ill or absent from the City, the commission is out of business because a quorum is not present to act. With a five-member commission, two members may be unavailable and yet the commission will continue to function without impairment, at the instant needed.

Five-member commissions will up-date our democratic representative concepts of local government. In 1940, San Francisco's population was 634,536. About one out of twenty was Spanish-speaking or non-white. Today, San Francisco has a population of 755,700. About one out of three is Spanish-speaking or non-white.

The figures attest unequivocally to the need for more opportunities to bring to our commissions the insight, knowledge and understanding which is essential to adequate consideration and solution of the problems of these large minorities, to the best advantage of all the people of San Francisco.

Vote "Yes" on Proposition "G"—and give the people of San Francisco the benefit of broader cross-representation on City commissions.

Proposition G has been endorsed by:

Bay Area Urban League, Inc.
Catholic Interracial Council of the San Francisco Archdiocese
Chinese American Democratic Club, Inc.
Congress of Racial Equality
Construction and General Laborers' Union Local No. 261
Council for Civic Unity
Federated Young Democrats of San Francisco
NAACP—San Francisco Branch
Potrero Hill Homeowners and Residents Council
S. F. Greater Chinatown Community Service Association
San Francisco Joint I.L.W.U. Legislative Committee
Yan Wo Benevolent Association
Miscellaneous Culinary Employees' Union No. 110, A.F.L.-C.I.O.

On September 7, 1965, the Board of Supervisors authorized the foregoing argument for inclusion in the election pamphlet for November 2, 1965, by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Boas, Casey, Ertola, Francois, McCarthy, McMahon, Morrison, Moscone, Tamaras, Tinney.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION "G"

Vote No on G

There's no more need for five members on the Police, Fire and Civil Service Commissions than for five legs on a stool.

There is only one reason for Proposition G at this time and that reason is an insult to the Negro community of San Francisco. The reason is to provide a special seat for a Negro on these commissions. The sponsors of Propo-

sition G apparently don't think Negroes are good enough to qualify for commissions on their own.

We say this isn't so—we say that there are Negroes on several other city commissions without any special seats having been created for them. We say that the Negro community of San Francisco is producing citizens who are qualified for appointment to the Police, Fire and Civil Service Commissions—without having to have a reserved seat.

Vote No on G

What's more important to all of us—to the entire city, not just a special group—is that the issues at stake here in this political commission-packing scheme are not civil rights, but public safety and public employment.

The Charter calls for three members on each of these commissions because this is the most efficient number to deal swiftly and justly with police, fire and civil service problems. Five members makes fast action difficult. In the case of the Police and Fire Commissions especially, adding more members could be serious. They are frequently involved in major emergencies requiring speedy action for the protection of all parts of the public.

Some commissions have more members because they have more leisure to deal with their problems—like the Social Service Commission, the Board of Education, the Housing Authority, the Board of Permit Appeals. Incidentally, there's a Negro on each of these, but not for political reasons—it's because he or she deserved the job.

Vote No on G

Let's not play politics with public safety or public employment. Let's not insult minority groups with this kind of patronage. Vote NO on G.

Members on these commissions, past and present, agree they're not over-worked. They have proved they can handle their problems on a three-man basis. They don't need another half-dozen executives to clutter up the meetings and add to taxpayers' expense.

Proposition G downgrades our minority groups by implying that they need special preference. Vote NO on G. It's just another kind of segregation.

Vote NO on Proposition G, the commission-packing scheme.

This statement is sponsored by the CITIZENS AGAINST PROPOSITION G: Don Fazackerley, Chairman; William E. Allen, Marguerite Warren, Co-Chairmen. A partial list of members includes: Peter T. Angel, Paul Bissinger, Frederick B. Butler, Allan E. Charles, Arthur J. Dolan, Jr., Charles W. Dullea, Thomas Gray, Charles R. Greenstone, Walter McGovern, Howard M. McKinley, Harold R. McKinnon, Max Sobel, Jerd Sullivan, Ward G. Walkup, Sr., J. Warnock Walsh.

A NO VOTE ON PROPOSITION G is also recommended by the Central Council of Civic Clubs, the Down Town Association, the San Francisco Police Officers' Association and other city employee, business, labor and civic groups and individuals.

CONTROLLER'S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 183 PROPOSITION "G"

Amends Sections 35, 36 and 140: Increases membership of Police Commission, Fire Commission and Civil Service Commission from three to five members each.

Should the proposed charter amendment be adopted, based on the present annual compensations, it is estimated that the increase in the cost of government would be \$7,200 annually.

Based on the 1965-1966 assessment roll, \$7,200 is equivalent to four hundredths (0.04) of one cent in the tax rate.

HARRY D. ROSS, Controller
City and County of San Francisco

23

SAMPLE BALLOT

VOTE EARLY
POLLS OPEN FROM
7 A.M. to 8 P.M.

The Voting Machine Will
Record Votes **ONLY** Where
The Pointers Are Left
DOWN, Covering Names of
Candidates.


**DIRECTIONS
FOR VOTING**

1st. **MOVE RED HANDLE LEVER** of **VOTING MACHINE** to the **RIGHT** as far as it will go and **LEAVE IT THERE.**

2nd. **TO VOTE FOR CANDIDATES** of your choice, pull down the **POINTERS** over the names of the **CANDIDATES** for whom you wish to **VOTE** and **LEAVE THEM DOWN.**

3rd. **TO VOTE** for a person whose name does not appear on the **BALLOT LABEL CARD**, raise numbered slide at top of machine corresponding to number of office on **OFFICE TITLE CARD**, and write name of candidate on paper under

4th. TC
FI



YES	PROPOSITION A	NO	YES	PROPOSITION B	NO	YES	PROPOSITION C	NO	YES	PROPOSITION D	NO	YES	PROPOSITION E	NO	YES	PROPOSITION F	NO
Medical Center Bonds, 1965. To incur a bonded indebtedness in the sum of \$33,670,000 to pay the cost of constructing and equipping a Medical Center for the City and County of San Francisco.		War Memorial Center Bonds, 1965. To incur a bonded indebtedness in the sum of \$29,000,000 for additions and improvement of the War Memorial Center of the City and County of San Francisco.		Adds Section 158.01: Empowers Board of Supervisors to enact ordinances relative to retirement and death benefits of City employees.		Amends Section 151.6: Authorizes Board of Supervisors to provide for replacement costs of personal property stolen from certain uniformed officers and employees and relieves such officers and employees from liability for entrusted funds stolen.		Amends Section 125: Provides that platform men and bus operators who work less than forty hours per week shall be paid the difference between hours worked and forty hours under certain specified conditions. Deletes obsolete language.		Adds Section 142.6: Provides that Civil Service Commission may exempt certain temporary training and economic assistance positions and their immediate supervisors from Civil Service examination.							
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	

**SUPERVISORS
VOTE FOR FIVE**

1-A	2-A	3-A	4-A	5-A	6-A	7-A	8-A	9-A	10-A	11-A	12-A	13-A	14-A	15-A	16-A	17-A
CHARLES Tait Maritime Consultant	PETER Tamaras Incumbent	JOSEPH E. Tinney Incumbent	HENRY R. van der Wyk Owner, Trucking Company	WILLIAM C. Blake Incumbent	ROGER Boas Incumbent	CHRIS R. Boreta Night Club Operator	TOM Collins Businessman	ROBERT E. Gonzales Attorney	E. KENNETH Harris Physician	J. T. Johnson Real Estate Broker	SAMUEL Kline Retired	DONALD Morgan Businessman	JACK Morrison Incumbent	KEVIN O'Shea Businessman	AL J. Quinn Public Accountant	RICHARD Rieman Teacher

de. (Do not pull down pointer over name of any candidate in office group which you intend to write in name of a candidate, except for the office of supervisor, in which case after writing in one or more names of candidates, you may pull down such number of pointers over the printed names of candidates, so that the aggregate will not exceed five, the number of candidates for which you are entitled to vote.)

**O VOTE FOR OR AGAINST PROPOSITIONS or FOR OR AGAINST CON-
MATION OF MEMBER OF BOARD OF EDUCATION, pull DOWN**


pointers over the words "YES" or "NO" as you may desire to vote and **LEAVE THEM DOWN.**


5th. LEAVING THE POINTERS DOWN as you have placed them, move the **RED HANDLE LEVER** of the **VOTING MACHINE** to the **LEFT** as far as it will go and you have voted and your vote is registered.

**IF IN DOUBT AS TO OPERATING THE VOTING MACHINE, REQUEST IN-
STRUCTIONS FROM THE INSPECTOR OR JUDGE OF THE ELECTION BOARD
BEFORE ATTEMPTING TO VOTE.**


GENERAL MUNICIPAL ELECTION

November 2, 1965



**YES**

**PROPOSITION
G**














**NO**

Amends Sections 35, 36 and 140: Increases membership of Police Commission, Fire Commission and Civil Service Commission from three to five members each.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITIONS

Vote YES or NO on Each



18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
			CITY ATTORNEY	TREASURER		Judge of the Municipal Court Office No. 1	Judge of the Municipal Court Office No. 2	JUDGE OF THE MUNICIPAL COURT Office No. 3	Judge of the Municipal Court Office No. 4	MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF EDUCATION		
			Vote for One	Vote for One		Vote for One	Vote for One	Vote for One	Vote for One	Nominated by Mayor for Confirmation by Electors		
 18-A	 19-A	 20-A	 21-A	 22-A	 23-A	 24-A	 25-A	 26-A	 27-A	 28-A	 29-A	 30-A
D. E. ROBERT Scrofani Teacher	DOROTHY Shinder Tax Reform Lobbyist	TOM Spinosa Accountant, Tax Consultant	THOMAS M. O'Connor Incumbent	JOHN J. Goodwin Incumbent	ROBERT Vanderbilt Broker, Union Official	BERNARD B. Glickfeld Judge of the Municipal Court	GEORGE E. Maloney Judge of the Municipal Court	ROBERT J. Drewes Presiding Judge, Municipal Court	EMMET F. Hagerty Attorney at Law	EMMET Daly Judge of the Municipal Court	YES	NO
											Edward Kemmitt	