

Statements of Candidates

TO BE VOTED FOR

—AT—

GENERAL MUNICIPAL ELECTION

ALSO


Propositions to Be Voted on

November 5, 1929

Issued Pursuant to Chapter 2, Article XI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California

By BOARD OF ELECTION COMMISSIONERS

Attest:



Registrar of Voters and Secretary of
the Board of Election Commissioners

ELECTION PROCLAMATION

MAYOR'S OFFICE

City and County of San Francisco
State of California

WHEREAS, The Registrar of Voters of the City and County of San Francisco has, pursuant to law and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, certified to the Mayor of said City and County the public offices to be filled at the GENERAL MUNICIPAL ELECTION to be held in the City and County of San Francisco upon TUESDAY, the fifth day of November, 1929, together with the names of candidates who have been duly nominated pursuant to the provisions of the Charter, and are entitled to have their names printed upon the ballot as provided by said Charter for such election; Now, Therefore,

I, JAMES ROLPH, Jr., Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, in obedience, and pursuant to the provisions of said Charter, hereby proclaim and call a GENERAL MUNICIPAL ELECTION to be held in said City and County, and in the whole and every part thereof, upon the fifth day of November, 1929, to be held in the manner provided by law and the Charter of said City and County, to elect

Two Police Judges, for a term of four years;

City Attorney, for a term of four years;

Treasurer, for a term of four years;

Tax Collector, for a term of four years;

Recorder, for a term of four years;

Public Administrator, for a term of four years;

Nine Supervisors, for a term of four years;

*Member of the Board of Education, for a term of seven years.

And I further proclaim that the following and annexed list of candidates, together with the offices to be filled at such election, constitutes the list of candidates who have been nominated pursuant to law and the said Charter, as certified to me by the said Registrar of Voters.

Done at the office of the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, in said City and County, upon the 7th day of October, 1929.

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,

Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco.

*(Appointed by the Mayor; whose name must be submitted to the electors for confirmation or rejection at the November election.)

CANDIDATES—NOVEMBER 5, 1929

POLICE JUDGE

Joseph E. Isaacs
Sylvain J. Lazarus

Daniel S. O'Brien
Byron C. Parker

CITY ATTORNEY

John J. O'Toole

TREASURER

Duncan Matheson
John E. McDougald

Ignatius A. Richardson
James A. Wilson

TAX COLLECTOR

Edward F. Bryant
Milton A. Goodenough

John A. McCormick
Franklin K. Spencer

RECORDER

Edmond Godchaux
W. C. Holliway

James L. Quigley
Geo. W. Watson

PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR

Phil C. Katz
Rudolph J. O'Rourke
Thos. P. O'Toole

Harry Stanley Ross
Warren Shannon

SUPERVISORS

Peter T. Angel
Theodore P. Bahr
Donald J. Bruce
T. I. Butler
Chas. A. Byrne
Edna Laurel Calhan
Victor J. Canepa
S. A. Church
John R. Coleman
Frederick W. Comba

Cornelius J. Deasy
Alfred P. Fenton
Pierre Flaherty
Jefferson D. Floyd
Thos. P. Garrity
Henry Gliksohn
C. I. Haley
Franck R. Havenner
Frederick Head
John E. Hines

CANDIDATES—Continued

SUPERVISORS—Continued

John M. Kennedy
Edward A. Kenney
Milo F. Kent
Maxwell Keville
A. D. Lobree
Stephen Malatesta
M. James McGranaghan
James B. McSheehy
Carl W. Miles
Clarence W. Morris
Alicia Mosgrove
Louis K. Mueller
Edward L. Nolan
Albert F. Norton
Jefferson E. Peyser

James E. Power
Charles J. Powers
Charles M. Ranken
X. P. Reichlin
Arthur H. Ringholm
Angelo J. Rossi
Walter J. Schmidt
William S. Scott
E. J. Spaulding
William P. Stanton
Charles F. Todd
Louise Todd
Anna Charlotte Wellbrock
Chas. L. Wellbrock

October 5, 1929.

Honorable James Rolph, Jr., Mayor, City and County of San Francisco.

Sir:—

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing list contains the public offices to be filled at the GENERAL MUNICIPAL ELECTION to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the fifth day of November, 1929, together with the names of the candidates who have been duly nominated and are entitled to have their names printed upon the ballot for such election; pursuant to the provisions of the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco. All of said offices are to be filled for a full term.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "J. Hollins". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large loop at the end.

Registrar of Voters of the City and County of San Francisco and Secretary of the Board of Election Commissioners of said City and County.

INDEX TO CANDIDATES' STATEMENTS

	Page		Page
Angel, Peter T.	23	McCormick, John A.	17
Bahr, Theodore P.	23	McDougald, John E.	12
Bruce, Donald J.	24	McGranaghan, M. Jas.....	36
Bryant, Edward F.	15	McSheehy, James B.	37
Byrne, Chas. A.	24	Miles, Carl W.	38
Calhan, Mrs. Edna Laurel.	25	Morris, Clarence W.	39
Canepa, Victor J.	26	Mosgrove, Alicia	40
Coleman, John R.	27	Norton, Albert F.	41
Comba, Frederick W.	27	O'Brien, Daniel S.	8
Deasy, Cornelius J.	28	O'Toole, John J.	10
Fenton, Alfred P.	28	Parker, Byron C.	9
Floyd, Jefferson D.	28	Peyser, Jefferson E.	42
Garrity, Thomas P.	29	Power, James E.	43
Gliksohn, Henry	29	Powers, Charles J.	44
Godchaux, Edmond	28	Quigley, James L.	20
Goodenough, Milton A....	16	Reichlin, X. P.	45
Haley, C. I.	30	Richardson, Ignatius A....	13
Havenner, Franck R.....	31	Ringholm, Arthur H.	46
Head Frederick	32	Rossi, Angelo J.	47
Hines, John E.	32	Schmidt, Walter J.	48
Holliway, W. C.	19	Shannon, Warren	22
Isaacs, Joseph E.	7	Spaulding, E. J.	49
Katz, Phil C.	21	Spencer, Franklin K.	17
Kennedy, John M.	33	Stanton, William P.	50
Kenney, Edward A.	33	Todd, Charles F.	51
Kent, Milo F.	34	Todd, Louise	52
Lazarus, Sylvain J.....	7	Wellbrock, Anna Charlotte	53
Lobree, A. D.	35	Wellbrock, Chas. L.	54
Malatesta, Stephen	35	Wilson, James A.	14
Matheson, Duncan	11		

INDEX TO PROPOSITIONS

Nos 1, 2, 3, 4—Relative to collection and disposal of garbage...	57
No. 5—Relative to establishment of Municipal Court.....	58
No. 6—Relative to acquiring "China Beach" for playground purposes	59
No. 7—Relative to acquiring land for extension of Marina Park	60
No. 8—Initiative ordinance to provide for disposal of refuse by "fill and cover method".....	61

For Police Judge

JOSEPH E. ISAACS

As attorneys, we are interested in men of integrity for the bench. We have known Joseph E. Isaacs for years. We have fought with him and against him. He is absolutely honest, courteous, and possesses judgment and common sense.

Native of San Francisco. Formerly a member of the Barbers' Union. Admitted to practice over ten years ago, and has practiced his profession with credit and distinction ever since. Has a reputation for the strictest probity, and will rule with wisdom and dignity in all matters coming before him.

We unhesitatingly recommend him.

JOSEPH E. ISAACS LAWYERS' COMMITTEE.

For Police Judge

SYLVAIN J. LAZARUS

I was born in California, and since childhood have lived in San Francisco. Graduating from the Hastings Law College in 1896, I have since that time, except for a short departure into commercial pursuits, practiced the profession of law in this city.

In the recall election of March, 1921, I was elected Police Judge as the candidate selected by the San Francisco Bar Association, and have been twice reelected since that time.

The manner in which I have conducted, during the past nine years, the important duties of that position, is the basis of my present claim for reelection.

I am a serious student of social wrongs and abuses, and have endeavored, with a thorough sense of responsibility, to intelligently and sympathetically apply the result of my reading and observation to the many important and perplexing problems which are constantly presented in the Police Court.

To accomplish what I conscientiously think is right, it is not my habit to avoid necessary conflict, or to be guided by expediency.

With complete confidence that my record merits continued approval, I make this direct, personal appeal to the voters of San Francisco to reelect me to the office of Police Judge.

For Police Judge

DANIEL S. O'BRIEN

I was born in San Francisco, and in 1899 I was elected to the Assembly from the district in which I was born.

I was Assistant City Attorney from 1908 to 1921, when I was appointed Police Judge by his Honor Mayor James Rolph, Jr., and was elected in November, 1921, and reelected in 1925 without opposition.

I have endeavored to discharge my official duties in keeping with my oath of office, in a fearless and humane manner, tempering justice with mercy in all deserving cases.

I solicit the support of the voters of San Francisco on a record that I have made as Police Judge for the past nine years.

My sponsors are:

C. J. Deasy
Peter Treich
Dr. Robert Grosso
Rosalie Rooney
Thomas P. Garrity
Arthur H. Barendt
Mrs. Frances E. Leavy
Charles S. Cushing
Henry A. Brandenstein.
James E. Dillon
Jno. A. O'Connell

Con F. Collonan
Herbert Fleishhacker
Frank C. Tracey
Jesse H. Steinhart
Thomas F. Finn
Louis R. Lurie
Harry A. McKenzie
August R. Oliva
Wm. B. Hornblower
Dr. Geo. H. Boskowitz
Marshall Hale

For Police Judge

BYRON C. PARKER

The undersigned sponsors present for the approval of the voters Byron C. Parker, candidate for Police Judge.

If he is elected to this important post the citizens of San Francisco may rest assured that its administration will be in the hands of a man of integrity, of high reputation, of unblemished record; one subservient to no group, or special interest, and one eminently fitted by legal training and lifelong experience.

Byron C. Parker stands for—

[Impartial enforcement of the law.

Sympathetic adjudication of domestic relations cases, particularly for the benefit of the children of broken homes who are in need of the community's care and attention.

Strict measures for the hardened criminal, coupled with a helping hand for the first offender capable of rehabilitation or the youth who has made a misstep.

There will be no leniency for the reckless or drunken motorist who injures others and flees from the scene.

Closer cooperation toward these ends with the Police Department.

Mr. Parker has spent most of his life in San Francisco and has reared his family here. He is identified with many phases of the life of our community.

The Police Court—in many respects the City's most important tribunal—needs men of the type of Byron C. Parker. By selecting him we are fully confident that the citizens of San Francisco will choose an honorable and faithful servant.

Respectfully,

Mrs. Edith W. Maddux
Julius Kahn, Jr.
George S. Hollis
Dan O'Hara
Raymond A. Burr
A. I. Ellis
Thomas Garrity
Ralph Starke
O. C. Pillsbury
E. I. Giannini

Margaret Miriam Krsak
James E. Hopkins
Edna A. Urmy
John J. Whalan
George J. Kelly
Joseph Moreno
Dr. Le Roy Allum
Mrs. Rosalie Rooney
Louis Laubscher, Jr.
Robert P. Cahen

For City Attorney
JOHN J. O'TOOLE

Four years ago when I presented my name to the people of San Francisco as a candidate for the office of City Attorney, I pledged myself to an efficient and impartial administration of the affairs of the office which I was seeking, and that I would surround myself with assistants who would at all times stand ready to aid in the fulfillment of that pledge. I can truthfully say that not only have I personally lived up to my pledge, but each of my assistants has done likewise, and my term which is drawing to a close has seen some of the most important municipal litigation in the history of the city successfully conducted.

Renewing my pledges, and hoping for the approval of my past efforts by the electorate on November 5th, I place my candidacy in the hands of the people.

For Treasurer

DUNCAN MATHESON

My candidacy for the office of Treasurer is based upon the following beliefs:

1. That the office of Treasurer is one of the highest positions of trust in the City and County government, and that the individual elected to this office must have the implicit faith and confidence of the people. Paramount duty is to safeguard public funds.

2. That promotions based on merit and seniority should be made from office force. Personnel free from politics and favoritism.

3. That courteous and efficient service be accorded the public.

4. That surplus City funds should be invested to yield the highest possible earnings consistent with law and safety.

5. Many problems confronted the Treasurer on assuming office. Objectionable practices were examined and corrected; complete audit was exacted; personnel was reorganized; daily cash analysis was established, to retain minimum working funds, allowing maximum balances in banks, with larger interest earnings; a comprehensive bond campaign was inaugurated to obtain funds for sewer, hospital and boulevard construction. Changes in cash disbursing methods were effected; further reorganization in office procedure contemplated.

Sponsors:

P. A. Bergerot
Frank C. Tracey
John J. Duddy
Theresa Meikle
Grace R. Butterfield
Eric E. Wickstrom
Effie Easton
Fred L. Berry
Sidney Hotchner
Marshall Hale
Lawrence Barrett
Mrs. Rosalie Rooney

August R. Oliva
Max Morgan
John A. McGregor
George Filmer
C. F. Merchant
Lillian Griffin
I. M. Golden
Matt I. Sullivan
Albert A. Rosenshine
Gustave Lachman
Frank C. Sykes
J. D. Nicholson

For Treasurer

JOHN E. McDOUGALD

Most of the voters in San Francisco, of course, know that I was their Treasurer for a number of years up to 1926. I am happy in being able to say that during all of the time I occupied the office of Treasurer I enjoyed the complete confidence of the public and was given the approval of every Grand Jury.

In asking the people of San Francisco to return me to the position, I feel that my record and experience as Treasurer will speak more strongly for me than anything I can put in words myself. The great majority of voters hardly need be reminded of the character of service that it was my privilege to give the City as Treasurer. My candidacy rests upon that record.

My long experience with the duties of the office, and the fact that I have been tried and tested in the position, justify me, I believe, in asking the voters for their favorable consideration when they go to the polls on November 5th. I am simply offering my record as Treasurer for those several terms as a guarantee to my fellow-citizens that they will be given an efficient and business-like administration if I am again returned to the office.

I am a native of California. I was one of the founders of the San Francisco Building Trades Council and served as its treasurer for more than twenty years. I am now, and have been for the past twenty-seven years, Grand Treasurer of the Native Sons of the Golden West, serving both organizations without compensation. I am also a property owner of this city.

For Treasurer

IGNATIUS A. RICHARDSON

Resigning as chief deputy treasurer, after having been made the innocent victim of wrong conditions beyond my control, I hesitated disclosing them. But when the then Treasurer was confronted with the true facts by a group of citizens he attempted to place the blame on me.

This I could not accept, and thereupon issued a statement of the true conditions in the Treasurer's office, only after due consideration and investigation proved this to be the only method of clearing my name, not only for my sake, but that of my family. The truth of my statement is evidenced by the confession of the resigned Treasurer.

Years of experience and public service, as a member of the State Legislature, as a deputy in the tax collector's office for twelve years, and as chief deputy treasurer for three and a half years, have given me the necessary knowledge and qualifications for this office.

Coming to the people with an unblemished record of faithful service, I seek their support for clean, honest, experienced and capable conduct of the office of Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco.

I was born in San Francisco, November 24th, 1890, and educated in College of Notre Dame, St. Charles Academy and St. Ignatius College.

For Treasurer

JAMES A. WILSON

In presenting my candidacy to the voters of San Francisco for the office of Treasurer, I wish to say that I was born and raised in this city.

I have for the last twenty-four years been cashier of the County Clerk's office and handled millions of dollars of city and public money.

I am Past Grand President of the Native Sons of the Golden West.

As a member of the Legislature in 1907 I introduced "The Women's Eight-Hour Bill" and "Child Labor Law."

I have been active in civics, clean sports, athletics and fraternal affairs.

Have been chairman of "Homeless Children" for the Native Sons and Native Daughters of the Golden West Committee.

My sponsors are:

Hon. James D. Phelan
John T. Regan
Jos. S. Nyland
Jas. Boyd Oliver
William Penn Humphreys
Frank Marini
F. P. Nichols
Eugene Connelly
G. Robert Brockmann
Daniel L. Gallagher
Mrs. Mildred L. Wilson

Hon. Lewis F. Byington
Chas. A. Koenig
Harry A. Gaetjen
Jos. Rose
J. R. Hermann
M. Reinheimer
Fred C. Gerdes
L. H. Anixter
Eugene E. Pfaeffle
John F. Shirley

For Tax Collector

EDWARD F. BRYANT

In presenting the candidacy of Edward F. Bryant for the office of Tax Collector, our appeal for his reelection rests upon results accomplished during his sixteen years' incumbency, and it is our belief that this standard of public service will be maintained.

The Tax Collector should have definitely proven qualifications and specific training in collection problems. Edward F. Bryant, the incumbent, possesses these qualifications, and, if reelected, will conduct his office honestly, economically and efficiently, as in the past.

Mr. Bryant personally supervises the work of his office, and is always on hand with prompt and courteous attention to the public. He has perfected a system of bookkeeping and collecting of moneys that cannot be improved upon, as is evidenced by the fact that he has collected almost \$330,000,000 during his 16 years' incumbency without the loss of one penny. This record, and the esteem in which he is held by his fellow-citizens should speak most eloquently for his reelection.

Tax Collector Edward F. Bryant's candidacy is sponsored by:
A. P. GIANNINI, President, Transamerica Corporation.

HON. JAS. D. PHELAN, Capitalist.

MARSHALL HALE, President, Hale Bros.

HERBERT FLEISHHACKER, President Anglo London and Paris National Bank.

SIDNEY L. SCHWARTZ, President, San Francisco Stock Exchange.

JOHN McCALLUM, President, McCallum Lumber Company.

I. W. HELLMAN, Vice-President, Wells Fargo Bank & Union Trust Company.

DR. MARIANA BERTOIA, Past President, California Federation of Women's Clubs.

MRS. D. F. E. EASTON, Treasurer, Western Women's Club.

MRS. FRANK MOLLETT, National Director, Catholic Daughters of America.

MILTON H. ESBERG, Vice-President, General Cigar Company.

THOS. F. FINN, Insurance.

ISADORE ZELLERBACH, President, Crown-Zellerbach Paper Company.

GEORGE FILMER, President, Filmer Bros.

GUS LACHMAN, Past-President, Mission Merchants' Association.

PAUL SCHARRENBURG, Secretary, California State Federation of Labor.

JOHN O'CONNELL, Secretary, San Francisco Labor Council.

JAMES GALLAGHER, President, San Francisco Building Trades Council.

LEON SLOSS, JR., Vice-President, Anglo-California Trust Company.

THOS. R. O'DAY, O'Day Coal Company

JOHN D. MCGILVRAY, President, McGilvray Granite Company.

FRANCIS B. KEESLING, Attorney at Law.

HUGH MCBIRNEY, Superintendent, Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company.

SAMUEL FUGAZI, President, Fugazi Steamship Company.

DR. JOHN GALLWEY, Physician and Surgeon, Medical Building.

DR. EDWARD F. BRYANT, JR., Dentist, Flood Building.

For Tax Collector

MILTON A. GOODENOUGH

I am a native of San Francisco, and have been thirty years in the jewelry business. I also served seven years in the San Francisco Fire Department. I am a lifelong Republican, and am seeking no favors at the hands of any other political party. I am a member of the Native Sons of the Golden West.

I am married and have two children. My son served overseas in the late war.

I am not a candidate of the bosses. My entire time will be devoted to the duties of my office.

For Tax Collector

JOHN A. McCORMICK

I am a candidate for the office of Tax Collector (having retired from the hat business) and have entered into a new field (the real estate business).

I was born and raised in San Francisco; married and have a family. I am vice-president of the President Realty, Inc. Headquarters, 1141 Market street; phone Market 5663.

Sponsors:

W. Morton.

George McCormick.

John McCormick, Jr.

Dr. S. E. Whitcomb.

Frank J. Kelly.

Aileen McCormick.

Ursula McCormick.

Mrs. Behan.

Mrs. F. J. Kelly.

Mrs. M. Infant.

Emma J. Gilbert.

Mrs. M. Lucas.

For Tax Collector

FRANKLIN K. SPENCER

The law requires that candidates come before the people depending upon their individual merit and qualifications. Political parties are strictly prohibited from holding conventions, nominating, recommending or endorsing any candidate for office. I am complying strictly with the law, which is the first test of good citizenship. I am the only candidate who has not sought and received the endorsement of unauthorized bodies—small coteries of men who arrogate to themselves the power to act for political parties. Do not be deceived by literature bearing endorsements; they are spurious. I am a native of San Francisco and by occupation a searcher of records.

For Recorder

EDMOND GODCHAUX

During the period of my incumbency as Recorder of San Francisco, while salaries were substantially increased and recording fees practically unchanged, the department paid to the city treasury nearly half a million dollars in excess of the total expended for salaries. This was accomplished by the application of business principles, the adoption of saving methods and the cooperation of a loyal office force. The public, also, has at all times been accorded courteous and efficient service in all recording operations. With grateful recognition of the favors shown me in the past, I again ask the support of the voters, and pledge a continuance of the course which has resulted in careful custody of the records, approved personal service and favorable yearly balance sheets.

My sponsors are:

Mayor James Rolph, Jr.
Sen. James D. Phelan
Sen. Dan C. Murphy.
George Tourney.
Garret W. McEnerney
Mrs. Effie Easton
George Filmer
Percy E. Towne
Julian Alco
Mrs. Marcus Koshland
Tim Reardon
Joseph C. Meyerstein
F. L. Wolfe
Lazare Klein
I. Newton Rosekrans
Rene Bine, M.D.
J. J. McDade
Henry Rosenfeld
Mrs. J. W. Tucker
Margaret M. Krsak
Justus S. Wardell
Lewis F. Byington

Wm. P. Humphreys
Selby Oppenheimer
B. C. Brown
Frank Ruppel
Henry Colombat
G. W. Moore
J. T. Harmes
Charles Rosenthal
Dan P. Maher
David Eisenbach
Sidney M. Van Wyck, Jr.
Amadeo P. Giannini
John Gallwey, M.D.
Marshall Hale
Milton Esberg
Alphonse Pechoultres
Mrs. Rosalie Stern
Carl A. Henry
Geo. T. Volkman
John Buck
Mrs. M. C. Sloss
Clarence M. Wooster

Chauncey Tramutolo
Ludwig M. Hoeffler
H. L. Van Winkle
O. P. Stidger
E. E. Kahn
Max Wolf
Mary J. Craigh
Alvin E. Cerf, M.D.
Captain John Leale
S. J. Brun
Geo. M. Terrill, M.D.

Mrs. Edward Rainey
A. Autard
A. S. Johnson
Alfred J. Gock
H. U. Brandenstein
Theodore S. Murphy
Major Chas. Kendrick
Gus Lachman
Wm. Gutzkow
Jesse W. Curtis
William P. Henry

For Recorder

W. C. HOLLIWAY

After many years of activity in political affairs, this is the first time I have sought public office. I now ask to be elected City and County Recorder.

I have lived in San Francisco 31 years. Excepting a few years before and after the fire, when I was in the realty business, my work has been along newspaper lines; have successfully directed a newspaper of my own; have held editorial and executive positions; have successfully managed and assisted in many political campaigns.

My wife passed away three years ago. I have one son, Harrison Holliway, manager of KFRC.

For Recorder

JAMES L. QUIGLEY

My foremost consideration would be an efficient, business-like administration, with service and courtesy to the public.

I pledge myself to devote my entire time to this office, and ask that you investigate my record in the State Legislature, as Assemblyman for San Francisco. Among my accomplishments while acting in this capacity was the prevention of the increased rates of the Telephone Corporations. My action in this matter saved each telephone user from \$2.00 to \$5.00 each month.

I am thirty-three years of age, born in San Francisco, married, and the father of three children. I am an Overseas War Veteran. For three years past, I have conducted a Civil Service Coaching School, and have coached several thousand young men and women.

I feel that my knowledge of bookkeeping and of the General Laws of our government, State and City, qualifies me for the office to which I am aspiring. My slogan will be SINCERITY, COURTESY and EFFICIENCY.

My sponsors are:

Rose Quigley
T. J. Lenehan
Jos. R. Osterloh
T. P. Garrity
Walter A. Nelson
Isaac (Pat) Silberstein
J. A. Bosque
J. J. Horn
George J. Asmussen
W. C. Hill

Dr. W. Ryder
Julius Nardi
Frank Autagne
Paul Scharrenberg
Al Sandell
William Raffetto
Joseph F. McCarthy
Mrs. Kate Noreiga
Fred R. Squires, Jr.
Joseph Moreno.

For Public Administrator

PHIL C. KATZ

During the past three and one-half years the undersigned judges, sitting in the probate department of the Superior Court, have been in close association with Public Administrator Phil C. Katz and have critically observed the manner in which he conducts his office.

Extreme difficulties surround the distribution of the majority of the estates handled by the Public Administrator as the heirs usually reside in foreign countries and the greatest caution must be exercised so that frauds cannot be practiced on heirs by designing individuals.

Phil C. Katz, as Public Administrator, has rendered a most valuable service and assistance to the judges of the Probate Courts in his recommendations as to the proper management and correct distribution of estates to these heirs.

We have found Mr. Katz entirely reliable in all matters pertaining to the handling of estates, including rendition of correct accounts, careful scrutiny of all claims presented, competent management and distribution to heirs without delay. We are pleased that the recent audit of the city offices by the Grand Jury found Mr. Katz's accounts entirely correct and that our confidence in him is justified.

We therefore have no hesitancy in recommending that the voters of San Francisco retain Mr. Katz in office as Public Administrator.

(Signed) FRANK H. DUNNE,
THOS. F. GRAHAM.

Phil C. Katz's sponsors are:

Waldo F. Postel
Virginia S. Katz
Caroline Goldman
Lillian B. Berry
Marjorie K. Creogh
Fred E. Rathjens
Hugh K. McKevitt
Linda H. Hale
Louise B. Deal, M.D.
Annie Regan
Mary E. Ashton
Alex D. McLean, M.D.
Effie Easton
Robert Grossor
Gus Lachman
Mrs. Dena Strauss

John J. Sullivan
Victor Aaron
Julian H. Alco
Edward D. Van Deleur
S. B. Fugazi
George Filmer
Sven H. Svenson
Tallant Tubbs
Geo. W. Elder
Phil Page
P. A. Bergerot
Charles E. Jones, M.D.
C. D. Mull
Nicholas Preovolos
Mrs. Christine Hall

For Public Administrator WARREN SHANNON

In presenting myself as a candidate for the office of Public Administrator, I wish to state I was born and raised in our city and with my family always have resided here.

I have maintained the printing business established by my father in 1854.

My record as Supervisor for the past ten years is a matter of public knowledge. I have stood for constructive and progressive measures. The dominating motive of my career has been the conduct of civic affairs with honesty, economy and efficiency.

I have always regarded a public office as a public trust.

Of all offices within the gift of the people, that of Public Administrator requires the most constant effort for the protection of the public.

Under the present vicious system hundreds of thousands of dollars are paid yearly in fees to the attorneys associated with the Public Administrator.

If elected to this office I pledge my every effort to obtain a modification of the law, which will put this office upon a salary basis similar to the system which prevails in Los Angeles, Oakland and many large cities in the United States. San Francisco alone keeps this pernicious method of taking money from the public for the benefit of private attorneys.

After ten years of service as Supervisor, I ask the people to promote me to a position I am confident I can direct with increased efficiency.

My sponsors are:

I. Zellerbach
D. Cohen
W. J. Dunne
C. L. Wald
James J. Ryan
Paul Barbieri
Frank Marini
Robert Karmelenski
T. E. Treacy
Thos. R. O'Day

Moses M. Lesser
Agnes M. O'Connor
Hy F. Budde
August Rinaldo Oliva
Francis Poggi
Betty Shannon
F. P. Nicholas
Milton Meyer
Frank Labrucherie
James J. Ryan

For Supervisor

PETER T. ANGEL

World War Veteran. Dental Surgeon.

I consider the proper development of highways and street-car transportation, the completion of the Hetch-Hetchy project and adequate school facilities to be the most vital problems confronting San Francisco and pledge myself to give careful and constant attention thereto.

I believe in a policy of economy working toward a reduction in taxes and in opposition to extravagant conduct of departmental affairs.

I believe in and will work for carrying out the Charter provisions regarding municipal ownership.

For Supervisor

THEODORE P. BAHR

Born in the State of Wisconsin; resident of San Francisco since 1900. Have been engaged in the manufacturing and contracting business for the past 35 years. Am a taxpayer in San Francisco.

I advocate a progressive and economical business administration; encouragement of manufacturing industries; preference to San Francisco manufacturers in City purchases; strict adherence to our Civil Service system, and elimination of all political appointees; municipally owned and operated garbage collection and disposal system.

I am opposed to spending any more money on Mills Field until definite arrangements for its purchase have been determined.

A business administration assures prosperity.

For Supervisor
DONALD J. BRUCE

As a business man, printer (Ben Franklin Press) and elected by the people as County Committeeman for twenty years, I pledge to serve the City on a safe and sane business basis—independently, loyally, fearlessly. Taxpayer, married, with family.

Public utilities stand foremost in importance among issues, therefore a Public Utility Commission should be created to handle these institutions.

In favor of keeping the tax rate down to lowest point consistent with proper development of City.

Member of Fraternal Order of Eagles and Native Sons of the Golden West. Served as trustee in both lodges. Served on many committees such as Red Cross, Liberty Loan drive and improvement clubs.

For Supervisor
CHAS. A. BYRNE

I was born in San Francisco and have lived here all my life. I have been engaged in the real estate business for the past twenty years.

I advocate and will work for harbor development, a municipal airport, better highways and a bridge across the bay. I favor keeping the tax rate as low as is consistent with progressive development of our City. I pledge my support to the protection of our public utilities.

I have made no promises to anyone other than to pledge fidelity to my trust and to perform my duties in the public faith.

For Supervisor

MRS. EDNA LAUREL CALHAN

Mrs. Edna Laurel Calhan, candidate for Supervisor, is a native daughter, President of the Ingleside Improvement Club, First Vice-President of the Central Council of Civic Clubs, Secretary of the Industrial Development Association, member of Committee of Fifteen on Highways, Daughters of California Pioneers, The 'Parent-Teachers' Association, Open Forum, Woman's Civic Center and other organizations.

She says: If elected I pledge myself to lend my every effort, in cooperation with my colleagues and civic organizations, in fostering and carrying forward the development and improvement of every phase of our City's life, on such a comprehensive basis as shall lead to the metropolitan supremacy deserved by our beloved City, such as

New industries, payrolls and prosperity;

Adequate street system to care for automobile and pedestrian traffic;

Construction of Golden Gate and East Bay bridges;

Early annexation of San Mateo County;

Creation of a harbor district for the development of San Francisco and San Mateo water fronts to properly provide for increase in commerce;

Extension of water mains;

Adequate fire protection;

Dirigible hangar—air transportation;

Solve street railway problem;

Make San Francisco a railroad terminal;

Obtain a maximum benefit from Olympic games event in 1932.

Sponsors are:

Richard J. Welch, Member of Congress	Arthur H. White, physician and surgeon
Roy L. Juarez, garage owner	Mary R. Schwab, attorney at law
Vernille De Witt Warr, writer and lecturer	Leslie L. Hubbard, attorney at law
Elfreda M. Swanson, housewife	Andrew J. Gallagher, insurance broker
H. A. Hess, physician and surgeon	Frank J. McGovern, Supervisor
Joseph W. deVries, elevator operator	Lile T. Jacks, Superior Judge
Mrs. C. W. Spiegle, housewife	Victor A. Sbragia, undertaker
Thomas R. McGrath, attorney at law	Henry Becker, realtor
John F. Kelly, contractor	Elmer C. Reese, chauffeur
Carrie C. Pearce, housewife	Sol Peiser, notary public

For Supervisor
VICTOR J. CANEPA

I have lived in San Francisco all my life and for the past eighteen years I have had the honor of representing my City in the State Legislature. I have raised my family here and for the past twenty-two years have been in business in the City. All that I have is in San Francisco and its interests and welfare mean much to me. In my official capacity, I have tried earnestly and conscientiously to serve my constituency along progressive and constructive lines. I have served on the most important committees in the State Senate for a number of years and have had the opportunity of assisting in the administration of the economical and efficient government that has made California a model among States. I fathered the Aquatic Park Bill, the \$1,350,000 State Building Bill for the Civic Center, the Viaduct Bill, the Fort Mason Tunnel Bill, the Orphans' Increase Aid Bill, the Widows' Increase Pension Act, the Bayshore Highway Appropriations Bill, Bay Bridge Survey Bill and many others of importance to San Francisco. If elected Supervisor I will vigorously support the State Administration in the Bay Bridge building project. I will encourage the investment of capital here to the end that we may have more industries in the City, which means increased opportunities for workingmen and women and I shall continue my efforts under the square-deal policy for both the employer and employee. I favor the immediate construction of the War Memorial Building under conditions compatible with the desires of the elements most intimately concerned and the best interests of the City, the extension of highways and boulevards, the improvement of streets and an adequate lighting system. I am ready to devote all of my time to the duties of the office of Supervisor.

For Supervisor
JOHN R. COLEMAN

I was born and raised in San Francisco, attended the public schools; a man of family. My interests are solely centered in bringing about a Greater San Francisco. I am for construction and erection of bay bridges, and for a greater industrial, recreational and playfield centers. I will work untiringly towards the bringing to San Francisco a greater prosperity, which in turn will bring more payrolls, happiness and contentment among our people.

For Supervisor
FREDERICK W. COMBA

Every citizen will concede that the welfare and prosperity of San Francisco depend upon an efficient administration and the intelligent solution of municipal problems.

Now, the citizens are demanding concrete and business-like action at the hands of their representatives, rather than procrastination and political oratory.

Each and every voter can help to bring this about by casting his ballot for representative business and professional men or women, unfettered by political connections.

If elected to the office of Supervisor I solemnly pledge myself to the task of administering the city's business in an active, intelligent, honest and business-like manner.

For Supervisor
CORNELIUS J. DEASY

During eighteen years I have been a member of the Board of Supervisors I have worked earnestly and conscientiously for the advancement of our city and the betterment of our people.

I have supported every measure tending toward the improvement of living and working conditions, and feel that my record entitles me to the support and confidence of all classes.

I am a member of Woodmen of the World, Knights of Columbus, Native Sons, Ancient Order of Hibernians, Fraternal Order of Eagles, Foresters of America, Loyal Order of Moose, South of Market Boys.

I am the proud father of four boys and four girls.

Vote for me.

For Supervisor
ALFRED P. FENTON

Thirty-five years of age. Born, raised and educated in San Francisco. Now preparing for the state bar examination next year. Many years engaged in the insurance business.

Vitally interested in current civic affairs. Believe in municipal ownership, and will strive to improve the management of these projects. Believe that civic undertakings can be carried on successfully only when the official realizes that a public office is a public trust, and that the same degree of efficiency regulating private enterprises must be practiced in civic government.

For Supervisor
JEFFERSON D. FLOYD

A business man for a business administration.

I am for the completion of our Civic Center and War Memorial.

Encouragement of more industries and payrolls.

I was born in San Francisco and have lived here all my life. My father was the late "Pop" Floyd, 118 California Street, for forty years. I am a member Elks No. 3, B.P.O.E.; trustee Native Sons; Eagles F.O.E.; South of Market Boys; Associated Sportsmen's; many improvement clubs.

I have actively and successfully engaged in business in San Francisco for the last twenty years. Vote for me.

For Supervisor
THOMAS P. GARRITY

Born and raised in San Francisco; educated in the public schools, and a man of family. Secretary-Treasurer of the Allied Printing Trades Council; President of the Allied Printing Trades Council; President of the Bookbinders Local Union, No. 31; member of the Executive Board, International Brotherhood of Bookbinders; Second Vice-President of the International Brotherhood of Bookbinders; Chairman of the Union Labor Party; member of the Chamber of Commerce, Printers Board of Trade, Printinghouse Craftsmen, Knights of Columbus, Native Sons of the Golden West; one of the organizers and for the past five years President of the South of Market Boys; engaged in the bookbinding industry for thirty-five years, and for the last eleven years a member of the firm of Dever, Garrity & Keys, Inc., bookbinders. For more industries, low taxes, and a bigger and greater San Francisco.

For Supervisor
HENRY GLIKSOHN

Workers of San Francisco: Political elections are based upon "the interests of the working class or capitalist class. "Non-partisan" elections are used to hide from the workers the class nature of society. The capitalists are conducting this campaign through their political parties, the Republican and Democratic, and their agents, the "Union Labor Party" and the Socialist Party.

The issues of the capitalist candidates: graft, streetcar muddle, garbage row—proofs of inherent capitalist corruption—are raised as a smoke screen.

The real issues are intensification of exploitation, unemployment, wage-cuts, and speed-up, due to rationalization in industry; child labor, racial discrimination against Negroes, Orientals, Filipinos, Mexicans; anti-picketing and criminal syndicalism laws, use of courts and police by industrial associations (injunctions, breaking up of demonstration for Gastonia strikers), etc.

We must fight for organizing the unorganized, social and unemployment insurance, organizing workers defense corps, seven-hour day, five-day week, equal pay for equal work for all workers, etc.

War is a big issue. San Francisco is a base for preparations of war against the Soviet Union and between the Imperialists

themselves. We must fight against this and for the defense of the Soviet Union, the only working class government.

We realize that even were the above demands obtained, yet the exploitation of the workers can only be eliminated by the overthrow of the capitalist system and the establishment of a workers' and farmers' government.

As a member of the Communist Party, I endorse the program of this, the only party fighting for the workers. Our election campaign is the mobilization of the working class against the capitalist class. Raising the slogan of "Class Against Class," I call upon all the workers to support the Communist and Young Communist League candidates (Louise Todd) and their program.

For Supervisor

C. I. HALEY

My platform is business efficiency. I shall insist upon action without delay in all civic matters. I shall do my part to stop procrastination on the board. I am in favor of economy but am strongly for constructive legislation, increasing the growth and prosperity of our city and the conditions of our working people.

Our public school system, one of the most important functions of the city, will always receive my full support. I believe in increasing our transportation facilities in every way. I am certainly in favor of the immediate completion of the War Memorial non-politically.

As a builder and property owner I believe in low taxes, but not to the extent of stifling progress of our city. Business efficiency will cut taxes without abandoning civic projects. The paramount problem of bringing industries to San Francisco will command my immediate attention.

As political science was my major activity in Stanford University, of which I am a graduate, I am naturally deeply interested in civic affairs. This background of political science, together with my experience as a member of the firm of Haley Bros., contractors and builders, has given me an understanding of San Francisco public problems.

Our firm has erected apartment houses, homes, commercial buildings and industrial structures in every section of San Francisco, and we were particularly active in the upbuilding of the Marina.

Our building record shows that we have always favored adequate lighting systems, improved streets and boulevards, playgrounds for children and all constructive civic projects.

I live in the Marina and am a native son.

For Supervisor

FRANCK R. HAVENNER

In the future, as in the past, I shall stand for municipal ownership and operation of all public utilities in San Francisco, and for the early construction of important trans-bay bridges under public ownership and operation.

One of the largest public construction programs in the recent history of our city will be completed within the next few months, and San Francisco will be in possession of a splendid system of boulevards, providing new and direct avenues of travel through the barriers which nature has erected at our southern portals.

The great Hetch Hetchy project will be completed within the next four years. The acquisition of the Spring Valley water properties, recently approved by our voters, after repeated failures in the past, will soon be a reality.

New schools and health buildings have recently been provided, and important additions in both of these departments will be constructed in the immediate future.

This program of progress and development has been accomplished with the exercise of prudent economy in the expenditure of public funds. Today the city and county tax rate is twenty-two cents lower than it was four years ago.

Progress with economy has been my aim in the past and I believe it should be the slogan of our city in the future.

I shall give my vigorous support to every proper endeavor to bring new industries to San Francisco and it will be my policy to encourage the use of local products and the employment of local labor for all public projects.

I favor the creation of a Public Utilities Commission which will be responsive to the will of the people in the management of all of our municipally owned utilities. My pledge to the voters, if re-elected, is a forward-looking administration of the city's business, free from extravagance and from corrupt political influences.

For Supervisor
FREDERICK HEAD

- F irst consideration in traffic laws should be safety of pedestrians.
- R un San Francisco on moral business principles.
- E liminate political barnacles by eliminating waste.
- D emand compliance with charter provisions.
- E ducational needs of city not curtailed; waste not permitted.
- R educe number of Supervisors to six.
- I n morals, follow conscience; in business, the will of the people.
- C ondemn cemeteries and convert into parks.
- K eep Supervisors in their proper sphere (as legislators).

- H urry improvements already voted.
- E conomical handling of public trust funds.
- A ppropriate funds for examinations for civil service positions held by non-civil service employees.
- D evote more attention to city business; less to gallery play.

For Supervisor
JOHN E. HINES

My earnest desire is for a correction of the present methods of local city management; this fine city, second to none in the country in its potentialities, needs new directors.

My ambition is to serve in what will be a new period of progress and good government, if the correct kind of public-spirited men are selected as candidates and are elected by the voters for qualities of honesty and competency to serve as efficient directors of city and county affairs, and I earnestly request the consideration and support of my fellow-citizens for the office of Supervisor.

For Supervisor
JOHN M. KENNEDY

I, John M. Kennedy, am 41 years of age and a native of San Francisco. I am married and have three children. Since 1920, I have been President and Manager of The Kennedy-ten Bosch Company, Printers, a concern which has always employed union employees and which is favorably known throughout the United States in the field of printing.

I believe that the city government should have the same intelligent and efficient management as that which characterizes every successful business, and I would strive to accomplish that end.

I believe that the growth of a city and the prosperity of all its people are dependent upon the prosperity of its industries, and wherever possible I would try to improve conditions not only to protect and assist industries already established but also to attract new industries. In this regard I would have at heart the interests of both employer and employee.

I am in favor of obtaining additional playground facilities, baseball diamonds, golf links, etc., which are needed for our young men and women because of the steady increase in the population of San Francisco. I am especially interested in this because of my past active participation in baseball, handball and, more recently, golf.

You may rest assured that I will give the same careful, conscientious and unbiased attention to all civic problems as I give to the problems of my own business.

For Supervisor
EDWARD A. KENNEY

Edward A. Kenney pledges that when elected his vote on all city legislation will be primarily dominated by his desire to speed up San Francisco's onward march. He will strive to eradicate petty quibbling and grandstand oratory so that municipal progress may be expedited.

Kenney contends that outside capital and new industries, with their attendant increases in prosperity and population, will only come when investors and manufacturers learn that our Supervisors, in meeting, function as do modern business executives in conference.

Kenney is a native San Franciscan, married and father of four children.

For Supervisor

MILO F. KENT

Completes first term. Aims to confine himself only to what is constructive.

Member of Finance Committee for four years. Has fought continuously for the lowest possible tax rate consistent with constructive advancement. Tax rate today is \$3.91 against \$4.13 four years ago.

Realizing that convention dollars stimulate business, has given the maximum of co-operation to public agencies bringing many conventions of major importance to San Francisco. Recently secured an appropriation of \$10,000 to establish a Chicago office to bid for even more big conventions for San Francisco.

Vigorously opposes private interests seeking to hinder the development of Municipal Airport.

Advocates that the state construct modern and superior harbor facilities to care for growing Pacific trade.

Definitely committed to a bigger and better yacht harbor, to safeguard the present boating industry.

Sponsors are:

William A. Kelly
E. L. Mathews
John A. Lally
J. Boyd Oliver
Joseph Ahlback
Elias Rhine
Joseph Tynan, Jr.
John H. Eckhoff
Fred J. O'Connell
Hugh J. McGowan

Andrew F. Burke
Joseph J. Tynan, Sr.
Charles A. Stephens
Charles H. Cunningham
Richard A. Tobin
Charles T. Kreling
Joseph Brizzolara
Thomas F. Delury
Samuel Markowitz
F. M. McAuliffe

For Supervisor
A. D. LOBREE

I am a candidate for Supervisor.
I have been in business at 2271 Market Street for 25 years.
I believe in a business man's administration. Progress, development and expansion.
Relieving congestion in the shopping districts.
Closer communication of bay counties.
Municipal ownership of airport landings and a vital interest in every problem for the greater growth of this city.

For Supervisor
STEPHEN MALATESTA

WHAT SAN FRANCISCO NEEDS:

More industries for San Francisco.
Revise or obtain a new charter.
Less talk and more action.
Men of vision who will work for the best interests of San Francisco in obtaining supremacy in industry, commerce and aviation.
Honest men who will earn the respect and integrity of the people.
A greater San Francisco embracing the adjoining counties.
I promise to do everything in my power to accomplish these results.

For Supervisor
M. JAS. McGRANAGHAN

Attorney at law. Chiropractor 16 years. During war Superintendent army tractor factory, four-minute man, commended by Secretary of War Baker. Past President Eureka Valley Promotion Association, Director and Chairman Transportation Committee, delegate Central Council Civic Clubs. Manager Municipal Railway Bond Campaign. Leader Eureka-Sunset Tunnel fight, Eureka Street car line, Market Street extension, Portola Drive completion, originator Castro-Divisadero connection. Delegate Divisional Highway Association. Active in civic affairs continuously since 1910.

President, Secretary, Director National and State associations. Given medal for saving Mission from fire, 1906.

For industrial payrolls, railway franchise solution promptly. More action and less talk.

For Supervisor

JAMES B. McSHEEHY

1. A native of San Francisco.
2. Graduate of Old Lincoln School, class of 1889.
3. Contractor and builder for thirty years.
4. Twelve years a Supervisor.
5. Chairman of the Finance Committee two years; member of the Health Committee ten years.
6. Sponsor of bill reducing tax rate from \$4.13 to \$3.66, a cut of 47c.
7. Sponsor of Tubercular Sanatorium Bill making it possible to erect just outside of Redwood City a sanatorium that has given the tubercular a chance to recover. Wonderful results have been attained.
8. Sponsor of the Psychopathic Bill establishing a psychopathic ward in the San Francisco Hospital for insane cases.
9. Sponsor of the Maternity Fund for the care of mothers in the San Francisco Hospital and at home.
10. Sponsor of the \$4,000,000 War Memorial Bond Issue; spent weeks campaigning for the same.
11. Slogan: "Bring Factories to San Francisco." Will do everything that I can to provide them with cheap water, power and factory sites.
12. Four years ago I received the highest vote for Supervisor. If re-elected I will carry out the same policy and work with the same zeal that I have in the past twelve years.

For Supervisor

CARL W. MILES

As the proprietor of an established business and as a taxpayer, I am seeking election to the Board of Supervisors in order that I may assist in carrying out the ideas and principles of a sound and constructive administration of the city's business.

I believe that the affairs of the city can and should be administered as efficiently and economically as those of any private corporation.

This was the stand I took two years ago when the people of San Francisco honored me with over 60,000 votes—within only a few hundred of being elected Supervisor. I am again a candidate because I still believe that the policies I advocate will assist the material growth of the city and the general public welfare.

I believe that energetic efforts should be made to bring to San Francisco the industrial development that belongs to this city by right of its many natural advantages.

I believe in the right of every child to the best possible educational opportunities; modern schools, adequately paid teachers and equal school facilities for the children of every district.

I believe in the wise and progressive development of our municipally owned public utilities to promote and keep pace with the growth of San Francisco.

Two years ago I was endorsed by the Republican County Central Committee; The Daily News Clean-up Ticket; the Union Labor Party; the Liberty League; the Associated War Veterans; the United Veterans of the Republic; the Steuben Society; the Greek Voters' Club; as well as a large number of civic and improvement associations.

I am 38 years of age, married and reside with my family at 581 Frederick Street. Following four years service in the navy during the World War, engaged in business in 1920 and am now President of Miles & Scott, Inc.

For Supervisor
CLARENCE W. MORRIS

In seeking election to the Board of Supervisors, Clarence W. Morris is not making his first venture in public life. He was a member of the State Legislature for eight years, serving as Assemblyman from the Thirtieth District of San Francisco. While in that office, he was chairman of the Judiciary Committee, the most important committee in the Lower House. He was also Speaker pro tem.

Clarence W. Morris is a native San Franciscan. He received his education in the public schools of this city and has had San Francisco's interests at heart during his entire career. He is a successful practicing attorney, having been admitted to the Bar fifteen years ago. He has always carried the endorsement of Labor and the support of the numerous fraternal organizations of which he is a member. He is a typical citizen, married, and the father of two children.

At the outset of his campaign, Morris said:

"I am for that kind of progress that will end sectional and factional disputes on the Board of Supervisors. I believe that a real co-operative effort on the part of all the people of this community will bring new industries and new commercial developments to San Francisco. That is the keynote of increased prosperity and progress, and will be my platform if elected."

For Supervisor

ALICIA MOSGROVE

A member of a home-furnishing firm.

Member of the Playground Commission, and a former public school teacher.

Two years with the State Commission of Immigration and Housing, in the Americanization Department.

A year and a half Chief Supervisor of public dance halls on behalf of San Francisco Center of the National League of Women Voters.

Thirteen years member of the Board of Directors of San Francisco Children's Hospital.

Past President of the San Francisco Camp Fire Girls, and a member of the National Board.

If elected to this office, I shall study all matters presented to the Board of Supervisors seriously and with an unbiased mind, giving earnest consideration to the needs of all sections of the City. I realize that the taxes paid by you to support the City are a public trust and should be spent wisely and economically.

Sponsors:

Mrs. Alfred McLaughlin
Mrs. Edward M. Hogan
Constant J. Auger
Margaret Mary Morgan
Mrs. Ludwig Frank
James D. Phelan
Mrs. Robert A. Dean
Dr. Adelaide Brown
Marion Delaney
Dr. Mariana Bertola

Paul Scharrenberg
Albert Rosenshine
Mrs. Parker S. Maddux
Mrs. D. E. F. Easton
Dr. A. S. Musante
Mrs. Lillian Hall Larsen
Mrs. Herbert H. Darling
Mrs. W. B. Hamilton
Mrs. J. E. Butterfield

For Supervisor

ALBERT F. NORTON

Albert F. Norton for the past fifteen years has been a Mission merchant. I stand for honest government, fair dealing with all, the City affairs my first consideration. I have made no pledges to anyone other than to promise fidelity to my trust and give the best that is in me in the performance of my duty. I hope to win the support and confidence of the citizens of San Francisco by pledging to vote the spending of the tax money without undue expenditures. Our citizens want the best, but they rightly demand that their taxes bring them adequate returns and that none of their money be wasted. I pledge myself, that if elected, I will insist that not a dollar of the public funds be expended unnecessarily and that the City shall receive full value for every dollar expended. I have a sincere desire to see our City progress in the way that it should. Building of highways and Bay bridges into San Francisco shall receive my earnest support. I pledge to promote new industrial sites and activities, to increase commerce in this City, developing waste-lands for the purpose of bringing new industry, new business, new people and new wealth, befitting the metropolis of the Pacific Coast. San Francisco is located in what is commercially known as the center of the Western trade area, with some three hundred and fifty new industries, clamoring to locate on the Pacific Coast. Something must be done by San Francisco to attract its share of this new business. I am for a greater San Francisco by expansion and solution of the traffic problem. When you vote for me, you vote for progress. My sponsors are:

Dr. Charles E. De Neef, 490 Post Street.
George L. Suhr, 865 Dolores Street.
Fred V. Gantner, 1965 Market Street.
A. A. Hintz, 117 Fourth Street.
Dr. O. F. Krebs, 3140 Twenty-first Street.
Robert Trost, 1164 Shotwell Street.
Samuel Zacharonsky, 806 Forty-third Avenue.
J. S. Malpeters, Brayton Hotel, 50 Turk Street.
Jos. T. Reiley, 767 Market Street.
Dr. Peter Hanschen, 1284 Dolores Street.
Charles P. Gibbons, 315 Montgomery Street.
Herbert F. Suhr, 2919 Mission Street.
Lorenzo Cristoforo, Justin Drive.

For Supervisor

JEFFERSON E. PEYSER

Practicing attorney, native of California, resident of San Francisco for twenty-nine years. Graduate of Lowell High School and University of California; World War veteran.

I present my candidacy to the voters of San Francisco upon my record as an attorney and active member of civic organizations and participation in civic affairs. I believe the future of San Francisco lies in the handling of its affairs in a business-like manner with the absolute elimination of politics.

Vital problems that now confront our City are the bridging of San Francisco Bay, and the development of our natural resources, the completion of our Civic Center and War Memorial buildings, the solution of the transportation difficulties and an early determination of our garbage question. To these and all other matters affecting the interests of San Francisco and its continued progress, I pledge myself to give careful and constant attention.

I refrain from making empty promises, but assure the voters that I shall attend the meetings of the Board promptly and faithfully and give my best and most conscientious attention to its duties.

For Supervisor

JAMES E. POWER

James E. Power, former Postmaster, submits his candidacy for the office of Supervisor to the voters of San Francisco, with the following statement:

What San Francisco needs is industrial development. New industries mean more population, and increased population means more widespread prosperity. San Francisco has not gone ahead as it should. Many important matters have been muddled—and where decisions were imperative, postponement has been the order of the day. We cannot keep on postponing opportunity. It will be my endeavor, if elected, to strike the iron of development before opportunity grows cold.

Because I have had experience in serving my native city as a member of the Board of Education, as Chairman of the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors, and as Postmaster, and because of my studies and observations as a business man, I believe I am qualified to help forward San Francisco's interests.

My sponsors are as follows:

Francis V. Keesling, attorney at law.

Frank J. Foran, Vice-President, King Coal Co.

Carl A. Henry, President, Owl Drug Co.

Emilio Lastreto, attorney at law.

Frank J. McHugh, contractor.

B. B. Futernick, merchant.

R. W. Costello, President, O'Connor, Moffatt Co.

J. C. Ewing, President, City Coal Co.

Harry K. Wolff, attorney at law.

Mrs. James E. Power.

Samuel Fugazi, President, Fugazi Bros.

John Bender, contractor.

Andrew F. Burke, attorney at law.

A. J. Glesener, President, A. J. Glesener Co.

Samuel Silver, President, Sam Silver Co.

Harry Gaetjen, President, Empire Mill.

Mrs. John I. Nolan, former Congresswoman.

Joseph Lewis, President, Lewis', Inc.

Cornelius Collonan, electrical contractor.

George J. Asmussen, President, United Undertakers.

For Supervisor
CHARLES J. POWERS

Four years ago I made a pledge to break the wall around our city, by building boulevards towards the south. Thus far the following have been practically completed: Ocean Beach, Bay Shore, Alemany, Bernal Cut, Nineteenth Avenue, Junipero Serra, Seventh Avenue, Market Street Extension, Bernal Heights and Sunset.

As Chairman of Building and Lands Committee, I recommended the purchase of 550 acres for McLaren Park, and have each year placed appropriation in the budget for this project; also purchased millions of dollars worth of property for municipal projects, at prices fair to owners and fair to city.

I also recommended the new fire houses in Richmond, Parkside, Third and Army, and Third and Arthur Streets; also new police station in Parkside.

As member of Lighting Committee, will have succeeded by January 1st in replacing gas lamps with modern electric lights.

As member of Finance Committee, reduced taxes without impeding progress, and made appropriations to decrease telephone, gas and electric rates.

If re-elected will continue to work for municipal ownership of public utilities, the prompt beginning and early completion of Bay and Golden Gate bridges, and give the same efficient and faithful service as I have in the past.

For Supervisor

X. P. REICHLIN

As a candidate for the office of Supervisor of the City and County of San Francisco I am glad to submit to the voters the following qualifications:

I was born in San Francisco and have been continuously a resident thereof for more than thirty-five years. I am a graduate mechanical engineer and am now successful in business in the Mission district, where I was born.

I have always maintained an active interest in the progress and development of San Francisco and if I am elected to the office of Supervisor it will be my aim and object to promote a greater period of prosperity and general welfare in our entire community. My pledge to the voters of San Francisco who support my candidacy for the office of Supervisor is that I will devote my time and effort to the development in San Francisco of greater industries, the maintenance and improvement of our harbor under the jurisdiction of San Francisco and the enlargement of our educational facilities, consolidation with San Mateo County, municipal ownership of San Francisco's airport, as well as the early completion of Bay bridge projects.

Sponsors:

Arthur E. Otts, business agent.

Frank Schmid, retired business man.

C. Oliva.

Phil J. Kennedy, banker.

W. Herbert Coleman, newspaper man.

Dr. J. W. Gunn, Jr., M.D.

Alister Watson Marquis, salesman.

Joseph P. Osterloh, president Noe Valley Improvement Club.

Edward M. Kelleher, Civil Service, San Francisco.

Emily Schmid, school teacher, San Francisco.

John C. Newbaur, director S. F. Boys' Club.

Dr. Luke Bryan, dentist.

Arthur L. Duc, Civil Service, San Francisco.

Thos. M. Foley, attorney at law.

Edward N. Jackson, attorney at law.

For Supervisor

ARTHUR H. RINGHOLM

For the past ten years, I have been a successful merchant in the "New Mission District", better known as the "Excelsior District". For three years I was President of the "Excelsior Merchants Association". At the present time I am President of the "Southern Civic Clubs" and Chairman of the Troop Committee of the Boy Scouts Troop 73. During the last four years I have been an ardent Community Chest worker, also am a Spanish-American War veteran.

A large city like ours has various problems to contend with, such as transportation, more industries, better pay rolls, more schools, recreation grounds and others too many to enumerate.

Now, it is my intention, if elected, to serve San Francisco and the people to the utmost of my ability, also to help solve these various problems.

So it is up to us to help San Francisco.

"My aim is to have a bigger and greater San Francisco."

The following are my sponsors:

Joseph P. Gilmore
T. J. Murry
George Reilly
R. C. Douglass
John McLaren
James Hopkins
Dr. Paul Lane
Harry Gordon
Fred Steinmann

Charles N. Greer
Herbert Reed
Murray Norton
Robert A. McNeil
Marguerite Steinmann
Ruby Steinmann
W. G. Bailey
Con F. Collonan

For Supervisor **ANGELO J. ROSSI**

My record as a Supervisor for the years 1922-1925, I believe, merits your approval and support.

If elected, I will again give to the people the same constructive service, business-like administration and prompt and thoughtful action on all problems affecting the welfare and progress of San Francisco. I favor broad constructive policies for civic betterments at the lowest possible tax rate commensurate with San Francisco's needs.

Among those sponsoring my candidacy are:

James D. Phelan	Dr. Frank I. Gonzales
A. P. Giannini	Mrs. Angelo J. Rossi
Lewis F. Byington	Gus Lachman
John A. McGregor	A. Farina
Carl Henry	Frank Marini
Dr. John Gallwey	Joe Devenenzi
Henry G. W. Dinkelspiel	Mrs. Henry Dahl
George Tourny	Constant J. Auger
Richard W. Costello	Mrs. John J. Collins
Eugene Autard	Ernest C. Hueter
George Filmer	Mrs. Ed. A. Stoutenburgh
Victor Sbragia	John T. Regan
Charles Reddy	Julia R. Wessell
J. Emmet Hayden	Charles C. Cohen
Sam McKee	Col. Frank W. Marston
Jesse C. Colman	Frank A. Smith
Dr. T. B. W. Leland	Harry Lesser
Sylvester Andriano	A. E. Kroenke
Mrs. J. Emmet Hayden	E. A. Groezinger
S. M. Silver	Louis Ferrari
Harry W. Gaetjer	Thomas F. Delury
Mrs. Minerva Swain	John F. Cunningham
Dr. T. X. Sullivan	Frank A. Bonivert
Lillian Herzog	Thomas A. Brooks
Mrs. May Comyns	Wm. D. Hynes
Max Sommer	E. H. Thorsch
Margaret Krsak	H. L. J. Winter
Dr. A. S. Musante	Abe Shapro
Mrs. H. H. Larsen	Frank D. Pelicano
John J. Lermen	Julius P. Glaser
Mrs. Charles W. Heyer	L. R. Podesta
Joseph B. Keenan	G. M. Scalmanini
Mrs. Dan P. Flynn	Hans Plath

Thomas McGrath
Donald O'Hair
Charles A. Koenig
Mrs. Helen Mann
Timothy Treacy
E. A. Trenkle
Joseph M. Cumming
Henry Morris

Henry Besozzi
Sam Fugazi
Mrs. Bert Lazarus
Sam T. Breyer
Henry Muller
Arthur E. Curtis
Ben Selig

For Supervisor

WALTER J. SCHMIDT

After four years as a Supervisor, I stand ready to give an account of my stewardship. I was elected on a pledge of sane economy. I have fulfilled that pledge without impeding progress. During my term great projects have been completed, among which are Duboce Tunnel, Esplanade, Bernal Cut, Bayshore, Alemany and Junipero Serra boulevards. I have always stood, and will always stand, for progress and for the bringing of new industries into our city, and with this end in view, while in the State Legislature, I was co-author of the bills which have given to us the Islais Creek and Bay View Industrial areas. Not only was I a co-author of the Bridge and Highway Act, which will make possible the Golden Gate Bridge, but I have worked consistently for this as well as for the Transbay Bridge.

As Chairman of the Supplies Committee, I furthered the charter amendment providing a revolving fund for the Purchaser of Supplies, thereby enabling merchants to receive prompt payment of accounts and the city to obtain cash discounts.

As a member of the Lighting Committee, I fulfilled my pledge to replace street gas lights with modern electric equipment.

As a member of the Traffic Committee, I did my full share in the installation of vehicular traffic signals, thereby making San Francisco more free from automobile accidents than any other city.

If re-elected, I will in the future, as I have in the past, serve all the people and work for the advancement of the city I love.

Sponsors for Walter J. Schmidt:

Mrs. Ethel B. Dunn, housewife.

Harry W. Gaetjen, president and manager, Empire Planing Mill.

Geo. W. Caswell, merchant.

Arthur E. Holl, president, Mission Merchants Association.

Mrs. Minnie White, housewife.
Louis Greenfield, theatre owner.
Gus Lachman, merchant.
George L. Suhr, funeral director.
Geo. H. Sandy, merchant.
Delia T. Tinney, housewife.
Louis E. Felder, undertaker.
Frank Marini, undertaker.
Charles P. Cain, president, La Grande Laundry.
David Birnbaum, president, Retail Fruit Dealers Association.
Mae Barry, past president, Native Daughters.
Hippolyte Dragon, president, H. Dragon Company.
Thos. I. Dillon, merchant.
Albert G. Samuels, jeweler.
Tallant Tubbs, State Senator.
Charles A. Maggini, automobile dealer.

For Supervisor
E. J. SPAULDING

Am a construction engineer and familiar with the important problems that confront people of this City and County, having been an appraisal engineer on Spring Valley Water Company valuation until the time of the World War, when I entered the Service and was assigned to the Engineering Department of Naval Construction. Later was engineering inspector in the Bureau of Architecture during the construction of the new schools in this City. Served as Chief of the Division of Housing of the Board of Health, and for the last two years I have served you as Under-Sheriff.

The following is my platform:

I am heartily in favor of, and will strenuously work for a good and efficient municipal government, and will sanction only such laws as are conducive to the welfare, happiness and prosperity of the people of San Francisco.

For Supervisor
WILLIAM P. STANTON

On my four-year record as a Supervisor, I submit myself to the voters for re-election.

I am a native of San Francisco and have lived here all my life. For twenty-four years I have been Superintendent of Construction of the Pacific Auxiliary Fire Alarm Company. For the last five years I have been President of the San Francisco Labor Council and for the last two years President of the California State Federation of Labor, both of which positions I now hold. I also am a member of the Golden Gate Bridge Commission.

I have worked unceasingly for expansion of the City's street and boulevard system, for expansion of the park and playground systems, for completion of the public school building program, for the acquisition of new industries and encouragement of the industries already located in San Francisco.

I have done my utmost toward keeping the tax rate at the lowest possible figure, consistent with the efficient administration of the government.

I have worked unceasingly for public distribution of Hetch Hetchy power and for the bringing to the City of the Hetch Hetchy water.

I have been Chairman of the Supervisors' Lighting Committee during the last four years, have inaugurated a uniform ornamental lighting system, and have expanded street lighting facilities more than forty per cent during my term of office. By the end of this year all gas lamps will be out of San Francisco. I believe that we should have a street lighting bureau, such as practically every other city has, to develop the lighting system efficiently and scientifically and to administer it competently and economically.

I have worked unceasingly for the Golden Gate Bridge and am now a member of the Commission, which immediately organized and is now ready to start work. The Commission proposes to complete the work in less than five years at a cost which will permit of the redeeming of bonds out of tolls and will yield a tremendous income to San Francisco.

I have worked unceasingly for the development of Yacht Harbor and Aquatic Park for recreational purposes and have started and followed through a program for the purchase of the lands needed for this purpose.

If re-elected I shall continue to give all of the time and the effort necessary to bring about the early completion of the public

projects which I have initiated and now have under way.

My sponsors are:

Daniel C. Murphy
John A. O'Connell
Thos. B. W. Leland, M.D.
Richard J. Welch
B. L. Wertheimer
Morris Levy
J. H. McCallum
Frank W. Healey
Byron McDonald
C. A. Henry

Michael Casey
John L. Herget
Mrs. Elsa S. Leon
Robert J. Loughery
Alvin E. Cerf, M.D.
Paul Scharrenberg
Thomas Crowley
Milton Meyer
F. V. Keesling
Ruth Quinan

For Supervisor **CHARLES F. TODD**

When elected four years ago I made certain pledges; I have kept them all. I am seeking re-election upon my record and I pledge that I will continue to serve as in the past.

I favor the immediate acquisition of publicly owned Bay bridges and the reclamation of all tide lands by dredging for the development of industrial sites.

I will work for the completion of McLaren Park, the Aquatic Park and the Yacht Harbor.

I favor the City owning all the street car lines and will work toward this end, purchase by the City to be at their true value.

The street car fare must be kept at five cents.

I favor the extension of the municipal bus lines.

I will continue to work for the completion of Hetch Hetchy as we must have cheaper power and water in order to grow. I am for lighting our city streets with our own power as soon as the power can be brought into San Francisco.

I have worked consistently for the extension of the boulevards; these are now being realized, and I will continue along these lines.

As Chairman of the Traffic Committee I am proud of what has been accomplished. By scientific study and regulation the death rate from automobile accidents has been reduced in San Francisco over twenty per cent, while in every other city in the United States it has increased from ten to twenty per cent.

I introduced the ordinance giving the police the power to prohibit the showing of indecent shows and pictures. I have consistently worked for more schools of the high type that are now being built throughout the City and will continue. I believe

that our hospital accommodations should be increased and that additional emergency hospitals should be established in some of the outlying districts.

The War Memorial will have my support in every way, and I will continue, as in the past, to try to get the work started.

I am serving my third term as President of the State Traffic League, which is fostering the adoption of the uniform traffic ordinance that has been adopted by one hundred cities in California and after which the Hoover Conference in Washington patterned the National Traffic Code. I am also President of the State Supervisors Association of the State of California.

In voting please do not be confused in the names, and vote for **Charles F. Todd, Incumbent.**

My sponsors are:

J. Downey Harvey
John Bermingham
Sylvester Andriano
John F. Cunningham
Edgar C. Levey
Andrew Charles Johnson
Rosalie Rooney
August R. Oliva
Thomas Hamill
David F. Supple

Charles D. O'Connor
Charles J. Heggerty
Al. Devoto
Maurice L. Rapheld
Bert D. Paolinelli
E. L. Rolls
Frank W. Dunn
Mrs. Charles F. Todd
Annie M. Todd
Daniel P. Maher

For Supervisor LOUISE TODD

Youth and women, the most exploited section of the working class, have no spokesmen at the present elections. As a member of the Young Communist League, I am presenting some of the main issues:

As part of the rationalization process in industry, youth and women are forced to work, under a speed-up system, long hours, unsafe and unsanitary conditions and at a much lower wage for the same work as adult workers whom they are replacing. They are unorganized, neglected and betrayed by the reactionary unions and socialists. Even the fake laws against child labor and women in industry are disregarded.

The young workers are the first victims of capitalist militarism and war. Due to unemployment, the youth is forced into military service to be used as strike breakers, to kill other

workers in the coming imperialist war and attack against the Soviet Union.

In the schools, instruments of the capitalist class, the working class children are subject to bosses' propaganda and military training (R. O. T. C., Boy Scouts, C. M. T. C., etc.)

Millions of young workers under the age of 21, who play an important role in the class struggle, are politically disfranchised.

Fighting against all these attacks, we must fight for a six-hour day, five-day week, with a minimum \$20.00 weekly wage, for young workers, against the use of child labor, equal pay for equal work, complete abolition of night work, maternity relief two months prior and after confinement, at the expense of the employers, for the right to vote for all young workers at the age of 18, native or foreign-born, or in the armed forces.

I fully endorse the statement of Henry Gliksohn, Communist candidate, and call upon the workers to support the candidates and the platform of the class struggle.

For Supervisor

ANNA CHARLOTTE WELLBROCK

My record in civic affairs dates back to 1900, the Geary street road. The effective work I did then is on record at our Public Library. My next work was widening of Geary street. Four years ago I withdrew from the race for Supervisor at National Hall, believing I could best serve the interests of you, the taxpayers. Because some of those elected through my sacrifice have not lived up to my expectations I now enter this campaign with my son, Chas. L. Wellbrock, so that we may serve you well. My latest effective work was against Amendment 24 and a full pardon for Tom Mooney.

For Supervisor
CHAS. L. WELLBROCK

I enter this campaign asking your full support so that I can give you the best that is in me for the development of San Francisco's future, my native city.

The past four years I have worked earnestly with my mother, Mrs. Anna C. Wellbrock. Especially do I mention against Amendment 24 and a full pardon for Tom Mooney.

Industry must be encouraged in friendly manner, producing more payrolls. I firmly believe in Municipal Ownership.

Sponsors for mother and myself are:

Henriette Jacobson
Bertie J. Fleischman
Alfrieda Fleischman
Mrs. Anna Charlotte Well-
brock
William F. Ehlers
C. D. Mull
Geo. D. Kidwell
Thomas Doyle

Geo. L. Suhr
Dr. Chas. A. Ertola
H. Joseph Kertz
Carl Maritzen
Pearl Maritzen
Mrs. Minnie White
Peter J. McCormick
Raymond H. Cronin
Raymond R. Buchigani

PROPOSITIONS

The following Declarations of Policies and Ordinance ordered submitted to the electors by the Board of Supervisors, and an Ordinance submitted by an Initiative Petition of Electors are presented herewith.

PROPOSITIONS NOS. 1, 2, 3, 4

Relative to Collection and Disposal of Garbage

Resolution No. 31412 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following declarations of policy be submitted to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco at the election to be held in said City and County on the 5th day of November, 1929:

1. "Shall garbage be disposed of by incineration?"
2. "Shall the City establish a municipally owned and operated system for the collection of garbage?"
3. "Shall the City establish a municipally owned and operated system for the disposal of garbage?"
4. "Shall the City and County of San Francisco enter into an agreement with a private individual or corporation, whereby said individual or corporation will agree to build an incinerator of sufficient capacity to incinerate the garbage and refuse collected in the City, and to operate the same for the incineration of such garbage and refuse under such conditions as may be provided by the authorities of said City and County, with the understanding that the City and County shall be entitled to acquire said incinerator from the person or corporation building the same upon such conditions as may be agreed upon when said agreement to build said incinerator is entered into?"

Be It Further Resolved, That the Board of Election Commissioners be, and they are hereby, directed to place each of the above propositions upon the ballot at said election, so that the electors can express their preference for or against each of said propositions by voting "Yes" or "No" thereon.

Adopted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, September 16, 1929.

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, McGovern, Roncovieri, Toner.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved—San Francisco, September 17, 1929.

FRANCK R. HAVENNER, Acting Mayor.

PROPOSITION NO. 5

Relative to Establishment of Municipal Court

Bill No. 9027, Ordinance No. 8528 (New Series), as follows:

An Ordinance Presenting and Submitting to the Qualified Electors of the City and County of San Francisco the Question of the Establishment of a Municipal Court.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The question of the establishment of a Municipal Court for the City and County of San Francisco is hereby presented and submitted to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general municipal election to be held on Tuesday, November 5, 1929.

Section 2. It is hereby prescribed that the question hereby submitted shall be in the following form and shall appear on the ballot at said election as follows: Shall a Municipal Court be established for the City and County of San Francisco? It is further prescribed that opposite said question on the ballot the words "Yes" and "No" shall be printed in separate lines with voting squares.

Section 3. It is further prescribed that said Court shall begin to function on the 1st day of July, 1930.

Finally Passed—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, September 16, 1929.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner.

Absent—Supervisors Andriano, McGovern, Powers.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved—San Francisco, September 17, 1929.

FRANCK R. HAVENNER, Acting Mayor.

PROPOSITION NO. 6

Relative to Acquiring "China Beach" for Playground Purposes

Resolution No. 31455 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following declaration of policy be submitted to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco for their approval or disapproval, at the election to be held in said City and County on Tuesday, the 5th day of November, 1929:

"Shall the City acquire by purchase for playground purposes a tract of land of approximately 505 feet frontage, extending in a northwesterly direction from Sea Cliff avenue to the Pacific Ocean, said tract to include the beach or ocean front, commonly known as 'China Beach' or 'China Cove,' the purchase price of said property not to exceed \$250,000.00?"

And Be It Further Resolved, That the Registrar of Voters be and he is hereby directed to place upon the ballot at said election to be held on the 5th day of November, 1929, the aforesaid declaration of policy, so that the electors of the City and County may approve of said policy by voting "Yes" thereon and disapprove of the same by voting "No" thereon.

Adopted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, September 23, 1929.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Todd.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Marks.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved—San Francisco, September 24, 1929.

FRANCK R. HAVENNER, Acting Mayor.

PROPOSITION NO. 7

Relative to Acquiring Land for Extension of Marina Park

Resolution No. 31491 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following declaration of policy be submitted to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco for their approval or disapproval, at the election to be held in said City and County on Tuesday, the 5th day of November, 1929:

“Shall the City acquire a tract of land, bounded by Lewis street, Webster street, Marina boulevard and Laguna street, said tract to be acquired and used for the extension of the Marina Park, the purchase price of said tract not to exceed \$400,000.00?”

And Be It Further Resolved, That the Registrar of Voters be and he is hereby directed to place upon the ballot at said election to be held on the 5th day of November, 1929, the aforesaid declaration of policy, so that the electors of the City and County may approve of said policy by voting “Yes” thereon and disapprove of the same by voting “No” thereon.

Adopted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, September 30, 1929.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Tadd, Toner.

Noes—Supervisor Colman.

Absent—Supervisors Marks, Shannon, Sulir.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved—San Francisco, September 30, 1929.

FRANCK R. HAVENNER, Acting Mayor.

PROPOSITION NO. 8

Initiative Ordinance to Provide for Disposal of Refuse by "Fill and Cover Method"

PETITION TO THE HONORABLE BOARD OF ELECTION COMMISSIONERS

To Submit to a Vote of the Registered and Qualified Voters, at the Next General Municipal Election, in Accordance With the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco,

An Ordinance Providing for the Disposal of Refuse by a "Fill and Cover Method," Defining Such Method and Providing for the Acquisition of the Land Necessary for Such Purpose by Condemnation or Otherwise.

To the Honorable Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco:

We, the undersigned, registered voters and qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, and comprising, with other registered voters and qualified electors, whose names are signed to other papers of identical tenor and effect, a number of registered voters and qualified electors equal to four per centum of the entire vote of all candidates for the office of Mayor of said City and County at the last preceding regular municipal election, hereby, in conformity with Article XI, Chapter 3, of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, petition your Honorable Commission to submit the following ordinance, "providing for the disposal of refuse by a 'Fill and Cover Method', defining such method and providing for the acquisition of the land necessary for such purposes by condemnation or otherwise", to a vote of the registered voters and qualified electors of said City and County of San Francisco for approval at the general municipal election to be held in said City and County on Tuesday, the fifth day of November, 1929.

An Ordinance Providing for the Disposal of Refuse by a "Fill and Cover Method", Defining Such Method and Providing for the Acquisition of the Land Necessary for Such Purpose by Condemnation or Otherwise.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The term "Refuse" as it is used in this ordinance shall be taken to mean and include all waste and discarded food and organic matter, waste paper, cans, glass, earthenware, boxes, ashes from dwelling places, households and apartment houses, and any other kind of waste from any source whatsoever that cannot be disposed of in a sanitary and/or economical manner.

Section 2. All such refuse shall be disposed of by the City and County of San Francisco by what is known as the "fill and cover" method; that is to say: All such refuse shall be deposited upon land that is or may be subject to the ebb and flow of the tide and to such a depth as may be deemed expedient. When it has been brought to its proper level, it shall forthwith be covered by a layer of earthy matter.

Section 3. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco shall forthwith proceed to acquire by condemnation or otherwise an area estimated to be sufficient to provide for the deposit of all of the refuse produced within the City and County during a period of two years. Such area shall be situated within the district bounded by Yosemite avenue extended to the County line and Hawes street extended to the County line and the County line between the County of San Francisco and County of San Mateo, and said Board of Supervisors shall, within 60 days of passage of this ordinance, make the necessary appropriations to acquire said property as needed.

The purpose of this provision is hereby declared to be the acquisition of land not at present devoted to its most useful purpose and suitable as a site for the deposit of refuse and thereby reclaim the same for a more beneficial use; also to acquire such land as will supply suitable material for covering said refuse. It is hereby declared that land situated within the area herein described is necessary, convenient and adaptable for the purpose stated.

Section 4. It is hereby further declared that this disposition of refuse is a sanitary measure, and is necessary as a protection to the public health and a promotion of public comfort and safety.

Section 5. It shall be the duty of the city attorney as soon as the funds therefor are made available by the Board of Supervisors to commence condemnation proceedings (unless such acquisition shall be otherwise had) by which an area sufficient for the purpose herein stated and said "fill and cover" method shall be instituted immediately upon such acquisition. Additional areas of land may be subsequently and likewise acquired.

Section 6. That all refuse as herein described shall be depos-

ited by the collectors thereof, at the bunkers of the City and County provided therefor and located at Fifteenth and De Haro streets, or at such other locations as may be hereafter prescribed by the Board of Supervisors, and the person, firm or corporation depositing the said refuse shall pay to the City and County the sum of one dollar per ton thereof for the privilege of using such bunkers.

Section 7. All moneys received pursuant to the provisions of the preceding section shall be used—

(a) To defray the cost of transporting said refuse from the place of its receipt to the site to be filled and the cost of its final disposal.

(b) To defray the cost of the acquisition of the land necessary for the purpose, including the principal and interest on any debt incurred for the purchase of the same.

Section 8. Said refuse shall be transported from the place of its receipt to the site selected for its final deposition by the most economical and sanitary method and extensions of the Municipal Railway shall be made when necessary to facilitate such transportation and so far as the City and County shall have authority so to do, all street and other railways shall be required to make similar extensions and to use their existing facilities to this end.

Section 9. The Board of Public Works shall prepare specifications for the transportation of all refuse from the place of its receipt to the site selected for its deposit and for the proper spread of the same and the covering thereof with earth. It shall invite proposals for doing said work according to said specifications. It shall also make an estimate of the cost of doing said work by its own employees and in the event that such estimate is lower than any bid received therefor, it shall reject all bids and do the same by the direct employment of the means and labor necessary therefor; otherwise the contract shall be awarded to the lowest responsible bidder and a contract entered into in the manner provided in the Charter for the entering into and performance of contracts.

Section 10. It is hereby expressly provided that within a period of two years after the initial acquisition of land as provided in Section 3 of this ordinance for the purposes herein set forth, that a bond issue shall be submitted to the people by the Board of Supervisors for the purpose of providing funds for the acquisition of the land or other property necessary to secure the disposition of refuse as provided for herein, and that the refusal on the part of the voters of the City and County to approve said bond issue shall be taken as a disapproval of the method of disposal of said

refuse as herein provided, and shall operate as a repeal of this ordinance.

Section 11. It is hereby declared that this ordinance shall be deemed supplementary to that certain ordinance entitled:

Ordinance Providing for the Collection and Disposition of Refuse in the City and County of San Francisco; Providing for the Licensing of Refuse Collectors by the Board of Health; Fixing the Maximum Rates or Charges for the Collection of Refuse by Licensed Refuse Collectors from Homes and Apartment Houses; Dividing the City and County of San Francisco Into Collection Routes; Providing Penalties for the Violation of the Provisions of the Ordinance;

approved by the voters at an election held on the 14th day of June, 1927, but should any of the provisions of this ordinance be found to conflict with the provisions of said ordinance, then the provisions herein contained shall prevail.

Recorder Ptg. & Pub. Co., San Francisco



Nov. 5, 1929

Adolph Uhl Advises:

69

Vote "YES" on Fill-and-Cover

No. 8 on ballot. Requires only one garbage can! United States adopted Fill-and-Cover at Panama. Read report on page 2. Fill-and-Cover will enable San Francisco to acquire and reclaim 1000 acres of tide lands for industrial sites and compete with Los Angeles for industries requiring large acreage. 1000 acres in industries means \$40,000,000 annual pay rolls. Scavengers oppose Fill-and-Cover.

Vote "NO" on Incineration No. 1 on Ballot

Incineration calls for 2 garbage cans!
Two incinerators failures. Do not take another chance.

Vote "NO" on Municipal Collection of Garbage

No. 2 on ballot. Increases taxes \$1,250,000 a year. Requires householder placing garbage cans at curb. Compels owners of vacant lots to pay for collection.

Vote "NO" on Municipal Disposal

No. 3 on ballot. It interferes with Fill-and-Cover.

Vote "NO" on Privately Owned Incinerator

No. 4 on ballot. A monopoly! Scavengers want it! Scavengers' monopoly should be broken. It can be by making collection of garbage competitive. The Scavengers should post a bond to maintain rates, thereby making unnecessary 15 cents increase in taxes for collection.

Vote "YES" on 8

"NO" on 1-2-3-4

United States Closes Two Modern Incinerators and ADOPTS FILL and COVER!

Following statement by Colonel W. P. Chamberlain, Chief Health Officer, U. S. Medical Corps, The Panama Canal. Following from the Colonel's report: "Twenty-five Years Medical Activity on the Isthmus of Panama, 1904-1929."

"This department has devised a method of burial (using crude oil to prevent fly breeding) which has proven even more efficient than incineration, and is much cheaper. Consequently, the costly modern incinerators built twelve years ago, have been closed. Burial is carried on in close proximity to town without nuisance of any kind. It is notable that on these garbage dumps flies are rarely seen and rats absent."

Foregoing statement is convincing. It indicates that Dr. Hassler's talk against Fill-and-Cover is a bugaboo. If Fill-and-Cover is Okeh for the United States, it should be Okeh for San Francisco!

No Record of Health Menace Due to Fill-and-Cover

Federal Health records on Fill-and-Cover make no reference to Health Menace. The reports set forth spraying dump to kill fly larvæ and warn against fires to avoid odors.

No record could be found where the Government ordered discontinuance of any Fill-and-Cover system.

Will Dr. Hassler Explain?

Dr. Hassler is opposed to Fill-and-Cover but raised no objection to Southern Pacific Co. dumping carloads of green garbage within 8 blocks of the San Francisco Hospital. Dr. Hassler, why play favorites?

More Killed in One Year By Autos!

In 1928, One Hundred and Twenty-five white people were killed by autos in San Francisco.

Since 1849 Seventy-seven white people are said to have died of Bubonic Plague in San Francisco. Those deaths were in 1907, the year after the fire. The terrible unsanitary conditions made plague germs possible. Those conditions no longer exist.

What Fill-and-Cover Means to San Francisco

Creating 1000 acres of industrial land. The city owning the land, can if necessary, donate sites to large industrials which are so sorely needed in San Francisco. As a substantial taxpayer I urge doing so. I own no lands in South Basin — the tide lands to be reclaimed.

Takes It From The Supervisors!

The Ordinance provides for a two year trial of Fill-and-Cover. Approximately 100 acres will be reclaimed in two years. The cost of land and covering the Fill is estimated to cost approximately \$3,000 an acre. (Present asking price of land 3 cents a square foot or \$1320 an acre.) Reclaimed the land will be worth over \$5,000 an acre. The \$1.00 a ton paid to the City by the scavengers for dumping garbage at 15th and DeHara, will pay cost of transporting same to South Basin and spreading it.

Don't worry about the funds to purchase land and carry on Fill-and-Cover for coming year. Let the Supervisors do the worrying, they find money to pay for junket trips — two east on garbage, O'Shaughnessy and assistant to check up on incinerators. Industrial sites are of greater importance than Stafford appraisal which cost \$240,000 and is useless! That sum would pay for 200 acres in South Basin.

Sea Wall Costing \$5,000,000 Not Necessary

An inexpensive levee or planking will hold the garbage from floating into the bay. It is a false statement to say: Fill-and-Cover requires a \$5,000,000 seawall.

Present Incinerator on Garbage Dump

Most of the land east of Seventh and south of Bryant was filled with garbage. Hundreds of large buildings have been erected on these garbage dumps. There has been no menace to health from same.


Berkeley Shuts Down Incinerator

Land reclaimed by Fill-and-Cover is now Berkeley's Airplane landing base.

Seattle Shuts Down Incinerator

Disposes of all garbage by Fill-and-Cover. Health Board says most satisfactory.

MR. O'SHAUGHNESSY DEMANDS TWO CANS **A la Los Angeles**



Municipal Collection Means Cans at Curb

Not a pleasant task for a woman to carry cans to the curb.

Disgusting sight, flies, smells and upset cans by dogs.

Not wanted by "The City That Knows How."

Vote "YES" on 8

Vote "NO" on 1-2-3-4

Nov. 5, 1929

Vote "Yes"

For Garbage Incineration

No. 1

**A Message to the Voters of San Francisco
From City Engineer O'Shaughnessy**

Incineration is the act of destroying garbage to ashes by high temperature combustion. There is no other method so perfect, so sanitary, to dispose of garbage, refuse, etc. The resulting product is cinders, ashes, and clinkers, making good fill materials. The art of garbage incineration has vastly improved in the last few years, so that today practically every large city in this country is disposing of its garbage and refuse in this manner. With a modern incinerator there are no odors and no nuisance, and due to complete destruction of the garbage there is nothing to attract plague-carrying rats or vermin.

Incineration is favored by health authorities of the United States, the State, and the City. It will never create a health menace, nor invite disease or pestilence.

Toronto, Canada, and New York City are striking examples of the application of this method. Their incinerators, though located near hospitals and school buildings, are operated without neighborhood complaint.

San Francisco, "the City that knows how," should adopt a modern method for handling this problem. For the good of your City, the health of your family and yourself

Prepared by order of the Board of Supervisors, by
M. M. O'SHAUGHNESSY, City Engineer.

Vote "YES" on Incineration--

No. 1 on the Ballot

Recorder Ptg. & Pub. Co., San Francisco



Nov. 5, 1929

71

Vote "Yes"

For Garbage Incineration

No. 1

**A Message to the Voters of San Francisco
From City Engineer O'Shaughnessy**

Incineration is the act of destroying garbage to ashes by high temperature combustion. There is no other method so perfect, so sanitary, to dispose of garbage, refuse, etc. The resulting product is cinders, ashes, and clinkers, making good fill materials. The art of garbage incineration has vastly improved in the last few years, so that today practically every large city in this country is disposing of its garbage and refuse in this manner. With a modern incinerator there are no odors and no nuisance, and due to complete destruction of the garbage there is nothing to attract plague-carrying rats or vermin.

Incineration is favored by health authorities of the United States, the State, and the City. It will never create a health menace, nor invite disease or pestilence.

Toronto, Canada, and New York City are striking examples of the application of this method. Their incinerators, though located near hospitals and school buildings, are operated without neighborhood complaint.

San Francisco, "the City that knows how," should adopt a modern method for handling this problem. For the good of your City, the health of your family and yourself

Prepared by order of the Board of Supervisors, by
M. M. O'SHAUGHNESSY, City Engineer.

Vote "YES" on Incineration--

No. 1 on the Ballot

Recorder Ptg. & Pub. Co., San Francisco



Why the People of the City and County of San Francisco Should Vote **NO** on Proposition No. 2

The placing of Proposition Number 2 on the ballot by the Board of Supervisors is misleading, unfair, and if carried will ensnare the people into a deep bog of political intrigue.

Proposition No. 2 calls upon the people to indicate whether or not they want municipal collection. It calls merely for a declaration of policy by the people for the purpose of guiding the Board of Supervisors in their legislation. But, at the present time, there is an initiative measure in effect which provides how garbage shall be collected. This initiative measure passed in 1927 can be repealed or amended only by another initiative measure of the people. Proposition No. 2 is not an initiative measure. It cannot change or affect the existing law in any way. It is a blind effort on the part of the Board of Supervisors to acquire power to change a law that it has no power to change.

WHO IS TO PAY THE COST OF COLLECTION?

Proposition No. 2 does not give the people a full and fair opportunity to declare their policy respecting municipal collection of garbage. It fails utterly to enable the people to express themselves on the cost of municipal collection, and how it shall be controlled or limited. It allows no declaration of policy on the important question whether the cost of removal shall be included in the tax rate, or whether it shall be an individual charge made on the householder. Proposition No. 2 ostensibly calling for an adequate declaration of policy by the people if approved, will deprive the people of their voice in determining many vital questions bearing directly upon the matter of garbage collection.

NO. 2 WILL REQUIRE HOUSEWIVES TO SORT GARBAGE AND USE TWO CANS

If Municipal collection should be foisted on San Francisco, how will such collection affect the people? In Los Angeles, where municipal collection is being used, the housewives are forced to place their cans of garbage upon the curb at stated hours. Los Angeles is a city of Bungalows built upon the ground. San Francisco is a city of steps. Shall your housewives be forced to carry heavy cans of refuse down tortuous steps that the tax rate shall not be inflated beyond the endurance of already over-taxed home owners? San Francisco is a closely built up district. Garbage cans lining the sidewalks would be a most offensive sight.

Over 75% of the children in San Francisco play upon the sidewalks. Is it right that your children should be exposed to the dangers of germ laden garbage containers?

In Los Angeles, and in practically all cities where municipal collection is being used, the housewives are forced to sort the garbage into at least two cans. Will the innovation of municipal collection in San Francisco call for this added duty on your women folk? The value of the time so used should be considered. Do not spend dollars worth of time to save pennys worth of waste.

In Chicago, under their present system, the garbage has to be drained and wrapped. Will the people of San Francisco have to establish garbage drains in every household sink if municipal collection is put into effect?

MUNICIPAL COLLECTION MEANS AN INVASION OF A HORD OF VAGRANTS

The city will need to hire a corps of workers to run the wagons. People whom the city can hire will be a straggling host of itinerant men, destitute, desperate and irresponsible. If the can on the curb should be spared to you, then the alternative of having these men invade the rear doors of your homes will be given you. The crime wave which would inevitably follow is not pleasant to contemplate.

The demoralizing practice of bribing city employees is

a product of municipal collection. Such bribery masquerading under the guise of "tipping" is a thievery of the city's funds, for in this manner the employee's working capacity is preyed upon by those offering the "tip." In many cities the householder pays more in "tips" to the garbage men than you now pay for your entire collection cost.

SUPERVISORS HAVE NO FUNDS FOR INSTALLING MUNICIPAL COLLECTION

The Board of Supervisors have failed to tell the voters just where the money is to be raised to finance the project of municipal collection. Wagons and trucks will cost the city a large sum of money. From where is this money to come? Do the people wish to incur the obligation of vast outlays and expenditures on a proposition as unstable as the inexperienced attempt of municipal collection in San Francisco?

Why is the complete picture of the ultimate outcome not placed before you to vote upon?

Why do the Board of Supervisors ask the people to take a step into the dark?

Do the past activities of the Board of Supervisors warrant your blind confidence and trust?

CITY SANITATION GUILD VERSUS POLITICAL GARBAGE MACHINE

The present system of garbage collection in the City and County of San Francisco is the best, most efficient and most economical of any garbage collection system being used in the United States. The Scavengers' Protective Union has faithfully and efficiently served the people of San Francisco for over fifty years. Generation after generation of the same families have worked as your garbage collectors. These men are organized and trained. Their rates are reasonable, their service excellent. They are trustworthy and responsible. In fifty years not one charge of thievery or crime committed while on duty has been brought against a scavenger in San Francisco. These men are honest business men, for like your grocer they have an investment to protect. Each man has an interest in the


equipment he uses, each is maintaining a home and educating his children.

It is for such workers that we solicit the support of every citizen of San Francisco as against a roving band of vagrants which threatens to invade the city and place garbage collection, upon which the very health of the community depends, in the hands of politicians.

The manner in which the politicians have mis-managed and bungled the disposition of garbage is a sordid history for San Francisco. The costly but impossible incinerator pictured below is standing abandoned on Army street. The structure is a monument of silent testimony to the failure of the politicians of San Francisco to handle the garbage.

KEEP GARBAGE COLLECTION OUT OF POLITICS!

JOSEPH ANDERSON,
Attorney for Scavengers'
Protective Union.



VOTE "NO" ON PROPOSITION NUMBER 2

VOTE YES For Municipal Court

Guarantee Speed and Efficiency in
Disposing of Small Cases and Abolish
the System of Allowing Police and
Other Judges to Engage in Private
Practice.

The law of California allows the voters of any city of over 40,000 population to establish a Municipal Court by majority vote. A Municipal Court, when established, takes the place of Justices' Courts, Police Courts and all other courts except the Superior Court. The City of San Francisco should adopt the Municipal Court system for the following reasons:

1. **FULL-TIME JUDGES:** Judges of the Municipal Court must devote all of their time to their judicial duties, and cannot engage in private practice. At the present time Justices of the Peace and Police Judges are allowed to practice law. Judges should give the public their full time.

2. **RELIEF OF CONGESTION:** The Legislature of 1929 increased the jurisdiction of Justices' Courts to include suits up to \$1,000. This has thrown upon the present Justices of the Peace a burden which they cannot carry. The resulting congestion already constitutes a serious emergency. The Municipal Court of twelve Judges (in place of the present five Justices and four Police Judges) will enable the courts to try these and other cases promptly. The continuance of the present system will mean ever-increasing delay and congestion. Justice delayed is justice denied.

3. **ADAPTABILITY OF MUNICIPAL COURTS:** Under the present system Justices of the Peace can try only civil cases, Police Judges only criminal cases. Under the Municipal Court

(over)

system a Judge can be assigned to whichever class of cases needs attention most. This makes for efficiency and is a further preventative against delay and congestion.

4. **EFFICIENCY:** The present organization of the Justices' and Police Courts make it impossible for them to work efficiently. The Municipal Court is a court of record with proper facilities for effective work.

5. **EXPENSE:** The Municipal Court will have jurisdiction over all cases up to \$2,000. Its establishment would obviate the necessity of calling in judges from other counties at the expense of the city. The saving made should offset any additional expense due to the creation of the new courts.

6. **EXPERIENCE OF OTHER CITIES:** Municipal Courts have been uniformly successful in Los Angeles, Chicago and other cities where they have been established for many years. They are the modern method of handling the judicial business of large cities. Justices' Courts and Police Courts are out of date and unsuited to the requirements of communities of the size of San Francisco.

BAR ASSOCIATION OF SAN FRANCISCO

This measure also endorsed by:

BUILDING TRADES COUNCIL OF SAN
FRANCISCO

CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

CIVIC LEAGUE OF IMPROVEMENT CLUBS

DOWN TOWN ASSOCIATION

JUNIOR CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

REAL ESTATE BOARD

SAN FRANCISCO LABOR COUNCIL

Vote "Yes" on Proposition No. 5

Authorized by Board of Supervisors.

Recorder Ptg. & Pub. Co., San Francisco



(over)

Vote "YES" on No. 6

China Beach

San Francisco, October 9, 1929.

There is in San Francisco a small beach, called China Cove or Bakers Beach, on the south shore of San Francisco Bay, close to the junction of Twenty-eighth and Sea Cliff avenues.

This beach has always been used by the public for recreation and is exceptionally well protected from the wind and tides. It is not only a natural object of beauty, but it is of practical value for the encouragement of healthful exercise as a playground and resort.

Originally squatters took possession of it, but the title was perfected under the McEnerney Act. Therefore the public have lost their rights to its ownership, and it is now proposed to bar the people from its use. Real estate agents plan to subdivide it. This would be detrimental to public interests and city development. A natural attraction is a precious possession.

The Supervisors have approved a map prepared by real estate speculators, but, as a compromise, they are now submitting the proposition to a vote of the people themselves.

There is no question as to what is the best interest of the public. The beach should be preserved by the City and not given away. The price of \$250,000.00 to the City, mentioned in the proposition, was made large enough to cover any contingency, but when the purchase by the City is authorized by the people, the land can, doubtless, be secured for less, by negotiation, or, if desirable, by condemnation.

There is a state-wide movement to acquire forest lands and private beaches for the people. The people have voted Six Million Dollars recently for this purpose. By proper interpretation of the law, or by an amendment by the Legislature, San Francisco, in common with other cities or counties of California, may be able to get from the State one dollar for each dollar given by the municipality, for the acquisition of beaches.

In the interest of this and future generations, and for the beauty of San Francisco, vote "Yes" on this proposition.

JAMES D. PHELAN,

President, Playground Commission of the City
and County of San Francisco.

Vote "YES" on No. 6

Authorized by Board of Supervisors.

Recorder Ptg. & Pub. Co., San Francisco



Nov. 5, 1929

Vote "YES" on No. 7

To Acquire Needed Land to Complete Marina Park

The City received from the State of California and the Panama Pacific Exposition, 1915, sixteen blocks, which now constitutes the Marina Park. To make this Park what it should be additional land now in private ownership must be acquired by the city. The property required will not exceed in cost \$400,000.

The tendency of all cities in the World is to preserve for public use, pleasure and recreation, its water front and beach lands and this is one remaining piece of scenic water front property that should be procured and dedicated for public use.

An affirmative vote will direct the Board of Supervisors to procure this land by legal processes and at the most economic price.

It will be developed by the Park and Playground Commissions for water sports and other recreation.

This project has been repeatedly recommended by the Board of Park Commissioners, City Planning Commission and the Board of Supervisors.

PLAYGROUND COMMITTEE,
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

FRANK J. McGOVERN,
SYLVESTER ANDRIANO,
DR. J. M. TONER.

Vote "YES" on No. 7

Authorized by Board of Supervisors.

Recorder Ptg. & Pub. Co., San Francisco



Nov. 5, 1929

Keep San Francisco Safe From Rats, Plagues and Costly Shipping Embargoes

VOTE
NO on Fill and Cover
Proposition No. **8**

Would you bury an ass in your back yard? Then why should you think of burying garbage to putrefy in the City's back yard?

Do you want the City overrun by rats and vermin, carrying disease germs into your house? Bubonic plague transmitted by rats in 1907 cost San Francisco millions, not only for plague eradication, but in other ways. According to an estimate following investigation by the San Francisco Health Department, the loss to commerce alone was \$15,000,000.

The medical officer in command of the United States Public Health Service in San Francisco, the Director of the State Health Department, and members of the State Board of Health, fully realize the danger to other parts of the nation and of the state. They have therefore definitely placed their stamp of disapproval upon the fill and cover method for the disposal of garbage and earnestly advise the people of this city to have the garbage incinerated.

Fill and cover with garbage south of Hunter's Point would be more costly than its proponents would have you believe, as a seawall costing \$5,000,000 would have to be built to restrain it from floating into and fouling the bay. The cost of hauling garbage to the dump would be largely increased. Do you want to be taxed to fill someone's land for him?

The north half and west front of San Francisco are devoted to parks, boulevards and recreational purposes. The east front

(over)

will be devoted entirely to commerce. Only 25 per cent of this frontage is now in use. The remainder should be dedicated to this useful purpose by filling in the shallower waters with dredged material from the bay. Mud may be pumped in for 10 cents per cubic yard, which will solidify with time as on the Willamette, at Portland, Oregon, and at Oakland, California, and in a couple of years you will have first class land, needed for the industrial growth of San Francisco.

All the fire departments of New York cannot extinguish the blazing Riker's Island garbage dump, which has been burning 15 years. This would make a nice site for future industry!

Burn the garbage thoroughly in a modern, first class incinerator. Toronto, a city similar to San Francisco, collects garbage for an average cost of 75 cents per household per month, paid for in taxes, and incinerates it completely without objectionable smoke or smell. Why cannot San Francisco, "The City That Knows How," do what Toronto does?

Step forward, not backward; do not make a garbage grave of the bayshore area. Vote "NO" on Fill and Cover.

WILLIAM C. HASSLER, M. D.

City Health Officer.

**CITIZENS' HEALTH PROTECTION
COMMITTEE**

By JOHN T. REGAN, Chairman.

S. F. HOUSEWIVES' LEAGUE

By FRANCES V. MEEKER, President.

**S. F. CENTER OF THE CALIFORNIA
LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS**

By MRS. EDWIN R. SHELDON, President.

BAY VIEW MERCHANTS' ASSOCIATION

By GEORGE JONES, President.

BAY VIEW CIVIC CLUB

By JOHN RYAN, President.

**VOTE
NO on Fill and Cover
Proposition No. 8**

Recorder Ptg. & Pub. Co., San Francisco



(over)