

SUTRO

SF

R45

#1

11-6-84

5th CD

3rd SD

17th AD

VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET

November 6, 1984

General Election

Compiled by

Jay Patterson


Registrar of Voters

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

OCT 26 1984

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY



Voter Information Pamphlet

GENERAL INFORMATION

Voting Instructions	3, 18
Sample Ballot	4-17
Your rights as a voter	19
Words you need to know	20
Handicapped information	20, 96
Absentee Ballot Application	card
Voter Selection Coupon	card
Location of your Polling Place	96

CANDIDATES FOR SUPERVISOR

John Saleh Abdulla	22
Suzanne A. Alberto	22
Roger Boschetti	23
Richard Bradley	23
Harry G. Britt	24
Randall D. Bronner	24
Jonathan Bulkley	25
Diana Coleman	25
Eleanor M. Davis	26
Edward Michael Hayes	26
Robert D. Ingraham	27
Ruby T. Jimenez	27
Andrew (Daddy Andy) Jones	28
Willie B. Kennedy	28
Ellis L.A. Keyes	29
David L. Kilber	29
Quentin L. Kopp	30
Julian Lagos	30
Julianne Malveaux	31
John L. Molinari	31
Pat Norman	32
Joseph J. Phillips	32
Louise Renne	33
Carol Ruth Silver	33
David C. Smith	34
Kevin Starr	34
John E. Wahl	35
Sylvia Weinstein	35
Dave Wharton	36

CANDIDATES FOR BOARD OF EDUCATION

Dick Cerbatos	37
Christopher Christenson	37
Libby Denebeim	38
George Dykstra	38

Martin Eng	39
Dr. Eugene S. Hopp	39
Terry K. Hugunin	40
James Legare	40
JoAnne Miller	41
Benjamin Tom	41

CANDIDATES FOR COMMUNITY COLLEGE BOARD

Ernest "Chuck" Ayala	42
Rev. Amos C. Brown	42
Patrick Fitzgerald	43
Dean Goodman	43
Andre Pehargou	44
Julie Tang	44
Moy Velasquez	45
Dr. Timothy Wolfred	45

CANDIDATES FOR BART BOARD (if applicable)

All Candidates	21
----------------------	----

PROPOSITIONS

PROPOSITION A

Would authorize issuance of \$42.5 million in Port revenue bonds.

Analysis	46
Arguments	47-51
Legal Text	51

PROPOSITION B

Would authorize issuance of \$104 million in Water Department revenue bonds.

Analysis	52
Arguments	53
Legal Text	81, 82

PROPOSITION C

Would remove Health Department from CAO jurisdiction and place it under a commission appointed by the Mayor.

Analysis	54
Arguments	55-61
Legal Text	82-84

PROPOSITION D

Would change the standards under which the Retirement System makes investments.

Analysis	62
Argument	63
Legal Text	63

PROPOSITION E

Would extend employee health benefits to surviving spouses.

Analysis	65
Arguments	66, 67
Legal Text	67

PROPOSITION F

Would give additional death benefit to employees killed on the job.

Analysis	68
Arguments	69
Legal Text	69, 85-89

PROPOSITION G

Would increase the probationary period for new police officers.

Analysis	70
Arguments	71
Legal Text	89

PROPOSITION H

Would grant motorcycle premium pay to all two-wheel motorcycle police officers.

Analysis	72
Arguments	73
Legal Text	89-92

PROPOSITION I

Would require CAO to monitor military and social expenditures by Federal government and produce annual report.

Analysis	74
Arguments	75
Legal Text	75

PROPOSITION J

Would declare an official policy of not investing City pension funds in companies doing business in South Africa.

Analysis	76
Argument	77-80

CREDITS

The analyses of the ballot measures which appear in this publication were prepared by the San Francisco Ballot Simplification Committee, a non-partisan group appointed by the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors. The members of the Committee are Dick Robertson (Chair), Suzanne Guyette, Roberta Borganovo, Stephanie Salter and Susan Kwock. They were assisted by Thomas Toomey of the City Attorney's Office.

PUNCH OUT BALLOT CARD ONLY WITH PUNCHING DEVICE ATTACHED TO VOTE RECORDER; NEVER WITH PEN OR PENCIL.

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS:

To vote for any candidate of your selection, punch the ballot card in the hole at the point of the arrow opposite that candidate's name. Where two or more candidates for the same office are to be elected, punch the ballot card in the hole at the point of the arrow opposite the names of all candidates for the office for whom you desire to vote, not to exceed, however, the number of candidates to be elected.

To vote for a qualified write-in candidate, write the title of the office and the person's name in the blank space provided for that purpose on the Write-In Ballot Envelope.

To vote on any measure, punch the ballot card in the hole at the point of the arrow after the "YES" or after the word "NO".

All distinguishing marks or erasures are forbidden and make the ballot void.

If you wrongly punch, tear, or deface the ballot card, or tear or deface the Write-In Ballot Envelope, return it to the precinct board member and obtain another.

PERFORE LA TARETA DE VOTO UNICAMENTE CON EL PICADOR ATADO EN LA CUERDA AL REGISTRADOR; NO USE PLUMA NI LAPIZ.

INSTRUCCIONES A LOS VOTANTES:

Para votar por candidato de su selección, perfore la balota en el círculo que señala la flecha opuesto al nombre del candidato. Cuando han de ser elegidos dos o mas candidatos para el mismo cargo, perfore la balota en el círculo que señala la flecha opuesto de los nombres de todos los candidatos para el cargo por quienes usted desea votar, sin exceder al número de candidatos que ha de ser elegido.

Para votar por un candidato (write-in) calificado, escriba el título del cargo y el nombre de la persona en los espacios en blanco provistos para este fin en el Sobre de la Balota.

Para votar sobre cualquier medida, perfore la balota en el círculo que señala la flecha después de la palabra "SI" o después de la palabra "NO".

Todas las marcas o borraduras están prohibidas e invalidan el voto. Si usted equivocadamente perfora, rompe o estropea la balota, o rompe o estropea el sobre, devuélvala al miembro del consejo del precinto y obtenga otra.

請用附在投票紀錄器上之打孔針在選票上打孔；千萬不要用鋼筆或鉛筆。

選民須知：

投選你所選擇的任何其他候選人，請在選票上箭頭所指之候選人名打孔。如果有兩個或以上候選人競選同一職位，請在選票上箭頭所指之所有候選人中，選擇你要投選的候選人打孔，但不要超過要選舉的限定人數。

投選合格的非原定的候選人，請在非原定候選人選票信封所提供的預定空位上寫下該候選人所競選的職位和他的姓名。

投選任何提案，請在選票上箭頭所指 "YES" 或 "NO" 字樣打孔。

選票上若有顯著污點或抹掉者，選票即作廢。

如果你在選票上打孔錯了，撕毀或弄壞了；或撕毀了、弄壞了非原定候選人的選票信封，應把該選票退還給選舉區的監選員，另索取一份選票。

TO START YOUR VOTING GO TO NEXT PAGE

請在下頁開始投票

PARA COMENZAR A VOTAR DE VUELTA A LA PROXIMA PAGINA

PRESIDENTE Y VICE PRESIDENTE 總統副總統

President and Vice President

請選一名
Vote por Un Partido
Vote for One Party

RONALD REAGAN GEORGE BUSH	for President 競選總統 for Vice President 競選副總統	Republican	30 →
SONIA JOHNSON EMMA WONG MAR	for President 競選總統 for Vice President 競選副總統	Peace & Freedom	32 →
WALTER F. MONDALE GERALDINE A. FERRARO	for President 競選總統 for Vice President 競選副總統	Democratic	34 →
DAVID BERGLAND JAMES A. LEWIS	for President 競選總統 for Vice President 競選副總統	Libertarian	36 →
BOB RICHARDS MAUREEN KENNEDY SALAMAN	for President 競選總統 for Vice President 競選副總統	American Independent	38 →

REPRESENTANTE DE LOS ESTADOS UNIDOS 國會眾議員

United States Representative 5th District

請選一名
Vote por Uno
Vote for One

HENRY CLARK, Peace & Freedom Community Organizer Organizador de la Comunidad 社區組織者	45 →
JOSEPH FUHRIG, Libertarian Professor of Economics 經濟學教授 Profesor de Economía	47 →
SALA BURTON, Democratic Representative in Congress, 5th District 國會眾議員 Diputado al Congress, Distrito #5	49 →
TOM SPINOSA, Republican Public Accountant 公共會計師 Contador Público	51 →

STATE SENATOR SENADOR ESTATAL

SENADOR ESTATAL 州參議員

State Senator
3rd District

請選一名
Vote por Uno
Vote for One

MILTON MARKS, Republican

58 →

State Senator
Senador Estatal 州參議員

PAUL KANGAS, Peace & Freedom

60 →

Socialist Organizer
Organizador Socialista 社會主義組織者

LIA BELLI, Democratic

52 →

Trade Negotiator/Conservationist 貿易談判員/環境保護者
Negociador de Comercio/Aboga Por La Conservación

MARK PICKENS, Libertarian

64 →

Small Business Owner
Propietario de Pequenos Negocios 小商業主

MEMBER, STATE ASSEMBLY

MIEMBRO DE LA ASAMBLEA ESTATAL 州眾議員

Member of the State Assembly
17th District

請選一名
Vote por Uno
Vote for One

WILLIE L. BROWN, JR., Democratic

71 →

Speaker, California Assembly
Orador, Asamblea de California 加州眾議長

LEE S. DOLSON, Republican

73 →

College Teacher
Maestro de Universidad 大學教師

市及縣

CIUDAD Y CONDADO

CITY & COUNTY

NOTE: 29 candidates are listed on pages 3 & 4. Vote for no more than 6 of the 29 candidates.

MIEMBRO, JUNTA DE SUPERVISORES 市參議員

Member, Board of Supervisors

請選最多六人
Vote por no más de 6
Vote for No More than Six

IMPORTANT:
There are two
(2) pages of
candidates for
Supervisor.
(29 candidates,
vote for 6)

DAVE WHARTON Community Service Attorney 社區服務律師 Abogado de Servicio Público	81 →
PAT NORMAN Health Administrator 衛生行政人員 Administrador de Servicios de Salud	83 →
ROBERT D. INGRAHAM Political Consultant 政治顧問 Consultor Político	84 →
ELEANOR M. DAVIS Hi-Tech Business Woman 高級工藝女商人 Mujer de Negocios / Campo Tecnológico	86 →
DAVID L. KILBER Management Consultant 行政管理顧問 Consultor Administrativo	87 →
WILLIE B. KENNEDY Member, Board of Supervisors 市參議員 Miembro, Junta de Supervisores	89 →
ELLIS LEONARD ANTHONY KEYES Musician 音樂家 Musico	90 →
QUENTIN L. KOPP Attorney / Member, Board of Supervisors 律師/市參議員 Abogado / Miembro, Junta de Supervisores	92 →
JOHN SALEH ABDULLA Real Estate Salesman 實業推銷員 Vendedor de Bienes y Raíces	93 →
SUZANNE ALBERTO Administrative Secretary 行政秘書 Secretaria Administrativa	95 →
LOUISE RENNE Member, Board of Supervisors 市參議員 Miembro, Junta de Supervisores	96 →
JULIAN LAGOS Political Scientist / Educator 政治學家/教育家 Especialista en Ciencias Políticas / Educador	98 →
JULIANNE MALVEAUX Economics Professor / Writer 經濟學教授/作家 Profesor de Economía / Escritor	99 →
JOHN L. MOLINARI Member, Board of Supervisors 市參議員 Miembro, Junta de Supervisores	101 →

NOTE

29 candidates are listed on pages 3 & 4. Vote for no more than 6 of the 29 candidates.

(Contest Continued on Next Page)
(Continúa en la siguiente pagina)

MIEMBRO, JUNTA DE SUPERVISORES 市參議員 (續下)

Member, Board of Supervisors
(Cont'd)

請選最多六人

Vote por no más de 6

Vote for No More than Six

← 107	EDWARD MICHAEL HAYES Banker 銀行家 Banquero
← 109	JOSEPH JAMES PHILLIPS Executor 執行人 Ejecutor
← 110	DIANA COLEMAN Socialist Union Militant 社會主義聯盟鬥士 Militante Sindical Socialista
← 112	RUBY T. JIMENEZ Directory Sales Representative 姓名地址錄推銷員 Representante de Ventas de Directorics
← 113	ANDREW "DADDY ANDY" JONES SFCC Student 市立大學學生 Estudiante de SFCC
← 115	HARRY BRITT Member, Board of Supervisors 市參議員 (續下) Miembro, Junta de Supervisores
← 116	RICHARD BRADLEY Socialist Union Militant 社會主義聯盟鬥士 Militante Sindical Socialista
← 118	RANDALL BRONNER Artist, Musician 藝術家, 音樂家 Artista / Músico
← 119	JONATHAN BULKLEY Architect / Neighborhood Planner 建築師 / 街坊設計師 Arquitecto / Planificador
← 121	ROGER BOSCHETTI T.V. Ethnic Producer 電視少數民族製作人 Productor de Programas Etnicos de Televisión
← 122	KEVIN STARR Businessman, Communicator, Professor 商人, 信息員, 教授 Hombre de Negocios, Comunicador, Profesor
← 124	CAROL RUTH SILVER Incumbent 現任市參議員 En el Cargo
← 125	DAVID C. SMITH Administrator, Service Agency 服務機構行政管理員 Escritor, Movimiento Socialista
← 127	JOHN E. WAHL Attorney 律師 Abogado
← 128	SYLVIA WEINSTEIN Writer, Socialist Action 作家, 社會主義活動家 Escritor, Movimiento Socialista

IMPORTANT:
There are two
(2) pages of
candidates for
Supervisor.
(29 candidates,
vote for 6)

NOTE

29 candidates are listed on pages 3 & 4. Vote for no more than 6 of the 29 candidates.

CITY & COUNTY

CIUDAD Y CONDADO

市及縣

學校

ESCOLAR

SCHOOL

MIEMBRO, JUNTA DE EDUCACION 教育委員

Member, Board of Education

請選最多四人

Vote por no más de 4

Vote for No More than Four

	JO ANNE MILLER 134 → Parent and Teacher Maestra y Madre de Familia 家長和教師
	MARTIN ENG 136 → Certified Public Accountant/Financial Consultant Contador Público / Consultor Financiero 持證公共會計師/財政顧問
	TERRY HUGUNIN 138 → Consultant Consultor 顧問
	EUGENE S. HOPP 140 → Incumbent En el Cargo 現任教育委員
	DICK CERBATOS 142 → Member, Board of Education Miembro, Junta de Educacion 教育委員
	CHRISTOPHER CHRISTENSON 144 → Supervisor, Muni Railway Supervisor, Tranvías Municipales 市營公共車監督
	BEN TOM 146 → Incumbent En el Cargo 現任教育委員
	LIBBY DENEBEIM 148 → Incumbent En el Cargo 現任教育委員
	GEORGE DYKSTRA 150 → Community Services Director Director de Servicios Comunitarios 社區服務主任
	JIM LEGARE 152 → Motor Truck Operator Conductor de Camiones 貨車司機

6

City and County of
SAN FRANCISCOELECCIÓN GENERAL
6 DE NOVIEMBRE DE 1984GENERAL ELECTION
NOVEMBER 6, 1984

6/17

學校

ESCOLAR

SCHOOL

MIEMBRO, JUNTA DEL COLEGIO DE LA COMUNIDAD 社區大學董事

Member, Community College Board

請選最多四名

Vote for no more than 4
Vote for no more than Four**ERNEST "CHUCK" AYALA**

158 →

Incumbent
En el Cargo 現任社區大學董事**DEAN GOODMAN**

160 →

Teacher/Actor
Maestro/Actor 教師/演員**PATRICK C. FITZGERALD**

162 →

County Central Committeeman
Miembro del Comité Central del Condado 縣中央委員**ANDRE F. PEHARGOU**

164 →

Civil Servant
Funcionario 公務員**MOY VELASQUEZ**

166 →

Professor
Profesor 教授**AMOS C. BROWN**

168 →

Incumbent
En el Cargo 現任社區大學董事**JULIE TANG**

170 →

Incumbent
En el Cargo 現任社區大學董事**TIM WOLFRED**

172 →

Incumbent
En el Cargo 現任社區大學董事

MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

- | | | |
|-----------|---|-----------------------|
| 25 | CLEAN WATER BOND LAW OF 1984. This act provides for a bond issue of \$325,000,000 to provide funds for water pollution control, water conservation, and water reclamation projects and activities. | YES 184 →
NO 185 → |
| 26 | STATE SCHOOL BUILDING LEASE-PURCHASE BOND LAW OF 1984. This act provides for a bond issue of \$450,000,000 to provide capital outlay for construction or improvement of public schools. | YES 187 →
NO 188 → |
| 27 | HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE CLEANUP BOND ACT. This act provides for a bond issue of \$100,000,000 to provide funds for hazardous substance cleanup. | YES 190 →
NO 191 → |
| 28 | CALIFORNIA SAFE DRINKING WATER BOND LAW OF 1984. This act provides for a bond issue of \$75,000,000 to provide funds for improvement of domestic water systems to meet minimum drinking water standards. | YES 193 →
NO 194 → |
| 29 | VETERANS BOND ACT OF 1984. This act provides for a bond issue of \$650,000,000 to provide farm and home aid for California veterans. | YES 196 →
NO 197 → |
| 30 | SENIOR CENTER BOND ACT OF 1984. This act provides for a bond issue of \$50,000,000 to provide funds for senior centers. | YES 199 →
NO 200 → |
| 31 | PROPERTY TAXATION. FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEMS EXCLUSION. Empowers Legislature to exclude from property tax reassessment certain newly constructed fire protection improvements. Fiscal Impact: If implemented, estimated local government property tax revenue losses of less than \$5 million annually, state government increases in costs to compensate for local school district property tax loss, and state government increases in income tax revenues due to lower property tax deductions. | YES 203 →
NO 204 → |
| 32 | SUPREME COURT. TRANSFER OF CAUSES. REVIEW OF DECISIONS. Modifies existing constitutional provisions regarding transfer of causes and review of decisions. Fiscal Impact: No significant effect. | YES 206 →
NO 207 → |

MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DO LOS VOTANTES PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

<p>← 184 SI</p> <p>← 185 NO</p>	<p>贊成</p> <p>反對</p> <p>25</p>	<p>LEY DE BONOS PARA AGUA LIMPIA DE 1984. Esta acta dispone una emisión de bonos por \$325,000,000 para proporcionar fondos para control de la contaminación del agua, conservación del agua y para proyectos y actividades de recuperación del agua.</p>	<p>一九八四年清潔用水公債法案。本法案規定發行公債三億二千五百萬元 (\$325,000,000), 提供基金, 用作控制水污染、保護水源和廢水回收工程。</p>
<p>← 187 SI</p> <p>← 188 NO</p>	<p>贊成</p> <p>反對</p> <p>26</p>	<p>LEY ESTATAL DE BONOS DE COMPRA-ARRIENDO PARA LA CONSTRUCCIÓN DE ESCUELAS DE 1984. Esta acta dispone una emisión de bonos de \$450,000,000 para proporcionar el desembolso de capital para la construcción o mejoría de las escuelas públicas.</p>	<p>一九八四年州校舍租購公債法案。本法案規定發售公債四億五千萬元 (\$450,000,000), 提供資金用作興建或改善公立學校。</p>
<p>← 190 SI</p> <p>← 191 NO</p>	<p>贊成</p> <p>反對</p> <p>27</p>	<p>ACTA DE BONOS PARA LA LIMPIEZA DE SUBSTANCIAS PELIGROSAS. Esta acta dispone una emisión de bonos de \$100,000,000 para proporcionar fondos para limpiar del medio las sustancias peligrosas.</p>	<p>清理危險物質公債法案。本法案規定發行公債一億元 (\$100,000,000), 提供基金用作清理危險物質。</p>
<p>← 193 SI</p> <p>← 194 NO</p>	<p>贊成</p> <p>反對</p> <p>28</p>	<p>LEY DE BONOS PARA AGUA POTABLE SALUBRE DE CALIFORNIA DE 1984. Esta acta dispone una emisión de bonos de \$75,000,000 para proporcionar fondos para la mejoría de los sistemas de agua domésticos para cumplir con las normas mínimas de agua potable.</p>	<p>一九八四年加州安全食水公債法案。本法案規定發售公債七千五百萬元 (\$75,000,000), 提供基金用作改良家庭用水系統, 以符合最低限度的食水標準。</p>
<p>← 196 SI</p> <p>← 197 NO</p>	<p>贊成</p> <p>反對</p> <p>29</p>	<p>ACTA DE BONOS PARA VETERANOS DE 1984. Esta acta dispone una emisión de bonos de \$650,000,000 para proporcionar asistencia en granjas y residencias para veteranos de California.</p>	<p>一九八四年退役人員公債法案。本法案規定發售公債六億五千萬元 (\$650,000,000), 提供資金用作援助加州退役人員購買農場和房屋。</p>
<p>← 199 SI</p> <p>← 200 NO</p>	<p>贊成</p> <p>反對</p> <p>30</p>	<p>ACTA DE BONOS PARA CENTROS PARA PERSONAS DE EDAD MAYOR DE 1984. Esta acta dispone una emisión de bonos de \$50,000,000 para proporcionar fondos para centros para personas de edad mayor.</p>	<p>一九八四年耆英中心公債法案。本法案規定發行公債五千萬元 (\$50,000,000), 提供基金, 用作興建耆英中心。</p>
<p>← 203 SI</p> <p>← 204 NO</p>	<p>贊成</p> <p>反對</p> <p>31</p>	<p>FIJACIÓN DE IMPUESTOS A LA PROPIEDAD. EXCLUSIÓN DE LOS SISTEMAS DE PROTECCIÓN CONTRA INCENDIOS. Otorga poder a la Legislatura para excluir del reavalúo del impuesto a la propiedad ciertas mejoras recientemente construidas para protección contra incendios. Impacto fiscal: De ser llevada a cabo, pérdidas en los ingresos del impuesto a la propiedad para los gobiernos locales calculadas en menos de \$5 millones anuales, además aumentos en los gastos del gobierno estatal para compensar a los distritos escolares Locales por la pérdida en impuestos a la propiedad, y aumentos para el gobierno estatal en los ingresos provenientes del impuesto a la renta debido a menores deducciones en los impuestos a la propiedad.</p>	<p>物業稅。消防系統豁免。授權州議會豁免某些新建的消防改進項目於物業稅重估。財政影響：這項提案如獲通過，地方政府損失的物業稅收入估計每年不超過五百萬元；州政府會增加開支，這些開支是用於補償地方校區的物業稅損失；因為物業稅的扣稅額稍減，州政府所得稅收入會增加。</p>
<p>← 206 SI</p> <p>← 207 NO</p>	<p>贊成</p> <p>反對</p> <p>32</p>	<p>CORTE SUPREMA. TRANSFERENCIA DE CAUSAS. REVISIÓN DE DECISIONES. Modifica las disposiciones constitucionales en vigencia en cuanto al traspaso de causas y la revisión de decisiones. Impacto fiscal: Ningún efecto significativo.</p>	<p>最高法院。案件的轉移及判決的複審。修訂現有憲法中關於轉移案件和複審判決的條款。財政影響：沒有顯著影響。</p>

- 33** **PROPERTY TAX POSTPONEMENT. DISABLED PERSON.** Authorizes Legislature to postpone property tax payments on owner occupied principal residence by disabled person. Fiscal Impact: State expenditures estimated to be less than \$2 million annually which would be recovered, with interest, when the homes are sold.

YES 210	→
NO 211	→

- 34** **PROPERTY TAXATION. HISTORIC STRUCTURE EXCLUSION.** Excludes from property tax reassessment certain new construction on owner occupied principal residence historic structures. Fiscal Impact: Local governments property tax revenue loss of less than \$100,000 annually. State government expenditure of about 32% of this to compensate local school districts for property tax revenue losses.

YES 214	→
NO 215	→

- 35** (Proposition 35 has been withdrawn from the ballot)

- 36** **TAXATION.** Amends Proposition 13 adding restrictions on real property taxation, new tax measures, and charging fees. Provides specified tax refunds. Fiscal Impact: State revenues reduced at least \$100 million, net, over first two-year period. State costs increased up to \$750 million over first two-year period and by about \$150 million annually in subsequent years to replace revenue losses to schools. Local agencies other than schools property tax and other revenue losses of about \$2.8 billion, net, over first two-year period and of about \$1.1 billion annually in subsequent years.

YES 223	→
NO 224	→

- 37** **STATE LOTTERY.** Establishes state-operated lottery. Revenue use: prizes, 50%; expenses, not more than 16%; public education, at least 34%. Fiscal Impact: Cannot be predicted with certainty. Estimated yield for public education would be about \$500 million annually, with less the first two years. Estimated division: K-12, 80%; Community Colleges, 13%; California State University, 5%; University of California, 2%.

YES 228	→
NO 229	→

- 38** **VOTING MATERIALS. ENGLISH ONLY.** Requires Governor urge federal officials amend law so that voting materials be printed in English only. Fiscal Impact: Insignificant.

YES 232	→
NO 233	→

← 210 SI 贊成
← 211 NO 反對

33
POSTERGACIÓN DEL IMPUESTO A LA PROPIEDAD. PERSONAS INHABILITADAS. Autoriza a la Legislatura a posponer los pagos del impuesto a la propiedad sobre una residencia principal ocupada por su dueño quien sea una persona inhabilitada. Impacto fiscal: Gastos estatales calculados en menos de \$2 millones anuales los cuales serían recuperados, con intereses, cuando las residencias sean vendidas.

延遲繳交物業稅。殘廢人士。授權州議會延遲殘廢人士繳交他擁有並用作主要住所的物業稅的期限。財政影響：州支出每年不超過二百萬元，這筆費用以後當住宅轉讓時可連本帶利收回。

← 214 SI 贊成
← 215 NO 反對

34
FIJACIÓN DE IMPUESTOS A LA PROPIEDAD. EXCLUSIÓN DE ESTRUCTURA HISTÓRICA. Excluye del reavalúo del impuesto a la propiedad ciertas construcciones o trabajos nuevos efectuados en residencias que son estructuras históricas ocupadas por sus dueños como el principal lugar de residencia. Impacto fiscal: Pérdidas para los gobiernos locales en los ingresos del impuesto a la propiedad de menos de \$100,000 anuales. Gastos gubernamentales estatales alrededor del 32% de esta suma para compensar a los distritos escolares locales por la pérdida de ingresos del impuesto a la propiedad.

物業稅。歷史性建築物豁免。豁免業主用作主要住宅的歷史性建築物某些新建的物業稅重估。財政影響：地方政府物業稅收入損失每年少過 \$100,000。州政府支出增加此數額的 32%，用以補償地方校區損失的物業稅收入。

35 La Proposición número 35 no será usada en esta elección.

← 223 SI 贊成
← 224 NO 反對

36

FIJACIÓN DE IMPUESTOS. Enmienda la Proposición 13 añadiendo restricciones en la fijación de impuestos a la propiedad inmueble, nuevas medidas de impuestos y honorarios a cobrarse. Dispone reembolsos especificados de impuestos. Impacto fiscal: Reducción en los ingresos estatales en por lo menos \$100 millones netos, durante el primer período de dos años. Aumento en los costos estatales de hasta \$750 millones durante el primer período de dos años y en unos \$150 millones anuales en años subsiguientes para reemplazar la pérdida de ingresos para las escuelas. Pérdidas para otras agencias locales, además de para las escuelas, del impuesto a la propiedad y otras pérdidas de ingresos de alrededor de \$2.8 mil millones netos, durante el primer período de dos años y de alrededor de \$1.1 mil millones anualmente en años subsiguientes.

課稅。修訂第十三提案，對實業徵稅。增訂新稅和收取費用等加強限制。規定退還某些稅款。財政影響：頭兩年州的最終收入減少最少一億元。頭兩年州開支增加可達七億五千萬元，以後每年增加開支約一億五千萬元，以補償校區的損失。頭兩年，除學校以外的地方機構所得的物業稅和其他收入最終損失約二十八億元，以後每年損失約十一億元。

← 228 SI 贊成
← 229 NO 反對

37
LOTERÍA ESTATAL. Establece una lotería operada por el estado. Uso de los ingresos: premios, el 50%; gastos, no más del 16%; educación pública, por lo menos el 34%. Impacto fiscal: No puede ser predicho con certeza. El rendimiento que se calcula para la educación pública será de alrededor de \$500 millones anuales, siendo menor los dos primeros años. División que se calcula: jardín de infantes al 12^{vo} grado, el 80%; universidades de la comunidad, el 13%; Universidad Estatal de California, el 5%; Universidad de California, el 2%.

州辦彩票。設辦由州政府經營的彩票活動。收入的使用：獎金：50%；開支：不超過16%；公共教育：最少34%。財政影響：未可肯定地預計。估計每年可為公共教育籌得五億元，頭兩年為數較少。估計將作如下分配：幼稚園至十二年級——80%；社區大學——13%；加州州立大學——5%；加州大學——2%。

← 232 SI 贊成
← 233 NO 反對

38
MATERIALES DE VOTACIÓN. INGLÉS SOLAMENTE. Requiere que el Gobernador urja a los oficiales federales a que enmienden la ley para que los materiales para votación sean impresos en inglés solamente. Impacto fiscal: Insignificante.

只用英文印刷選舉資料。要求州長籲請聯邦官員修訂法例，俾使選舉資料只用英文刊印。財政影響：微不足道。

- 39 REAPPORTIONMENT.** Provides reapportioning Senate, Assembly, Congressional, Equalization districts for 1986 and subsequent elections by new commission composed of former justices. Fiscal Impact: Commission costs of up to \$3.5 million before 1986 election. Costs of \$10,000 to \$20,000 each to relocate an unknown number of district legislative offices. County costs of about \$500,000 for 1986 election materials. County savings of about \$300,000 in 1986 for printing costs, and \$200,000 every two years thereafter. Future reapportionments will probably cost less than under existing law.

YES 237 →

NO 238 →

- 40 CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS.** Limits contributors and contributions to elective state office candidates. Opposition candidate's personal expenditures matched by limited public funding. Fiscal Impact: Reduce state revenues by about \$100,000 each fiscal year and increase state expenditures by approximately up to \$1,650,000 each fiscal year.

YES 242 →

NO 243 →

- 41 PUBLIC AID, MEDICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS.** Creates Public Assistance Commission. Limits benefit expenditures to national average plus 10%. Legislative amendments permitted. Fiscal Impact: Net effect would be *combined* state and county expenditure reduction beginning July 1, 1986. Size of reduction and impact at different levels of government impossible to determine. Substantial reductions under specified programs would be partially offset to an unknown extent by increased costs under other programs and reduced tax revenues resulting from reduced federal expenditures within the state. Likely, state expenditures would be reduced and county expenditures increased.

YES 247 →

NO 248 →

CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITIONS

- A** Shall the Port Commission be authorized to issue \$42,500,000 in revenue bonds to finance construction and improvements of Port facilities?

YES 253 →

NO 254 →

- B** Shall the Public Utilities Commission be authorized to issue \$104,000,000 in revenue bonds to finance acquisition and construction of facilities for the operation of the Water Department?

YES 256 →

NO 257 →

- C** Shall a Health Commission consisting of seven members appointed by the Mayor be created to manage and control City and County hospitals and all other county health services and appoint a Director of Public Health?

YES 259 →

NO 260 →

← 237 SI 贊成
← 238 NO 反對 39

REDISTRIBUCIÓN. Dispone redistribución para los distritos del Senado, Asamblea, Congreso y de la Junta de Revisión de Avalúos para 1988 y para las elecciones subsecuentes mediante nueva comisión compuesta de anteriores jueces. Impacto fiscal: Costos de la comisión de hasta \$3.5 millones antes de la elección de 1988. Costos de \$10,000 a \$20,000 cada uno para reubicar un número desconocido de oficinas legislativas en los distritos. Costos del condado alrededor de \$500,000 para materiales para la elección de 1988. Ahorros para el condado alrededor de \$300,000 en 1988 por costos de impresión y \$200,000 cada dos años de allí en adelante. Las redistribuciones futuras probablemente costarán menos que bajo la ley actual.

重劃選區。規定成立一個委員會擬定一九八六年重劃州參議區、州眾議區、國會眾議區、稅收平衡區、及以後選舉的縣區的劃分方案。委員會成員由前任受理上訴法院法官組成。財政影響：一九八六年選舉前，委員會的支出可達三百五十萬元。搬遷為數不詳的諸區立法辦事處費用，每個\$10,000至\$20,000。一九八六年各縣印刷選舉資料的費用為\$500,000，一九八六年各縣印刷費節省\$300,000，以後每兩年節省\$200,000。將來重劃選區的費用將低於在現行法律下的費用。

← 242 SI 贊成 40
← 243 NO 反對

CONTRIBUCIONES PARA COMPAÑAS. Limita a los contribuidores y las contribuciones a candidatos a cargos políticos electivos. Iguala con fondos públicos limitados los gastos personales del candidato de oposición. Impacto fiscal: Reduce los ingresos estatales en unos \$100,000 cada año de ejercicio fiscal y aumenta los gastos estatales en aproximadamente hasta \$1,650,000 cada año de ejercicio fiscal.

競選費用捐款。對州民選官職候選人捐款人與捐款加以限制。向使用私人款項進行競選者之對手配給有限度的公款。財政影響：州每年減少收入約\$100,000；州每年增加支出可達\$1,650,000。

← 247 SI 贊成 41
← 248 NO 反對

AYUDA PÚBLICA, PROGRAMAS DE ASISTENCIA MÉDICA. Crea Comisión de Asistencia Pública. Limita gastos de beneficio al promedio nacional más el 10%. Permite enmiendas legislativas. Impacto fiscal: el efecto neto sería la reducción de los gastos combinados del estado y condados comenzando el 1° de julio de 1986. El tamaño de la reducción y su impacto en los diferentes niveles del gobierno es imposible determinarse en este momento. Reducciones substanciales bajo Programas especificados serían parcialmente compensadas hasta un grado desconocido mediante el aumento de gastos bajo otros programas y por ingresos de impuestos reducidos que resulten de gastos federales reducidos dentro del estado. Es factible que los gastos estatales serían reducidos y que los gastos de condados aumentarían.

公共補助，醫療援助計劃。設立公共援助委員會。限制福利支出到全國平均水平加10%。容許立法修訂。財政影響：最終影響是從一九八六年七月一日起州及縣合起來的開支縮減，減幅和對各級政府的影響難以判斷。某些計劃的開支的大幅削減，將由其他計劃加幅不詳的費用增加，以及由於聯邦政府在本州用度減少所引起的州稅收損失抵銷。州開支可能減少，各縣開支可能增加。

PROPOSICIONES DE CIUDAD Y CONDADO 市縣提案

← 253 SI 贊成 A
← 254 NO 反對

¿Deberá autorizarse a la Comisión del Puerto a emitir \$42,500,000 en bonos de ingresos para financiar la construcción y mejoras de las instalaciones portuarias?

應否授權港務委員會發行\$42,500,000歲入公債，提供經費，建築和改善港口設施？

← 256 SI 贊成 B
← 257 NO 反對

¿Deberá autorizarse a la Comisión de Servicios Públicos a emitir \$104,000,000 en bonos de ingresos para financiar la adquisición y construcción de instalaciones para el Departamento de Aguas?

應否授權公用事業委員會發行歲入公債\$104,000,000，為水務局的日常業務提供經費購置和建築設施？

← 259 SI 贊成 C
← 260 NO 反對

¿Deberá crearse una Comisión de Salud que consista de siete miembros nombrados por el Alcalde para dirigir y controlar los hospitales de la Ciudad y el Condado, y los demás servicios de salud del Condado, y para nombrar un Director de Salud Pública?

應否設立一個由市長委任的七人衛生委員會負責管理及控制市、縣醫院和所有其他縣營衛生服務；並委任一名公共衛生局長？

D Shall the Retirement Board be authorized to make investments of retirement funds as a prudent person instead of investments legal for insurance companies?

YES 261	→
NO 262	→

E Shall the City subsidize the surviving spouse of active and retired employees on the same basis that the City subsidizes the active or retired employees in the health service system?

YES 264	→
NO 265	→

F Shall a death benefit of twelve months salary be paid to miscellaneous officers and employees who die from an external and violent bodily injury in the course and scope of employment?

YES 267	→
NO 268	→

G Shall the probationary period for police officers be extended from one-year from entry to one year after completion of training but not to exceed eighty-four weeks?

YES 270	→
NO 271	→

H Shall additional rates of pay be paid to members of the Police Department assigned to all two-wheel motorcycle duty based on the average paid in California cities of 350,000 and over population?

YES 274	→
NO 275	→

I (Initiative Ordinance): Shall San Francisco request that the federal government question all taxpayers whether they wish any part of their taxes used for excessive military spending and have the City publish an annual report on federal taxes collected from San Francisco and the portions used for excessive military purposes and for social needs?

YES 278	→
NO 279	→

J (Declaration of Policy): Shall it be the policy of San Francisco that no pension funds administered by the City be invested in business entities that do business in South Africa until apartheid is abolished and Black people are given full political and civil rights in South Africa?

YES 283	→
NO 284	→

← 261 SI 贊成
← 262 NO 反對

D

¿Deberá autorizarse a la Junta de Jubilaciones a hacer inversiones de los fondos de jubilación como persona prudente en lugar de hacer inversiones de carácter legal para compañías de seguros?

應否授權退休委員會作退休基金投資時，可用個人判斷謹慎行事，而不必限於作法律容許保險公司所作的投資？

← 264 SI 贊成
← 265 NO 反對

E

¿Deberá la Ciudad subvencionar al cónyuge sobreviviente de empleados activos o retirados de la misma manera que la Ciudad subvenciona a los empleados activos o retirados en el Sistema de Servicios de la Salud?

市政府給在職身故或退休後去世的僱員的遺孀的保健福利金，應否與在職或退休僱員的津貼相同？

← 267 SI 贊成
← 268 NO 反對

F

¿Deberá pagarse un beneficio de doce meses de salario por fallecimiento a diversos oficiales y empleados que mueren como resultado de lesiones físicas externas y violentas durante el transcurso y período de empleo?

在工作中或在工作範圍內身體遭受暴力引致的外傷而殉職的各類官員和僱員，應否付給十二個月薪酬的死亡撫恤金？

← 270 SI 贊成
← 271 NO 反對

G

¿Deberá el período probatorio para oficiales de policía extenderse de un año a partir de su entrada a un año después de haber completado el entrenamiento pero sin exceder ochenta y cuatro semanas?

應否延長警員的試用期，即從加入警局起一年延至完成訓練後一年的時間，但不能超過八十四個星期？

← 274 SI 贊成
← 275 NO 反對

H

¿Deberá pagarse un salario adicional a los miembros del Departamento de Policía asignados a prestar servicio en todas las motocicletas de dos ruedas?

應否給予警局駕駛兩輪摩托車的警員額外報酬，其數額根據加州有 350,000 人口的城市此種報酬的平均數而定？

← 278 SI 贊成
← 279 NO 反對

I

(Ordenanza de Iniciativa): Deberá San Francisco solicitar al Gobierno Federal que pregunte a los contribuyentes de impuestos si desean que una parte de sus impuestos se use para un excesivo gasto militar y si desean que la Ciudad publique un informe anual sobre los impuestos federales recaudados en San Francisco y sobre la parte usada para propósitos militares excesivos y la parte usada para necesidades sociales?

(創制法令)：舊金山應否要求聯邦政府詢求所有納稅人的意見是否願意讓他們的稅款用在過度的軍事費用上，並由市政府每年刊印一份報告，詳列有關聯邦在舊金山所徵稅款，以及用於過度軍事目的和用於社會需要的部分？

← 283 SI 贊成
← 284 NO 反對

J

(Declaración de Norma): ¿Deberá ser la norma de San Francisco que ningún fondo de pensión administrado por la Ciudad sea invertido en empresas que tienen intereses comerciales en Sudáfrica hasta que sea abolida la segregación racial y se otorgue a la población de raza negra plenos derechos políticos y civiles en Sudáfrica?

(政策聲明)：舊金山應否制訂政策，規定市政府管理的退休基金不能投資在與南非有商業關係的商號，直至南非廢除種族隔離，給予黑人充分的政治權利和民權為止？

HOW TO VOTE ON THE VOTOMATIC VOTE RECORDER

SPECIAL NOTE:

IF YOU MAKE A MISTAKE, RETURN YOUR CARD AND GET ANOTHER.

如何用自動投票機

A 請特別注意

如有錯誤，請向助理員換取新選票。

STEP

1


Nota: Si hace algun error, devuelva su tarjeta de votar y obtenga otra.

**USING BOTH HANDS
INSERT THE BALLOT CARD ALL THE
WAY INTO THE VOTOMATIC.**

Usando las dos manos, meta la tarjeta de votar completamente dentro del "Votomatic."

B 第一步

請雙手持票向自動機將整張選票插入。



STEP


2

**BE SURE THE TWO SLOTS IN THE
STUB OF YOUR CARD FIT DOWN
OVER THE TWO RED PINS.**

Paso 2. Asegúrese de que los dos orificios que hay al final de la tarjeta coinciden con las dos cabecitas rojas.

C 第二步

請切記將選票插入時，票尾之二孔，接合於二紅點之上。



STEP


3

**HOLD PUNCH VERTICAL (STRAIGHT
UP). PUNCH STRAIGHT DOWN
THROUGH THE BALLOT CARD TO
INDICATE YOUR CHOICE. DO NOT
USE PEN OR PENCIL.**

Para votar, sostenga el instrumento de votar y perfore con él la tarjeta de votar en el lugar de los candidatos de su preferencia. No use pluma ni lápiz.

D 第三步

請把帶鍊之選舉針，由小孔內垂直插入打靶投票。



STEP

4

**AFTER VOTING, WITHDRAW THE BALLOT CARD AND PLACE IT INSIDE THE
ENVELOPE POCKET, WITH THE STUB SHOWING.**

Despues de votar, saque la tarjeta del "Votomatic" y póngala bajo el cierre del sobre.

E 第四步

投票選舉之後，把選票取出，放入空封袋內，票尾凸出在外。

在封袋上，有空白格預備為投票人應用。

YOUR RIGHTS AS A VOTER

by Ballot Simplification Committee

Q—Who can vote?

A—You can vote at this election only if you registered to vote by October 9, 1984.

Q—Who can register to vote?

A—You can register to vote if you:

- are a U.S. Citizen,
- are at least 18 years of age on election day,
- are a resident of California, and
- are not imprisoned or on parole for the conviction of a felony

Q—How do I register?

A—Phone the Registrar of Voters at 558-3417.

Q—Do I have to belong to a political party?

A—Only if you want to. If you don't want to tell what political party you consider yours you can check the box on the form saying that you "Decline to State."

Q—If I have picked a party, can I vote for candidates of another political party?

A—At a general election, such as this one, you can vote for any candidate whose name appears on your ballot.

Q—Once I have signed up, do I have to do it again?

A—Only if you have moved.

Q—If I have been convicted of a felony, can I sign up to vote?

A—Yes, if you have served your sentence and parole.

Q—What candidates will voters be choosing at this election?

A—President, Congress, State Legislature, Supervisors, B.A.R.T., College Governing Board and Board of Education.

Q—Where do I go to vote?

A—Your voting place is printed above your name and address sent with this Voters' Pamphlet (back cover).

Q—If I don't know what to do when I get to my voting place, is there someone there to help me?

A—Yes, the workers at the voting place will help you. If they can't help you, call 558-3061.

Q—When do I vote?

A—The election will be Tuesday, November 6, 1984. Your voting place is open from 7 A.M. to 8 P.M. that day.

Q—What do I do if my voting place is not open?

A—Call 558-3061.

Q—Can I take my sample ballot into the voting booth even if I've written on it?

A—Yes. Writing on your sample ballot will aid you in voting and will eliminate long lines at the polls.

Q—Can I vote for someone whose name is not on the ballot?

A—Yes. This is called a "write-in". If you want to and don't know how, ask one of the workers to help you. The poll workers will have a list of eligible write-ins.

Q—What do I do if I cannot work the voting machine?

A—Ask the workers and they will help you.

Q—Can a worker at the voting place ask me to take any test?

A—No.

Q—Is there any way to vote besides going to the polls on election day?

A—Yes. You can vote early by:

- Going to the Registrar of Voters office in City Hall and voting there, or
- mailing in the application sent with this voters' handbook (see enclosed card).

Q—What can I do if I do not have an application form?

A—You can send a letter or postcard asking for an absentee ballot. This letter or postcard should be sent to the Registrar of Voters, City Hall, San Francisco 94102.

Q—What do I say when I ask for an absentee ballot?

A—You must write:

- your address when you signed up to vote,
- the address where you want the ballot mailed,
- then sign your name, and also clearly print your name underneath.

Q—When do I mail my absentee ballot back to the Registrar of Voters?

A—You should mail your absentee ballot back to the Registrar of Voters as soon as possible. You must be sure your absentee ballot gets to the Registrar of Voters by 8 P.M. on election day, November 6, 1984.

WORDS YOU NEED TO KNOW

by Ballot Simplification Committee

Here are a few of the words that you will need to know:

BALLOT—A list of candidates and propositions.

ABSENTEE BALLOT—If you wish to vote by mail you can get a special ballot to fill out. This ballot is called an absentee ballot. You can get this ballot from the Registrar of Voters at City Hall. Please refer to the insert card in the pamphlet.

VOTE BY MAIL—See Absentee Ballot, above.

POLL—The place where you go to vote.

PROPOSITION—This means any issue that you vote on. If it deals with City & County government it will have a letter, such as Proposition A. If it deals with State government it will have a number, such as Proposition 1. State propositions are numbered 25 through 41 because in June the numbers were 16 through 24.

CHALLENGE—Officers at the polls can challenge a voter for various reasons, such as living in a different precinct from the one in which he or she is voting.

SUPERVISORS—Elected members of the governing legislative body for the City and County of San Francisco.

CHARTER AMENDMENT—The charter is the basic set of laws for the city government. A charter amendment changes one of those basic laws. It takes a vote of the people to change the charter. It cannot be changed again without another vote of the people.

ORDINANCE—A law of the city and county, which is passed by the Board of Supervisors or approved by the voters.

DECLARATION OF POLICY—A declaration of policy asks a question: Do you agree or disagree with a certain idea? If a majority of the voters approve of a declaration of policy, the supervisors must carry out the policy, to the extent legally possible.

INITIATIVE—This is a way for voters to put a proposition on the ballot for people to vote on. An initiative is put on the ballot by getting a certain number of voters to sign a petition. Each initiative ordinance needs signatures from 7,334 qualified voters. An ordinance passed by the people cannot be changed again without another vote of the people, unless the initiative expressly gives the Board of Supervisors the power to change the law.

PETITION—A list of signatures of voters who agree that a certain idea or question should be on the ballot.


BONDS—Contracts to borrow and repay money.

RIGHTS OF THE HANDICAPPED VOTER

1. Persons unable to mark their ballot may bring one or two persons with them into the voting booth to assist them.

2. If architectural barriers prevent a handicapped voter from entering the polling place then the voter will be allowed to vote a ballot on the sidewalk in front of the polling place (Section 14234, Elections Code).

3. A recent law allows the handicapped to apply as "Permanent Absentee Voters". A permanent absentee voter will receive a ballot in the mail at all future elections. A permanent absentee application appears as part of the regular absentee application enclosed in this book.



9/1/12

"IT APPEARS TO BE ONE END OF THE NOVEMBER 7 SAN FRANCISCO BALLOT..."

Reprinted by permission of the **San Francisco Chronicle**.

(Because there are no BART candidates in your district this page would otherwise have been blank.)

Candidates for Supervisor

JOHN SALEH ABDULLA

My address is 2533 Folsom Street

My occupation is Licensed Real Estate Salesman

My age is 30

My qualifications for office are: I graduated at a local public high school. I also attended private business schools. I am presently a licensed real estate salesman.

Knowing the city's acute housing and employment problems, I am deeply concerned of the these problems and would like to be in a position to find solutions to these problems. While I have no immediate solutions; if elected, I promise the people of San Francisco that I will devote all of my time to finding solutions to these problems by utilizing all resources available to public officials in solving these problems.

John Saleh Abdulla

The sponsors for John Saleh Abdulla are:

Saleh M. Abdulla, 155 Clipper St., Janitor
Sam Bachman, 238 Eddy St., Janitor
Richard F. Bourdon, 165 Clipper St., Mover
Peter Bury, 555 Post St., Musician
Freddie Cononica, 1419 South Van Ness Ave., Retired
Alfred Catalin, 1459 South Van Ness Ave., Retired
Bernard Fabrao, 3609-18th St., Deputy Clerk
Robert Fulgham, 487 Joost St., Business Representative
Saleh M. Ghanem, 155 Clipper St., Maintenance
Stephen A. Halbe, 1202-23rd Ave., Musician
Jamal Jawad, 488 Ellis St., Business Owner
Zelma Ann Johnston, 575 O'Farrell St., Retired
Barbara D. Jones, 1421 South Van Ness Ave., Housewife
Alfred E. Kelly, 610 Polk St., Retired Union Official
Yhya S. Mohamed, 640 Eddy St., Maintenance
Brian Charles Percy, 333 Hyde St., Payroll clerk
Charles Ridgell, 347 Lexington St., Business Representative,
Janitors Union
James Roy Sellers, 575 O'Farrell St., Unemployed
Madeline Smith, 159 Clipper St., Housewife
E. Perry Winston, 2866 Harrison St., Architect

SUZANNE ALBERTO

My address is 25 Pinto Avenue

My occupation is Administrative Secretary

My age is 38

My qualifications for office are: I am a Hispanic-Filipina (English/Spanish-speaking) administrative-secretarial San Francisco worker and Muni commuter and have been a resident voter since 1968.

I believe San Franciscans would be better served if suitable inquiry and evaluation preceded passage of locally needed and desired legislation and tax revenue expenditures to assure anticipated results. San Francisco's Charter permits supervisorial inquiry into expenditures. I strongly support thoroughly researched legislation and tax revenue use to benefit the peoples of San Francisco.

All of my sponsors know me to be a capable, persistent fighter for principles and public benefit and believe I would be an excellent supervisor.

Suzanne Alberto

The sponsors for Suzanne Alberto are:

L. J. Alberto, 4156-25th St., Communication Technician
Alvin E. Bernstein, 6 Roanoke St., Computer Analyst
David Carlton Butler, 206 Faxon Ave., Tape Librarian
Sarah M. Butler, 206 Faxon Ave., Programmer/analyst
Rose Violet Descilo, 215 Niagara Ave., Retired Bookkeeper
Deirdra D. Dunlap, 125 DeMontfort Ave., Telecomm Specialist
R. L. Feather, 111 Inverness St., Secretary
Angel Fernandez, Jr., 1419-11th Ave., Security Officer
David L. Forks, 26 Shields St., Janitor
Paul F. Garm, 2387-44th Ave., Security Guard
Joyce A. Glynn, 38 Serrano Dr., Legal Secretary
Margery M. Gray-Schlink, 2256-18th Ave., Financial Administrator
Amy J. Koehler, 2006 Fell St., Office Manager
Cheryl Landry, 427 Font Blvd., Housewife
Cheryenne Landry, 427 Font Blvd., Welder
David Mattes, 725 Gonzalez Dr., Truck Driver
Ruth Mattes, 725 Gonzalez Dr., Housewife
Ardis McCann, 1789 McAllister St., Custodian
Dewey W. McNeil, 251 Topeka Ave., Utility Engineer
Leon Medina, 1725 Church St., Retired-Laborer
Nathan Nemer, 125 Cambon Dr., Retired-Produce Buyer
Patricia J. Powell, 2006 Fell St., Publishing
Petty Randall, 366 Wilde St., Grocery Clerk
Roberto Sampera, 328 Staples Ave., Sr. Drafter
Clifford C. Schlink, 2256-18th Ave., Mechanic
Tina Tatro, 646 Ellis St., Clerical
E. L. Thomason, 111 Inverness St., Student
Kenneth E. Tucker, Jr., 243 Hahn St., Building and Grounds Patrolman

Candidates for Supervisor

ROGER BOSCHETTI

My address is 20 Carnelian Way

My occupation is Television Producer, Host, Writer, and Labor Consultant

My qualifications for office are: 25 years of involvement with working men, women, and young people, and as a concerned citizen, I will work to implement laws which will benefit all the people of San Francisco, such as reducing payroll and business tax, so as to encourage small businesses to come or stay in San Francisco, thereby creating more jobs. Will implement constructive changes in muni for speedier, safer and effective service, I will also create better programs to help the needs of our young men and women, I would like more police foot patrol, and more affordable housing, with more concern for our senior citizen

Roger Boschetti

RICHARD BRADLEY

My address is 1 Ardath Court

My occupation is Building Maintenance Mechanic

My age is 34

My qualifications for office are: As a supporter of the Spartacist League I twice tore down the Confederate flag of slavery which flies no more in Civic Center. The American Civil War did not complete its historic mission. It will take a third American revolution, a socialist revolution, to liberate black people.

Spike Reagan's anti-Soviet war plans and union-busting austerity drive by mass strike action! U.S. imperialism—hands off Central America! Smash Klan/Nazi terror through labor/black action! Break with Democrats—enemies of labor/minorities! Build a workers party that will stop at nothing short of socialist revolution and a workers government!

Richard Bradley

The sponsors for Roger Boschetti are:

William A. Arietta, 16 Wheat St., Police Officer
Rita M. Belli, 1958 Greenwich St., Assessment Clerk
Rachel Boschetti, 20 Carnelian St., Cosmetologist
George Contessi-Turner, 631 O'Farrell St., Instructor/Professor
Bernard M. Crotty, 2971-23rd Ave., City Employee
John E. Downey, 83 Gaviota Way, Nationally Known Referee/
Limo Driver/Boxing Judge
Curtis Elliott, 350 Glenview Dr., Doorman
Evelyn C. Fitzgerald, 230 Stonecrest Dr., School Administrator
Jack Goldberger, 210 Gellert Dr., Labor Consultant
Robert Jacobs, 1438-38th Ave., Business Agent
Roger Micheli, 2655 Chestnut St., Goldengate Disposal
Robert T. Moore, 2120 Larkin St., Lieutenant S.F.P.D. Retired
Shirley Robin Moore, 2120 Larkin St., Investigator
Marie G. Neilsen, 319 Maynard St., Businesswoman
Marie Ann Perri, 80 Malta St., Corporate Secretary
Joseph J. Phillips, 1203 Clayton St., Attorney
John E. Ricci, 1324 Broadway, Lawyer
Don L. Rotan, 134 Gilbert St., Writer
Samuel Smith, 297 Allison St., Seaman Cook
Carmen Solis, 39 Majestic Ave., Restaurant Owner
Rose M. Tarantino, 160 Country Club Dr., Housewife
Thomas J. Tarantino, 160 Country Club Dr., Salesman, Fleet Mgr.
Ed Turner, 440 Gellert Dr., Union Official
John J. Vidal, Sr., 1437 Judah St., Office Manager
Winston Wong, 225 Anzavista Ave., Manager of American Cab Co.
Herman W. Young, 210 Brookdale Ave., Seaman
Maya Dhillon-Zygaj, 455 Chenery St., Homemaker

The sponsors for Richard Bradley are:

Stephen Becker, 55 Wood St., Physician
Diana Coleman, 603 Kansas St., Letter Carrier
Dawn D. Cortland, 1416 Seventh Ave., Nurse Practitioner
Paul B. Costan, 127-30th St., Phone Worker
Jo Ann Davis, 96 Bertha Lane, Bookkeeper
William D. Edwards, 118 Garfield, Cab Driver
David A. Ellison, 1135 Kirkham St., Sewage Plant Operator
Stephen C. Gonzalez, 248 Wheeler St., Phone Worker
Lisa Gruber, 4540 California St., Office Worker
Margaret M. Grulich, 266B Carl St., Secretary
Jeff E. Higgins, 2450-28th Ave., Electrician
Kathy Ikegami, 603 Kansas St., Telephone Installation/Repair
Alexander Larsen, 25 Harriet St., Building Manager
Nick Lopez, 120 Pierce St., Systems Technician
Todd Nolan, 225-14th St., Proofreader
Jane Pratt, 789 Sixth Ave., Office Worker
Wanda Rutland, 3116 Geary St., Phone Worker
Steven A. Siegel, 4142-26th St., Postal Worker
Dennyse Stanford, 55 Wood St., Registered Nurse
Alan R. Thomsen, 1841A Church St., Student

Candidates for Supervisor

HARRY BRITT

My address is 783A Guerrero Street

My occupation is Member, Board of Supervisors

My age is 45

My qualifications for office are: As a full-time Supervisor, I've worked to see that every San Franciscan has a voice in making city government respond to our needs.

- I've supported crime-reducing neighborhood patrols to protect seniors;
- fought to repeal the sewer service charge;
- worked to protect the quality of our neighborhoods and environment;
- secured funding for anti-gay violence programs, AIDS prevention, community arts programs;
- sponsored protections for renters and small businesses from skyrocketing rents;
- worked to create affordable housing;
- sponsored equal pay for comparable jobs;
- worked to have downtown pay its share of taxes;
- worked to save jobs when companies moved from San Francisco.

Harry G. Britt

The sponsors for Harry G. Britt are:

Dianne Feinstein, 2030 Lyon St., Mayor of San Francisco
Leo McCarthy, 400 Magellan Ave., Lieutenant Governor
Sala Burton, 8 Sloat Blvd., Member of Congress
Art Agnos, 637 Connecticut St., State Assemblyman
John L. Molinari, 30-16th Ave., Member, Board of Supervisors
Doris Ward, 440 Davis Ct., Member, Board of Supervisors
Michael Hennessey, 261 Anderson St., Sheriff of San Francisco
Arlo Smith, 66 San Fernando Way, District Attorney of San Francisco
Ben Tom, 1717 Jones St., President, Board of Education
Rosario Anaya, 240 Dolores St., School Board Member
Amos Brown, 111 Lunado Way, Minister, Community College Board Member
Gina Moscone, 45 St. Francis Blvd., Member, War Memorial Board of Trustees
Thelma Shelley, 70 Everson St., Performing Arts Administrator
Yori Wada, 565-4th Ave., Regent-University of California
Morris Bernstein, 1740 Broadway, Airport Commissioner, Businessman
Carole Migden, 561-28th St., President, Harvey Milk Club
Sal Rosselli, 349 Lexington St., President, Alice B. Toklas Club
Wayne Friday, 1095-14th St., Newspaper Political Editor
Tom Murray, 4715 Balboa St., Newspaper Editor
Thomas F. Waddell, M.D. 141 Albion St., Athletic Games Organizer
Joseph Lacey, 601 Stockton St., Affordable Housing/Tenant's Rights Worker
Edward L. Peet, 350 Arballo Dr., Senior Citizen's Advocate
John Holtzclaw, 1508 Taylor St., Sierra Club
Louise Ogden, 1674 Filbert St., President, National Women's Political Caucus
Leon Bruschera, 537-10th Ave., Firefighter
Howard Gloyd, 555 Noriega St., Minister
Andrea Jepson, 1334 Masonic Ave., Nuclear Freeze Leader
Philip E. De Andrade, 601 Mississippi St., Small Business Owner
Georgianna Lynn, 165 El Verano St., West Portal Avenue Business Owner
Nina Raymundo, 706 Faxon St., Registered Nurse

RANDALL DWAYNE BRONNER

My address is 1390 Market Street

My occupation is artist-musician

My age is 30

My qualifications for office are: San Francisco Budget Surplus-\$162 Million.

Muni Fare/Fast Pass Revenue-\$46 Million.

Aspen, Colorado-Free buses ten years.

Portland-Free buses downtown.

We residents pay for more than two thirds of Muni's cost in our taxes. Residents should ride Muni for free!

You must check out the murals supporting Peace in Central America on Balmy Street.

Please call 864-8641 if you'd like to meet the survivors of 1906, free, at the Great Earthquake Exposition.

If you want to brighten up your street call San Francisco Street Gardeners for free cuttings, succulents, and flowers, at the same number.

Randall Bronner

The sponsors for Randall Bronner are:

Selig R. Raphael, 495-32nd Ave., Survivor 1906 Quake
Jane Dornacker, 9 Central Ave., Reporter
James Grayson, 142 Lakeview Ave., Muni Railway Supervisor
William T. Witter, 948 Haight St., Artist
James T. Lyon, 1761 Page St., Musician
F. Kelly Hartin, 119 Margaret Ave., Cateress
Julie Tancil, 1653 Washington St., Waitress
Raymundo E. Pena, 1470 Fulton St., Waiter
Mary L. Martinez, 295 Moscow St., Secretary
Sandra Renzi, 861 Post St., Waitress and Prep Work
Giorgio Irving, 1390 Market St., Waiter
Martin James, 1139 Broadway, Bartender
Elizabeth Kelly, 3249 Pierce St., Restaurant Services
Anthony R., Parrinello, 312 Precita Ave., Artist
Carlos Gonzalez, 3409-20th St., Community Worker
Robert Burnside, 2548 Folsom St., Carpenter
Susan Cervantes, 398 Precita St., Artist
Ricky Bell, 2707 Folsom St., Student
James A. Lewis, 3030 Cabrillo St., Artist/Teacher
Julianne Malveaux, 26 Winfield St., Economist

Candidates for Supervisor

JONATHAN BULKLEY

My address is 147 Tenth Avenue

My occupation is Architect, Neighborhood Planner

My age is 54

My qualifications for office are: Experienced Architect and Planner. President, Planning Association for the Richmond; Past President, Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods. Member: Open Space Committee, Mayor's Task Forces for Parking, Mechanical Amusement Devices and Sidewalk Displays.

My Architecture / Planning background will help me respond to the need for a coherent vision of San Francisco as a City that works for everyone.

My priorities include:

- Housing for those most in need—singles, working women, seniors.
- Crime-free streets
- Civil service preference for San Francisco residents.
- Caring solutions to seniors' needs.
- Eliminating Bay pollution.
- Maintaining Neighborhood Character, Diversity, and Liveability.

The interests of San Francisco Residents will always come first!

Jonathan Bulkley

The sponsors for Jonathan Bulkley are:

Douglas Chan, 596 Spruce St., Tenant Representative, Rent Stabilization Board
Preston Cook, 3301 Clay St., S.F. Housing Commissioner
Ina Dearman, 217 Upper Ter., Former City Planning Commissioner
Lee Dolson, 1755 Beach St., Professor; Former Supervisor
Jose Luis Fernandini, 464-43rd Ave., Businessman; Vice President, MAPA
Russell B. Flynn, 2610 Filbert St., President, Rent Stabilization Board
Ann Fogelberg, 2980 Vallejo St., Housewife; Vice Pres., Cow Hollow Association
Terry Francois, 20 Taraval St., Attorney; Former Supervisor
Louis J. Giraud, 435 Magellan Ave., Public Utilities Commissioner
Robert E. Gonzales, 361 Pennsylvania Ave., Lawyer
Ruth Gravanis, 74 Mizpah St., Glen Park Association
Anne W. Halsted, 1308 Montgomery St., Port Commissioner; Past President, Telegraph Hill Dwellers
John Hooper, 201 Buena Vista East, Conservationist; President, Buena Vista Neighborhood Ass'n.
Don Horanzy, 84 Kellogg Ave., Former Supervisor
John H. Kirkwood, 1635 Green St., Member, BART Board
Bill Kuhns, 4215-26th St., Co-Chair, Open Space Committee
Lim P. Lee, 1036 Pacific Ave., Retired U.S. Postmaster
Louis Hop Lee, 788-18th Ave., Civil Service Commissioner
Richard Livingston, 380 Eddy St., Administrator, Realty House West; Member, Open Space Committee
Peter McCrea, 1024 Lake St., Businessman; Past Pres., Public Utilities Comm.
Amy Meyer, 3627 Clement St., Rec. & Park Commissioner
William F. O'Keeffe, Sr., 444 Corbett Ave., President, S.F. Taxpayers Association
Thomas R. Peretti, 3787-16th St., Banker; President, C.R.I.R.
Alan Raznick, 237 Topaz Way, Attorney; President, Coalition for S.F. Neighborhoods
Toby Rosenblatt, 3409 Pacific Ave., Businessman; Pres., City Planning Commission
Julie Tang, 788-18th Ave., Member, Community College Board
Sam Valentino, 500 College Ave., Retired; Past President St. Mary's Park Improvement Club

DIANA COLEMAN

My address is 603 Kansas Street

My occupation is Letter Carrier

My age is 38

My qualifications for office are: I am a supporter of the Spartacists, the labor/socialists who put a stop to flying the Confederate flag, banner of KKK racism and slavery, in Civic Center. Finish the Civil War! Forward to a workers government!

Without socialist revolution catastrophe threatens mankind. We need an integrated workers party that will take the productive wealth from the capitalist bosses, and establish a workers government and socialist planned economy.

Spike Reagan reaction through mass strikes! Military victory to Salvadoran leftists! Defend the USSR! No support to Democrats—liberal party of imperialism! Smash Taft-Hartley! Labor's gotta play hardball to win!

Diana Coleman

The sponsors for Diana Coleman are:

Stephen Becker, 55 Wood St., Physician
Richard Bradley, 1 Ardath Ct., Maintenance Building
Dawn D. Cortland, 1416 Seventh Ave., Nurse Practitioner
Paul B. Costan, 127-30th St., Phone Worker
Jo Ann Davis, 96 Bertha Lane, Bookkeeper
William D. Edwards, 118 Garfield, Cab Driver
David A. Ellison, 1135 Kirkham St., Sewage Plant Operator
Stephen C. Gonzalez, 248 Wheeler St., Phone Worker
Lisa Gruber, 4540 California St., Office Worker
Margaret M. Grulich, 266B Carl St., Secretary
Jeff E. Higgins, 2450-28th Ave., Electrician
Kathy Ikegami, 603 Kansas St., Telephone Installation/Repair
Alexander Larsen, 25 Harriet St., Building Manager
Nick Lopez, 120 Pierce St., Systems Technician
Todd Nolan, 225-14th St., Proofreader
Jane Pratt, 789 Sixth Ave., Office Worker
Wanda Rutland, 3116 Geary St., Phone Worker
Steven A. Siegel, 4142-26th St., Postal Worker
Dennyse Stanford, 55 Wood St., Registered Nurse
Alan R. Thomsen, 1841A Church St., Student

Candidates for Supervisor

ELEANOR M. DAVIS

My address is 309 Lake Street

My occupation is Computer Accounting Services

My qualifications for office are: My experience with community groups and City Hall is extensive.

Involved in local politics through neighborhood and merchant associations, worked on campaigns for Diane Feinstein, and many others. Served the community through the following organizations: Vice President, Clement Merchants, head of legislative committee; Consultant to Polk Merchants; Member, Chamber of Commerce, Small Business Roundtable, Vice Chair Health Committee; Board, California Alcohol Treatment Services; Chairperson Alcohol Awareness; Appointee, Mayor's Task Force on Sidewalk Vending, Parking; San Francisco Outlook, Project Manager; Member, SPUR; Boardmember Public Research Institute, SFSU.

A loyal devotee of San Francisco. I will serve honestly with an open mind and heart.

Eleanor M. Davis

The sponsors for Eleanor M. Davis are:

Ronald Atkinson, 2322-26th Ave., Teacher
Robert R. Bacci, 2478-23rd Ave., Attorney at Law
Rachael Balyeat, 2323 Hyde St., Investor
Luis A. Belmonte, 250 Walnut St., Real Estate Developer
Mildred Burrell, 2970 Pine St., General Contractor
Leslie A. Burton, 1528 Baker St., Attorney
Rose Cassano, 863 Carolina St., Artist
Toni Delacorte, 2025 Hayes St., Public Relations Executive
Catherine J. Dodd, 61 Deming St., Registered Nurse
John Richard Doyle, 109-12th Ave., Attorney at Law
Adrien Belli Falk, 1000 Green St., Housewife
Rory A. Flood, 1070 Revere St., Owner, Fine Furniture Company
Patricia Hooper, 582 Arkansas St., Writer
Walter G. Jebe, 314 Polaris Way, Businessman and Library Commissioner
Paul Raynor Keating, 180-4th Ave., Attorney at Law
Dennis E. Kirtley, 1344 Larkin St., Manager of Gift Shop
Beatrice Kushner, 35 Presidio Ter., Attorney at Law
Gordon J. Lau, 540-19th Ave., Attorney
Ted R. Moulton, 17 Leroy Pl., Architect
Mary F. Patterson, 6423 Geary Blvd., Owner, Data Processing Company
Kenneth E. Rowell, 1319-5th Ave., Engineer
John Patrick Short, 1000 Green St., Liquor Store Owner and Chairman of Parking Authority
Robert L. Strauss, 1734-9th Ave., Graduate Student
Debbie Weinberg, 3515 Clement St., Store Owner
Larry Weinberg, 3515 Clement St., Store Owner

EDWARD MICHAEL HAYES

My address is 695 John Muir Drive

My occupation is Banker

My age is 34

My qualifications for office are: Forty-four years after my grandparents were married here in San Francisco just seven months after the nineteen hundred and six earthquake. A beautiful blond hair, blue eyed baby boy came bouncing out of St. Joseph's Hospital. Studying economics at St. Mary's College after attending Riordan and then leaving religious life to create a conservation newspaper. Trying to educate local residents about the serious problems that we faced here in San Francisco and the bay area. If allowed to fulfill my dreams of what we can accomplish I will gladly give twenty-five per cent of my salary to charity.

Edward Michael Hayes

The sponsors for Edward Michael Hayes are:

Edwin B. Barry, 116 Virginia Ave., Retired
Miriam Barry, 116 Virginia Ave., Retired
Agnes Cassidy, 385 Day St., Retired
Anna M. d'Ottillie, 1356 Dolores St., Housewife
Bertram J. d'Ottillie, 1356 Dolores St., Retired
Mary M. Driscoll, 132-28th St., Administrative Assistant
John L. Flynn, 54 Seaview Terr., Banker
Elaine M. Gilleran, 133 Randall St., Banker
Rae Grubstick, 2479-40th Av., Banker
Marcia Kocel, 44 Mallorca Way, Bank Clerk
Bernice Langley, 460 Duncan St., Retired
Bernadine K. Louch, 399 Duncan St., Grocer
William G. Louch, 399 Duncan St., Grocer
William D. MacGilfry, 2720-35th Ave., Banker
Michael J. McCarthy, 3744-22nd St., Retired
Nora S. McManus, 456 Duncan St., Retired
Ellen McSweeney, 457 Duncan St., Retired
Aileen P. Morris, 1557 Church St., Housewife
Mary C. Morris, 1557 Church St., Housewife
Leona P. Parker, 56 Harper St., Housewife
James L. Phillips, 1467 Church St., Clerk
Janet M. Phillips, 1467 Church St., Secretary
Rita M. Phipps, 230 Prospect Av., Retired
Eugene F. Sullivan, 456 Duncan St., Ironworker
Catherine C. Tassone, 1795 Sanchez St., Clerk
Nellie Twomey, 1325 Church St., Home-maker
Seymour Whitelaw, 3150 Clay St., Investment Broker
Ann M. Willis, 1365 Dolores St., Housewife

Candidates for Supervisor

ROBERT DANIEL INGRAHAM

My address is 1637 Noriega Street

My occupation is Political Consultant

My age is 33

My qualifications for office are: Over one hundred years ago two great men, David Broderick and Edward Baker, gave their lives to save this Republic.

I ask you voters to compare these two San Franciscans with the small minded politicians of today.

Pathetic Wendy Nelder says "Fluoride causes AIDS"; degraded Willie Brown corrupts us with "Oh What a Night" baccinalia; Feinstein lusts for higher office, and the rest are worse.

San Francisco can play an historic role in the development of the Pacific Basin. But you, our citizens, must decide. I urge you: Join with me and other candidates of Lyndon LaRouche's NDPC in our fight.

Robert Daniel Ingraham

RUBY T. JIMENEZ

My address is 961 Delano Avenue

My occupation is Directory Sales Representative

My age is 45

My qualifications for office are: A San Francisco resident since, 1948 and a naturalized American of Mexican descent, I consider myself an earnest interpreter of human needs for the Hispanic community and other minorities. Misinterpretations, injustices, lack of communication and mutual respect must be addressed and aired. These human needs for minorities and others can be solved if we end the current world depression. The humane world economic policies of Lyndon LaRouche, particularly his proposal for joint economic development between the United States and Ibero-America (Operation Juarez) can end this depression. The port of San Francisco should be expanded to become a strong world trade center.

Ruby T. Jimenez

The sponsors for Robert Ingraham are:

Carlos Altamirano, 750 Sweeny St., Stock Worker
Enrique Altamirano, 750 Sweeny St., Retired
Graciela Altamirano, 750 Sweeny St., Student
Leticia Altamirano, 750 Sweeny St., College Student
Philip A. Belich, 3256 Folsom St., Millman
Holward M. Coleman, 537 Belvedere St., Forklift Operator
Michael Fraijo, 1824 Noriega St., Student
James K. Graham, 474 Hearst Ave., Retired
Elnora Hardy, 143 Farallones St., Housewife
Andrea K. Ingraham, 1637 Noriega St., Educator
Ruby T. Jimenez, 961 Delano Ave., Directory Sales Representative
David L. Kilber, 1901 Noriega St., Management Consultant
Susan E. Kilber, 1901 Noriega St., Musician
Andrew A. Klein, 1709-16th Ave., Consultant
James Legare, 254 Oak St., Motor Truck Operator
Micaela M. Lezcano, 376 Capistrano Ave., Housewife
CleEsther Miller, 52 Ralston St., Foster Mother
Marcia K. Patch, 1302 Taylor St., Administrative Officer
Lidia G. Portillo, 115 Blanken Ave., Retired
David Shayman, 1644-14th Ave., Pharmacist
Conner Soules, 1645 Noriega St., Sales
Michael Staninec, 2364-32nd Ave., Dentist
John Vozaitis, 1330 Ninth Ave., Restaurant Owner

The sponsors for Ruby T. Jimenez are:

Carlos Altamirano, 750 Sweeny St., Stock Worker
Enrique Altamirano, 750 Sweeny St., Retired Carpenter
Graciela Altamirano, 750 Sweeny St., Student
Leticia Altamirano, 750 Sweeny St., College Student
Stanley J. Bolinski, 1909 Eddy St., Staff Clerk
Willa W. Coleman, 215 Munich St., Sales Representative
Edmundo S. Duran, 3043 Market St., Telephone Directory Office Rep.
Michael Fraijo, 1824 Noriega St., Student
Patricia L. Hardy, 178 Broad St., Assistant Manager
Patricia Helton, 93 Prentiss St., Teacher, Mission Community College
Andrea Konviser Ingraham, 1637 Noriega St., Educator
Robert H. Jewell, 47 Seward St., Telephone Directory Sales Rep.
Ora Lee Jones, 359 Orizaba Ave., Staff Clerk
David L. Kilber, 1901 Noriega St., Management Consultant
Susan E. Kilber, 1901 Noriega St., Musician
Andrew A. Klein, 1709-16th Ave., Consultant
James Legare, 254 Oak St., Motor Truck Operator
Micaela M. Lezcano, 376 Capistrano Ave., Housewife
Ruth Matias, 638 Sawyer St., Office Clerk
Patricia McDonagh, 385-28th St., Housewife
Thomas McDonagh, 385-28th St., Construction
Ruth E. Rosenberg, 165 Parnassus Ave., Instructor
Keith F. Skelly, 1909 Eddy St., Asst. Stf. Mgr.
Leticia Wickersham, 171 Precita Ave.
Lupe D. Zamudio, 274 Broad St., Sales Representative

Candidates for Supervisor

ANDREW ("DADDY ANDY") JONES

My address is 981 Shotwell Street

My occupation is Criminology Student

My age is 57

My qualifications for office are: I have been a respected citizen and server of San Francisco for 25 years. I'm involved in many community organizations that care for our community such as Glide church, Red Cross volunteers and the Singel partents resource center. I've been appointed to sit on the board of such organizations as "Mission Coalition, Mission Model Neighborhood and the Mission Education program, (appointed by Major Alioto and Mayor Moscone). I'd like to deadiate my Supervioral campaigne to a few of the many people who helped me over the years, Chef Roy Hammerich, Betty Romenoff and the Mission Childcare Consortium.

Andrew Daddy Andy Jones

The sponsors for Andrew (Daddy Andy) Jones are:

Stephen Harold Irvine, 2037 15th St., Carpenter
Timothy Jones, 981 Shotwell St., Recreation Director
Joseph A. DelCarlo, 1390 Hampshire St., Chairperson of MHD
David L. Butler, 895 Shotwell St., Salesman
Diane Moananu, 43 Watchman Way, Graduate Student
David Levinson, MD, 2927 Folsom St., Physician
Helen Butler, 985 Shotwell St., Housewife
Joseph A. Macellari, 969 Shotwell St., Retired Cashier
E. Perry Winston, 2866 Harrison St., Archetect
Rose Sillard, 10 Lucky St., Housewife
John Maras, 20-12th St., Student
Donald Strickland, 981 Shotwell St., Musician & Carpenter
Juanita Del Carlo, 1390 Hampshire St., Hiring Hall Director
Oscar Herrera, 360 Holyoke St., Educator
Delmar T. Burge, 142 Central Ave., Professional Musician
Rose Macellari, 969 Shotwell St., Housewife
Jesse S. Valencia, 751 Castro St., Job Developer
Peter Anthony Rivera, 57 Peters Ave., Counselor
Fernando Cosio, 177 Johnstone Dr., Executive Director
Miguel Quinoz, 424 Pennsylvania Ave., Social Worker
Harry W. Madison, 4017 Folsom St., Appliance Repair
Paul Sussman, 1243 Third Ave., Housing Finance
Carmencita L. De la Cruz, 2783 Bryant St., Accountant
Kathryn M. McCamant, 625 Scott St., Tenant Organizer
Lynne Beeson, 2135-28th Ave., Grants Coordinator
Harry E. Baker, 1345 Clement St., Project Coordinator

WILLIE B. KENNEDY

My address is 950 Duncan Street

My occupation is Member, Board of Supervisors

My qualifications for office are: In my three years as Supervisor, I have worked to maintain and improve the unique quality of life we have in San Francisco—from rent control to fighting for parks and open spaces. I honor our rich diversity of cultures and life styles through support of neighborhood preservation and the rights of all groups—from children to seniors.

I initiated a campaign to control the tragic increase in child abuse; and am working hard on the problem of decreased employment, particularly for youth.

I want to continue serving your interests in making this the most liveable city in the United States.

Willie B. Kennedy

The sponsors for Willie B. Kennedy are:

Dianne Feinstein, 2030 Lyon St., Mayor of San Francisco
Willie L. Brown, Jr., 1200 Gough St., Elected Official, Speaker of the Assembly
Sala Burton, 8 Sloat Blvd., Member of Congress
Leo McCarthy, 400 Magellan Ave., Lieutenant Governor
Art Agnos, 637 Connecticut St., Assemblyman
Ernest C. Ayala, 4402-20th St., Member Community College Board
Morris Bernstein, 1740 Broadway, Investor/Airport Commissioner
Al Borvice, 234 Gates St., Attorney At Law
Harry G. Britt, 783-A Guerrero St., Member, Board of Supervisors
Agripino R. Cerbatos, 471 Hoffman St., Member, Board of Education
Handy Welton Flynn, 76 Venus St., Public Accountant, Commissioner PUC
Michael Hennessey, 261 Anderson St., Sheriff of San Francisco
James C. Hormel, 19 Miguel St., Consultant
LeRoy King, 75 Zampa Ln., Union Official
Gordon J. Lau, 540-19th Ave., Attorney
Lim P. Lee, 1036 Pacific Ave., Retired U.S. Postmaster
Aldo P. Lera, 1177 California St., Electrical Contractor
Cyril Magnin, 1 Nobhill St., Philanthropist
Bill Maher, 69 Elsie St., Supervisor
James McCray, Jr., 164-6th Ave., Minister
Sandy Ouye Mori, 360 Precita Ave., Administrator
Gina Moscone, 45 St. Francis Blvd., Homemaker
Adolph Schuman, 1170 Sacramento St., Businessman
Stan Smith, 15 Hearst Ave., Union Official
Yori Wada, 565-4th Ave., U.C. Regent
Nancy G. Walker, 355 Green St., Member, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
Doris M. Ward, 440 Davis Ct., Member, Board of Supervisors
A. Cecil Williams, 60 Hiliritas St., Minister
Timothy R. Wolfred, 91 Sanchez St., President, College Board

Candidates for Supervisor

ELLIS LEONARD ANTHONY KEYES

My address is 121 Golden Gate Avenue

My occupation is Musician

My qualifications for office are: I am one of many in unity with San Francisco's diversity. I "Keyes" will open doors for you, to: homes, jobs, health care, better schools, provide more: complimentary fine arts, concerts, veterans benefits, senior services; close doors on pornography, drugs and prostitution; clean our streets and make them safe once more; eliminate wasteful spending and lower your taxes. For reasons like these, I am your "Keyes". Never again, will any San Franciscan go wanting, while "special interest" lick their fingers. Let us shine bright, the city that knows how, a guiding light to world peace now.

God help us.

Ellis Leonard Anthony Keyes

DAVID L. KILBER

My address is 1901 Noriega Street

My occupation is Management Consultant

My age is 40

My qualifications for office are: I am a graduate of Oregon State University, a Vietnam Veteran, and a former candidate for California State Assembly. I have resided in the Sunset district with my wife Susan for five years.

I stand for a strong defense policy including the Beam Weapon anti-missile defense and the technology boom this new "Apollo" program will bring to the local economy.

San Francisco must become a center for science, classical culture, and trade, bringing blue-collar families and industry back to this city. We must expand the Port of San Francisco and launch the Pacific Basin development strategy proposed by economist Lyndon LaRouche.

David L. Kilber

The sponsors for Ellis Leonard Anthony Keys are:

Gary Gene Adams, 139-5th Ave., Plastic Fabricator
Wayne Austin, 391 Leavenworth St., Job Developer
Brian Chew, 334-30th Ave., Restaurant Worker
Ginger Coyote Coleman, 734 Bush St., Editor of Punk Globe
Hugh T. Dauley, 1177 California St., Computer Operator
Thomas A. Finney, 165 Turk St., Disabled/SSI
Howard M. Grayson, 160 Eddy St., Community Coordinator, Hosp. House
Louis J. Gwerder, 427 Valley St., Laborer
Mickey C. Helm, 777 Tehama St., Patriot
John R. Hess, 554 Broadway St., Doorman
Kevin M. Kennedy, 250 McAllister St., Social Worker
Patricia E. Kerman, 1026 Montgomery St., Film Maker
John Lyman, 149-6th St., Musician
Patrick Marsh, 231-27th St., Chef
Perry V. Matlock, 526-6th Ave., Teacher
Michelle Miller, 15 Surrey St., Student
David M. Moebs, 350 Frederick, Symphonic Musician
Keith F. Moog, 1233 Guerrero St., Controller
Gary Posner, 443 Broadway St., Engineer
Steven Present, 373 Ellis St., Security Officer
Clark Sullivan, 765 Ellis St., Political Activist
Tina Tatro, 646 Ellis St., Office/Clerical
Robin Vega, 1330 Jessie St., Courier
H. Joseph Wagner, 121 Goldengate Ave., Musician
James E. Washington, Jr. 55 Mason St., Consultant, Arts and Science
Guy West, 640 Polk St., Broadcasting
Linda Marie White, 777 Tehama St., Seamstress

The sponsors for David L. Kilber are:

Carlos Altamirano, 750 Sweeny St., Stock Worker
Enrique Altamirano, 750 Sweeny St., Retired
Graciela Altamirano, 750 Sweeny St., Student
Leticia Altamirano, 750 Sweeny St., College Student
Philip A. Belich, 3256 Folsom St., Millman
Holward M. Coleman, 537 Belvedere St., Fortlift Operator
Michael Fraijo, 1824 Noriega St., Student
James K. Graham, 474 Hearst Ave., Retired
Elnora Hardy, 143 Farallones St., Housewife
Andrea K. Ingraham, 1637 Noriega St., Educator
Ruby T. Jimenez, 961 Delano Ave., Directory Sales Representative
Susan E. Kilber, 1901 Noriega St., Musician
Andrew A. Klein, 1709-16th Ave., Consultant
James Legare, 254 Oak St., Motor Truck Operator
Micaela M. Lezcano, 376 Capistrano Ave., Housewife
CleEsther Miller, 52 Ralston St., Foster Mother
Marcia K. Patch, 1302 Taylor St., Administrative Officer
Lidia G. Portillo, 115 Blanken Ave., Retired
David Shayman, 1644-14th Ave., Pharmacist
Conner Soules, 1645 Noriega St., Sales
Michael Staninec, 2364-32nd Ave., Dentist
John Vozaitis, 1330 Ninth Ave., Restaurant Owner
Edith Wasserkrug, 2670-40th Ave., Retired
J.G. Wasserkrug, 2670-40th Ave., Retailer

Candidates for Supervisor

QUENTIN L. KOPP

My address is 68 Country Club Drive

My occupation is Attorney/Member, Board of Supervisors

My qualifications for office are: Local government is us, because we depend upon it to provide essential services and wise leadership. For 13 years I've fought to cut governmental waste and excessive taxation, to keep government honest and on its toes. My efforts have already saved taxpayers over \$250,000,000 and I'm proud to be the one supervisor who watches how every dollar is spent. This year City government will spend an average \$4,500,000 a day, every day. I want to continue my role as your "financial watchdog" in City Hall and add a needed balance to the Board. I would very much appreciate your support.

Quentin L. Kopp

The sponsors for Quentin L. Kopp are:

Joseph L. Alioto, 2510 Pacific Ave., Former Mayor
John J. Barbagelata, 15 San Lorenzo Way, Former Member of the Board of Supervisors
A. Marquez Bautista, 1535 Powell St., Attorney at Law
Sala Burton, 8 Sloat Blvd, Member of Congress
Dorothy M. Casper, 475 Chestnut St., Property Management
George Christopher, 1170 Sacramento St., Former Mayor
Eleanor Rossi Crabtree, 1900 Gough St., Housewife
Margaret Cruz, 259 Monterey Blvd., Businessperson
Robert C. Elkus, 469 Magellan Ave., Attorney at Law
George Evankovich, 1600-A Greenwich St., Labor Representative
Terry A. Francois, 20 Taraval St., Attorney at Law
Jack E. Frankel, 72-22nd Ave., Rabbi
Merla Zellerbach Goerner, 225 Presidio Ter., Author/Journalist
Robert E. Gonzales, 361 Pennsylvania Ave., Attorney at Law
Carleton Goodlett, 2060 O'Farrell St., Publisher
Paul D. Hardman, 1782 Pacific Ave., Author/Retired Publisher
Thomas F. Hayes, 120 Stonecrest Dr., Contractor
Golda Kaufman, 2900 Pacific Ave., Homemaker/Volunteer
Susan Kelly Kennedy, 3070-26th Ave., Congressional Assistant
Joseph P. Lacey, 601 Stockton St., Teacher
John Lo Schiavo, 2130 Fulton St., Priest/Educator
Haig G. Mardikian, 2960 Divisadero St., Businessman
Frances M. McAteer, 130 Santa Ana Ave., Housewife
William T. Reed, 2151-18th Ave., Retired President of City Employees
John Riordan, 1426 Willard St., Vice President, S.F. Community College District
Bob Ross, 4200-20th St., Newspaper Publisher
Harriet C. Salarno, 95 Crestlake Dr., Self Employed Businesswoman
Burl A. Toler, 581 Orizaba Ave., Educator/Police Commissioner
Dorothy Vuksich, 177 San Aleso Ave., Housewife/Volunteer
Benny Y. Yee, 351 Marina Blvd., Realtor

JULIAN LAGOS

My address is 577 Arballo Drive

My occupation is Political Scientist/Educator

My age is 29

My qualifications for office are: impressive. A full decade of political activism at national, state, and local levels. Leadership roles in labor, housing, and education. To illustrate my seriousness in leading our City, I have launched the following initiative campaigns:

- * A 3-year rent freeze
- * Commercial rent control
- * A balanced budget
- * Expedition of labor disputes
- * Driver-ownership of taxicabs
- * An outdoor stadium in front of Moscone Center

As your supervisor, I will pursue:

- * District elections for district councils
- * Metro services for the Richmond, Marina, Bayview
- * Higher police standards
- * More schools and parks

You need me now, San Francisco! Who else you gonna call?

Julian O. Lagos

The sponsors for Julian Lagos are:

Charles Martin Adams, 54 4th St., Cab Driver
Scherrie Rae Ahonen, 577 Arballo Dr., Psychologist
Elizabeth Alvarez, 1262 La Playa St., Sales
James C. Anderson, 203 Randall St., Computer Consultant
Elizabeth Benford, 508 Andover St., Attorney
Katherine Bobrowski, 417 Stockton St., Unemployed
Thomas J. Callan, 17 Sutro Heights Blvd, Consultant
James D. Cantor, 742 Treat Ave., Station Attendant
Henry Conserva, 1258 40th Ave., Professor
Leon Datangel, 725 Van Ness Ave., Businessman
Peggy L. Dickson, 320 Monticello, Student
Mark Emery, 555 Taylor St., Artist
James Michael Faye, 1369-B Page St., Tenant Advocate
Philomena Higgs, 146 Fillmore St., Videographer
Ken Johnson, 42 Kelloch St., Cabdriver
Vincent B. Latimer, 4118-A 24th St., Service Manager
Richmond Loewinsohn, 1341 Valencia St., Writer
John B. Loret, 905 Columbus Ave., Cab Driver/Fisherman
Berniece Martin, 506 Church St., Public Stenographer
Jonathan Mc Curdy, 80 Alvarado St., Attorney
Victor E. Miller, 1341 Valencia St., Editor
Steven A. Schectman, 1301 20th St., Attorney
William F. Taylor, 1890 Clay St., Operating Engineer
Frank S. Warner, 2530 Fillmore St., Cab Driver
J. Scott Weaver, 249 Cotter St., Legal Worker

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy.

Candidates for Supervisor

JULIANNE MALVEAUX

My address is 26 Winfield Street

My occupation is Economics Professor/Labor Specialist/Writer

My age is 30

My qualifications for office are: Native San Franciscan and former White House staffer (1977-78) Dr. Julianne Malveaux (pronounced "Mal-Voh") is an elected California State Central Committeewoman who is committed to a diverse San Francisco:

—San Francisco Tomorrow Board Member

—Booker T. Washington/Ella Hill Hutch Board Member

—Strong Supporter: Mondale-Ferraro

—Steering Committee, San Francisco Plan Initiative

—Delegate candidate for Jesse Jackson

—Former staff, President's Council of Economic Advisors

—Ph.D. (Economics, MIT)

—Co-author of three books

—Columnist, San Francisco Sun Reporter

—Priority focus: job creation programs for youth, minorities, and women

—Author, 1984 Ballot Proposition J which prohibits San Francisco pension fund investments in South Africa.

Julianne Malveaux

The sponsors for Julianne Malveaux are:

Jule C. Anderson, 575-9th Ave., Education Specialist
Ricky Bell, 2707 Folsom St., Student
Judith Brecka, 609 Kansas St., Lawyer, City Commissioner
Randall Bronner, 1390 Market St., Artist/Musician
Rene Cazenave, 2821-22nd St., Housing Advocacy
Terence Faulkner, 2371 42nd Ave., Former City Commissioner
Thomas C. Fleming, 2171 O'Farrell St., Journalist
Terry A. Francois, 20 Taraval St., Attorney at Law
Margaret A. Gannon, 3649-18th St., Attorney
Howard S. Gloyd, 555 Noriega St., Minister
Yvonne S. Golden, 1743-9th Ave., School Administrator
Peter M. Good, 709 Shrader St., Arborist
Roberto Y. Hernandez, 852 Moultrie St., Director, Bernal Heights Neighborhood Center
Geraldine M. Johnson, 825 Masonic Ave., Union Organizer
Doris W. Kahn, 3259 Clay St., Transportation Commissioner
Tony Kilroy, 473-11th Ave., President, San Francisco Tomorrow
Michael Koblentz, 825 Masonic Ave., Distributor
Orelia Langston, 55 Friendship Way, Senior and Geriatric Specialist
Arthur Lathan, 2350-48th Ave., Personnel Administrator
Michael C. Miller, 1920 Quint St., Attorney
Jack D. Morrison, 44 Woodland Ave., Management consultant
Carl Dialo Reece, 2034-46th Ave., Graduate Student
Ruth Gordon Schnapp, 726-23rd Ave., Structural Engineer
Frances M. Shaskan, 259-32nd Ave., Consumer Rights Advocate
Anita Silvers, 15 Otsego St., Professor, S.F. State
Arlo Smith, 66 Fernando Way, District Attorney
Bruce M. StCyr, 343 Chester Ave., Vice President AFSCME Local 2620
Ida V. Strickland, 1664 Fulton St., Administrator
Calvin Welch, 519 Ashbury St., Community Consultant

JOHN L. MOLINARI

My address is 30 16th Avenue

My occupation is Member, Board of Supervisors

My age is 49

My qualifications for office are: To make San Francisco work takes both good ideas and cooperation with everyone.

For over 12 years as your Supervisor, my contribution has been to bring together both the diverse viewpoints of San Francisco's many communities and the other Supervisors to solve our City's problems. With your support, I've:

—Promoted the construction of more housing; limited condominium conversions to protect renters; protected tenants from rent gougers and outrageous security deposits;

—Initiated escort services to protect seniors;

—Initiated preferential parking and parking ticket amnesty programs;

—Protected our neighborhood character from big companies forcing out small merchants; and

—Protected San Francisco's parks and playgrounds.

John L. Molinari

The sponsors for John L. Molinari are:

Dianne Feinstein, 2030 Lyon St., Mayor of San Francisco
George Christopher, 1170 Sacramento St., Former Mayor of San Francisco
Sala Burton, 8 Sloat Blvd., Member of Congress
Arlo Smith, 66 San Fernando Way, District Attorney of San Francisco
Michael Hennessey, 261 Anderson St., Sheriff of San Francisco
John Francis Foran, 900 Rockdale Dr., State Senator
Art Agnos, 637 Connecticut St., Assemblyman
Richard D. Hongisto, 114 Broderick St., Member, Board of Supervisors
Nancy G. Walker, 355 Green St., Member, Board of Supervisors
Doris M. Ward, 440 Davis Ct., Member, Board of Supervisors
Rosario Anaya, 240 Dolores St., Member, Board of Education
Louise K. Molinari, 30 16th Ave., Homemaker/Media Producer
Rev. Amos C. Brown, 111 Lunado Way, Pastor
H. Welton Flynn, 76 Venus St., Member, Public Utilities Commission
Dr. Howard S. Gloyd, 555 Noriega St., Pastor
LeRoy King, 75 Zampa Ln., Regional Director, I.L.W.U.
Elouise Westbrook, 152 Maddux Ave., Director, Patient Advocacy
Gordon J. Lau, 540 19th Ave., Member, Port Commission
Yori Wada, 565 4th Ave., Regent, University of California
Alan S. Wong, 1280 Ellis St., Member, Community College Board
Duke J. Armstrong, 352 Divisadero St., Former President, C.R.I.R.
Paul Boneberg, 647-A Castro St., President, Stonewall Gay Political Club
Diana Christensen, 56 Sanchez St., Executive Director, Community United Against Violence
Bob Ross, 4200 20th St., Publisher, Bay Area Reporter (B.A.R.)
Carole Migden, 561 28th St., Member, Harvey Milk Lesbian & Gay Political Club
Sal Rosselli, 349 Lexington Way, President, Alice B. Toklas Lesbian/Gay Political Club
Maureen J. Conroy, 650 Eucalyptus Dr., Homemaker
John W. Holtzclaw, 1508 Taylor St., Chair, Sierra Club Bay Chapter
Louise Ogden, 1674 Filbert St., President, San Francisco National Women's Political Caucus
Michael S. Salarno, 95 Crestlake Dr., Sunset District Merchant

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy.

Candidates for Supervisor

PAT NORMAN

My address is 319 Richland Avenue

My occupation is Senior Health Care Administrator

My qualifications for office are: I am a political progressive with extensive professional experience as an administrator, program consultant, mediator, and service provider. These skills and my perspective will be valuable additions to the Board.

My commitment to economic and social justice is backed by 25 years of community service to ethnic and cultural minorities, women, low- and moderate-income families, elders, youths, gay people, small businesses, workers, the disabled, and government policy-makers.

I support neighborhood preservation, affordable housing measures (including rent control), jobs and job training for San Franciscans, comparable worth, and environmental safeguards.

I am a parent and San Francisco homeowner.

Pat Norman

The sponsors for Pat Norman are:

Priscilla Alexander, 745 Haight St., Feminist Activist
Zohn F. Artman, 141 Albion St., Media Consultant
Michael Bernick, 378 Goldengate Ave., Executive Director, SF Renaissance
Paul Boneberg, 647 Castro St., House Painter
Barbara M. Cameron, 590-5th Ave., Data Processing Manager
Diana Christensen, 56 Sanchez St., Executive Director, CUAU
Dennis M. Collins, 145-27th St., Political Consultant
Greg Day, 2260 Market St., Youth Services Director
Mary C. Dunlap, 425 Staples Ave., Attorney/Teacher
Walter R. Ems, 188 Eureka St., Retired
Lawrence V. Eppinette, 765 Geneva Ave., Confidential Secretary
Roma Guy, 583 Missouri St., Co-Director, The Women's Foundation
Kenneth W. Jones, 600 Fillmore St., Office Manager; SF AIDS Foundation
Linda Jupiter, 2768-22nd St., Book Production Manager
Gayle M. Justice, 1108 Page St., Senior Services Administration
Phyllis Lyon, 651 Duncan St., Author/Educator
Del Martin, 651 Duncan St., Author/Lecturer
Sandy Ouye Mori, 360 Precita Ave., Administrator
Jane McKaskle Murphy, 2255 Washington St., Retired Police Commissioner
Connie O'Connor, 30 Chicago Way, Lieutenant, S.F. Sheriff's Department
Juanita Owens, 371 Coleridge St., Educator/Administrator
Tish A. Pearlman, 464 Duboce Ave., Writer/Photographer
Linda A. Post, 1846-15th St., Political Activist
Sal Rosselli, 349 Lexington St., Labor Union Business Representative
Marguerite Rubenstein, 46 Stillings Ave., Therapist
Stephen H. Walters, 1223 Diamond St., Fundraiser

JOSEPH JAMES PHILLIPS

My address is 1203 Clayton Street

My occupation is Executor (Probate Attorney)

My qualifications for office are: This is not New York. My grandfather worked for this city for thirty-six years; helping to make San Francisco the greatest place on earth in which to live. Now our city bus system has to go hat in hand to Los Angeles to borrow buses. The quality of care at our general hospital has come under extreme question. The city of love, tolerance, and understanding is becoming more and more divided by every hate on earth. I, as a fourth generation San Franciscan, call upon you to join with us natives in the fight to keep San Francisco San Francisco.

Joseph James Phillips

The Sponsors for Joseph J. Phillips are:

Margaret Moskovitz Berzin, 2201 Lake St., Retired
Ernest Lotti, 979 Avalon Ave., Chauffeur
Amelia Sheehan, 436-27th Ave., Homemaker
Salvador Garza, 795 Brunswick St., Businessman
Gerald E. Gallagher, 2282-34th Ave., Retired
Jean M. McDermott, 325-9th Ave., Tax Preparer
A. John Shimmon, 19 Middlefield Dr., SF Area Director, SBE
Juanita G. Cardinelli, 1215-18th St., Retired
Peter G. Economou, 2040 Alemany Blvd., Retired
Laura Lotti, 979 Avalon Ave., Volunteer for Handicapped
Lee S. Dolson, 1755 Beach St., College Professor
Consuelo G. MacDonald, 801-44th Ave., Retired
Roger Boschetti, 20 Carnelian St., Television Producer
Jody S. Hirota, 571-19th Ave., Dental Hygienist
Maurice C. Bihan, 111 Willard North St., Salesman
Kelly Lyon, 435-25th Ave., Secretary
Ralph A. Barsi, 1236 Athens St., Retired Teamster
Laura B. Campbell, 1275-32nd Ave., NOW
William E. Beyers, Jr., 3232 Geary Blvd, Printer
Pearle Wong, 1555 Shrader St., Housewife
Sophia E. Conley, 2845 Cabrillo St., Teacher
Jimmy Starr, 23 Boardman Pl., Lawyer
Hannah Berzin, 3830-19th Ave., Retired
John L. Santiago, 150 Woodland Ave., Retired
Walter J. Murphy, 767 Corbett Ave., Retired
Carl H. Hoppe, 100 Hamilton St., Retired
Jack I. Berzin, 3830-19th Ave., Retired
Sam Keksteine, 84 Lopez Ave., Self Employed
Helen Marie Sheehan, 875-38th Ave., Housewife

Candidates for Supervisor

LOUISE RENNE

My address is 3725 Jackson Street

My occupation is Member, San Francisco Board of Supervisors.

My age is 47

My qualifications for office are: As Finance Committee chairperson I have protected vital services while cutting wasteful expenditures. Sound policies have been implemented. San Francisco is on solid financial footing.

At the same time I played a leadership role in legislation affecting the environment, health care, Muni, the arts, indeed all San Franciscans (including our seniors and children).

My prior experience includes Deputy Attorney General, Criminal and Environmental Units; argued cases in Supreme Court; President, California Women Lawyers.

As an effective Supervisor, I pledge to continue my hard work, to act responsibly and compassionately, and to speak honestly on the critical issues affecting all San Franciscans.

Louise Renne

The sponsors for Louise Renne are:

Art Agnos, 637 Connecticut St., Assemblyman 16th District
Bernard Averbuch, 59 Rivoli St., Public Relations
Ernest "Chuck" Ayala, 4402-20th St., Youth Director—CYO—Urban Development
Morris Bernstein, 1740 Broadway, Investor, Pres. Airport Commission
Susan J. Bierman, 1529 Shrader St., Planning Commissioner
Harry G. Britt, 783A Guerrero St., Member, Board of Supervisors
Rev. Amos C. Brown, 111 Lunado Way, Minister
Sala Burton, 8 Sloat Blvd., Member of Congress
Thomas J. Cahill, 246-17th Ave., Chief of Police, Retired
Lily Cuneo, 3819 Jackson St., Housewife
Dianne Feinstein, 2030 Lyon St., Mayor
Anne W. Halsted, 1308 Montgomery St., Vice President, Personnel
Michael Hennessey, 261 Anderson St., Sheriff of San Francisco
Mattie J. Jackson, 524 Belvedere St., Vice President, ILGWU
Walter G. Jebe, 314 Polaris Way, Businessman/Commissioner
John S. Jennings, 2743 San Bruno Ave., Realtor (Ret.)
LeRoy King, 75 Zampa Lane, Regional Director of ILWU
Joseph Lacey, 601 Stockton St., Teacher
Leslie M. Lawrence, 2423 Broadway, Certified Public Accountant
Louis Hop Lee, 788-18th Ave., Civil Service Commissioner and Attorney
Melvin D. Lee, 450-22nd Ave., Engineer (Commissioner, S.F. Redevelopment Agency)
Phyllis Lyon, 651 Duncan St., Author/Educator
Cyril Magnin, One Nob Hill Cir., Philanthropist
Leo McCarthy, 400 Magellan Ave., Lieutenant Governor, State of California
Louise Ogden, 1674 Filbert St., President, National Women's Political Caucus of San Francisco
Bob Ross, 4200-20th St., Newspaper Publisher
Sal Rosselli, 349 Lexington St., Business Representative
Thomas C. Scanlon, 631 Vicente St., Investment Advisor
Doris M. Ward, 440 Davis Ct., Member, SF Board of Supervisors
Harold T. Yee, 1280 Ellis St., Economist

CAROL RUTH SILVER

My address is 68 Ramona Avenue

My occupation is Incumbent

My age is 45

My qualifications for office are: Experience: Seven years a San Francisco Supervisor; twenty years a practicing attorney; mother of two.

—Responsiveness to neighborhoods:

My parking reform legislation will open up neighborhood parking spaces.

My fire and smoke detector legislation will make our whole City more safe.

—Advocate for fairness legislation:

—preserving sunlight in parks

—outlawing gay discrimination

—creating low and moderate income housing

—repairing City streets and buildings

—rent control

—protecting Chinatown merchants

—S.A.F.E. and Senior Escort Programs

—Neighborhood Arts Programs

—reducing smog

—preserving San Francisco Bay

—expanding Muni

—wheelchair accessibility

—more women and minorities receiving City contracts

—child care

I will continue to insist on fairness.

Carol Ruth Silver

The Sponsors for Carol Ruth Silver are:

Dianne Feinstein, 2030 Lyon St., Mayor
Cyril Magnin, 1 Nob Hill Circle, Philanthropist
Sala Burton, 8 Sloat Blvd., Member of Congress
Michael Hennessey, 261 Anderson St., Sheriff of San Francisco
Jeff Brown, 850-40th Ave., Public Defender
George Agnost, 2131 Funston Ave., City Attorney
Art Agnos, 637 Connecticut St., San Francisco Assemblyman
Richard D. Hongisto, 114 Broderick St., Supervisor
Bill Maher, 69 Elsie St., San Francisco Supervisor
Nancy G. Walker, 335 Green St., Member, Board of Supervisors
Doris M. Ward, 440 Davis Ct., San Francisco Supervisor
Ben Tom, 1717 Jones St., Commissioner, Board of Education
Julie Tang, 788-18th Ave., Community College Board
Morris Bernstein, 1740 Broadway, President, Airport Commission
H. Welton Flynn, 76 Venus St., Public Utilities Commissioner
Emmett D. Condon, 2506-21st Ave.
Yori Wada, 565-4th Ave., University of California Regent
Jule C. Anderson, 575-9th Ave., Education Specialist
Tim M. Dayonot, 645 Randolph St., Charity Media Specialist
Terressa E. Griffin, 839-41st Ave., Retired Teacher
Vivian Hallinan, 1080 Chestnut St., Retired
Samuel Jordan, 4006-3rd St., Caterer
Tony Kilroy, 473-11th Ave., Civil Engineer
Lim P. Lee, 1036 Pacific Ave., Retired U.S. Postmaster
Phyllis Lyon, 651 Duncan St., Author/Education
Ephraim Margolin, 60 Scenic Way, Attorney
Robert J. McCarthy, 354 Santa Clara Ave., Attorney
John B. Ritchie, 2 Presidio Ter., Realtor
Sal Rosselli, 349 Lexington St., Union Business Representative
Jack Trujillo, 74 Ramona St., Word Processor

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy.

Candidates for Supervisor

DAVID C. SMITH

My address is 2567 Alemany Blvd

My occupation is Administrator, Service Agency

My age is 34

My qualifications for office are: I am a San Francisco State graduate in journalism, married, with a deep affection for this place. For seven years my wife and I have counseled families and individuals from every neighborhood in San Francisco—an investment and commitment to the future. Dealing with these problems has increased my awareness of this city's growing preoccupation with rights and shrinking concern for responsibilities. The solution includes a return to basics, to strong family units, to solid values, to the worth of all human life. I will be a voice for those who feel excluded, especially exploited children and the disadvantaged.

David C. Smith

The sponsors for David C. Smith are:

James Bailey, Sr., 422 Guerrero St., Minister
Lillian P. Bailey, 422 Guerrero St., Housewife
Janis Ann Belt, 195 Sadowa St., Secretary
Jeffrey Belt, 195 Sadowa St., Photographer
Robert R. Carlson, 337 Baden St., Radio Producer
Victoria A. Carlyle, 1808 Octavia St., Political Analyst
John William Castro, 3 Plymouth St., Mover
John Frederick Decker, 1090 Eddy St., Designer
Frances Edwards, 2554 Alemany Blvd., Housewife
Daisy Hepburn, 20 Tapia Dr., Homemaker
David Hepburn, 20 Tapia Dr., High School President
James W. Higgins, 1962-31st Ave., Police Officer
Jean Higgins, 1962-31st Ave., Housewife
James L. Higgs, 270 Juanita Way, Pastor
Margaret M. Lee, 730-12th Ave., Secretary
Mark W. Lee, 881 Silver Ave., President, Simpson College
Arvid Lindgren, 2565 Alemany Blvd., Retired
Norma Lindgren, 2565 Alemany Blvd., Secretary
Philip Litton, 3340 San Bruno Ave., Student
John A. McGeehan, 1230 LaPlaya St., Military, U.S. Army
Charles A. McIlhenny, 1350 Lawton St., Clergy
J. Thad McKinney, 766 Kansas St., Station Manager
Marilyn G. Miller, 518 Dewey Blvd., Secretary
Chris Montgomery, 518 Dewey Blvd., Church Worker
Lawrence W. Pong, 254 Arch St., Environmental Health Inspector
James Douglas Robinson, 1319-7th Ave., Moving Company Manager
James W. Robinson, 518 Dewey Blvd., Executive Director of a Christian Service Organization
Conrad R. Sanchez, 1808 Octavia St., Restaurateur
Constance B. Steinbach, 180 Lippard Ave., Homemaker

KEVIN STARR

My address is 445 Chestnut Street

My occupation is Businessman, Communicator, Professor

My age is 44

My qualifications for office are: Born in San Francisco, I relish the past, present and future of this great city. I know it from a variety of perspectives—as executive aide to Mayor Alioto, as City Librarian, as a newspaper columnist, as a professor, as a businessman, and as a person active in many civic causes. I now wish to bring my talents and experience to the Board of Supervisors. I believe I can be of unique service as the centrist advocate of this city as it looks to its future. That future, the well-being of each of us, will be my greatest concern.

Kevin Starr

The sponsors for Kevin Starr are:

Richard B. Allen, 2111 Franklin St., Merchant
Lynn A. Altshuler, 1490 Sacramento St., Attorney
Thomas E. Anderson, 236 Moncada Way, Clergyman
Michael Bernick, 378 Goldengate Ave., Job Training Director
Gino Biradelli, 700 Columbus Ave., Restaurateur
Sam Camhi, 265 San Anselmo Ave., Insurance Estate Planner
Frank J. Caufield, 1034 Chestnut St., Venture Capital Investor
Vyolet L. Chu, 1282 Vallejo St., Asian Art Commissioner
Elizabeth A. Cooley, 2820 Scott St., Legal Assistant
Paul Denning, 2165 California St., Investment Banker
Martin Eng, 665 Pine St., County Central Committee Member
James W. Haas, 163 Prospect Ave., Attorney
Patrick E. Hallinan, 117-27th Ave., Attorney
Walter E. Hoadley, 999 Green St., Economist
Thomas E. Horn, 950 Rockdale Dr., Attorney
Allan S. Johnson, 165 Prospect Ave., Consultant
Dorwin Buck Jones, 245 Northpoint St., Gerontologist; Director, Meals on Wheels
Harold K. Lipset, 2509 Pacific Ave., Private Investigator
Frances A. Lopez, 1474 Sacramento St., Paralegal
Paul F. Lorch, 1034 Guerrero St., Editor
John Maher, 795-8th Ave., Executive
Roxanne Mankin, 2312 Pacific Ave., Investment Real Estate
Michael Marston, 3375 Jackson St., Real Estate and Development Consultant
Larry Mazzola, 3060-24th Ave., Asst. Business Manager, Local #38
John N. Rosekrans, Jr, 2840 Broadway, Businessman, Toy Manufacturer
Michael S. Salarno, 95 Crestlake Dr., Neighborhood Businessman
Michael Strunsky, 2266 Bay St., Construction Executive
Brooks Walker, Jr., 2930 Broadway, Business Executive
Suzan Yee, 632-6th Ave., Attorney

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy.

Candidates for Supervisor

JOHN E. WAHL

My address is 118C Connecticut Street

My occupation is Attorney

My age is 50

My qualifications for office are: I have a 20 year record of voluntary, unpaid public service to San Francisco. I have worked with all levels of City government, with people in all parts of the City. Examples of my public service include being a Judge Pro Tem of the San Francisco Municipal Court, 9 years of service on the Board of the San Francisco Council of Churches, service on the Pride Foundation Board, and service to veterans.

I am dedicated to ensuring affordable places to live, a responsible and unprejudiced police department, decent jobs, and dependable transportation.

I am independent of special interest club politics.

John E. Wahl

The sponsors for John F. Wahl are:

Enola D. Maxwell, 1559 Jerrold Ave., Executive Director
John Riordan, 1426 Willard St., Vice President, Community College Board
Carolene W. Marks, 55 Jordan Ave., Economist and Civic Leader
James E. Sandmire, 432 Buchanan St., Minister
E. Patricia (Pat) Lucey, 69 Huntington Dr., Attorney
Benjamin L. Marcus, 84 Winfield St., Rabbi and Chaplain
Bob Ross, 4200-20th St., Newspaper Publisher
Walter W. Grumm, 3126-22nd St., Clergy person
Ann Marie Day, 136 Delmar St., Retired Social Worker
Cleve Jones, 3863-18th St., Legislative Aide
Paul S. Yein, 342-8th Ave., Real Estate Agent
Hank Wilson, 1651 Market St., Hotel Operator
Fred L. Kurlander, 115 San Aleso, Attorney
Jean Wall Burgess, 421 Moraga Ave., Lawyer
Gary E. Myerscough, 156 Sproule Ln., Education Administrator
Paula F. Lichtenberg, 925 Jones St., Paralegal
Paul D. Hardman, 1782 Pacific Ave., Author, Retired Publisher
Jerry G. Guerra, 85 Fortuna St., Retailer
Cleve B. Vaughan, Jr., M.D. 2170 Hayes St., Physician
Foster W. Weeks, 1910 Greenwich St., Advertising Consultant
Shirley Kaiser, 806-33rd Ave., Teacher
Robert W. Shore, 146 Guerrero St., Businessman
Rosa M. Kwong, 512 Van Ness Ave., Law Student
Charlene Yamato, 416 Font Blvd., Secretary
Jeanette Sibley, 75 Woodhaven Ct., Classroom Teacher
Alan Thompson Smith, 190 Palo Alto Ave., Small Business Owner
William Earl Andrews, 4130 Army St., Taxi Driver
Larry E. Hughes, 87 Fortuna St., Paralegal/Consultant
Julita V. DeChavez, 1591-45th Ave., Deputy County Clerk

SYLVIA WEINSTEIN

My address is 489 27th Street

My occupation is Staff-writer for *Socialist Action* newspaper

My age is 58

My qualifications for office are: My program:

Courts, cops and strikebreaking injunctions; two-gate, two-tiered, union-busting tactics, are helping employers depress living standards. Escalating health, housing and food costs are forcing working people—especially Blacks and Latinos—out of San Francisco. Corporate condos and highrises replace workers' residences.

Door-key children of working parents, denied child-care, are left to roam the streets. Abortion clinics are under siege.

Only united labor—employed, unemployed, black, white—can change this condition. An independent labor party, based on the unions, must be built to replace the callous politicians of the two ruling capitalist parties.

Jobs not war!

Sylvia Weinstein

The sponsors for Sylvia Weinstein are:

Alan A Benjamin, 2783-20th St., Editor, Socialist Action
Paul Colvin, 558-36th Ave., Printer
Nancy G. Elnor, 615 Central Ave., Teacher
Ralph P. Forsyth, 77 Cedro St., Teacher
May May Gong, 25 Bessie St., Power Plant Operator
Nancy L. Gruber, 921 Alvarado St., Editor
Millie B. Gonzalez, 2543-32nd Ave., Student
Asher F. Harer, 149 Detroit St., Retired Longshoreman
Ruth Harer, 149 Detroit St., Retired Office Worker
Donald Gary Harmon, 1297-18th St., Retail Clerk
William G. Leumer, 535 Day St., Mechanic
Ann Menasche, 2149-48th Ave., Feminist Attorney
Linda I. Ray, 3740-25th St., Registered Nurse
Ann A. Robertson, 535 Day St., Professor
Joseph M. Ryan, 25 Bessie St., Machinist
Karen A. Schieve, 3575-19th St., Sales Clerk
Michael William Schreiber, 3593 Mission St., Muni Driver
Carole Seligman, 245 Whitney St., Muni Bus Driver
Kathryn Setian, 1364-16th Ave., Engineer
Margery Jean VanDerslice, 1540-20th St., Registered Nurse
Christine Vaughn, 1255 Polk St., Poster or Clerk
David Walters, 2543-32nd Ave., Power Plant Operator
Bonnie Weinstein, 1716 Revere Ave., Student
Deborah Weinstein, 1830 Church St., Unemployed Housewife
Nathan Weinstein, 489-27th St., Painter

Candidates for Supervisor

DAVE WHARTON

My address is 5031 Diamond Heights Blvd.

My occupation is Community Service Attorney

My age is 44

My qualifications for office are: San Francisco attorney, 17 years. Princeton, Yale Law graduate. Single parent, three teenagers. Homeowner, community volunteer. Endorsed, both San Francisco papers, 1982 Supervisor race.

It's time for housing expansion, for transit, traffic, parking overhaul. Time for better City services, especially for seniors and youth. Time to strengthen economy, create jobs, control taxes. Time for neighborhood revitalization, parking relief. Time for human rights advancement, efficient and humane police services. Experiment with Board meetings in neighborhoods.

It's time for goals, results, citizen participation. San Francisco is world class city, small town; lose either, be neither. I build bridges, not barriers. Join our common sense coalition.

Dave Wharton

The Sponsors for Dave Wharton are:

Michael Hennessey, 261 Anderson St., Sheriff of San Francisco

Arlo Smith, 66 San Fernando Way, San Francisco District Attorney

Libby Denebem, 200 St Francis Blvd., Board of Education Member

Jeff Brown, 850-40th Ave., Public Defender

Jo Daly, 123 Topaz, Police Commissioner

Douglas Chan, 596 Spruce St., Attorney/Rent Board Commissioner

Jerry E. Berg, 55 Twin Peaks Blvd., Attorney/Commissioner

Russell Flynn, 2815 Pacific Ave., Real Estate/Rent Board Commissioner

Charles B. Renfrew, 791-14th Ave., Attorney/Former Federal Judge

Melvin M. Swig, 201 Locust St., Hotel/Real Estate Executive

James R. Diaz, 139-20th Ave., Architect

Alicia Wang, 1418 Leavenworth St., Educational Specialist

Mortimer Fleishhacker, 13 Bridgeway Plaza, Investor

James C. Hormel, 19 Miguel St., Consultant

Diane C. Kefauver, 1726 Great Highway, Consultant

Joseph Leone, 52 Exeter St., Union President/Retired

Jose Gomez, 59 Grandview Ave., Legal Services/Executive Director

Ronald Atkinson, 2322-26th Ave., Teacher

John L. Schmidt, 1182 Fulton St., Insurance/Savings and Loan Chairman

Phyllis Kern, 306 Arbor St., Labor Union Secretary

Russell I. Kassman, 2454 Bush St., Businessman

Laura E. McBride, 925 Cole St., Typographer

George M. Raya, 90 Verna Ct., City Employee

Richard B. Morten, 2578-33rd Ave., Business Development Executive

Gloria Armijo, 737 Pine St., Travel Agent

Lawrence A. Wilson, 333-A Pierce St., Attorney—Community Volunteer

Deborah R. Pines, 4248-20th St., Financial Consultant

John T. McAlister, Jr, 999 Green St., Businessman

Bobbie Griffith, 50 Leland Ave., District Attorney Investigator

Edith Wellin, 165-A Alpine Ter., Teacher

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy.

Candidates for School Board

DICK CERBATOS

My address is 471 Hoffman Avenue

My occupation is Member, Board of Education

My qualifications for office are: I have always been deeply interested in the education of youth. Before my appointment to the Board of Education two years ago, I served twenty years on Citizens' Advisory and PTA committees focussing on education. As an engineer business-owner, I also bring professional skills to the Board.

As a first generation American, I am sympathetic to minority children's problems, and aware that public education is the golden gateway to opportunity and success.

I intend to continue pressing for higher test scores, improved discipline and morale, less truancy, and an increase in college admissions.

I am committed to excellence in education.

A. Richard Cerbatos

The sponsors for Dick Cerbatos are:

Dianne Feinstein, 2030 Lyon St., Mayor
Willie L. Brown, Jr., 1200 Gough St., Speaker of the Assembly
Sala Burton, 8 Sloat Blvd., Member of Congress
Art Agnos, 637 Connecticut St., Assemblyman
Ernest C. Ayala, 4402-20th St., Member, Community College Board
A. Marquez Bautista, 1535 Powell St., Lawyer
Morris Bernstein, 1740 Broadway, Investor
Al Borvice, 234 Gates St., Attorney at Law
Harry G. Britt, 783 Guerrero St., Member, Board of Supervisors
Diana Christensen, 56 Sanchez St., Executive Director
Judy Dellamonica, 3323 Taraval St., President, San Francisco Classroom Teachers Association
Jess T. Esteve, 5285 Diamond Heights Blvd., Travel Agent
Anne W. Halsted, 1308 Montgomery St., Vice President-Personnel
Michael Hennessey, 261 Anderson St., Sheriff of San Francisco
Eugene S. Hopp, 601 Van Ness Ave., Physician
Thomas, E. Horn, 950 Rockdale Dr., Attorney
Ralph F. Hurtado, 65 Newburg St., Executive Director
Mattie J. Jackson, 524 Belvedere St., Labor-Vice President, ILGWU
Leroy King, 75 Zampa Ln., Reg. Dir. ILWU
Mrya G. Kopf, 1940-12th Ave., School Board Member
Bette Wallace Landis, 44 Entrada Ct., Volunteer
Bill Maher, 69 Elsie St., Member, Board of Supervisors
Eugenia Moscone, 45 St. Francis Cir., Homemaker
Wendy Nelder, 150 Casitas Ave., Member, Board of Supervisors
Ben Tom, 1717 Jones St., President, Board of Education
Yori Wada, 565-4th Ave., U.C. Regent
Doris M. Ward, 440 Davis Ct., Member, S.F. Board of Supervisors
A. Cecil Williams, 60 Hilaritas St., Minister
Timothy R. Wolfred, 91 Sanchez St., President, College Board
Harold T. Yee, 1280 Ellis St., Economist

CHRISTOPHER CHRISTENSON

My address is 29 Oliver Street

My occupation is Transit Supervisor

My age is 45

My qualifications for office are: I was born and raised in San Francisco, graduating from Balboa High.

For the past 7 years I have been active in the school district having been elected to 2 terms on the District Advisory Committee, 4 years as the chairperson of the Pelton Academic Middle School Parent's Group, and 5 years on the site advisory council at Pelton.

I am married and have 2 children attending Commodore Sloat Elementary School.

I believe there is much work needed to provide the quality education our children need to prepare them for a highly technical society of computers and robotics.

Chris Christenson

The sponsors for Christopher Christenson are:

Essie L. Webb, 186 Maddux Ave., Intake & Referral Specialist
Raymond Tunstall, 515 John Muir Dr., Deputy Sheriff
Francis Smyth, 1709-16th Ave., Deputy Sheriff
Harry E. Jensen, III, 74 Delmonte St., Electrical Transit Manager
Joe W. Turner, 1274 Palou Ave., Janitor Supervisor II
Martin Larkin, 4733 Lincoln Way, Paint Supervisor
James Grayson, 142 Lakeview Ave., Muni Supervisor II
Joseph Dela Rosa, 50 Oliver St., Seaman
Precious Moore, 2046 Thomas Ave., Home Health Aide
Liana Sand Dune Kastina, 524 Clipper St., Clerk Typist
William Satchell, 500 Francisco St., Transit Car Cleaner
Roy W. Haver, 576 Lisbon St., Marble Shopman
Guadalupe Williams, 335 Hearst Ave., Housewife
Jeanne L. Williams, 335 Hearst Ave., Grocery Clerk
Roosevelt Lancaster, 170 Ledyard St., Transit Car Cleaner
Lena M. Quintana, 3331 Hearst Ave., Housewife
Alfred Lent, 1475 Church St., Electrical Mechanic
George Williams, Sr., 335 Hearst Ave., Retired
Beatrice L. Dunbar, 430 Thornton Ave., Retired
Vicente Williams, 335 Hearst Ave., Driver
Gabriela, R. Wilkerson, 1347-15th Ave., Cashier

Candidates for School Board

LIBBY DENEBEIM

My address is 200 St. Francis Blvd.

My occupation is Incumbent School Board Member

My qualifications for office are: Four years ago you elected me to the school board. I am deeply grateful.

I have worked hard. Student achievement scores improved, enrollment stabilized. We strengthened significantly special programs and alternative schools.

There remains much work to do. As a mother of six public school educated children, former teacher and experienced school board member, I know how our schools should work.

Many children today will finish school in the 21st Century. For all our children we must plan for the future, reaffirm our commitment to excellence, use school dollars prudently and ensure the momentum for improvement in our schools continues.

Libby Denebeim

The sponsors for Libby Denebeim are:

Robert S. Denebeim, 200 St Francis Blvd., Businessman
Art Agnos, 637 Connecticut St., Assemblyman
Harry G. Britt, 783 Guerrero St., Member, Board of Supervisors
Sala Burton, 8 Sloat Blvd., Congresswoman
William K. Coblentz, 10-5th Ave., Attorney
Patricia F. Costello, 2838 Green St., Community Leader
Carlota T. del Portillo, 84 Berkeley Way, Educator
Dianne Feinstein, 2030 Lyon St., Mayor of San Francisco
Zuretti L. Goosby, 299 Maywood Dr., Dentist
Michael Hennessey, 261 Anderson St., Sheriff of San Francisco
Barbara Holman, 182 Eastwood Dr., PTA Volunteer
Richard D. Hongisto, 114 Broderick St., Member, Board of Supervisors
Thomas Hsieh, 4 Cortes St., Police Commissioner
Cynthia Brown Kelly, 460 Magellan St., Homemaker
Myra G. Kopf, 1940-12th Ave., Board of Education Member
Ruth Asawa Lanier, 1116 Castro St., Sculptor
Gordon J. Lau, 540-19th Ave., Attorney
Leo T. McCarthy, 400 Magellan St., Lt. Governor
Peter Mezey, 3382 Clay St., Attorney/Businessman
Carole Migden, 561-28th St., Mental Health Director
John L. Molinari, 30-16th Ave., Member, Board of Supervisors
Wendy Nelder, 150 Casitas Ave., President, Board of Supervisors
Philip Bruce Rafal, 962 Clayton St., SF Open Space Committee Member
Sal Rosselli, 349 Lexington St., Labor/Gay Ledaer
Joan-Marie Shelley, 895 Burnett Ave., Teacher/President, SF Federation of Teachers
Arlo Smith, 66 San Fernando Way, District Attorney
Yori Wada, 565-4th Ave., Regent, University of California
Pansy Ponzio Waller, 649 San Jose Ave., Contract Compliance Representative
Doris M. Ward, 440 Davis Ct., Member, Board of Supervisors
Malcolm S.M. Watts, 270 Seaciff Ave., Physician

GEORGE DYKSTRA

My address is 949 Filbert Street

My occupation is Community Services Director

My age is 37

My qualifications for office are: I am trained in government finance and Citizen Participation decision making. Long community involvement includes director, Educational Services, Executive Board of Golden Gate Child Development, Saint Mary's Hospital Board and Yick Wo Elementary Parent's Committee. My education includes a B.A., emphasizing Education and U.S.C. graduate school.

I am practical, experienced and positive. I expect more from students, parents and teachers. I promise fiscal responsibility. I respect and support parent participation, teacher professionalism and every student's right to learn in well-equipped, orderly schools. Opportunity, Technology and Basics.

Vote for me! I will keep children in the picture.

George Dykstra

The sponsors for George Dykstra are:

Lisa Bardaro, M.D., 880 Sloat Blvd., Physician
Millicent E. Buxton, 80 Parnassus Ave., Educator
Robert T. Corrado, 18 Miramar Ave., Administrator
Teresa M. D'Auray, 75 Heather St., Environmental Fund Raising
Kathleen DePaola, 949 Filbert St., Psychologist
Kathleen Dykstra, 949 Filbert St., Legal Administrator
Linda J. Gaudreau, 858-26th Ave., Director of Medical Records
Terence Hallinan, 41 Grattan St., Attorney-at-Law
Ann K. Howell-Isom, 904 Cortland Ave., Volunteer Coordinator
D. S. Inaba, 44 Escondido Ave., Associate Professor
Martha Anne Jessup, 2562 Diamond St., Educator
Deborah L. Keller, 1466-41 Ave., Student
Katherine Lambert, 63 Winfield St., Women's Services Consultant
Mim Landry, 1040 Cole St., Student
Nick Lederer, 79 Mizpah St., Executive Director, Senior Services
Dr. Thomas S. McCoy, 1446 Clay St., Professor
Tim P. Mess, M.D. 55 Lundy's Ln., AIDS Clinician-Researcher
Susan L. Mok, 1332-38th Ave., Acupuncturist
Marcia Quackenbush, 1690-27th Ave., Social Worker
Shirley Jones Rhodes, 958 Ingerson Ave., Executive Director
CAHEED
Mervyn F. Silverman, 119 Frederick St., Director of Health
Kenneth M. Sims, 1454 Palou Ave., Associate Director Child Development Center
David E. Smith, 80 Parnassus Ave., Physician
Hiram E. Smith, 345 Monticello St., Attorney
Michael Stepanian, 2109 Baker St., Attorney
Harvey W. Q. Tse, 70 Lomita Ave., Businessman
Nancy G. Walker, 355 Green St., Member, Board of Supervisors
A. Cecil Williams, 60 Hilititas, Methodist Minister
E. Leif Zerklin, 1326-26th Ave., Editor

Candidates for School Board

MARTIN ENG

My address is 665 Pine Street

My occupation is Certified Public Accountant/Financial Consultant

My qualifications for office are: Twelve years of community and civic service.

Issues

Eng supports:

- Our children's inherent right for education.
- Regain respect for our teachers.
- Strict measures to halt school violence. Stop the sale and use of street drugs.
- Higher standards for teachers and students.
- Encourage teachers and parents participation within the Board.
- Merit pay to outstanding teachers.

Maximize income: Lease surplus school properties.

End mismanagement by incompetent Board members.

Eng opposes:

- Current programs teaching alternative lifestyle to our precious children. A violation of the First Amendment.
- Board members extravagant dinner meetings with liquor paid for by taxpayers. A blatant violation of the law.

Martin Eng

The Sponsors for Martin Eng are:

John Barbagelata, 15 San Lorenzo Way, Real Estate Broker, Former Supervisor
John Riordan, 1426 Willard St., Vice President, S.F. Community College District
Benny Y. Yee, 351 Marina Blvd., Commissioner, Contractors State License Board, State of California
Lee S. Dolson, 1755 Beach St., Professor, Former Supervisor
Dr. John B. Tsu, 2 Denslow Dr., Education Commissioner
Melvin M. Belli, 2950 Broadway, Lawyer
John B. Ritchie, 2 Presidio Ter., Landmark Preservation Board
Steven J. Doi, 1521 Larkin St., Attorney
C. Mackey E. Salazar, 183 San Benito Way, City Planning Commissioner
Anna M. Guth, 137 Rivoli St., Retired
John T. Fang, 170 Gellert Dr., Publisher, State Parks and Recreation Commissioner
Robert Silvestri, 3090-23rd Ave., State Assembly Nominee
Anna Kyle Pausewang, 30 Cunningham Pl., Legal Assistant
Tony Kilroy, 473-11th Ave., Vice President, District One Political Action
Kevin G. Molinari, 2247-26th Ave., Property Consultant
John S. Tang, M.D. 2184 Funston Ave., Physician
Timothy A. Tosta, 870 Ashbury St., Attorney
Jung Roy Gee, 665 Pine St., Investor, Education Researcher
William Bernstein, 1000 Franklin St., Attorney
Robert P. Varni, 10 Miller Pl., Chariman-Police Data Systems
Frank S. Yee, 358 Los Palms Dr., Real Estate Finance; Teacher
Rev. Charles A. McIlhenny, 1350 Lawton St., Clergy
William Jack Chow, 373 Marina Blvd., Attorney at Law
F. Theodore Kitt, 2801 Broadway, Lawyer
Robbin Tom, 28 Annapolis Ter., V.P.-Branch Manager, S & L
Velma Petersilie, 665 Pine St., Teacher
Ed Pond, 16-38th Ave., Insurance broker/Accountant
S.M. Saroyan, 67 San Andreas Way, Business Enterprises/Lawyer
Frank N. Alioto, 2898 Vallejo St., Restaurant Owner
W.F. O'Keeffe, Sr., 444 Corett Ave., President, San Francisco Taxpayers Assn.

EUGENE S. HOPP, M.D.

My address is 601 Van Ness Avenue, Opera Plaza

My occupation is Physician

My qualifications for office are: For the past thirteen years I have served on the Board of Education as an independent voice committed to the goal of educating all our children to their fullest potential. The current stress on teaching the basics must continue, combined with offerings of high technology and vocational courses designed to prepare our diverse student population for a changing future. I stand for an integrated educational program and welcome parents and the public to assist me in this challenge.

Eugene S. Hopp, M.D.

The sponsors for Dr. Eugene S. Hopp are:

Molly M. Hopp, 601 Van Ness Ave., Telecommunications Executive
Dianne Feinstein, 3020 Lyon St., Mayor
Joseph L. Alioto, 2510 Pacific Ave., Attorney
Bill Maher, 69 Elsie St., Supervisor
Rosario Anaya, 240 Dolores St., Member, Board of Education
Agripino R. Cerbatos, 471 Hoffman Ave., Commissioner, Board of Education
Sodonia M. Wilson, 540 Darien Way, Vice President, Board of Education
Dr. David J. Sanchez, Jr, 433 Bartlett St., President, Police Commission
Burl A. Toler, 581 Orizaba Ave., Police Commissioner
Alfred J. Nelder, 150 Casitas Ave., Police Commissioner
Alan S. Wong 1280 Ellis St., Commissioner, Community College
Julie Tang, 788-18th Ave., Board Member S F Community College
John F. Crowley, 87 Los Palms Dr., Labor Official
Morris Bernstein, 1740 Broadway St., Investor
H. Welton Flynn, 76 Venus St., Public Accountant
Amos J. Castro, 1401 California St., Physician
Henry Der, 439-45th Ave., Civil Rights Administrator
Harry Polland, 637 Powell St., Economist
Saul Madfes, 75 Country Club Dr., Retired, School Admin.
Jo Birnbaum, 1750 Taylor St., Housewife
Anita H. Sanchez, 44 Restani Way, Social Worker
Chadwick C. Ertola, 660 Greenwich St., Attonrey
Naomi Gray, 1291 Stanyan St., Businesswoman
Dorothy M. Casper, 475 Chestnut St., Property Management
Raye G. Richardson, 1714 Fillmore St., Assoc. Professor
Max L. Christensen, 2 Heather Ave., Clergyman
Larry Mazzola, 3060-24th Ave, Asst Business Mgr.
Leo J. Murphy, Sr., 61 Annapolis Ter., Real Estate Broker
Lane E. De Lara, 2 Lenox Way, Educational Consultant
Gregory C. Lintner, 2660 Webster St., Realtor

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy.

Candidates for School Board

TERRY HUGUNIN

My address is 1824 Noriega Street

My occupation is Consultant

My age is 37

My qualifications for office are: Those of us who were teenagers during President Kennedy's Appolo Space Program thought there was nothing we and our nation might not accomplish: "We're going to the moon in ten years!" Thanks to outfits like the National Education Association, the science oriented New Frontiers of the 60's have given way to the "other-directedness" of the Aquarian Age. Their goal: form a society of androgynous pacifists—study their literature. Mine is to restore the problem-solving orientation appropriate to Judeo-Christian culture. Help me mobilize our schools to implement the Strategic Defense Initiative and overcome the nuclear war threat.

Terry Hugunin

JAMES LEGARE

My address is 254 Oak Street

My occupation is Motor Truck Operator

My age is 57

My qualifications for office are: I am committed to installing a sound educational program in our schools as the basis for getting our country and economy moving again—in the American tradition. We need to develop young minds through a program of classical education and to protect them by wiping out drugs in the schools and in the nation.

I propose to reform the school system along these lines:

- 1) Classical geometry and physics, as typified by Plato, Archimedes, Cusa Kepler, and Leibniz
- 2) Classical poetry, music, and drama, exemplified by Homer, Aeschylus, Dantè, Shakespeare, Schiller, Bach, and Beethoven

James Legare

The sponsors for Terry Hugunin are:

Carlos Altamirano, 750 Sweeny St., Stock Worker
Enrique Altamirano, 750 Sweeny St., Retired Carpenter
Graciela Altamirano, 750 Sweeny St., Student
Leticia Altamirano, 750 Sweeny St., College Student
Philip A. Belich, 3256 Folsom St., Millman
Howard M. Coelman, 537 Belvedere St., Fortlift Operator
Michael Fraijo, 1824 Noriega St., Student
James K. Graham, 474 Hearst Ave., Retired
Elnora Hardy, 143 Farallones St., Housewife
Andrea K. Ingraham, 1637 Noriega St., Educator
Ruby T. Jimenez, 961 Delano Ave., Directory Sales Representative
David L. Kilber, 1901 Noriega St., Management Consultant
Susan E. Kilber, 1901 Noriega St., Musician
Andrew A. Klein, 1709-16th Ave., Consultant
James Legare, 254 Oak St., Motor Truck Operator
Micaela M. Lezcano, 376 Capistrano Ave., Housewife
CleEsther Miller, 52 Ralston St., Foster Mother
Marcia K. Patch, 1302 Taylor St., Administrative Officer
Lidia G. Portillo, 115 Blanken Ave., Retired
David Shayman, 1644-14th Ave., Pharmacist
Conner Soules, 1645 Noriega St., Sales
Michael Staninec, 2364-32nd Ave., Dentist
John Vozaitis, 1330 Ninth Ave., Restaurant Owner

The sponsors for James Legare are:

Carlos Altamirano, 750 Sweeny St., Stock Worker
Enrique Altamirano, 750 Sweeny St., Retired Carpenter
Graciela Altamirano, 750 Sweeny St., Student
Leticia Altamirano, 750 Sweeny St., College Student
Philip A. Belich, 3256 Folsom St., Millman
Howard M. Coelman, 537 Belvedere St., Fortlift Operator
Michael Fraijo, 1824 Noriega St., Student
James K. Graham, 474 Hearst Ave., Retired
Elnora Hardy, 143 Farallones St., Housewife
Andrea K. Ingraham, 1637 Noriega St., Educator
Ruby T. Jimenez, 961 Delano Ave., Directory Sales Representative
Susan E. Kilber, 1901 Noriega St., Musician
Andrew A. Klein, 1709-16th Ave., Consultant
Micaela M. Lezcano, 376 Capistrano Ave., Housewife
CleEsther Miller, 52 Ralston St., Foster Mother
Nick Pace, 130 Campbell Ave., Railroad Employee
Sandra Parks, 16 Garces St., Railroad Employee
Marcia K. Patch, 1302 Taylor St., Administrative Officer
Lidia G. Portillo, 115 Blanken Ave., Retired
David Shayman, 1644-14th Ave., Pharmacist
Conner Soules, 1645 Noriega St., Sales
Michael Staninec, 2364-32nd Ave., Dentist
Nicholas E. Vallejo, 875 Cayuga Ave., Electrician
John Vozaitis, 1330 Ninth Ave., Restaurant Owner

Candidates for School Board

JO ANNE MILLER

My address is 1920 Quint Street

My occupation is Parent and Teacher

My qualifications for office are: I am the mother of two children in San Francisco Public Schools. I believe in the Public School System, and have a personal interest in its quality and effectiveness. I am a teacher of the blind. I am President of the Glen Park School Site Advisory Committee, and hold other elected civic offices.

The lack of parent representation on the School Board has contributed to a lack of parent and citizen involvement, a lack of long-term planning and vision in school administration, and a failure to commit the School District to a plan and goal of excellence for all children.

JoAnne Miller

BEN TOM

My address is 1717 Jones Street

My occupation is Transportation Analyst

My qualifications for office are: I believe I have provided outstanding leadership during my eight years on the San Francisco Board of Education. This is evidenced by the fact that test scores have improved and new programs have attracted students back from private schools.

As an active parent, I know San Francisco's schools first-hand. I have worked conscientiously for our children's future. I have the vision and I have gained the practical skills necessary to continue building our school district into one of the best large urban districts in the nation.

Benjamin Tom

The sponsors for JoAnne Miller are:

Jule C. Anderson, 575-9th Ave., Education Specilaist
Jeff Brown, 850-40th Ave., Public Defender, City and County of San Francisco
Sala Burton, 8 Sloat Blvd., Member of Congress
Angel D. Contreras, 1461 Alabama St., Administrator of Headstart
Catherine J. Dodd, 61 Dening St., President, San Francisco NOW
Linda Fries, 830-35th Ave., Community Organizer
Bob Geary, 2578 Great Highway, San Francisco Police Officer
Louise Harvey, 673 Fulton St., Educational Consultant
Marilee Hearn, 3030 Turk Blvd., Teacher
Michael Hennessey, 261 Anderson St., Sheriff of San Francisco
Ron Huberman, 81 Walter St., District Attorney Investigator
Daro Inouye, 251 Gates St., Attorney
Cleve Jones, 3863-18th St., Legislative Assistant
LeRoy King, 75 Zampa Ln., Reg. Dir., ILWU
Myra G. Kopf, 1940-12th Ave., School Board Member
Jean E. Kortum, 80 Merced Ave., Environmentalist
Ruth Asawa Lanier, 1116 Castro St., Sculptor
Bill Maher, 69 Elsie St., Member of Board of Supervisors
Peter Mezey, 3382 Clay St., Business Executive
Hugh B. Miller, 355 Roosevelt Way, Attorney
John L. Molinari, 30-16th Ave., Member, Board of Supervisors
Constance O'Connor, 30 Chicago Way, Deputy Sheriff
James E. O'Connor, 72 Merced Ave., Taxi Cab Owner
Shirley Jones Rhodes, 958 Ingerson Ave., Executive Director, CAHEED, Inc.
Jerry Ringerman, 485 Crestmont Dr., Executive Director, San Francisco Jewish Community Center
Thelma Shelley, 70 Everson St., Performing Arts Administrator
A. John Shimon, 19 Middlefield Dr., SF Area Director, SBE
Doris M. Ward, 440 Davis Ct., Member, Board of Supervisors
George Wong, 120 Ellis St., President, AAFUM

The sponsors for Ben Tom are:

Dianne Feinstein, 2030 Lyon St., Mayor
Wendy Nelder, 150 Casitas Ave., Supervisor
Harry G. Britt, 783 Guerrero St., Supervisor
Carol Ruth Silver, 68 Ramona St., Supervisor, San Francisco
John L. Molinari, 30-16th Ave., Member, Board of Supervisors
Morris Bernstein, 1740 Broadway, Investor, Airport Commission
William K. Coblentz, 10-5th Ave., Attorney
Thomas Hsieh, 4 Cortes St., Police Commissioner
Ernest C. Ayala, 4402-20th St., Youth Director, CYO
Jerry E. Berg, 55 Twin Peaks Blvd., Attorney/Commissioner
Jess T. Esteve, 5285 Diamond Heights Blvd., Travel Agent
Ben L. Hom, 1 Villa Ter., Banker, Executive
Alan S. Wong, 1280 Ellis St., Social Worker, Community College Board Member
Will Leong, 1467-12th Ave., Executive Director, Pre-Trial Diversion Project
Willie B. Kennedy, 950 Duncan St., Supervisor, City and County
Sala Burton, 8 Sloat Blvd., Member of Congress
Bill Maher, 69 Elsie St., Supervisor
Susan J. Bierman, 1529 Shrader St., Planning Commissioner
Myra G. Kopf, 1940-12th Ave., Commissioner, Board of Education
Sodonia M. Wilson, 540 Darien Way, School Board Member
Amos C. Brown, 111 Lunado way, Minister
Timothy R. Wolfred, 91 Sanchez St., President, College Board
Rosario Anaya, 240 Dolores St., Board Member School Administrator
Arlo Smith, 66 San Fernando Way, District Attorney
Sal Rosselli, 349 Lexington St., Labor Business Representative
Jeff Brown, 850-40th Ave., Public Defender, City and County of San Francisco
Richard D. Hongisto, 114 Broderick St., Supervisor
Julie Tang, 788-18th Ave., Board Member, SF Community College
Michael Hennessey, 261 Anderson St., Sheriff of San Francisco
Art Agnos, 637 Connecticut St., Assemblyman, 16th Disrict

Candidates for College Board

ERNEST "CHUCK" AYALA

My address is 4402-20th Street

My occupation is Youth Director, CYO-Urban Development Division

My qualifications for office are: Native San Franciscan, South of Market neighborhood. Attended public and parochial schools, including City and Lone Mountain Colleges. My involvement in community development and public service is with youth in Education, Employment and Delinquency Prevention, in addition to developing and administering Senior Citizens and Veterans Programs. Business management background in labor, insurance and real estate provides me with a balanced approach to fiscal and administrative objectives of the Community College District. My continued goal for the Community College District is to retain its open door policy and remain responsive to the educational and vocational needs of the people.

Ernest Chuck Ayala

The sponsors for Ernest "Chuck" Ayala are:

Reginald Y. Alexander, 182 Middlefield Dr., Vice Chancellor, Certificated

Bernice E. Ayala, 4402 20th St., Homemaker

Louis F. Batmale, 444 Yerba Buena Ave., Retired Chancellor S.F. Community College District

Robert E. Burton, 8 Sloat Blvd., Member, S.F. Community College Board

Vincent J. Callan, 4038 19th St., Retired

Manuel Ceballos, 2872 25th St., Beer Wholesaler

Tina Burgess Coan, 59 Chabot St., House Wife

Marjorie M. Colvin, 1835 Franklin St. #1403, Housewife

Peter J. Fatooh, 30 Grand View Ave., Businessman

William L. Fazio, 110 Inverness Dr., Asst District Attorney

Herman Gallegos, 149 Ripley St., Management Consultant

Al Graf, 859 Bryant St., Businessman

Thomas F. Hayes, 120 Stonecrest Dr., Contractor

Marcel Kapulica, 2470 22nd Ave., Dental Laboratory-Owner

Louis E. Lager, 4001 21st St., District Manager, Packing Co.

Dorothy J. Lubetich, 15 Florentine St., Retired

Ernestine A. McGoldrick, 4442 20th St., School Clerk/Housewife

Charles W. Meyers, Sr., 1789 Eucalyptus St., State Assemblyman-Retired

Louise H. Renne, 3725 Jackson St., Member, Board of Supervisors

John Riordan, 1426 Willard St., Vice President, S.F. Community College Board

Susan Ann Roualdes, 340-A Taraval St., Florist

Dr. David J. Sanchez, Jr., 433 Bartlett St., President, S.F. Police Commission

Bob (Robert) Schmidt, 4048 21st St., Librarian

Thomatra N. Scott, 1912-1/2 Broderick St., Youth Program Co-Ordinator

Burl A. Toler, 581 Orizaba St., Educator/Police Commissioner

Ben Tom, 1717 Jones St., Commissioner, Board of Education

Yori Wada, 565 4th Ave, U.C. Regent

Bernard J. Ward, 3300 Kirkham St., Atty at law

Bill Zorzakis, 545 Castro St., Shoe Repairer

AMOS CLEOPHILUS BROWN

My address is 111 Lunado Way

My occupation is Clergyman

My age is 43

My qualifications for office are: I hold the B.A., M.Div. and D.D. degrees. 16 years of public service on boards of education throughout the U.S. more than qualifies me for the position.

My services on the Board of Governors since 1982 reflect that I am competent and able to work with a team of governors to ensure the delivery of a quality education system for all persons in our community who desire training for marketable skills. Community College also must serve the needs of those who for various reasons have not had the opportunity of going to other institutions of higher learning for training.

Amos C. Brown

The sponsors for Amos C. Brown are:

Ernest C. Ayala, 4402 20th St., Member, Community College Board

Robert E. Burton, 8 Sloat Blvd., Member, Community College Board

Priscilla A. Dennard, 210 Broad St., Secretary

James Gordon Emerson, Jr., 175 Beaumont St., Clergyman

Mayor Dianne Feinstein, 2030 Lyon St., Mayor

H. Welton Flynn, 76 Venus St., Public Accountant

Dr. Howard S. Gloyd, 555 Noriega St., Minister

Zelma R. Harrison, 1957 Hayes St., Bookkeeper

Richard D. Hongisto, 114 Broderick St., Member, Board of Supervisors

Grandvel A. Jackson, 257 Kensington Way, Retired

Clifton R. Jeffers, 1883 14th Ave., Attorney-at-Law

Willie B. Kennedy, 950 Duncan St., Member, Board of Supervisors

Supervisors

Quentin L. Kopp, 68 Country Club Dr., Attorney/Supervisor

John L. Molinari, 30 16th Ave., Member, Board of Supervisors

Jeffrey Ken Mori, 360 Precita Ave., Executive Director, Japanese Community Youth Council

Lauraret Newkirk, 554 Brussels St., System Support Librarian

Louise H. Renne, 3725 Jackson St., Member, Board of Supervisors

Supervisors

John Riordan, 1426 Willard St., Vice President, S.F. Community College Board

College Board

Naomi Shines, 756 Page St., Fiscal Clerk

Rabbi Malcolm M. Sparer, 1059 Clement St., Rabbi

Julie Tang, 788 18th Ave., College Board Member

Ben Tom, 1717 Jones St., President, Board of Education

Nancy G. Walker, 355 Green St., Member, Board of Supervisors

Doris M. Ward, 440 Davis Ct., Member, Board of Supervisors

A. Cecil Williams, 60 Hiliritas St., Minister

Hannibal A. Williams, 1249 Scott St., Clergyman

Tomothy R. Wolfred, 91 Sanchez. President, College Board

Alan S. Wong, 1280 Ellis St., Social Worker

Candidates for College Board

PATRICK C. FITZGERALD

My address is 128 Detroit Street

My occupation is San Francisco County Central Committeeman, 16th Assembly District.

My age is 49

My qualifications for office are: • Am married, father of three children and a homeowner

- Graduated: St. Ignatius College Prep. and City College of San Francisco
- Elected San Francisco County Central Committeeman (since 1970)
- California State Central Committeeman (since 1978)
- Attended San Francisco 1984 National Presidential Convention for Mondale-Ferrero
- Served on San Francisco City Government CATV Task Force Educational Access Committee
- Instrumental in adoption of San Francisco City College Labor Studies Program
- Great Nephew of former San Francisco County Supervisor Tom O'Dowd
- Past Secretary San Francisco County Central Committee
- Strong opponent 1983 Feinstein Recall
- Successfully fought peripheral canal
- Support Propositions: 33 (disabled homeowners) and 40 (political campaign reform).

Patrick C. Fitzgerald

The sponsors for Patrick C. Fitzgerald are:

Terry A. Francois, 20 Taraval St., Attorney
Lee S. Dolson, 1755 Beach St., College Professor
Stanley M. Smith, 15 Hearst Ave., Labor Union Official
John J. Moylan, 2985-24th Ave., Labor Leader
Terence Faulkner, 2371-42nd Ave., Former City Commissioner
Marion L. Francois, 20 Taraval St., Social Worker
Patricia K. Mooser, 1762-17th Ave., Tax Consultant/Bookkeeper
Josephine Silvestri, 3090-23rd Ave., State Central Committeewoman
Clara Stein, 2330 Sloat Blvd., Cashier
Brian J. Kavanagh, 165-7th Ave., Real Estate Broker
Sue T. Faulkner, 2371-42nd Ave., State Central Committeewoman
Mildred K. Bird, 1762-17th Ave., Retired
Mary Ann Cowen, 134 Detroit St., Secretary
Charles T. Faulkner, 2371-42nd Ave., Retired
Emily H. Shimon, 19 Middlefield St., Housewife
Thomas P. Faulkner, 2371-42nd Ave., Displayman
Esther F. Zlott, 75 Middlefield St., Retired
Peter B. Paragas, 1923 San Jose Ave., Engineering Graduate
Robert Silvestri, 3090-23rd Ave., State Assembly Nominee
Adriano Biagiotti, 131 Detroit St., Retired
A. Lee Smith, 66 San Fernando Way, City College Graduate
Kenneth J. Lukas, 215 Detroit St., Carpenter
Lloyd A. Quain, 96 Staples St., Retired
Louise E. Quain, 96 Staples St., Retired
William T. Bray, 207 San Juan Ave., Gateman
Mary B. Kavanagh, 165-7th Ave., Homemaker
Lisa L. Klobucar, 135 San Felipe Way, County Central Committeewoman
Suzanne Fitzgerald, 128 Detroit St., Housewife
Jim Reilly, 2058 Jefferson St., Attorney
Arlo H. Smith, 66 San Fernando Way, Attorney

DEAN GOODMAN

My address is 825 Geary Street #703

My occupation is Retired Teacher/Actor

My age is 64

My qualifications for office are: Thirty years of teaching, an educator's concern for quality instruction, and a citizen's awareness of the need for budget control. As the first fulltime president of the Community College Federation of Teachers, Local 2121 and as a former staff member of the District I know well the policies and procedures which form the structure of the Community College and the College Centers.

If elected, I will insist on fair hiring practices, and as a member of that most diverse of all groups, our Senior Citizens, I will support college services for all adults regardless of individual differences.

Dean Goodman

The sponsors for Dean Goodman are:

Priscilla Alden, 1677 Bush St., #8, Actress
Ugo Baldassari, 988 Dolores St., Theatre Director
James E. Ballard, 2 Arbor St., Teacher
Sheldon I. Balman, 5 Perego Ter., Attorney
Laurent R. Broussal, 855 Quintara St., Administrator, Community College
Kimo Cochran, 1330 Bush St., Bar Owner
Donald G. Climent, 117 Ord St., Refugee Resettlement Counselor
Lauri Fried-Lee, 435 19th Ave., Community College Instructor
William Gundel, 1415 Franklin St., Credit Adjuster
Frances T. Hughes, 2649 Polk St., Legal Secretary
Donna Ilyin, 76 6th Ave., Counselor
Lynda Bergren Kalb, 24 Magnolia St., Singer/Actress
Michael J. Mandel, 12 Marne St., Attorney
Donnald J. Miller, 26 Leo St., Furniture Manufacturer
Jay Moran, 1667 Page St., Business Representative
Allen Nomura, 177 Bocana St., Theatrical Photographer
Judy Winn-Bell Olsen, 1282 29th Ave., Teacher/Author/Editor
Roger E. W-B Olsen, 1282 29th Ave., Publisher
Frank Reilly, 3415 Divisadero St., Advertising/Actor
James J. Reilly, 2058 Jefferson St., Attorney
Rev. James E. Sandmire, 432 Buchanan St., Minister
Eve Stoddard, 180 Diamond St., Probate Administration
Daniel A. Sullivan, 1590 Sacramento St., Attorney
Doug Trantham, 701 Taylor St., Pianist
Sigrid Wurschmidt, 1142 DeHaro St., Actress
Samuel L. Zanze, 2581 25th Ave., Businessman

Candidates for College Board

ANDRE F. PEHARGOU

My address is 3840 Fulton Street

My occupation is Civil Servant

My qualifications for office are: I have been a resident of San Francisco for 34 years. I am a graduate of California State University San Francisco; San Francisco City College, and Lowell High School.

Most taxpayers are not benefitting from the Community College System due to lack of information and lack of convenient locations.

As a Board member, I will advocate a strong academic curriculum; More neighborhood locations to facilitate Senior citizens and working taxpayers; Better utilization of present facilities, and a decrease in tuition fees for City residents.

If you want expanded services to help benefit you, give me your support on election day.

Andre F. Pehargou

The sponsors for Adre F. Pehargou are:

William Angelopoulos,, 59 Prague St., Employed
Renee Boulay, 605 Arguello Blvd., Retired
Josephine Bucchiotti, 353 25th Ave., Retired
Andre Carrey, 487 2nd Ave., Retired
James Edward Coleman, 620 Banks St., Supervisor
Alice Crovere, 1827 Balboa St., Part Time Office Worker
Robert S. Flahavan, 151 Buchanan St., Operations Officer, S. F. Airport
Emma Garrigues, 2702 Anza St., Retired
Diana Hantman, 361 25th Ave., Teacher
Rose Hantman, 720 La Playa St., #307, Retired
Paulette Henderson, 798 Naples St., Retired
Paul Keplinger, 3832 Fulton St., Concierge
Anna Konor, 3315 Irving St., Retired
James Courtney Kovach, 1282 43rd Ave., Lithographer
Eva Lim, 3832 Fulton St., Asst. Paymistress
D. Martin, 87 Seward St., Supervisor

JULIE TANG

My address is 788-18th Avenue

My occupation is Incumbent

My age is 34

My qualifications for office are: Bachelor's degree in Psychology from the University of San Francisco; Master's in Education from Stanford University; Juris Doctorate from Hastings College of Law; a wife, mother, former teacher and counselor; and presently, Assistant District Attorney in San Francisco.

I have served diligently, always conscious of my responsibility to all the communities of San Francisco who gave me the highest number of votes four years ago. I strive to ensure that the Community College will continue providing quality education to all students, even during financial crises, without sacrificing student or faculty needs. As the only woman board member, I actively support women's issues.

Julie Tang

The sponsors for Julie Tang are:

Sala Burton, 8 Sloat Blvd., Congresswoman
John Yehall Chinn, 3146 Lyon St., School Principal
Art Agnos, 637 Connecticut St., Assemblyman
Harry G. Britt, 783-A Guerrero St., Member Board of Supervisors
Doris M. Ward, 440 Davis Ct., Member Board of Supervisors
Yori Wada, 565-4th Ave., Univ of Calif Regent
John L. Molinari, 30-16th Ave., Member Board of Supervisors
Rosario Anaya, 240 Dolores St., Commissioner, Board of Education/School Administrator
Arlo E. Smith, 66 San Fernando Way, District Attorney of San Francisco
Constance O'Connor, 30 Chicago Way, Lieutenant SF Sheriff's Dept.
Amos C. Brown, 111 Lunado Way, Minister
Timothy R. Wolfred, 91 Sanchez St., President, Community College Board
Robert E. Burton, 8 Sloat Blvd., Member SF Community College Board
Madeleine H. Russell, 3778 Washington St., Investments
Susan J. Bierman, 1529 Shrader St., Planning Commissioner
Agripino R. Cerbatos, 471 Hoffman Ave., Electrical Engineer
Michael Hennessey, 261 Anderson St., Sheriff of San Francisco
Anne Belisle Daley, 795 Geary Blvd., Executive Director
Louise H. Renne, 3725 Jackson St., Member SF Board of Supervisors
Jeff Brown, 850-40th Ave., Public Defender
Linda A. Post, 1846-15th St., Community Activist
Phyllis Lyon, 651 Duncan St., Author/Educator
Carol Ruth Silver, 68 Ramona St., Incumbent SF Supervisor
Bette W. Landis, 44 Entrada Ct., Volunteer
Ron Huberman, 81 Walter St., District Attorney's Investigator
Jeffrey K. Mori, 360 Precita Ave., Executive Director

Candidates for College Board

MOY VELASQUEZ

My address is 910 Shotwell Street

My occupation is Educator

My qualifications for office are: Bachelor of Science in Education, Master of Arts in Education, Master of Arts in Educational Administration, Doctor of Education candidate, Community College Administrator/Organizer/Worker, Graduate School Professor, National Scholar.

Experiences: Teacher in pre-school and elementary grades, high school instructor, college professor in state education universities and private colleges, community worker/organizer.

I believe that excellence in education in community colleges could be attained through the united effort of the faculty and administration whose main responsibility is superior teaching for professional and occupational goals. Knowledge is constantly changing and challenging; therefore community colleges must work for quality education for all.

Moy Velasquez

The sponsors for Moy Velasquez are:

Lilian Aldea, 231 Granada Ave., Teacher
Concepcion G. Busto, 49 Capp St., Teacher
Melandro Busto, 49 Capp St., Student
Victoriano G. Busto, 49 Capp St., Mechanic
Stasia Cagara, 1207 South Van Ness Ave., Sales
Rosita T. Castaneda, 18 Guy Pl., Teacher's Aide
Encarnacion P. Cespedes, 931 Prague St., Teacher
Cheryl R. Conrad, 1207 South Van Ness Ave., Student
Theresa N. Fabro, 4186 Mission St., School Lunchroom Manager
Kenneth A. Guerra, 1219 South Van Ness Ave., Food Technician
Odelia Jones, 1775 Newcomb Ave., Housekeeper
Felisa P. Misenas, 266 Brighton Ave., School Lunch Helper
Basil C. Nickerson, 336-6th St., Self Employed
Willie B. Nicholas, 1231-5th Ave., Custodian
Jack Lee Ponewash, 1219 South Van Ness Ave., Food Production Manager
Margaret M. Powers, 1207 South Van Ness Ave., Customer Service
Clarice D. Robinson, 2445 Harrison St., Teacher's Aide
Encarnacion A. Ramos, 2700 Folsom St., Room Cleaner
Murdie Washington, 195 Highland St., Monitor
David A. Woodard, 397 Green St., Chef

DR. TIM WOLFRED

My address is 91 Sanchez Street

My occupation is Incumbent, President, San Francisco Community College Board

My qualifications for office are: As an incumbent, I am proud of a College Board that, with less dollars than it had four years ago, has kept all programs operating and has expanded services to San Franciscans most dependent on a healthy community college system for access to job skills training and a basic college education. Neighborhood college centers have moved into larger, renovated facilities. Vocational offerings in fields like computer technologies, nursing, and auto mechanics have been updated. Classes in language skills have been strengthened in immigrant communities. We are a Board dedicated to quality education open to all San Franciscans.

Dr. Tim Wolfred

The sponsors for Dr. Tim Wolfred are:



Sala Burton, 8 Sloat Blvd., Congresswoman
Willie Brown, 1200 Gough St., Assembly Member
Art Agnos, 637 Connecticut St., Assembly Member
John L. Molinari, 30-16th Ave., Member, Board of Supervisors
Michael Hennessey, 261 Anderson St., Sheriff, City and County of San Francisco
Doris Ward, 440 Davis Ct., Member, Board of Supervisors
Louise Renne, 3725 Jackson St., Member, Board of Supervisors
John L. Molinari, 30-16th Ave., Member, Board of Supervisors
Bill Maher, 69 Elsie St., Member, Board of Supervisors
Willie B. Kennedy, 950 Duncan St., Member, Board of Supervisors
Harry G. Britt, 783 Guerrero St., Member, Board of Supervisors
Alan Wong, 1280 Ellis St., Member, Community College Board
Julie Tang, 788-18th Ave., Member, Community College Board
John Riordan, 1426 Willard St., Member, Community College Board
Ernest Ayala, 4402-20th St., Member, Community College Board
Rosario Anaya, 240 Dolores St., School Board Member
Sodonia Wilson, 540 Darien Way, School Board Member
Ben Tom, 1717 Jones St., School Board Member
Libby Denebeim, 200 St. Francis Blvd., School Board Member
Agrisino R. Cerbatos, 471 Hoffman Ave, School Board Member
Carlota T. del Portillo, 84 Berkeley Way, Civil Service Commissioner
Yori Wada, 565-4th Ave., University of California Regent
Harold Yee, 1280 Ellis St., Economist
A. Cecil Williams, 60 Hiliritas St., Pastor, Glide Church
Bob Ross, 4200-20th St., Publisher
Sandy Mori, 360 Precita Ave., Administrator
Thomas Peretti, 3787-16th St., Banker
Paul Boneberg, 647 Castro St., House Painter
Sal Rosselli, 349 Lexington St., Union Official
Carole Migden, 563-28th St., Executive Director, Operation Concern

A

Port Revenue Bonds

PROPOSITION A

Shall the Port Commission be authorized to issue \$42,500,000 in revenue bonds to finance construction and improvements of Port facilities?

YES 253 
NO 254 

Analysis

by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The Port Commission operates the Port of San Francisco. When funds are needed for Port construction or improvements, the Commission may issue revenue bonds to raise funds. A majority of the voters must approve these bonds before they can be issued. The revenue bonds are then paid from Port revenues.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition A would allow the Port Commission to issue up to \$42,500,000 in revenue bonds to finance several improvements of Port property. These improvements include modernizing container

handling facilities, upgrading various piers, constructing a breakwater at Fisherman's Wharf, and renovating certain other Port structures and property. The bonds would then be paid off from Port revenues.

A YES VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want the Port Commission to issue up to \$42,500,000 in revenue bonds to finance improvements of Port property.

A NO VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want the Port Commission to issue revenue bonds for this purpose.

Controller's Statement on "A"

City Controller John C. Farrell has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition A:

"Should the proposed bond issue be authorized and when all bonds shall have been issued on a twenty-five year basis, and after consideration of the interest rates related to current municipal bond sales, in my opinion, it is estimated that approximate costs would be as follows:

Bond redemption	\$ 42,500,000
Bond interest	<u>81,761,400</u>
Total debt service requirement	<u>\$124,261,400</u>

"Based on a three year construction program and a bond redemption schedule beginning four years after the sale of each issue, the estimated amount required to pay the interest thereon and the redemption thereof, would range from approximately \$4,462,000 to a maximum of \$5,760,000 annually for twenty-seven years.

"In my opinion, the servicing of the proposed bonded debt will be paid from Port revenues and will not affect the tax rate of the City and County of San Francisco,

provided that there are sufficient revenues from Port sources to cover operating and all debt service costs of the Port. Analysis of projected resources available to the Port indicates that, in my judgment, sufficient revenues will be available to provide debt service costs in future years."

How Supervisors Voted on "A"

On July 30 the Board of Supervisors voted 7-1 on a motion placing Proposition A on the ballot.

The Supervisors voted as follows:

YES: Supervisors Harry Britt, Richard Hongisto, Bill Maher, John Molinari, Carol Ruth Silver, Nancy Walker and Doris Ward.

NO: Supervisor Quentin Kopp.

**TEXT OF PROPOSITION A
APPEARS ON PAGE 51.**

Port Revenue Bonds



ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

The revitalization of the Port of San Francisco will *bring new jobs* and new tax revenue to the City. A healthy port, with increased ship traffic, will bring millions each year to the San Francisco economy.

By delaying modernizations in the past, the Port of San Francisco lost the bulk of its maritime business to other Bay Area and West Coast cities. *The same mistakes must not be made twice.* We must allow the Port to use revenues to finance continued improvements.

Proposition A does not authorize any new taxes. Proposition A simply authorizes the Port to use revenues to finance improvements and expansion.

Proposition A will allow for the modernization of existing container cargo terminals on the southern waterfront. These improved facilities will retain current business and will bring new lines to San Francisco. The return of the shipping business will bring back long lost blue

collar jobs to the waterfront as well as white collar jobs.

In addition, Proposition A will provide for expansion of the passenger facility, a new breakwater to protect the commercial fishing fleet, and improved rail access to the port. In all, Proposition A will upgrade and modernize San Francisco Port facilities so as to make them competitive with other ports across the Bay and throughout the West Coast.

The complete modernization effort will be done at *no cost to the taxpayers.* Instead, the Port of San Francisco's income will be used to finance long-term revenue bonds.

The people of San Francisco deserve a first-rate port. We urge all San Franciscans to vote YES on Proposition A.

Submitted by Board of Supervisors

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

Vote YES on Proposition A.

As Mayor, I have worked hard to restore our port to its historic pre-eminence as a major gateway to the Far East.

Once again, major shipping lines are docking in San Francisco. Just recently, two of the largest container vessel operators, China Ocean Shipping Corporation and Columbus Lines, signed agreements to use this port.

Not only modern cargo carriers, but passenger liners as well are being drawn to San Francisco in a remarkable renaissance of maritime commerce.

But if there is to be a lasting renewal of our waterfront, the port must be competitive with other port cities anxiously contending for the burgeoning Pacific trade. The port, if it is to prosper, must modernize and enlarge its basic maritime facilities.

That's the purpose of Proposition A.

Proposition A will make the Port of San Francisco truly and vigorously competitive. And it will not cost the taxpayers a penny.

Proposition A will authorize \$42.5 million in revenue bonds for vital waterfront projects, including the conver-

sion of Pier 80 into a modern, state-of-the-art container facility and the enlargement and modernization of cargo operations at Piers 94 and 96.

Additionally, Proposition A will provide funds for repairing the now deteriorating Jefferson Street Seawall and will match Federal dollars for construction of a much-needed breakwater to protect the City's fishing fleet at Fisherman's Wharf.

Proposition A also will finance expansion of the passenger terminal at Pier 35.

Proposition A is a prudent investment in the City's future. And these bonds are payable only from port revenues—your taxes will not be increased.

More ships, with more cargo and more passengers, mean more jobs and greater economic vitality for our city.

Proposition A will make our port, once again, a strong and lasting competitor for the commerce of the Pacific Basin. **Vote YES on Proposition A.**

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco needs a good, working port. Vote yes on Proposition A and help bring it about.

Supervisor *Richard Hongisto*

A

Port Revenue Bonds

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

Citizens of San Francisco are worried about the deterioration of the port. Most of us can remember when the port was a thriving, bustling commercial enterprise, and the docks were maintained, clean, efficient, and busy.

But, the port has not adapted to container cargo, and may be losing the few shipping lines that it now serves.

We *must* restructure the docks for active container shipping, and bring in railway lines to service the docks.

We have one of the few deep water ports in America, an essential for modern shipping. **LET'S DO SOMETHING ABOUT BEING ONE OF THE BEST PORTS IN THE WEST AGAIN!**

VOTE YES ON PROP A!

Wendy Nelder

President of the Board of Supervisors

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

A *YES* vote on *Proposition A* will permit the Port of San Francisco to issue bonds to finance needed construction and improvements. This would give the Port the same financing ability the Airport now has.

Through the issuance of revenue bonds, the Port would be able to undertake necessary improvements **AT NO COST TO TAXPAYERS.**

San Francisco deserves a modern, thriving port. *Proposition A* will help us build modern terminals, a breakwater at Fisherman's Wharf which will help keep com-

mercial fishermen in San Francisco, repair the seawall at Jefferson Street and expand our Passenger Terminal to handle increased cruise business that brings millions of dollars into our local economy.

Proposition A will give the Port of San Francisco the ability to make these kinds of improvements. Please join me in voting *YES*.

Sala Burton,

Member of Congress

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

Proposition A offers San Franciscans the opportunity to direct the future of the Port of San Francisco.

This measure will provide our Port with the key to the future.

We must keep pace with new developments, increase cargo and create new jobs. For every fifty new containers we move, 1.67 new jobs will be created.

This measure also includes funding for construction of

the Fisherman's Wharf Breakwater, which is critically needed and long overdue.

Take part in the future of our Port. Join with me in voting *YES* on *Proposition A*.

Milton Marks,

State Senator

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco has always been a waterfront city. We have a superb natural harbor, which is also one of the few really deep water harbors—vital for modern deep water cargo ships.

We have used our badly deteriorating and long unimproved pier facilities to their maximum efficiency. But . . . times are changing. We must move *NOW* to keep pace with new developments, or risk enormous losses in cargo shipping, commercial fishing, and tourist trade.

It is **ESSENTIAL** that we adapt to container cargo that can be moved by train, truck, and ship.

Research has been done on what could give us the greatest increase in efficiency and profits for the very least amount of money. And the answer is: modernizing the South Terminal for adaptation to container cargo;

providing rail access to the docks; protecting the commercial fishing fleet with a breakwater; and expanding passenger-handling facilities for cruise passenger tourists.

Prop A will provide these improvements **RIGHT NOW.** And . . . **AT NO COST TO THE TAXPAYER!** **VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A.**

VOTE YES ON PROP A!

SAN FRANCISCO PORT COMMISSION

Arthur H. Coleman, President

James Rudden, Vice President

Gordon Lau, Member

Anne Halsted, Member

James Herman, Member

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.

**PRESIDENTIAL GENERAL ELECTION—NOVEMBER 6, 1984
TO FIND THE LOCATION OF YOUR POLLING PLACE:**

Please refer to the address label on the back cover of this voter pamphlet. For your future reference, please write the address here:

FILL OUT THE OTHER SIDE OF THIS CARD WITH YOUR CHOICES: IT WILL AID YOU IN VOTING. Write the names and numbers of your choices on this card and bring it with you into the voting booth. It will make voting easier for you and will reduce the time others have to wait.

In the case of propositions, circle the number corresponding to "yes" or "no." This number will appear on your ballot.

POLLS ARE OPEN FROM 7 A.M. TO 8 P.M.

Vote in the middle of the day, if possible.

(over)

VOTER SELECTION CARD

CANDIDATES	STATE PROPOSITIONS		
President		YES	NO
1. _____	25	184	185
U.S. Congress Representative	26	187	188
1. _____	27	190	191
State Senator (if applicable)	28	193	194
1. _____	29	196	197
State Assembly	30	199	200
1. _____	31	203	204
Board of Supervisors	32	206	207
1. _____	33	210	211
2. _____	34	214	215
3. _____	36	223	224
4. _____	37	228	229
5. _____	38	232	233
6. _____	39	237	238
School Board	40	242	243
1. _____	41	247	248
2. _____	CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITIONS		
3. _____		YES	NO
4. _____	A	253	254
Community College Board	B	256	257
1. _____	C	259	260
2. _____	D	261	262
3. _____	E	264	265
4. _____	F	267	268
BART Board (if applicable)	G	270	271
1. _____	H	274	275
	I	278	279
	J	283	284

**WRITE YOUR CHOICES ON THIS CARD AND TAKE IT INTO
THE VOTING BOOTH WITH YOU.**

APPLICATION FOR ABSENTEE VOTER'S BALLOT
APLICACION DE BALOTA DE VOTANTE AUSENTE

缺席選票申請表

Election Date _____

I hereby apply for an absent voter's ballot:

Por la presente, solicito una balota de votante ausente:

請寄一份缺席選票給本人，以參

加上述所示之選舉。

FOR REGISTRAR'S USE ONLY

Prec. No. _____

A.V. Prec. No. _____

Ballot Type _____

Affidavit No. _____

Signature and Registration
Verified as Correct:

Date

Deputy Registrar

PRINTED NAME - LETRAS DE IMPRENTA - 正楷書寫姓名

SIGNATURE - FIRMA - 申請人簽名

DATE - FECHA - 日期

RESIDENCE ADDRESS - RESIDENCIA DIRECCION - 住址

Please mail ballot to me at:

Por favor envíeme la balota
por correo:

Mailing address, if different from above
Dirección Postal (si es diferente)

地址 (如與住址不同)

請將選票寄給本人下址

TYPE OR PRINT CLEARLY OR YOUR REQUEST WILL NOT BE HONORED

PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER

I hereby apply for status as a Permanent Absentee Voter. In accordance with the requirements of Elections Code Section 1451, I claim the following as my reason for requesting Permanent Absentee Voter status:

Description of Handicap/Disability

Voter's Initials _____

VOTANTE AUSENTE PERMANENTE

Por la presente solicito clasificación como Votante Ausente Permanente. De conformidad con los requerimientos de la Sección 1451 del Código Electoral, presento la siguiente razón de mi solicitud para clasificación como Votante Ausente Permanente.

Descripción del
Impedimento/Incapacidad

Iniciales del Votante

永久缺席選民

本人在此於舊金山縣申請永久
缺席選民身份。根據選舉法典第
一四五一段的要求，我在下處說明我
申請成為永久缺席選民身份的原因。

說明殘障情形和性質

選民簽名

FROM:

DID YOU SIGN APPLICATION?
(¿FIRMO SU APLICACION?)

APPLICATION MUST BE RECEIVED IN REGISTRAR'S OFFICE BY 5:00 P.M.,
TUESDAY, OCTOBER 30, 1984
7 DAYS BEFORE ELECTION DAY.

申請缺席選票必須在選舉日七日之前
，即十月三十日星期二下午五時經由
選民註冊官辦事處收到此申請表格。

LA SOLICITUD DEBE RECIBIRSE EN LA OFICINA
DEL REGISTRAR ANTES DE LAS CINCO EN PUNTO
DE LA TARDE, MARTES, 30 DE OCTUBRE DE 1984,
EL SEPTIMO DIA ANTERIOR AL DIA DE LA
ELECCION.



Place
Postage
Here

20¢
Coloque la
estampilla
aquí

JAY PATTERSON
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
155 CITY HALL
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-4691



Port Revenue Bonds



ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

Fisherman's Wharf is a valued segment of the community and needs your help to ensure its economic well-being.

A YES vote on Proposition A will provide the needed financing to build the long awaited breakwater to ensure the safety of our commercial fishing fleet, create new berths and new jobs.

A YES vote on Proposition A will repair the Jefferson Street Seawall before it becomes a dangerous hazard to our visitors and to our community.

A YES vote will insure the economic vitality of Fisherman's Wharf as well as the entire San Francisco Waterfront.

Join with us and vote YES.

John L. Molinari, Supervisor
Louis Ferrari, President
Crab Boat Owner's Association

Christopher Martin
President, Fisherman's
Wharf Merchant Assoc.
Fritz Arko
Alessandro Baccari
Ex. Secretary, Fisherman's
Wharf Merchant Assoc.
L. B. Barnes
Fisherman's Wharf Seafood
Phil Bentivegna
Fishing Boat Butchie B
Bob Bugatto
California Shell Fish Co.
Ken Burger
Franciscan Restaurant
Pat Flanagan
Standard Fisheries
Anthony Casali
Fishing Boat Norene

Virgil P. Caselli, Native
S. Joseph Cincotta
F. Alioto Fish Co.
Nick D'Amato
Fishing Boat Nicky D
Nino Gerald
Tom Lazio
Tom Lazio Fish Co.
Andrew Lolli
William McDonnell
Albert Spadaro
Sports Fishing Boat
New Flories
Mario J. Alioto
Calif. Seafood Institute
Peter Brown
The Anchorage

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

The opportunity is NOW!

Our Northern Waterfront is alive with activity—increase in passenger ships, thriving commercial developments.

Let us fuse that energy into our Southern Waterfront and take advantage of our natural deep water. That means newer, deeper draft merchant ships, increased cargoes and new jobs.

Missed opportunities cannot be recaptured. So act now! Don't let this opportunity get away.

Vote YES on Proposition A.

Jack Crowley
Sec/Treasurer San Francisco Labor Council
Le Roy King
Regional Director ILWU
Tim Twomey, President
San Francisco Central Labor Council
Paul Dempster
San Francisco Maritime Trade Council
Chuck Mack, President
Teamsters Joint Council No. 7

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

Our waterfront is an outstandingly beautiful and profitable aspect of San Francisco. The port has been badly neglected, however. We need to VOTE YES ON PROP A to improve and rebuild cargo and passenger facilities.

PROP A will guarantee increased maritime activities, new jobs, the revival of our fishing industry, and the safety of shoreline areas.

And . . . AT NO COST TO THE TAXPAYER!

For our neighborhood, and for our City, VOTE YES ON PROP A!

The Telegraph Hill Dwellers
Potrero Hill League of Active Neighbors
North Beach Neighbors

Phyllis Kern
Golden Gate Democratic Club*
Jim Wachob
District 8 Democratic Club*
Kevin Malone
District 8 Democratic Club*
Bette Landis
Democratic Women's Forum*
Rebecca Evans
Sierra Club*
Beverly Karnatz
Committee for Better Parks
and Recreation in Chinatown*

Richard Livingston
Open Space Committee*
Adria Garabedian
Andrea Jepson
Madrid Hamilton
Dr. Tim Wolfred
Wallinda McCrea
Douglas Engmann
Dale Carlson
Stafford Buckley
Dick Pabich
Ron Huberman

*for identification purposes only

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.

A

Port Revenue Bonds

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco has always been a great port city. And the San Francisco Port has always been a leading employer of minorities.

But the Port has sadly deteriorated. It has not kept up with modernizations and improvements. And what is even worse, it has not kept up with the competition. The Port *MUST* adapt to container cargo, and put in railway access to the docks. With your vote *YES ON A*, we can take advantage of our deep water harbor, attract more shipping trade, increase cargoes, and produce many more jobs.

And . . . *AT NO COST TO THE TAXPAYER!*
VOTE YES ON PROP A!

Naomi Gray
Doris Thomas

Mabel Schine
Linda Dickens

J. E. Thomas
A. Brooks
Frankie Gillette
Lois DeCayette
Joel DeCayette
Jule Anderson
Altheda Carrie
George Newkirk
Elouise Westbrook
Shirley Jones
Clifton Jeffers
Joe Williams
Carol Belle
Benjamin James
Grandvel Jackson
Amos Brown

Faye Anderson
Zuretti Goosby
Stan Palomares-Criollos
Jene Rita Alviar
Ernest C. Ayala
Alan S. Wong
Stan Moy
Douglas S. Chan
Thomas Hsieh
Alicia Wang
Ben Tom
Pius Lee
Julie Tang
Louis Hop Lee
Dennis Wong
Melvin Dong Lee

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

Our Port now needs \$42 million to develop San Francisco's maritime facilities to compete with ports such as Oakland, Seattle and San Diego.

These improved facilities are vital to San Francisco's competition for jobs and trade for the Pacific. Currently all major west coast ports are building to meet the growing needs of America's Pacific Trade. These ports have each spent an average of \$57 million on recent container cargo expansion while San Francisco has spent nothing.

San Francisco must have at least comparable facilities in order to compete in this market. If the port is not developed for container cargo, San Francisco can forget about being a major port on the west coast as well as

lose the jobs and revenue that a modern port could produce.

The Chamber of Commerce strongly recommends that you vote to bring San Francisco the jobs and business that will be created by these revenue bonds. The bonds will be paid off from Port revenue and there is no taxpayer risk.

If you want to see the Port continue to grow and prosper vote yes on Proposition A.

John H. Jacobs
Executive Director
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

Reconstruct municipal harbor facilities!!!:

Mike Garza
Republican State Committeeman
Dr. Terence Faulkner
Republican County Committeeman
Robert Silvestri
Republican Assembly Nominee

Juanita G. Raven
Arlo Hale Smith
Democratic County Committeeman
Bob Geary
Democratic County Committeeman
Max Woods
Republican Assembly Nominee
Martin Eng

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.

Polls are open from 7 a.m. to 8 p.m.

Port Revenue Bonds



ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

When something is railroaded through City Hall on a "fast track", it is always difficult not to join the stampede. This, however, is a bond issue in an amount larger than necessary to perform the actual work contemplated; not backed by written leases; and not backed even by "letter agreements" with corporations which supposedly will use Piers 80, 94 and 96 if improvements are made. This bond issue was rushed through the Board of Supervisors. Transmitted to the Board on July 24th, a scant six days later (on July 30th) and without a specific noticed public hearing, the Board voted 7-1 to place it on the ballot. I dissented because more time was needed for a proper analysis and public input.

Presently, the Port has a debt service requirement of approximately \$194,000,000.00. This proposed revenue bond issue would increase the debt service requirement to \$228,261,400.00; a staggering rise. The \$42,500,000.00 bond issue would produce but \$40,202,000.00 in net proceeds. The Port has a surplus of about \$19,000,000.00, of which approximately \$15,000,000.00 is available for capital projects. The Controller says \$49,254,660.00, would thus be available for capital projects costing \$41,610,000.00.

The Port is borrowing \$7,644,660.00 more than is

needed for these seven capital projects. Why? The Port admits it has no long-term written commitments for Piers 80, 94 and 96 which account for \$37,570,000.00 of the total bond issue. How can we be assured that the bondholders will be repaid by revenues from those piers if there are no-long term commitments?

Moreover, the Port hasn't even secured written "letter agreements" from corporations to assure that if those improvements are made, they will sign long-term commitments. Ironically, but \$4,000,000.00 of the bond issue would be spent on needed improvements for Fisherman's Wharf tenants, who generate almost 50% of net Port income, money which would be used to repay the money borrowed for Piers 80, 94 and 96. It's like the tail wagging the dog.

Selling bonds is nothing more than borrowing money. Why borrow more money than you have to, and why borrow money for projects which don't have long-term tenants? Be assured that even if this passes, I intend to persist in making Port officials answer those very questions.

Supervisor *Quentin L. Kopp*

MOTION AUTHORIZING PROPOSITION A

MOTION OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS SUBMITTING TO THE QUALIFIED ELECTORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO A MEASURE AUTHORIZING THE PORT COMMISSION OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO TO ISSUE REVENUE BONDS TO FINANCE THE CONSTRUCTION, RENOVATION AND MODERNIZATION OF CERTAIN PORT FACILITIES TO BE LOCATED AT THE PORT OF SAN FRANCISCO.

The Board of Supervisors of the City

and County of San Francisco hereby orders submitted to the qualified electors of said City and County at an election to be held therein on November 6, 1984 a measure authorizing the Port Commission of the City and County of San Francisco to issue revenue bonds, all as set forth in the following measure, to wit:

Shall the Port Commission of the City and County of San Francisco issue revenue bonds in an amount not to exceed \$42,500,000 the proceeds of which will be used to modernize container handling facilities and to upgrade various other mari-

time-oriented facilities at the Port of San Francisco's piers 35, 80, 94 and 96 and at the Jefferson Street seawall, to construct a breakwater at Fisherman's Wharf, to construct an intermodal container transfer facility, to install a backflow prevention system at various locations, to replace various transformers and capacitors throughout the port, and to acquire, construct or renovate certain works, property or structures necessary or convenient for the operation of, and at, the Port of San Francisco, which facilities are operated by the Port Commission?

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.

**NOTE: YOUR POLLING PLACE
MAY HAVE CHANGED.
PLEASE REFER TO MAILING
LABEL ON BACK COVER.**

**USE YOUR VOTER
SELECTION CARD
WHEN VOTING
(see insert)**



Water Revenue Bonds

PROPOSITION B

Shall the Public Utilities Commission be authorized to issue \$104,000,000 in revenue bonds to finance acquisition and construction of facilities for the operation of the Water Department?

YES 256
NO 257

Analysis

by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The Public Utilities Commission operates the San Francisco Water Department. When the Commission needs funds to acquire, improve or develop Water Department facilities, it may issue revenue bonds to raise the funds. Before the Commission can issue revenue bonds, a majority of the voters must approve. The revenue bonds are then paid from Water Department revenues.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition B would allow the Public Utilities Commission to issue up to \$104,000,000 in revenue bonds. Funds from the sale of the bonds would be used to acquire,

improve or develop facilities necessary for the operation of the Water Department, including filtration plants and reservoirs. The bonds would be paid off from the revenues of the Water Department.

A YES VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want the Public Utilities Commission to issue up to \$104,000,000 in revenue bonds to finance Water Department improvements.

A NO VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want the Public Utilities Commission to issue revenue bonds for this purpose.

Controller's Statement on "B"

City Controller John C. Farrell has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition B:

"Should the proposed bond issue be authorized and when all bonds shall have been issued on a thirty year basis, and after consideration of the interest rates related to current municipal bond sales, in my opinion, it is estimated that approximate costs would be as follows:

Bond redemption	\$104,000,000
Bond interest	169,260,000
Total debt service requirement	<u>\$273,260,000</u>

"Based on a five year construction program and a bond redemption schedule beginning one year after the sale of each issue, the estimated amount required to pay the interest thereon and the redemption thereof, would range from approximately \$3,467,000 to a maximum of \$14,022,000 annually for thirty-one years.

"In my opinion, the servicing of the proposed bonded debt will be paid from Water Department revenues and

will not affect the tax rate of the City and County of San Francisco, provided that there are sufficient revenues from Water Department sources to cover operating and all debt service costs of the Water Department. Analysis of projected resources available to the Water Department indicates that, in my judgment, sufficient revenues will be available to provide debt service costs in future years."

How Supervisors Voted on "B"

On August 6 the Board of Supervisors voted 11-0 on a resolution placing Proposition B on the ballot.

The Supervisors voted as follows:

YES: Supervisors Harry Britt, Richard Hongisto, Willie Kennedy, Quentin Kopp, Bill Maher, John Molinari, Wendy Nelder, Louise Renne, Carol Ruth Silver, Nancy Walker and Doris Ward.

None of the Supervisors voted "No."

THE TEXT OF PROPOSITION B APPEARS ON PAGE 82.

Water Revenue Bonds



ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

The money from these bonds will be used to assure that drinking water we take from our Crystal Springs and San Andreas reservoirs on the Peninsula is pure and meets all health standards. The bonds will be repaid from revenues of our Water Department. Not a penny will be charged on the property tax rolls or to the general taxpayers.

We have two huge lakes along side I-280 on the Peninsula, the Crystal Springs and San Andreas reservoirs. About 15% of our drinking water in normal times comes from these lakes and others in Alameda County. For decades we used this water without filtration. Now, however, health officials tell us that all of the water from our Peninsula lakes must be filtered before it can be used. We now have a very limited filtering capacity which means that *if*, for any reason, our water supply from the Sierras were interrupted or reduced and we would need more local water, we would be *unable to make full use*

of Crystal Springs water. There now is no filter plant at Crystal Springs. Whatever water we use from there must be pumped up to a small filtration plant at San Andreas before it can enter the system. This very severely limits the amount of drinking water we can draw from our nearest supply, and it is expensive. *Passage of Proposition B would change all that.*

By voting YES on B, you will provide for water system improvements including a new filtration plant right at Crystal Springs, for the expansion of the small plant at San Andreas, and for a necessary storage reservoir.

Your YES on B vote is an investment in the quality of our drinking water—an assurance that water from our nearest supply will be available to us pure, meeting all health requirements, when we need it.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B.

Submitted by Board of Supervisors

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Vote YES on Proposition B.

Generations ago, San Franciscans had the foresight to build one of the most magnificent water systems in the world—Hetch Hetchy, which brings water to San Francisco from the High Sierras.

Now, we must modernize the system to keep pace with higher standards of water quality.

Proposition B will authorize the San Francisco Water Department to issue \$104 million in revenue bonds.

The money will be used to improve the system by expanding existing plants and by building additional filtration, treatment and holding facilities on Water Department properties on the Peninsula.

These facilities will provide safe drinking water for future generations of San Franciscans. Presently, if any of the existing facilities suffered a breakdown, the City could be forced to rely upon unfiltered water from Crys-

tal Springs. The State Department of Public Health has directed the Water Department to upgrade facilities to meet future needs.

Our water is safe. It meets all state and Federal quality standards, and we want to keep it that way. Proposition B will assure low turbidity (silt content), reduce asbestos content, and prevent the spread of giardia lamblia, a virus not now found in our water system, but which could be brought in as watershed areas become more populated.

Proposition B, which will not have any effect on our tax structure, is a prudent investment, protecting our drinking water into the future. **Vote YES on Proposition B.**

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Vote yes on Proposition B and help guarantee us pure, plentiful water.

Richard Hongisto, Supervisor

NO ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B WAS SUBMITTED



Health Commission

PROPOSITION C

Shall a Health Commission consisting of seven members appointed by the Mayor be created to manage and control City and County hospitals and all other county health services and appoint a Director of Public Health?

YES	259	▶
NO	260	▶

Analysis

by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The San Francisco Department of Public Health operates the county hospitals, such as San Francisco General and Laguna Honda, and all other county public health services. The Department is run by a Public Health Director, who is appointed by the City's Chief Administrative Officer and who is responsible to the Chief Administrative Officer.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition C would create a Health Commission, and place the Department of Public Health under the control of the Commission. The Commission would have seven members, appointed by the Mayor; no more than three members would be doctors, nurses, hospital administrators or other health care professionals. The Commission would appoint a Public Health Director, responsible to

the Commission, to administer the Department. The Public Health Director could appoint and remove deputies to help administer the Department.

A YES VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want the San Francisco Department of Public Health to be under the control of a Health Commission appointed by the Mayor, and to be run by a Public Health Director, appointed by the Health Commission.

A NO VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you want the San Francisco Department of Public Health to be under the control of the Chief Administrative Officer and to be run by a Public Health Director appointed by the Chief Administrative Officer.

How Supervisors Voted on "C"

On July 23 the Board of Supervisors voted 8-3 on the question of placing Proposition C on the ballot.

The Supervisors voted as follows:

YES: Supervisors Harry Britt, Willie Kennedy, Bill Maher, John Molinari, Louise Renne, Carol Ruth Silver, Nancy Walker and Doris Ward.

NO: Supervisors Richard Hongisto, Quentin Kopp and Wendy Nelder.

Controller's Statement on "C"

City Controller John C. Farrell has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition C:

"Should the proposed Charter amendment be adopted, in my opinion, it would increase the cost of government, based on current costs of the Health Department, by approximately \$8,400 per year."

THE TEXT OF PROPOSITION C APPEARS ON PAGE 82.

Health Commission



ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION C

The Public Health Department has a budget of over \$270 million and a staff of 5000, making it the largest agency in City government. Its responsibilities are many and far-reaching: San Francisco General Hospital and Laguna Honda Hospital; emergency medical services; mental health services; sanitation; public health education; district health centers; inspection of public and private facilities. The list is long and growing.

In recent years, the list of problems facing departmental administrators has, unfortunately, also grown. Emergency Aid stations were closed. Ambulance service was drastically altered. Proposals to reorganize community mental health services were promoted and rejected, causing confusion for all. The AIDS crisis. The investigations at San Francisco General Hospital. And on and on.

Too often, these decisions and these issues were considered in a vacuum, without public input, without public debate, and without public scrutiny.

Why? In order to protect the Department of Public Health from the graft and corruption that plagued our

City at the turn of the century, the Charter placed the department under the Chief Administrative Officer's auspices rather than a commission. Fifty years later, the department has outgrown this cumbersome arrangement. It is time to bring the administration of this important agency into the 20th Century, mirroring the management of other City departments.

Proposition C will establish a seven-member Health Commission, appointed by the Mayor, to set policy and supervise the Public Health Department. The commission will include both providers and consumers of health services. It will bring the Department more directly under the Mayor's control, allowing for more timely responses to issues and problems. Most importantly, it will ensure that the department is publicly accountable for its actions.

We urge a YES vote on Proposition C.

Submitted by Board of Supervisors

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION C

Public health policy has changed and the governance of our public health department must change too.

In the past few years the state and federal governments have shifted more and more of the responsibility for public health management to local governments.

Recent experience shows that our health department is not presently equipped to manage public health pro-

grams in the most effective manner.

Proposition C will streamline management—cutting two levels of bureaucracy while opening up our public health policy to the public itself.

I urge a "yes" vote on Proposition C.

Assemblyman Art Agnos

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION C

Since 1971, the Department of Public Health has been plagued with problems and crises at its hospitals, clinics and emergency aid stations. Most recently, we again faced the threat of San Francisco General losing its accreditation. These many incidents are deeply disturbing, raising troubling questions in the public mind: Why do these problems persist? Why can't something be done about them once and for all?

In 1979, the national organization that accredits all hospitals reported that San Francisco General's "major problems . . . are related to the lack of an effective governing body free from the political decision-making process which results in delayed activity, inadequate funding,

etc." Last January, the State auditors also cited the absence of "a governing body legally responsible for hospital governance" as a cause for "ineffective management."

No other hospital in California operates without a board of directors. Only one other department in San Francisco (Public Works) operates without a commission. Instead, San Francisco General and the Health Department are run by a bureaucracy, insulated from public accountability.

Proposition C establishes a commission for the Health Department, one that will hold public hearing, make public decisions, and be publicly accountable. Vote YES.

Assemblyman Art Agnos
Supervisor Willie Kennedy
Supervisor Louise Renne

Supervisor Bill Maher
Supervisor Harry Britt
Supervisor Doris Ward

Supervisor Nancy Walker
Supervisor Carol Ruth Silver

(Continued)



Health Commission

Supervisor John Molinari
Hon. John Morrissey
Hon. Charlotte Berk
Hon. Douglas Engmann
Hon. Edward Fleishell
Hon. Rev. Dr. Howard Gloyd
Hon. Gordon Lau
Hon. Esta Soler
Hon. Louis Giraudo

Hon. Jerry Berg
Hon. Doris Kahn
Hon. Richard Guggenhime
Hon. Joe Jung
Hon. John Sanger
Hon. Jean Kortum
Hon. Anne Halsted
Hon. Linda Eberth
Hon. Judith Brecka

Hon. Carlota DelPortillo
Hon. Jo Daly
Hon. Drucilla Ramey
Hon. Walter Jebe
Hon. Bette Landis
Hon. Dr. Yoshio Nakashima
Hon. Samuel Chung
Hon. Louis Hop Lee
Hon. Dale Carlson

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION C

San Francisco's population is aging. In a few short years, a greater percentage of our citizens will be seniors—most living independently on fixed incomes, many frail and over 75—than those of other California cities. They will need nutritional information, home health care, and a broad range of coordinated social services.

The Department of Health, San Francisco General, Laguna Honda, the District Health Centers—these are critical providers of senior services. And as more and more state and federal programs are shifted to local agencies, the Department's role and responsibilities will dramatically increase.

How will the Department respond to this increased responsibility? How can we ensure that it will develop

policies and programs that meet seniors' needs? And how can we be sure that these programs operate efficiently and effectively?

Proposition C is a big step in the right direction. VOTE YES!

Kathleen Lammers
Abraham Biderman
Jeannette Harris
Larry Bernardini, R.N.
Nancy Boughey, M.S.W.
David Lally, M.S.W.
Ollie Mae Black
Stephen Graham

Mary O'Connor
Miriam Toback
Sarah Brooks
Colette Hughes
Doron Weinberg
Oscar Toback
Miriam Blaustein

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION C

Proposition C is important for women.

Women provide the majority of services offered by the Health Department. Indeed public health is traditionally the domain of women and nurses. And while the many crises at General are too often blamed on nursing care, nurses virtually have no role in planning or organizing service delivery.

Women are also the major consumers of the Depart-

ment's services. As more and more block grants transfer health programs from the state and federal levels to local governments, the Department will become an increasingly important provider to women.

Proposition C gives women an opportunity to make their voices heard at the highest levels of the Health Department. And our voices must be heard if services are to be organized efficiently and responsively.

Golden Gate Nurses Association
San Francisco N.O.W.
B. J. Badertscher, R.N.M.S.
Ellen Wolfe, R.N.P.N.P.
Suzanne Harris, L.V.N., R.N.
Annie Borgenicht, L.V.N.
Shea Watkins, L.V.N.
Pamela Klein, R.P.T.
Catherine M. Coleman, R.N.
Ellen Shaffer
Conrada Aleksandrowski, L.V.N.
Carmen Melero, L.V.N.
Denise Hunt, M.S.N.
Christina Feltus, N.P.
Mary E. Foley, R.N.

Louise Ogden
Lorraine R. Wiles
Elaine Adamson, M.P.H.
Barbara Engmann, R.N.
Ann Gonski
Ellen Roberts
Katherine Lambert
Catherine J. Dodd, R.N.
Priscilla Alexander
Sharyn Saslaffsky
Gail Dolson, R.N.
Laura Campbell
Flora A. Hurley
Cherie V. James
Judith Kurtz

Aurora Garcia
Tracey Cosgrove
Sally Martin, C.C.S.W.
Alexis Gonzales
Velma V. Gaines
Lili T. Davis
Ruth O'Brien-McMullen, R.N.
Shelley Spiro, R.N.
Arlene Carden, R.N.
Robin Dushane
Laurie Carlson
Janet Veatch, R.N.
Barbara Burges, R.N.M.S.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.

Health Commission



ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION C

The policies governing San Francisco General affect every consumer and provider of health services in the City, yet there is little accountability to San Francisco taxpayers. No private hospital with General's size and influence operates without a board of trustees or directors. Indeed, no private organization of any kind with a \$270 million annual budget—business, corporation, non-profit foundation, whatever—operates without a governing board. Why does General?

As General bounces from one crisis to the next, with state and federal agencies threatening increasingly drastic

actions, the weakness of the current management structure becomes clear to all. The Hospital and the Health Department need a commission, where public hearings can surface problems and issues in a timely fashion; where policies can be developed with the cooperation of private providers and consumers. The Health Commission will not be a watchdog meddling in day-to-day affairs, but an advocate for General, one providing continuity, stability and a rational decision-making process with regular rules and procedures.

We urge a YES vote on Proposition C.

Rolland Lowe, M.D.

Past President, San Francisco Medical Society

Robert Aaron, M.D.

Robert Gross, M.D.

Molly Coye, M.D.

Robert Koshiyama, D.D.S.

Maureen Katz, M.D.

Yoshio Nakashima, D.D.S.

James Cole, M.D.

John Good, M.D.

Jeff Sandler, M.D.

Judy Keeven, M.D.

Robert Dickter, D.D.S.

Jeffrey Draisin, M.D.

Gabriel Escobar, M.D.

Brad Evans, M.D.

Lars Erickson, M.D.

Randy Yanda, M.D.

Stephen Collins, M.D.

Lewis Pepper, M.D.

Mark Smith, M.D.

Donald Goldmacher, M.D.

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION C

The Health Department is obligated to ensure that all San Francisco communities have access to medical services. The AIDS crisis brought the health care needs of gay men to the forefront of public discussion. It brought home the importance of a Department flexible and responsive to quickly emerging problems and epidemics, one capable of quickly reallocating resources to dire community needs.

The general health needs of gay men and women, however, are largely unrecognized. The Health Commission will give our community the voice it needs in the

health planning processes. It will ensure that the diversity of our City is represented in the consideration of these important issues.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian/Gay Democratic Club

Sal Rosselli

John Mehring

Del Martin

Donald Cataland

Cleve Jones

Randy Stallings

Ron Huberman

Richard Allman

Jeff Jones

Phyllis Lyon

Debra Friedland

Roberto Esteves

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION C

Hispanics in San Francisco strongly support Proposition C, the Health Commission Charter Amendment.

Health is vital to the Latino Community of San Francisco. We are a younger population, with larger families and with special health needs, such as those of Central American refugees. In recent years, federal and state funding for health programs has decreased, leaving community health programs in a difficult financial situation. Recent changes in Medically Indigent Adult services have also negatively impacted our community.

In addition, the need for better and expanded bilingual medical services continues to be a top priority in San Francisco.

Vote YES on Proposition C.

Celso Ortiz

Rafael Cedillos

Maria Degado

Roberto Hernandez

Joanna Devito-Larson

Rosa Maria Clos

Susan Houston

Arnell Rodrigues

P. Braveman, M.D.

Alfredo Rodrigues

Sonia Melara

Joseph Tanner

Edgar Quiroz

Angel Contreras

Roger Hernandez

Tom Romero

Raphael Taliaferro

Juanita DelCarlo

Juan Pifarre

Rudolph Mathias

Rolph Hurtado

Alfredo Rivas

Vilma Mondoza

O. Bracker

Ray Rivera

Lorenzo Dill

Yolanda Cameros

Monica Asturias



Health Commission

Sydney Furman
Carlos Castrillo
Leroy Jaquez
Estalla Lara
Ricardo Hernandez

Igor Kalinovsky, M.D.
Bayra Matias
Andres Sendin
Consuelo Payes
Guadalupe Cuellar

Esperanza Martinez
Manuel Larez
Esperanze Echavarri
Stan Palomares-Criollos
Jene Alvin

Antonia Sacchetti
Maria Chavez
Maria Scicneux
Alicia Hopkinson
Clelia Fernandez

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION C

The chief opposition to Proposition C comes from two sources: The Chief Administrative Officer and the officials of the University of California Medical Center.

The CAO's opposition is understandable. He simply doesn't want to lose control of the Health Department and SF General.

UC's opposition is more puzzling, until you realize that it receives \$10-15 million from the City each year for staffing at General Hospital without a publicly reviewed

contract! Why is UC afraid of having its relationship with General publicly scrutinized by a Health Commission?

Let's clear the air. Vote YES on Proposition C.

Pattie Fong
Ina Dearman
Patrick Flanagan
Douglas Engmann

Ellen Roberts
Christopher Martin
Tom Moore
Henry Der

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION C

We support Proposition C.

Fred Ross
James O'Connor
Dale Carlson
Pamela Duffy
Gerald Yoachum
D.J. Soviero
Rosalind Wolf
Gordon Brownell
Jim Wachob
Steven Krefting
JoAnne Miller
Maura Kealey
Margaret O'Driscoll
Nicerita Revelo

Beatrice Patterson
Thomas Ambrogi
Arlo Hale Smith
Dian Blomquist
Thomas Moore
Peter Hanson
Jack Morrison
Mary Vail
Sally Osaki
Linda Post
Terrance Farr
Ken McEldowney
Sue Hestor
Willie Gee, D.D.S.
Agar Jaicks
John Holtzclaw

Paul Vacaralli
Al Cassiato
Alan Raznick
James Haas
Al Borvice
Essie Webb
Joan Moulton
Russell Zellers
Stanley Shields
Richard Sevilla
Ann Daley
Dorothy Labudde
Dorice Murphy
Howard Strassner
Mark Davalos
Larry Griffin

Jay Wallace
Rob Waters
Kevin Malone
Jan Holloway
Norman Rolfe
Tom Jones
Barbara Halliday
Sandra Powell
Deborah Sarvis
Esther Marks
James Augustino
Sharon Johnson
Eileen Adams
Ruth Gravanis
Michael Heffer

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION C

Community clinics provide thousands of San Franciscans with access to essential primary health care and preventive health services. Yet, these community-based institutions, as well as the neighborhoods and people they represent, have been excluded from participation in health policy decision-making in San Francisco. Excluded, too, is any public debate on alternatives to hospital-based health delivery and financing systems that could prove cost-effective and could better serve the people of San Francisco.

Proposition C, The Health Commission Charter Amendment, offers a forum for public discussion of local health policy issues. By giving the neighborhoods and communities input into health policy decisions, the

Health Commission will enable San Franciscans to create a new community of interest and new community of action in the area of health service delivery.

VOTE YES on PROPOSITION C.

San Francisco Community Clinic Consortium
Myles Dixon
George Dykstra
Susan Ehrlich
Patricia Franks
Debra Friedland
Kate Lambert
Mike Pincus
David Smith, M.D.
Marjin Waukazoo
Jerome West
Sophie Wong

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION C

The Health Commission will be of vital importance to the Asian community. VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION C.

Dr. Yoshio Nakashima
Louis Hop Lee
Samuel Chung

David Yamakawa
Ernest Wu
Joe Jung
George Suncin
Sophie Wong
Pattie Fong
Willie Gee, D.D.S.

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION C

The Health Department is a key source of medical services in the black community, both directly through General Hospital and the district health centers, and indirectly through community and mental health clinics.

But our special problems—an infant mortality rate significantly above rates in other Bay Area counties, for example—are not often heard by the Department's policy-makers, for they are insulated and isolated from our community.

Our concerns must be heard if they are to be ad-

ressed. Proposition C gives us a platform from which to speak. Vote YES.

Elouise Westbrook
Yvonne Golden
Lorenzo R. Dill
Zuretti L. Goosby, D.D.S
Julianne Malveaux
Eugene Coleman
Naomi T. Gray

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION C

This proposal to put politics into the Department of Public Health by creating a "commission" to direct it is an example of the misguided philosophy of attacking governmental problems by throwing bureaucracy at them. It should be soundly defeated. Currently, the City's health officials report to one person, the Chief Administrative Officer, who can respond swiftly to any crisis and set consistent policy. The responsibility for the Department lies with the CAO, not a group of political appointees. When our Charter was adopted in 1932, the freeholders explained the rationale of giving the CAO management responsibility over the Health Department. The idea was "to provide reasonable safeguards AGAINST POLITICAL MANIPULATION." (Emphasis added) Their report stated the office of CAO was designed to be "protected from political influence as largely as possible . . ." and "it is intended that this officer shall be entirely independent in discharging the function of

the office, and particularly, to be free from interference of the mayor, because the latter is subjected to political influence." Although the Charter was adopted in 1932, its logic still makes powerful sense. Besides blurring lines of responsibility, this iniquitous proposal contradicts health management practices in California and the United States. In fact, the same idea was evaluated in 1971 by a committee established by the supervisors, which concluded that the commission concept, a "seemingly attractive alternative at first blush, would likely constitute merely another bureaucratic layer in an already cluttered city administrative structure." To paraphrase *The Progress*, the Muni is the best proof that "the presence of a commission does not guarantee good management." Vote NO on Proposition C.

Supervisor Quentin L. Kopp

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.

Polls are open from 7 a.m. to 8 p.m.



Health Commission

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION C

The enactment of Proposition C would set the clock back on health care delivery in San Francisco to the 1920s. As proposed, the measure would almost exactly duplicate the system of Health Care abandoned by The City in 1932 because it had become corrupt, politicized and incapable of effectively responding to the growing challenges of modern health care administration.

In 1973 the Board of Supervisors itself considered and rejected a similar Health Commission option. The board's reason was that commissions do not make effective management tools. "The Commission, seemingly an attractive alternative at first blush, would likely constitute merely another layer in an already cluttered City administrative structure."

The commission concept also goes counter to sound health management practices. Earlier this year, a team of top Bay Area health care professionals was asked to identify the leading municipal hospitals in the country. None of the institutions identified uses a commission form of administration largely because commissions, while perhaps capable of overseeing some urban systems such as mass transit, have proven ineffective and cumbersome.

The argument has been advanced that a commission

would allow for greater citizen input into the health department. The fact is that the Department of Health already has some 440 individuals serving on 27 Citizen Advisory Committees—more than with any other city department. The proposed seven member commission would be insufficient in both size and technical competence to do other than deal with the health care in San Francisco on a "crisis-to-crisis" basis.

As we move into the 21st Century, the administration of San Francisco's health care systems will only become more challenging. A form of governance that proved inadequate 50 years ago and was rejected by the Board of Supervisors eleven years ago as inappropriate, is not the best way to provide for our city's health care needs of the future. We urge you to vote "No" on Proposition C.

Dr. Frank Lewis, Chief of Staff, San Francisco General Hospital

Walter Newman, Overseer, UCSF

Yori Wada, UC Board of Regents

Dr. Frank Curry, Former Director of Public Health

Dr. Frank Sooy, Chancellor Emeritus, UCSF

Virginia Leishman, Director of Nursing, Laguna Honda Hospital

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION C

A vote for Proposition C is a vote to politicize health care in San Francisco. The authors of the 1932 City Charter foresaw this when they abolished the city's highly politicized seven member health commission and placed the Department of Health under the jurisdiction of the Chief Administrative Officer. Proposition C would return San Francisco to the pre-1932 system of politicized health care management.

In writing the 1932 Charter, the authors recognized the need to balance executive authority by splitting it between the Mayor and the CAO. Departments, such as health, which were thought to be best managed if protected from direct political influence, were placed under the jurisdiction of the CAO, who is appointed for a fixed 10 year term to remove the office from political pressure.

Despite the occurrence of problems the system has worked well. San Francisco General has perhaps the best Trauma Unit in the country. The hospital's AIDS unit is unquestionably the best and most humane in the nation.

Moving control of the city's health care budget from the Chief Administrative Officer to the Executive will create a "strong mayor" type of government which the Charter was designed to prevent. Health commissioners would necessarily be beholden to the political factions or individuals which lobbied for their appointment and to

the mayor who appoints them.

There are alternatives to a Health Commission. Several have already been recommended by a blue ribbon panel of health management experts appointed following the report on General Hospital. We believe these recommendations and perhaps others, including a variation on the health commission concept, deserve further study.

Proposition C would bring about a radical restructuring of government and health care delivery in San Francisco. Both issues are far too important to be decided hastily. We urge a No vote on Proposition C.

Quentin L. Kopp, Supervisor

Wendy Nelder, President, Board of Supervisors

Florette White Pomeroy, Consultant

William K. Coblentz, Attorney

Thomas E. Horn, VP, Board of Trustees, War Memorial

James D. Jefferson, President, Jefferson Associates, Inc.

J. Gary Shansby, Chairman of the Board, Shaklee Corporation

Eugene Friend, President, Recreation and Park Commission

Health Commission



ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION C

I oppose Proposition C because:

1. It puts the Health Department under the control of politically appointed non-professionals. I cannot agree that this should be done. It brings in politics and throws out expertise.

2. It adds an extra layer of bureaucracy making government more expensive and management more difficult.

Supervisor Richard Hongisto

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION C

Your City Hall representatives would have you believe that by replacing our present Health System with a Health Commission, composed of seven members, at a recompense of \$100 per month, will improve the services.

Hogwash. Just another layer of government.

With a budget of \$270 millions, 5000 employees would you put your faith in the hands of seven and still maintain

the same Health Director?

What we need is a clean sweep of the present management; new faces; new ideas. The Charter provides for this, let's do it—"Now," not later.

Vote NO on PROPOSITION C

Marguerite A. Warren

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION C

By creating a health commission for the Public Health Department, the city of San Francisco would create more problems rather than solve them.

Past experience has indicated a need to separate politics from health care. San Francisco would be turning the clock back to a situation in the 1920s when the city had a seven member commission appointed by the Mayor that oversaw the management of the Public Health Department. The delivery of effective health care was seriously compromised by the politicized department which was a direct consequence of the department's health commission.

For this reason, the citizens of San Francisco at that time passed a charter reform that removed the Health Department from the politically appointed commission

and placed it in a newly created Chief Administrative Officer who would be secured against political pressures.

The creation of the Health Commission would take 40% of the budget of the Chief Administrative Officer's budget and place it under the control of a politically appointed Commission, thus negating the intent of the Chief Administrative Officer's Department which was created to administer departments that should be removed from political concerns.

Do not politicize San Francisco's health care. Vote No on Proposition C.

John H. Jacobs

Executive Director

San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

TEXT OF PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT PROPOSITION C

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are indicated by **bold-face** type; deletions are indicated by ~~strike-over~~ type.

3.510 Governmental Services, Purchasing, Real Estate, Public Works, Electricity, ~~Public Health, and County Agricultural Department; Health Advisory Board;~~ Coroner's Office and Convention Facilities Management

The functions, activities and affairs of

the city and county that are hereby placed under the direction of the chief administrative officer by the provisions of this charter, and the powers and duties of officers and employees charged with specific jurisdiction thereof, shall, subject to the provisions of Section 11.102 and Section 3.501 of this charter, be allocated by the chief administrative officer, among the following departments:

Department of Governmental Services, which shall include the functions and personnel of the offices of registrar of voters,

recorder, public administrator and such other functions as may be assigned by the chief administrative officer, and shall be administered by the chief administrative officer.

The public administrator shall appoint and at his pleasure may remove an attorney. He may also appoint such assistant attorneys as may be provided by the budget and annual appropriation ordinance.

Purchasing Department, which shall include the functions and personnel of the

(Continued on page 82)



Retirement Board Investments

PROPOSITION D

Shall the Retirement Board be authorized to make investments of retirement funds as a prudent person instead of investments legal for insurance companies?

YES 261
NO 262

Analysis

by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The Retirement Board has complete authority to invest the funds of the Retirement System for city employees. The Retirement Board must make only investments which are legal for insurance companies in California.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition D would remove the requirement that the Retirement Board must make only investments which are legal for insurance companies in California. Instead, the proposition would require the Retirement Board to make investments with the care and skill

that a prudent person would make under similar circumstances.

A YES VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want to change the way the Retirement Board invests pension money. Instead of making only those investments which are legal for insurance companies, the Board would be able to make any investments that a prudent person would make.

A NO VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you want the Retirement Board to keep making only the same kind of investments which are legal for insurance companies.

How Supervisors Voted on "D"

On August 6 the Board of Supervisors voted 6-5 on the question of placing Proposition D on the ballot.

The Supervisors voted as follows:

- YES:** Supervisors Richard Hongisto, Quentin Kopp, Bill Maher, Carol Ruth Silver, Nancy Walker and Doris Ward.
- NO:** Supervisors Harry Britt, Willie Kennedy, John Molinari, Wendy Nelder and Louise Renne.

Controller's Statement on "D"

City Controller John C. Farrell has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition D:

"Should the proposed Charter amendment be adopted, in my opinion, it should not, in and of itself, increase the cost of government. However, as a result of its authorizing additional flexibility for investments, earnings may be higher or lower than what could have occurred absent this amendment."

THE TEXT OF PROPOSITION D APPEARS ON PAGE 63.

Retirement Board Investments



ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION D

Vote YES on Proposition D.

The purpose of Proposition D is to clarify the investment responsibility of the Retirement Board and to add language expressly to comply with the recently enacted State Constitutional amendment on fiduciary standards for public pension plans (Proposition 21, June 1984).

Since 1932, the charter has referred to the Insurance Code as the guideline for pension investments.

Insurance Code investment requirements are unsuitable to current pension fund investment practices and severely restrict the ability of the Retirement Board to manage the fund to achieve the best possible investment return for the City and County of San Francisco and the members of the Retirement System.

The added new language will establish firm guidelines for the Retirement Board to discharge its responsibility

as the fiduciary for the Retirement Fund. This new language will also serve as increased protection for members of the Retirement System by applying recognized fiduciary standards for which there is considerable statutory and case law.

In summary, the proposed charter amendment serve a dual purpose: it removes obsolete and ambiguous language and brings the Retirement System into compliance with the State Constitution. Both changes will enhance and improve the System's investment capabilities and the ability to achieve its goals and objectives.

Proposition D is endorsed and supported by the Retirement Board and the Board of Supervisors.

Vote YES on Proposition D.

Submitted by Board of Supervisors

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION D

This is a worthwhile Charter amendment which updates obsolete and ambiguous provisions on how the Retirement Board can invest funds. It institutes standards consistent with a recent voter-approved amendment to the California Constitution. Investment practices have been refined dramatically in the past 50 years, and income and appreciation choices have increased tremendously. The investment professionals working for the Retirement System now are prevented from making the very most prudent investments possible, and City employees and taxpayers are, thus, prejudiced and hurt.

Present investment rules are based upon the State Insurance Code, which contravenes contemporary investment practices. Proposition D will give more latitude in making high-return investments, but will also retain vigorous guidelines on investment of Retirement System funds while increasing the protection of City employees. It will save money for taxpayers by enabling a better return on Retirement System assets. It's logical. That's why I recommend a "yes" vote.

Quentin L. Kopp, Supervisor

NO ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION D WAS SUBMITTED

TEXT OF PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT PROPOSITION D

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are indicated by **bold-face** type; deletions are indicated by ~~strike-over~~ type.

671 Functions, Powers and Duties

The retirement board shall be the sole authority and judge, under such general ordinances as may be adopted by the su-

pervisors, as to the conditions under which members may receive, and may continue to receive, benefits of any sort under the retirement system, and shall have exclusive control of the administration of the system and the investment of such fund or funds as may be established. ~~provided that all investments shall be of the character legal for insurance companies in California.~~

The retirement board shall discharge its duties with respect to the system with the care, skill, prudence, and diligence under the circumstances then prevailing that a prudent person acting in a like capacity and familiar with these matters would use in the conduct of an enterprise of a like character and with like aims.

USE YOUR VOTER SELECTION CARD WHEN VOTING (see insert)

POLL WORKERS NEEDED

Earn \$49 to \$58 (plus bonuses)!

Meet Your Neighbors!

Serve Your Community!

There is a shortage of poll workers in most San Francisco neighborhoods. Voters who are interested in this important work are encouraged to apply as soon as possible at the Registrar's Office at City Hall. If you apply while there still is a large selection of vacancies, it is probable that you will be assigned to a poll in your own neighborhood.

The Registrar is trying to build a permanent corps of polling officials, therefore **housewives** and **retired people**, as well as others who are interested in **community service** are particularly urged to apply.

The higher-paying and more responsible positions will be reserved for persons who **apply in person**. Others may mail in the application form provided below:

(The workday is from 6:45 a.m. to about 8:30 p.m., with breaks for lunch and dinner.)

APPLICATION TO SERVE AS ELECTION OFFICIAL

I want to work at the polls on Tuesday, November 6. Please assign me to a polling place.

Name _____

Address _____ Apt. # _____

Telephone No. (required) _____

Do you have an automobile? yes ☐ no ☐

Availability:

I want to work in the following area(s): _____

Second choice locations (if any) _____

Signature _____

Surviving Spouse Benefits

E

PROPOSITION E

Shall the City subsidize the surviving spouse of active retired employees on the same basis that the City subsidizes the active or retired employees in the health service system?

YES	264	▶
NO	265	▶

Analysis

by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City contributes to a health care fund for active and retired employees. The City's contribution to this fund equals the average amount contributed to health care funds for each employee of the ten most populated California counties except San Francisco. The City does not contribute anything for spouses of active or retired employees.

employee for a least one year prior to the employee's death. The amount of the contribution would be the same as that made by the City to the health care fund for active and retired employees.

A YES VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want the City to contribute to the health care fund for the surviving spouse of a deceased employee.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition E would provide that the City contribute to the health care fund for the surviving spouse of a deceased employee. The surviving spouse must have been married to the

A NO VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want the City to contribute to the health care fund for the surviving spouse of a deceased employee.

How Supervisors Voted on "E"

On July 23 the Board of Supervisors voted 8-2 on the question of placing Proposition E on the ballot.

The Supervisors voted as follows:

YES: Supervisors Harry Britt, Richard Hongisto, Willie Kennedy, John Molinari, Wendy Nelder, Louise Renne, Carol Ruth Silver and Nancy Walker.

NO: Supervisors Quentin Kopp and Bill Maher.

Controller's Statement on "E"

City Controller John C. Farrell has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition E:

"Should the proposed Charter amendment be adopted, in my opinion, it would increase the cost of government, based on current costs and present membership data of the Health Service System, in excess of \$990,000 per year."

THE TEXT OF PROPOSITION E APPEARS ON PAGE 67.

E

Surviving Spouse Benefits

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION E

This charter amendment calls for extending a limited measure of aid from the City and County of San Francisco for the health care of the surviving spouse of an active or retired City employee.

Under existing statute, the City and County and its employees, active or retired, share the cost of health care for the employee, their spouse and children. However, upon the death of the employee, the City and County's share of payments to the health care system stops.

Thus, the surviving spouse is compelled to pick up the entire cost for the health care plan while struggling to survive on a reduced family income and, at the same time, contend with ever increasing medical/hospital expenses and everyday living costs for themselves and eligible family dependents.

Proposition E will allow the City and County to continue paying its share into the health care system and not force the surviving spouse and eligible family dependents to assume the entire financial burden for health care payments.

Since the number of surviving spouses affected is very small, less than 1400, the cost to the taxpayer for the City and County is minimal.

The changes proposed by Proposition E should have been made many years ago; it is long overdue. We urge you to support this important measure.

Vote YES on Proposition E.

Submitted by Board of Supervisors

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION E

Justice tempered with compassion. VOTE YES. Surviving spouses of City workers receive an automatic 50% cut in retirement pay upon the death of their partners. Why further burden this small group by withdrawing subsidy for health care?

The Retirement System actuary has officially confirmed that the average pension check for this group is \$300.00 per month; incidentally, below the nationally quoted poverty level.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION E

Submitted by *William T. Reed*, Chairman
Legislative Committee, Retired Employees
City & County of San Francisco
Helen McAtee, Chairperson
Philip Kearney, President, and
retired manager of the Health Service

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION E

The Health Service Board which administers the health benefits provided to active and retired employees and their dependents fully recognizes the financial problem imposed on the surviving spouse upon the death of a retired employee.

This financial problem is especially acute because a surviving spouse's pension is cut by 50% at the death of the employee and because of the high cost of medical insurance. Medical cost increases have outpaced general

inflation rates over the last three (3) years by about two to one.

We urge your "YES" vote on Proposition "E" on the basis of need and equity.

Abraham Bernstein, M.D., President
Health Service Board of the City
and County of San Francisco

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION E

Surviving spouses of city employees need this for a relatively comfortable widowhood. Let's extend a small measure of consideration to those who are left on their

own.

Supervisor *Richard Hongisto*

**NOTE: YOUR POLLING PLACE MAY HAVE CHANGED.
PLEASE REFER TO MAILING LABEL ON BACK COVER.**

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.

Surviving Spouse Benefits



ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION E

Proposition E if approved would require the city to subsidize the health premiums of the surviving spouses of non-uniformed city employees. The cost to the city would amount to \$990,000 per year and give city employees a benefit that is not normally enjoyed by workers in private industry.

The majority of private employees have health plans that allow surviving spouses to be members of such plans but the employer does not subsidize the premiums of these survivors in anyway. Being permitted to join a group plan that provides comprehensive coverage at a

reasonable rate is a sufficient benefit in and of itself.

If this amendment is approved it will violate the spirit of the Charter provision that sets city employee salaries and benefits at the average of that which prevails in the metropolitan Bay Area. We believe in fair treatment and compensation for city employees and our current rules provide exactly that.

Vote No on Proposition E.

John H. Jacobs

Executive Director

San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

TEXT OF PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT PROPOSITION E

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are indicated by **bold face type**; deletions are indicated by ~~strikeout type~~.

8.428 Health Service System Fund

There is hereby created a health service system fund. The costs of the health service system shall be borne by the members of the system and retired persons, the City and County of San Francisco because of its members and retired persons and because of members and retired persons of the parking authority of the City and County of San Francisco, the San Francisco Unified School District because of its members and retired persons and the San Francisco Community College District because of its members and retired persons. A retired person as used in this section means a former member of the health service system retired under the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System, **and the surviving spouse of an active employee and the surviving spouse of a retired employee, provided that the surviving spouse and the active or retired employee have been married for a period of at least one year prior to the death of the active or retired employee.**

The city and county, the school district and the community college district shall each contribute to the health service fund amounts sufficient for the following purposes, and subject to the following limitations:

(a) All funds necessary to efficiently administer the health service system.

(b) For the fiscal year commencing July 1, 1973, the city and county, the school district and the community college district

shall contribute to the health service system fund with respect to each of their members an amount equal to one-half of "the average contribution," as certified by the health service board in accordance with the provisions of section 8.423. For the fiscal year commencing July 1, 1974, and each fiscal year thereafter, the city and county, the school district and the community college district shall contribute to the health service system fund with respect to each of their members an amount equal to "the average contribution," as certified by the health service board in accordance with the provisions of section 8.423.

(c) Monthly contributions required from retired persons **and the surviving spouses of active employees and retired persons** participating in the system shall be equal to the monthly contributions required from members in the system, except that the total contributions required from retired persons who are also covered under medicare shall be reduced by an amount equal to the amount contributed monthly by such persons to medicare; provided, however, that for the fiscal year commencing July 1, 1973, and for each fiscal year thereafter, the city and county, the school district and the community college district shall contribute funds sufficient to defray the difference in cost to the system in providing the same health coverage to retired persons **and the surviving spouses of active employees and retired persons** as is provided for active employee members.

(d) The city and county, the San Francisco Unified School District and the San Francisco Community College District

shall not contribute to the health service system fund any sums, except as hereinbefore set forth, on account of participation in the benefits of the system by members' dependents **except surviving spouses**, retired persons' dependents **except surviving spouses**, persons who retired and elected not to receive benefits from San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System and resigned employees and teachers defined in section 8.425, and any employee whose compensation is fixed in accordance with sections 8.401, 8.403, or 8.404 of this charter and whose compensation therein includes an additional amount for health and welfare benefits or whose health service costs are reimbursed through any fund established for said purpose by ordinance of the board of supervisors.

It shall be the duty of the board of supervisors, the board of education and the governing board of the community college district annually to appropriate to the health service system fund such amounts as are necessary to cover the respective obligations of the city and county, the San Francisco Unified School District and the San Francisco Community College District hereby imposed. Contributions to the health service system fund of the city and county, of the school district and of the community college district shall be charged against the general fund or the school, utility, bond or other special fund concerned.

The amendments of this section contained in the proposition therefor submitted to the electorate on November 7, 1972, 1973, 1974, 1975, 1976, 1977, 1978, 1979, 1980, 1981, 1982, 1983, 1984 shall be effective July 1, 1973 1985.

F

Employee Death Benefits

PROPOSITION F

Shall a death benefit of twelve months salary be paid to miscellaneous officers and employees who die from an external and violent bodily injury in the course and scope of employment?

YES 267
NO 268

Analysis

by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: A City employee, other than a police officer or firefighter, who is a member of the Retirement System is entitled to a death benefit of six months salary if he or she dies, regardless of cause, before retirement. The benefit is paid to the employee's estate or designated beneficiary.

salary would be paid to the employee's estate or designated beneficiary.

A YES VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want to pay an additional benefit of 12 months salary to a city employee who dies from an injury caused by external and violent means in the course of employment.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition F would provide that if an officer or employee, other than a police officer or firefighter, is injured through external and violent means in the course of employment and the employee dies within 180 days of the injury, an additional benefit of 12 months

A NO VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want to provide an additional death benefit to these city employees who die from external and violent means in the course of employment.

How Supervisors Voted on "F"

On August 6 the Board of Supervisors voted 11-0 on the question of placing Proposition F on the ballot.

The Supervisors voted as follows:

YES: Supervisors Harry Britt, Richard Hongisto, Willie Kennedy, Quentin Kopp, Bill Maher, John Molinari, Wendy Nelder, Louise Renne, Carol Ruth Silver, Nancy Walker and Doris Ward.

None of the Supervisors voted "No."

**TEXT OF PROPOSITION F
APPEARS ON PAGE 69.**

Controller's Statement on "F"

City Controller John C. Farrell has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition F:

"Should the proposed Charter amendment be adopted, in my opinion, there would be an increase in the cost of government, the amount of which is dependent upon the number of employees and their respective salary rates which qualify for payment under the provisions of this proposed amendment. Based on experience to date, the cost of this additional amount should not be substantial."

Employee Death Benefits



ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION F

Proposition F provides a small compensation for the survivor of a city employee (non Police or Fire) killed in the line of duty. Let's agree to this minor expenditure

for the survivors of those who have died in city service.

Richard Hongisto, Supervisor

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION F

Vote YES on Proposition F.

Only rarely is a city employee killed in the line of duty, but death can come with fierce suddenness.

Two Muni workers were crushed by cable car machinery in 1978; the following year, two Water Department employees were murdered at the Lake Merced Pumping Station; and in March 1981, a Hetch Hetchy lineman fell to his death.

For the families of the vast majority of city workers, there is little protection when death comes. Police officers and fire fighters already have special death benefits.

Proposition F would cover all other workers in the City Retirement System. Proposition F will afford some measure of protection to city workers killed in the performance of their duties.

Proposition F will provide to beneficiaries an additional full year salary to the half-year presently provided, for a total of 18 months.

This is a small amount for a life given in the service of our city. **Vote YES on Proposition F.**

Dianne Feinstein, Mayor

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION F

Vote YES on Proposition F.

Proposition F is designed to correct a serious inequity on behalf of miscellaneous members of the Retirement System who are killed in line of duty or who die as a result of a violent or traumatic job-incurred injury.

Under current charter provisions, a designated beneficiary of a member who dies in the course of employment from any cause receives the member's contributions to the system, plus six months' salary.

Proposition F focuses only on those members who are killed in the line of duty or who die within 180 days from a violent or traumatic job-incurred injury. Beneficiaries of such members will be accorded an additional insurance

benefit of twelve months' salary.

The payment of additional compensation to a designated beneficiary will be subject to the independent hearing officer process as set forth in the City charter.

Proposition F is fair and equitable and, based on actuarial projections, the cost is minimal.

Proposition F is sponsored and endorsed by the Retirement Board, unanimously approved by the Board of Supervisors, and strongly supported by Mayor Dianne Feinstein.

Vote YES on Proposition F.

Submitted by Board of Supervisors

NO ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION F WAS SUBMITTED

TEXT OF PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT PROPOSITION F

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are indicated by **bold face type**; deletions are indicated by ~~strike-out type~~.

8.509 Retirement—Miscellaneous Officers and Employees On and After July 1, 1947

Miscellaneous officers and employees, as defined in this section, who are members of the retirement system under this section of the Charter on February 1, 1969, shall be members of the retirement

system, subject to the following provisions of this section, in addition to the provisions contained in Sections 3.670, 3.672, 8.500, 8.510 and 8.520 of this charter notwithstanding the provisions of any other section of the charter, provided that the retirement system shall be applied to persons employed on a part-time, temporary or substitute basis only as the board of supervisors shall determine by ordinance enacted by three-fourths vote of all members of the board. Miscellaneous officers and employees of the said departments

who are members of the retirement system under section 8.507 of the charter on February 1, 1969 shall continue to be members of the system under section 8.507 and shall not be subject to any of the provisions of this section, except as specifically provided in this section.

A(a) The following words and phrases as used in this section, unless a different meaning is plainly required by the context, shall have the following meaning:

(Continued on page 84)

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.



Police Probationary Period

PROPOSITION G

Shall the probationary period for police officers be extended from one-year from entry to one year after completion of training but not to exceed eighty-four weeks?

YES
NO

270
271

Analysis

by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: Police officers entering the Police Department serve a one-year probationary period. During the first 32 weeks, the police officer goes through the police training program. After successfully completing training, the officer is then assigned to regular police duties. The Police Department has 20 weeks to evaluate the officer while on regular police duty. After this 20 week period, the probation is complete.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition G would extend the probationary period of the police officer to one year after the completion of the training. In no event would it

extend the probationary period beyond 84 weeks from the date of entry. Any termination for breach of duty or misconduct would require charges and a hearing before the Police Commission.

A YES VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want the probationary period for a police officer to be extended to one year after completing the police training course but not to exceed 84 weeks.

A NO VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you want the probationary period for a police officer to remain one year after the date of entry into the department.

How Supervisors Voted on "G"

On August 6 the Board of Supervisors voted 11-0 on the question of placing Proposition G on the ballot.

The Supervisors voted as follows:

YES: Supervisors Harry Britt, Richard Hongisto, Willie Kennedy, Quentin Kopp, Bill Maher, John Molinari, Wendy Nelder, Louise Renne, Carol Ruth Silver, Nancy Walker and Doris Ward.

None of the Supervisors voted "No."

Controller's Statement on "G"

City Controller John C. Farrell has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition G:

"Should the proposed Charter amendment be adopted, in my opinion, it would have no effect on the cost of government."

THE TEXT OF PROPOSITION G APPEARS ON PAGE 89.

Police Probationary Period



ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION G

Your YES vote for this amendment will enable the Police Department to provide more effective police services by ensuring that after officers graduate from the Academy and complete a field training program, an adequate probationary evaluation period exists to determine an officer's fitness to perform police duties. Society demands much from its police officers. As the nature of police work has become increasingly complex, training and performance evaluation functions must meet the needs of an ever-evolving profession.

Great expenditure of time and money are invested in training police officers. Presently, if a recruit is not deemed ready for duty, the probationary period can be

completed during any retraining, thus making it difficult and costly to determine ultimate fitness for duty.

By voting YES, you will give the Chief of Police time necessary to adequately train and evaluate an officer's performance and to better ensure quality police services.

The Police Department has met and conferred with the Police Officers' Association regarding this amendment and has obtained that organization's support for its passage.

Vote YES on Proposition G.

Submitted by Board of Supervisors

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION G

The Police Chief asked for a longer probationary period because it is needed. Vote yes.

Richard Hongisto, Supervisor

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION G

I urge you to vote YES on Proposition G.

In recent years, because San Francisco has had the financial strength to do so, we've steadily expanded the size of the Police Department.

It is now the largest ever, with 1987 officers.

More and more officers are being assigned to our neighborhoods on beats and in patrolcars. We want the best officers possible.

That's why Proposition G is so important. It will give the Department greater ability to screen out officers who do not measure up to the strict standards of professionalism this city demands.

Proposition G will extend the probation period for a new officer to one complete year following completion of his or her field training.

Presently, probation starts when an officer is first inducted into the Department, before 18 weeks of class work in the City's outstanding Police Academy and an-

other 14 weeks of field training.

As strenuous as the class work and the training may be, the real test of an officer is on the street.

That's where his or her coolness, competence and compassion—in fact, all the qualities that this city wants in a superior police department—can be observed and tested.

Proposition G will give the department a full year to evaluate an officer in uniform and on the job.

Those who don't measure up can be dismissed after a departmental hearing. Protected will be the City's rightful concern that our police officers can truly be described as the City's finest.

Proposition G is a common-sense measure, sought by the Chief of Police, that will strengthen the San Francisco Police Department. **Vote YES on Proposition G.**

Dianne Feinstein, Mayor

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION G

This is probably the most important proposition on this Fall's ballot, a common sense measure. It deals with the Police Department, which has the ultimate responsibility for the protection of persons and property in our community. It increases the probationary period of police officers by one year. It is intended to ensure that the men and women who protect us are of the highest quality possible. Right now, police officers actually have only a four month probationary period. That's because they spend over 12 months probation in class and training, and only four months on the streets and on the job.

Police commanders need more time to evaluate the recruits' "street" performance. Proposition G does that by extending the on-the-job probationary period up to one year. For the sake of our children, neighbors and all San Franciscans, I want to be sure that a police officer is fully competent from a practical standpoint, not merely because of a classroom perspective. Therefore, I support Proposition G, and I urge you to vote for it, too.

Quentin L. Kopp, Supervisor



Police Motorcycle Pay

PROPOSITION H

Shall additional rates of pay be paid to members of the Police Department assigned to all two-wheel motorcycle duty based on the average paid in California cities of 350,000 and over population?

YES	274	♦
NO	275	♦

Analysis

by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: San Francisco police officers who ride two-wheel motorcycles as part of their job and who are assigned to the Traffic Division get bonus pay. Police officers who ride motorcycles as part of their job, but who are assigned to duties other than traffic, do not get bonus pay.

A YES VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want all San Francisco police officers who ride two-wheel motorcycles as part of their job to get the same bonus pay, whether or not they are assigned to the Traffic Division.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition H would give all San Francisco police officers who ride two-wheel motorcycles as part of their job the same bonus pay, whether or not they are assigned to the Traffic Division.

A NO VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you want only those San Francisco police officers who ride two-wheel motorcycles as part of their job and are assigned to the Traffic Division to get bonus pay.

How Supervisors Voted on "H"

On August 6 the Board of Supervisors voted 11-0 on the question of placing Proposition H on the ballot.

The Supervisors voted as follows:

YES: Supervisors Harry Britt, Richard Hongisto, Willie Kennedy, Quentin Kopp, Bill Maher, John Molinari, Wendy Nelder, Louise Renne, Carol Ruth Silver, Nancy Walker and Doris Ward.

None of the Supervisors voted "No."

Controller's Statement on "H"

City Controller John C. Farrell has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition H:

"Should the proposed Charter amendment be adopted, in my opinion, based on current rates of pay, staffing and assignments by the police department, the cost of government would be increased by approximately \$74,000 per year."

THE TEXT OF PROPOSITION H APPEARS ON PAGE 89.

Police Motorcycle Pay



ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION H

The Honda Motorcycle Unit of the San Francisco Police Department has, because of antiquated charter language, been denied payment of the hazard pay which is currently given the two-wheel motorcycle officers in the Traffic Division.

Because the primary function of the Honda Motorcycle Unit is crime fighting and not traffic enforcement, the

word "traffic" must be deleted from the charter in order to compensate equally all officers who perform hazardous motorcycle duty.

Please join us in voting YES for this very fair charter amendment.

Submitted by Board of Supervisors

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION H

In 1970, the San Francisco Police Department created a unit of light weight motorcycles to patrol Golden Gate Park and the beaches surrounding the City. In 1977 these duties were drastically changed to counteract rising street crimes around the Western Addition. Neighborhood associations, seeing the effectiveness of the unit, also requested additional patrols by these motorcycle officers. Since 1977 the Honda Unit (as it is known now) has been assigned to virtually every neighborhood in the City to reduce purse snatches, "red light" robberies, drag racing, and street narcotics traffic, along with demonstrations, marches, parades, and sporting events all over the City.

Also occurring since 1977 have been injuries to these highly visible, highly vulnerable motorcycle officers. Some of these injuries include one officer hit head on, and another officer suffering a leg broken by an auto which ran a red light. There have been numerous officers struck by other vehicles causing injuries, and injuries due

to other road hazards (oil slicks, pothole etc.). These have increased sharply since the Honda Unit has been assigned to primarily street patrol. One officer *was shot twice* while patrolling the Western Addition for purse snatches.

It is obvious that these officers are no longer "just" patrolling the parks and beaches as they were initially intended, but are now assigned to patrol the streets of the most crime-ridden areas of the City combating street crimes and enforcing traffic laws. These officers on prior occasions have requested Motorcycle Hazard Pay (which traffic enforcement two-wheel motorcycle officers already receive) for their patrol of these neighborhoods and streets on the two-wheel motorcycles but have been denied because of outdated wording in the Charter. Passage of this amendment would correct this inequity.

Al Casciato
President, S.F.P.O.A.

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION H

All police officers who ride motorcycles in the course of duty face special danger and deserve the premium pay already allowed for motorcycle traffic officers.

Supervisor *Richard Hongisto*

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION H

A police officer on a two-wheeler is often the first on the scene of a major crime or emergency. Day after day, there is no more hazardous duty for our police.

Whether on a motorcycle in pursuit of a felon on city streets, or on a motorbike on patrol in the remote areas of the City's parklands, an officer lives with danger. Because they can cut through traffic, the two-wheelers often are first to arrive at a shooting or bank robbery or car crash.

The City Charter has long recognized the dangers inherent in this essential police work and has provided


hazard-pay for motorcycle officers of the Traffic Division. Proposition H simply extends hazard-pay to the 17 or so officers on the equally-perilous motorbike detail.

The amount of money involved is small—only \$178 a month for each officer. Proposition H is small recognition of the dangers the police daily face in the line of duty. **Vote YES on Proposition H.**

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

NO ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION H WAS SUBMITTED

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.



Military & Social Spending Initiative

PROPOSITION I

(Initiative Ordinance): Shall San Francisco request that the federal government question all taxpayers whether they wish any part of their taxes used for excessive military spending and have the City publish an annual report on federal taxes collected from San Francisco and the portions used for excessive military purposes and for social needs?

YES	278	▶
NO	279	▶

Analysis

by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City and County of San Francisco does not have an official position on whether federal taxes should be used for certain kinds of defense spending.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition I says the Board of Supervisors must inform the President and certain other federal officials that the people of San Francisco think all taxpayers should be asked whether they want their tax dollars used for certain kinds of "excessive military expenditures", as defined in the measure. Proposition I also says the City's Chief Administrative Officer must publish an annual report on the amount of federal taxes collected from San Francisco residents, what portion of these taxes are used for military spending, and what portion are used for social or human needs. The Board of Supervisors would appoint a

seven-member Citizens' Advisory Committee to oversee the preparation of the report.

A YES VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you think federal officials should be told that the people of San Francisco think all taxpayers should be asked whether their tax dollars should be used for certain kinds of defense spending. You also want the City to publish an annual report on how federal tax dollars collected from San Francisco residents are spent.

A NO VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you don't think taxpayers should be asked whether their tax dollars should be used for certain kinds of defense spending, and you don't want the City to publish an annual report on federal taxes collected from San Francisco residents.

How "I" Got on Ballot

On August 24 Registrar of Voters Jay Patterson certified that the initiative petition relating to military and social expenditures had a sufficient number of signatures to be placed on the ballot.

The proponents of the petition had gathered 12,271 signatures which they turned in to the Registrar on August 2. The proponents are officially listed as G.C. Barnes, L.J. Crowe, H.J. Hobi and R.A. Wall.

A random check of the petition showed that 8,304 of the signatures were valid. This is more than the 7,332 signatures needed to qualify an initiative ordinance for the ballot.

Controller's Statement on "I"

City Controller John C. Farrell has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition I:

"Should the proposed initiative ordinance be adopted, in my opinion, there would be an increase in the cost of government, the amount of which cannot be determined at this time but should not be substantial."

**TEXT OF PROPOSITION I
APPEARS ON PAGE 75.**

Military & Social Spending Initiative



ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION I

This initiative should be passed because it educates people about excessive military spending and sends a clear message to the Federal Government that people want a choice as to how their taxes are spent.

Homer Hobi
Chairperson
The Humanist Party

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION I

Why waste taxpayers' money for a handful of misguided individuals?

City government is mandated to govern local citizens affairs.

Martin Eng
School Board Candidate

TEXT OF PROPOSED ORDINANCE PROPOSITION I

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Definitions. For the purpose of this ordinance, the following terms are defined:

(a) Excessive military expenditures: any part of the federal budget from any agency or department that is destined for research, development, and production of tactical and strategic nuclear weapons; research, development, and production of outer space laser-beam technology weapons (popularly known as "star-war" weapons); research, development, and production of chemical and biological warfare; military aid, both overt and covert, to undemocratic and/or repressive governments (governments that violate the basic human rights as defined in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights adopted in 1948 by the United Nations).

(b) Social or human needs: any part of the federal budget from any agency or department that is used for education, housing, health and human services, public transportation, and the arts.

(c) San Francisco taxpayer: any individual who pays federal taxes and who reports his or her principal place of residence within the San Francisco city limits;

and any corporation who is considered by the Internal Revenue Service as residing in San Francisco.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco shall notify the President of the United States, the Vice-President, all members of the President's Cabinet, and all members of Congress: that it is the policy of the people of San Francisco to call on the Federal Government to ask all Federal taxpayers, at the time they report their federal taxes, whether they wish that any part of their tax contribution be used for excessive military expenditures. This policy shall also be published for the people of San Francisco in major city newspapers.

Section 3. The Chief Administrative Officer shall be responsible for the publication of an annual report citing the amount of all federal tax monies collected from San Francisco taxpayers. This annual report shall include the following amounts:

(a) total federal tax revenues contributed by all San Francisco taxpayers;

(b) portion of the revenues in 3(a) budgeted for any type of military expenditures;

(c) portion of revenues in 3(a) budgeted for excessive military expenditures;

(d) portion of revenues in 3(a) budgeted for social or human needs;


(e) average individual tax contribution;

(f) average individual tax contribution allocated to (1) excessive military expenditures and (2) social needs.

The Board of Supervisors shall appoint a Citizen's Advisory Committee every year to oversee the preparation of the report and to ensure that its findings are accurate and impartial. The Citizen's Advisory Committee shall consist of seven members, at least three of whom shall be volunteers from renowned Peace organizations in San Francisco.

The report shall use the most current figures available from the federal government at the time of publication, citing the sources for the computations. If the necessary tax and budgetary breakdowns for San Francisco were not available, the report shall base itself upon tax revenue and budgetary figures for the entire nation (or subdivision thereof) and produce accurate extrapolations.

The Chief Administrative Officer shall publish the report in the two newspapers of largest circulation in San Francisco, no earlier than 14 days before but no later than the normal deadline for the filing of federal income taxes for individuals.



South African Investment Policy

PROPOSITION J

(Declaration of Policy): Shall it be the policy of San Francisco that no pension funds administered by the City be invested in business entities that do business in South Africa until apartheid is abolished and black people are given full political and civil rights in South Africa?

YES	283	♦
NO	284	♦

Analysis

by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City and County of San Francisco has no policy about the investment of pension funds with organizations that do business in South Africa.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition J would make it the official policy of the City and County of San Francisco and its agencies not to invest pension funds in corporations or other organizations that do business in South Africa. This would be the official policy until Black people are given full political and civil rights by the government of South Africa.

A YES VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want it to be the official policy of the City and County of San Francisco not to invest pension funds in corporations or other organizations that do business in South Africa.

A NO VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want the City and County of San Francisco to have an official policy against investing pension funds with organizations that do business in South Africa.

How "J" Got on Ballot

On August 24 Registrar of Voters Jay Patterson certified that the initiative petition on South African investment policy had a sufficient number of signatures to be placed on the ballot.

Julianne Malveaux, the official proponent of the petition drive, had gathered 11,404 signatures which she turned in to the Registrar on August 8.

A random check of the petition showed that 8,520 of the signatures were valid. This is more than the 7,332 signatures needed to qualify an initiative declaration of policy for the ballot.

Controller's Statement on "J"

City Controller John C. Farrell has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition J:

"Should the proposed initiative measure be adopted, in my opinion, it would not, in and of itself, affect the cost of government. However, as a product of its application, investment income may be affected in an indeterminate but possibly substantial amount."

POLLS ARE OPEN FROM 7 A.M. TO 8 P.M.

South African Investment Policy



ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION J

We support removing city pension funds from companies that do business with South Africa because we believe the city of San Francisco should not support the reprehensible institution of apartheid. Non-whites in South Africa are denied freedom of association, freedom of mobility, freedom of speech, and voting rights. San Franciscans support this inequality when city pension funds are invested in companies that do business with South Africa.

This investment is also a very risky investment since any civil unrest in South Africa may lower the value of the investment. Other investment possibilities will equal or exceed current yields on the pension portfolio.

We support this initiative because we support equal rights for all, and because we believe in prudent investment of city pension funds.

San Franciscans for Responsible Investments

Julianne Malveaux, Chair

Essie Mormen, Co-Chair

Henry Clark, Co-Chair

Arlo Hale Smith, Treasurer

Hon. Sala Burton

Hon. Harry Britt

Hon. Doris Ward

Hon. Richard Hongisto

Hon. Nancy Walker

San Francisco Democratic County Central Committee

Louis Hopp Lee

Kim Corsaro

Roberto Hernandez

Bruce St. Cyr

Tim Lennon

Beatrice Eisman

Joan Apodaca

Wardell Jackson

Susan Englander

Henry Der

Sue Hestor

Ida Strickland

Bradford Paul

Daniel Moses

National Labor Relations

Board Union Local 20

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION J

By supporting Proposition J, San Franciscans join citizens from fourteen other cities, including Washington, D.C., Boston, and Berkeley, in removing city pension funds from companies that do business with South Africa. Our support strikes a blow against the apartheid policy that has denied Black and non-white people equal rights in South Africa.

Removing pension funds from South Africa makes good economic sense. International investment advisors recommend against such investment because of the frailty of the South African political situation. Significantly,

none of the cities that have divested funds from South Africa have had lower earnings since divestment.

It is both morally just and fiscally sound to vote YES for Proposition J!

Julianne Malveaux, Ph.D.

Assistant Professor, Economics

S.F. State University

Candidate for Supervisor

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION J


As Governor of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, I am proud that we were the first state in the nation to vote to sell from our public pension fund portfolio all investments in firms doing business in South Africa. Since the passage of this landmark legislation, it has been our experience that divestiture makes not only a strong moral statement against apartheid, but divestiture has proven to have had no negative impact on our pension earnings.

I urge San Franciscans to give serious consideration to both the moral and the financial arguments as you consider divestiture as a policy of your great city.

Michael Dukakis

Governor, Massachusetts

Submitted by *John Burke*



South African Investment Policy

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION J

For over a decade individuals and institutional investors, including local and state governments, universities, foundations, churches, Taft-Hartley pension funds and health and welfare plans have refused to invest in, and divested from corporations and financial institutions economically supporting apartheid in South Africa.

They are investing and earning competitive returns in housing, small business, alternative energy, and other socially productive investments which create employment and prevent capital from being exported to South Africa.

It is fiduciarily irresponsible to risk beneficiaries' pension assets by investing in companies in South Africa.

Many trade and corporate risk analysts have recommended no investment exposure in South Africa. The Rockefeller Study Commission on U.S. policy toward Southern Africa, recently recommended no new corporate investments in that white, minority ruled country.

Vote to create jobs, housing and small business in your community, not apartheid in South Africa. Vote YES on Proposition J.

*John Harrington, President
Working Assets Money Fund*

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION J

"For the sake of the children of all South Africans, black and white, for God's sake, for the sake of world peace, the international community must take action, it must exert pressure on South Africa—political pressure, diplomatic pressure and above all, economic pressure." (Bishop Desmond Tutu, General Secretary-South African Council of Churches, 1981)

The apartheid system continues because of Western support. The South African Council of Churches has said "foreign investors must know they are investing to buttress one of the most vicious systems since Nazism." As members of the international religious community, we can no longer let others make decisions which contradict all that we believe in. We urge San Franciscans to vote yes on Proposition J as a specific, responsible way to express their moral rejection of apartheid.

*Rev. Cecil Williams
Dr. Thomas Ambrogio
Dr. Norman Leach
Rev. Glenda Hope
Rev. Jean Richardson
Rev. Robert Crome
Rev. Stanley Stefancic
Rev. James Claitor
Rev. Pamela White
First Congregational Church
Rev. Kenneth Westray
Rev. Preliono Walker
Rev. Charles Lewis
Rev. Matthew Fong
Rev. Donald Stuart
Rev. Lloyd Wake*

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION J

I support Proposition J and encourage a statewide effort to remove pension funds from companies that do business with South Africa.

*Lia Belli
Democratic Candidate,
State Senate*

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION J

VOTE YES ON "J"

STATEMENT BY UNION OFFICIALS

The United Nations General Assembly declared South African apartheid "a crime against humanity"; and that investment in South Africa "encourages the apartheid policies of that country".

Representatives of several hundred million unionists, meeting under UN -ILO auspices, called for the elimination of stocks of companies investing in South Africa, from pension funds.

As unionists and human rights advocates we support this measure.

*Committee for International Support of Trade Union Rights
Charles Lamb
Anthony L. Ramos
Jack Goldberger
Curtis Mc Clain
Frank Souza
Stanley M. Smith
Mary Anne Petersen
Timothy J. Twomey
Walter L. Johnson
Jack Henning*

South African Investment Policy



ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION J

To protect American interests and to halt the spread of Communist influence into South Africa, responsible action is needed to end legally-required racial segregation and to reasonably extend voting rights.

Proposition J is an important step towards a moderate solution of the South African problem.

Mike Garza

Republican State Committeeman

Max Woods

Republican Assembly Nominee

Todd Roust

Republican State Senate Nominee

Terence Faulkner

Republican County Committeeman

Robert Silvestri

Republican Assembly Nominee

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION J

WARNING TO VOTERS

Disregard any self-serving statements by Peter Ashe, Meta Stanley, and their controversial Retirement Board in connection with Proposition J.

The Retirement Board has done more than just aid South Africa by its investment policies.

The Retirement Board has made serious investment errors, produced poor investment yields, and run up market value investment portfolio losses of \$160,852,320 (as of 3/30/84) with Prudential-Bache.

One Retirement Board "success" was the purchase of 10,000 shares of Citicorp for \$3,473,320. The shares on 3/30/84 were worth \$340,000 (90% loss).

Mike Garza

Robert Silvestri

Max Woods

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION J

The Rainbow Coalition and all members, support this initiative. Stop investments of San Francisco pension funds in companies that do business in South Africa. Support Human Rights. People have a right to know that their pension funds are being used to support a racist, repressive, apartheid system, that denies basic human and civil rights to 24 million Africans. We have a right to know that a Black man can be detained in the South African penal system for as long as two years, for not having identification. That Black people there are denied

the right to vote, buy or sell land, and the right to live or work where they choose. Support Human Rights. Vote in favor of prop. J.

Gale White

African American of the Rainbow

Rev. Howard Gloyd

Northern California Chairman

The Rainbow Coalition

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION J

San Francisco CISPES supports the removal of city pension fund investments from firms that profit from apartheid.

The government of South Africa, like the government of El Salvador, denies its people the most basic human rights: freedom from arbitrary arrest and torture; freedom of speech and association; and the right to a decent standard of living.

In 1983, San Franciscans said no to military aid to El Salvador. In 1984, let us say no to investments in South Africa.

San Francisco Committee in Solidarity with the People of El Salvador

J

South African Investment Policy

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION J

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION J

Apartheid continues to be used to enslave black working people in South Africa. International labor has consistently condemned apartheid, and agrees that disinvestment from South Africa is an effective means of pressure.

David Aroner, Executive Director
SEIU Local 535
Timothy Twomey, Secretary-Treasurer
Hospital and Institutional Workers
Local 250
Paul Varacalli, Executive Director
United Public Employees Local 390/400
SEIU

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION J

For the Black majority, apartheid in South Africa means no right to vote, unionize or speak out. Those who resist are murdered, tortured and imprisoned. Nelson Mandela, leader of the African National Congress, has been imprisoned for over twenty years. Apartheid is fascism!

U.S. companies investing in South Africa profit from low wages paid to Black workers, and play a key role in

keeping apartheid alive. Say NO to apartheid and racism—Vote YES on Prop J.

Henry Clark, Candidate for
Congress, Fifth Congressional District
Gloria La Riva
All-Peoples' Congress
Johnnie Stevens
Southern Africa Freedom Committee

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION J

The Joint Council of the Service Employees International Union has unanimously endorsed this proposal. The Union took this action because of the economic oppression of citizens by the South African Government, because of the termination of trade union rights and the

arrest of union leaders, and because of the moral "Crime against humanity" of Apartheid.

Timothy J. Twomey

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION J

South African *apartheid* is condemned the world over. Even the Reagan Administration expresses opposition.

Yet South Africa and apartheid continue to flourish, subjecting all non-whites to the vilest humiliations, discrimination, and exploitation.

San Francisco supports apartheid through our investment of municipal pension funds in companies that do business in South Africa. By their very presence there, these companies maintain the status quo. Otherwise, the ruling white *minority* would not welcome these invest-

ments! *We allow* apartheid to continue, despite all the rhetoric we direct at South Africa.

San Franciscans can make *responsible* investments elsewhere. Voting YES on J, we join other cities in cutting the taproot—foreign finance—which allows the horrors of South Africa to go on, and on, and on. . . . End it! Vote YES, against apartheid!

Executive Committee
Harvey Milk Lesbian & Gay Democratic Club

Arguments printed on this page are the opinions of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.

Polls are open from 7 a.m. to 8 p.m.

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING PROPOSITION B

RESOLUTION CALLING A SPECIAL REVENUE BOND ELECTION IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR THE PURPOSE OF SUBMITTING TO THE QUALIFIED VOTERS OF SAID CITY AND COUNTY A PROPOSITION OF ISSUING REVENUE BONDS PURSUANT TO SECTION 7.312 OF THE CHARTER OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO IN THE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF \$104,000,000 TO PROVIDE FUNDS FOR THE PURPOSE OF ACQUIRING, CONSTRUCTING, FINANCING, IMPROVING AND DEVELOPING WATER DEPARTMENT FACILITIES; AND CONSOLIDATING SAID SPECIAL REVENUE BOND ELECTION WITH THE GENERAL MUNICIPAL ELECTION TO BE HELD ON NOVEMBER 6, 1984.

WHEREAS, Section 7.312 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco provides that subject to the approval, amendment or rejection of the Board of Supervisors in each instance, the Public Utilities Commission shall have authority to issue revenue bonds for the purpose of acquiring, constructing, financing, improving or developing water facilities of the San Francisco Water Department subject to the revenue bond voter approval requirements of Charter Section 7.300, in accordance with the terms and conditions recommended by the Public Utilities Commission; and

WHEREAS, the Public Utilities Commission of the City and County of San Francisco, by resolution No. 84-0363 adopted July 24, 1984, pursuant to said Section 7.312, has recommended that the Board of Supervisors, by resolution, submit to the qualified voters of the City and County of San Francisco, at an election to be held for that purpose on November 6, 1984, the proposition of issuing bonds in the principal amount of \$104,000,000 pursuant to the Revenue Bond Law of 1941, as it read on June 5, 1984, and Charter Section 7.312 for the purpose of acquiring, constructing, financing, improving and developing San Francisco Water Department facilities, under the jurisdiction of the Public Utilities Commission, in accordance with the terms and conditions recommended by the Public Utilities Commission in said resolution 84-0363;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of

the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special revenue bond election is hereby ordered and will be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, November 6, 1984, at which election there shall be submitted to the qualified voters of the City and County the proposition of issuing revenue bonds pursuant to Section 7.312 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of providing funds for acquiring, constructing, financing, improving and developing San Francisco Water Department facilities, under the jurisdiction of the Public Utilities Commission of the City and County of San Francisco, all as set forth in the following proposition:

Public Utilities Commission Revenue Bonds

Shall the Public Utilities Commission of the City and County of San Francisco issue revenue bonds in the principal amount of \$104,000,000 pursuant to Charter Section 7.312 to provide funds for acquiring, constructing, financing, improving and developing additions, betterments and improvements to the San Francisco Water Department water supply, storage and distribution system, including filtration and treatment works and plants, reservoirs and other water supply, storage and distribution facilities, lands and equipment necessary or convenient for the San Francisco Water Department water supply, storage and distribution system?

Section 2. Said revenue bonds in the principal amount of \$104,000,000 (herein called the "Bonds") are proposed to be issued to finance improvements to an enterprise (herein called the "Enterprise") which is herein defined to be the City and County of San Francisco municipal water supply, storage and distribution systems of the San Francisco Water Department, including all of the presently existing municipal water system of the City and County and all additions, betterments, extensions and improvements thereto. Said existing water system and the proposed improvements thereto shall constitute a single, unified integrated enterprise, and the revenues therefrom shall be pledged to the payment of the bonds. It is hereby found and determined that said municipal water system is necessary to enable the City and County to exercise its municipal powers

and functions, namely, to furnish sufficient water for any present or future beneficial use of the City and County.

(a) The purpose for which the Bonds are proposed to be issued is to provide funds for acquiring, constructing, financing, improving and developing San Francisco Water Department facilities, including any expenses incidental thereto or connected therewith.

(b) The estimated costs of the acquisition, construction, financing, improvement and development is \$104,000,000. Said estimated cost includes all costs and expenses incidental thereto or connected therewith, engineering, inspection, legal and fiscal agents' fees, cost of the revenue bond election and of the issuance of the Bonds, bond reserve funds, and working capital and bond interest estimated to accrue during the construction period and for a period of not to exceed 12 months after completion of construction.

(c) The maximum principal amount of the Bonds proposed to be issued is \$104,000,000.

Section 3. The Board of Supervisors hereby submits to the qualified voters of the City and County of San Francisco at said special revenue bond election the proposition set forth in Section 1 of this resolution, and designates and refers to said proposition in the form of ballot hereinafter prescribed for use at said election.

(a) Said special revenue bond election shall be held and conducted, and the votes thereafter canvassed and the returns thereof made, and the result thereof ascertained and determined as herein provided; and in all particulars not described by this resolution, said special election shall be held and the votes canvassed pursuant to law for general municipal elections in the City and County and pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 52 of the San Francisco Administrative Code.

(b) All persons qualified to vote at municipal elections in the City and County upon the date of the election herein provided for shall be qualified to vote upon the proposition submitted at said special revenue bond election.

(c) Said special revenue bond election is hereby consolidated with the general municipal election to be held in the City and County on November 6, 1984, pursuant to the Charter of the City and County. The precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special revenue bond election shall be the same as those established

and designated for said general municipal election. Reference is hereby made to the notice of election by the Registrar of Voters setting forth the precincts, polling places and officers of election for said general municipal election, to be published on or about October 15, 1984 for more particular description of said precincts, polling places and officers of election.

(d) The ballot used at said general municipal election and said special revenue bond election hereby consolidated therewith shall have printed thereon, in addition to all other matters required by law, the proposition set forth in Section 1 of this resolution.

(e) Each voter to vote for said proposition hereby submitted and in favor of the issuance of the Bonds, shall stamp a cross (X) in the blank space opposite the word "YES" on the ballot to the right of said proposition, and to vote against said proposition and against the issuance of the Bonds shall stamp a cross (X) in the blank space opposite the word "NO" on the ballot to the right of said proposition. On absent voters ballots, the cross (X) may be marked with pen or pencil.

If and to the extent that punch card ballot cards are used at said special revenue bond election, each voter to vote for said proposition shall punch the ballot card in the hole after the word "YES" to the right of said proposition, and to vote against said proposition shall punch the ballot card in the hole after the word "NO" to the right of said proposition.

If and to the extent that voting machines are used at said special revenue bond election such machines shall be so arranged

that any qualified voter may vote for said proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "YES" under or near a statement of said proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for said proposition, and may vote against it by pulling down a lever over the word "NO" under or near a statement of said proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote against said proposition. The statement of said proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material used in voting machines shall read substantially as follows:

\$104,000,000 San Francisco Water Department Revenue Bonds.

Said voting machines and the preparation of the same shall comply in all respects with law.

Section 4. If the proposition set forth in Section 1 of this resolution shall be authorized by the qualified voters of the City and County by the votes of a majority of all the voters voting on said proposition, the Bonds may be issued and sold for the purpose set forth in Section 2 of this resolution.

The Bonds are to be revenue bonds, payable exclusively from the revenues of the Enterprise and such other funds from any source as may be legally available for such purpose and may be used by the City and County for such purpose without incurring indebtedness. The Bonds are not to be secured by the taxing power of the City and County, and shall be issued under Section 7.312 of the Charter of the City

and County. The principal of and interest on the Bonds and any premiums upon the redemption of any thereof shall not constitute a debt of the City and County, nor a legal or equitable pledge, charge, lien or encumbrance upon any of its property, or upon any of its income, receipts or revenues except the revenues of the Enterprise and any other funds that may be legally applied, pledged or otherwise made available to their payment. The Bonds, if authorized, shall be special obligations of the Public Utilities Commission and shall be secured by a pledge and shall be a charge upon, and shall be payable, as to the principal thereof, interest thereon, and any premiums upon the redemption of any thereof, solely from and secured by a lien upon the revenues of the Enterprise and such funds as may be described in the resolution authorizing the issuance of the Bonds.

The Bonds shall not constitute or evidence indebtedness of the City and County but shall constitute and evidence only an indebtedness of the Public Utilities Commission payable solely out of revenues received by the Public Utilities Commission from San Francisco Water Department facilities operated or controlled by it. The Bonds shall not be included in the bonded debt limit provided for in Section 6.401 of the Charter.

Section 5. This resolution shall be printed in the voters' pamphlet and mailed to each registered voter pursuant to Section 9.105 of the Charter of the City and County.

TEXT OF PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT PROPOSITION C, Continued from page 61

bureau of supplies, the operation of central stores and warehouses, and the operation of central garages and shops, and shall be administered by the purchaser of supplies who shall be appointed by the chief administrative officer and shall hold office at his pleasure.

Real Estate Department, which shall include the functions and personnel of the office of the right-of-way agent.

Department of Public Works, which shall include the functions and personnel of the telephone exchange and which shall be in charge of and administered by the director of public works, who shall be appointed by the chief administrative officer and shall hold office at his pleasure.

The director of public works shall appoint a deputy director of public works for operations, a deputy director of public

works for engineering, a deputy director of public works for financial management and administration, and an assistant to the director of public works, each of whom shall hold office at the pleasure of said director. The director of public works shall designate a deputy or other employee to perform the duties of city engineer. Said deputy or employee shall possess the same power in the city and county in making surveys, plats and certificates as is or may from time to time be given by law to city engineers and to county surveyors, and his official acts and all plats, surveys and certificates made by him shall have the same validity and be of the same force and effect as are or may be given by law to those of city engineers and county surveyors.

All examinations, plans and estimates required by the supervisors in connection

with any public improvements, exclusive of those to be made by the public utilities commission, shall be made by the director of public works, and he shall, when requested to do so, furnish information and data for the use of the supervisors.

The department of public works shall semi-annually notify the tax collector of the amount of each assessment that becomes delinquent and the lot and block number against which such assessment is levied, and it shall be the duty of the tax collector to note such delinquency on each annual tax bill.

The department of public works shall have powers and duties relating to street traffic, subject to the laws relating thereto, as follows:

(a) to cooperate with and assist the police department in the promotion of

traffic safety education;

(b) to receive, study and give prompt attention to complaints relating to street design or traffic devices or the absence thereof;

(c) to collect, compile, analyze and interpret traffic and parking data and to analyze and interpret traffic accident information;

(d) to engage in traffic research and traffic planning; and

(e) to cooperate for the best performance of these functions with any department and agency of the city and county and the state as may be necessary.

The department shall submit to the traffic bureau of the police department, for its review and recommendation, all proposed plans relating to street traffic control devices; provided, however, that the bureau may waive submission and review of plans of particular devices designated by it. Failure of the said traffic bureau to submit to the department its recommendation on any proposed plan within fifteen (15) days after receipt shall be considered an automatic approval of said traffic bureau. The department shall not, with respect to any traffic control devices, implement such plan until the recommendation of the traffic bureau has been reviewed or until the fifteen (15) day period has elapsed.

Department of Electricity, which shall be administered by a chief of department. The premises of any person, firm or corporation may, for the purpose of police or fire protection, be connected with the police or fire signal or telephone system of the city and county upon paying a fair compensation for such connection and the use of the same, provided that any such connection shall require the approval of the chief of the department of electricity and shall not in any way overload or interfere with the proper and efficient operation of the circuit to which it is connected. The conditions upon which such connection shall be made and the compensation to be paid therefor shall be fixed by the board of supervisors by ordinance upon the recommendation of the chief of the department.

~~Department of Public Health, which shall be administered by a director of health, who shall be a regularly licensed physician or surgeon in the State of California, with not less than 10 years' practice in his profession immediately preceding his appointment thereto; provided, however, that the physician or surgeon requirement may be waived by the board of supervisors. He shall be appointed by the chief administrative officer and shall hold office at his pleasure.~~

~~The chief administrative officer shall have power to appoint and to remove an assistant director of public health for hospital services, who shall be responsible for the administrative and business management of the institutions of the department of public health, including, but not limited to, the San Francisco General Hospital, Laguna Honda Home, Hassler Health Home, and the Emergency Hospital Service, and who shall be exempt from the civil service provisions of the charter. The position of assistant director of public health for hospital services shall be held only by a person who possesses the educational and administrative qualifications and experience necessary to manage the institutions of the department of public health.~~

~~The director of public health shall have power to appoint and remove an administrator of San Francisco General Hospital who shall be exempt from the civil service provisions of the charter. The position of administrator shall be held only by a physician or hospital administrator who possesses the educational and administrative qualifications and experience necessary to manage the San Francisco General Hospital.~~

~~Health Advisory Board. There is hereby created a health advisory board of seven members, three of whom shall be physicians and one dentist, all regularly certified. Members of the board shall serve without compensation. They shall be appointed by the chief administrative officer for terms of four years; provided, however, that those first appointed shall classify themselves by lot so that the terms of one physician and one lay member shall expire in 1933, 1934 and 1935, respectively, and the term of one member in 1936.~~

~~Such board shall consider and report on problems and matters under the jurisdiction of the department of public health and shall consult, advise with and make recommendations to the director of health relative to the functions and affairs of the department. The recommendations of such board shall be made in writing to the director of health and to the chief administrative officer.~~

Coroner's office, which shall include the functions and personnel of the existing office of coroner as established at the time this charter shall go into effect.

County Agricultural Department, which shall be administered by a county agricultural commissioner and shall include functions established by state law and those assigned to it by or in accordance with provisions of this charter.

Department of Weights and Measures, which shall include the functions and per-

sonnel of the office of sealer of weights and measures as established at the time this charter shall go into effect.

Convention Facilities Management Department, which shall include the city and county's convention facilities, including but not limited to Brooks Hall, Civic Auditorium and Moscone Center, and shall consist of a general manager and such employees as may be necessary to carry out the functions and duties of said department. The chief administrative officer shall have charge of the department of convention facilities management.

The chief administrative officer shall appoint a general manager of the convention facilities management department who shall hold office at his pleasure. The general manager shall be the administrative head and appointing officer of the department of convention facilities management. Subject to the approval of the chief administrative officer, the general manager shall have power to alter, repair, manage, operate and maintain all of the city and county convention facilities, including but not limited to Brooks Hall, Civic Auditorium and Moscone Center. All contracts or orders for work to be performed on convention facilities shall be awarded and executed by the general manager with the approval of the chief administrative officer and shall be administered by the general manager.

It shall be the function and duty of the department of convention facilities management to manage, operate and maintain all of the city and county convention facilities, including, but not limited to, Brooks Hall, Civic Auditorium and Moscone Center.

If in the election of June 3, 1980 November 6, 1984 two or more propositions amending section 3.510 of this charter receive the number of votes necessary for their adoption, notwithstanding any other provisions of this charter, the city attorney shall incorporate their provisions into one section.

PART TWENTY-ONE: HEALTH COMMISSION

3.695 Composition of Department; Commission

The public health department shall consist of a health commission, a director of public health and such employees as shall be necessary and appointed pursuant to the provisions of this charter. The department shall be under the management of a health commission consisting of seven members who shall be appointed by the mayor. Said commission shall have less than a majority of direct providers of health care. Direct providers of health care shall mean all

health professionals and others whose "primary current activity" is the provision of patient care or the administration of facilities or institutions which provide patient care. The term of each member of the commission shall be four years, commencing at twelve o'clock, noon, on the 15th day of January in the year 1985; provided, that the respective terms of office of those first appointed shall be as follows: two for four years, two for three years, two for two years, and one for one year. Vacancies occurring on said commission either during or at the expiration of the terms of each of said members shall be filled by the mayor. No commissioner may serve more than two consecutive terms or a total of eight years.

The compensation of each commissioner shall be one hundred dollars (\$100) per month. The commissioners shall annually elect one of their members president. They shall adopt such rules and regulations as may be necessary for the governance of the commission.

3.696 Director of Public Health; Other Executives

The health commission shall appoint a director of public health who shall serve at the pleasure of the commission and shall not be subject to the civil service provisions of this charter. The commission shall also appoint a secretary, which appointment shall not be subject to the civil service provisions of this charter. The director of public health shall be a regularly licensed physician in the State of California, with not less than five years' experience in public health administration immediately preceding his or her appointment thereto; provided, however, that the physician requirement may be waived by the health commission. The director of public health shall be the chief executive of the commission and shall, subject to the approval of the commission, manage all operations under its jurisdiction. The director shall have power to appoint and remove a deputy director for operations, a deputy director for community health programs, an administrator for San Francisco General Hospital and an administrator for Laguna Honda Hospital. The administrators of San Francisco General Hospital and of Laguna Honda Hospital shall have power to appoint and remove as-

sociate administrators to the extent such positions are created by ordinance of the board of supervisors.

These positions shall be exempt from the civil service provisions of this charter and shall be held by persons who possess the educational and administrative qualifications and experience necessary to manage the divisions and institutions of the department. However, any person who has civil service status in any of the above positions on the effective date of this amendment shall continue to have such status under the civil service provisions of this charter. All positions in the department legally authorized shall be continued and incumbents therein legally appointed thereto shall be continued as officers and employees of the department under the conditions governing their respective appointments except as otherwise provided in this charter.

3.697 Powers and Duties

The health commission shall manage and control the city and county hospitals, emergency medical services, and all matters pertaining to the preservation, promotion, and protection of the lives, health and mental health of the inhabitants of the city and county, except where this charter specifically grants that power to another department. It may also determine the nature and character of public health nuisances and provide for their abatement.

The commission shall inspect the sanitary conditions of the municipal institutions of the city and county, including jails and all public buildings; of the disposition of the dead; of the disposition of garbage, offal and offensive substances.

The commission shall be a policy-making and supervisory body and shall have all the powers provided for in section 3.500 of this charter. The commission shall have the power to establish and make appointments to advisory committees as it shall deem necessary.

8.107 Suspension and Removal

Any elective officer, and any member of the civil service commission, health commission, or public utilities commission or school board may be suspended by the mayor and removed by the board of supervisors for official misconduct, and the mayor shall appoint a qualified person to

discharge the duties of the office during the period of suspension. On such suspension, the mayor shall immediately notify the supervisors thereof in writing and the cause therefor, and shall present written charges against such suspended officer to the board of supervisors at or prior to its next regular meeting following such suspension, and shall immediately furnish copy of same to such officer, who shall have the right to appear with counsel before the board in his defense. Hearing by the supervisors shall be held not less than five days after the filing of written charges. If the charges are deemed to be sustained by not less than a three-fourths vote of all members of the board, the suspended officer shall be removed from office; if not so sustained, or if not acted on by the board of supervisors within thirty (30) days after the filing of written charges, the suspended officer shall thereby be reinstated.

The mayor must immediately remove from office any elective official convicted of a crime involving moral turpitude, and failure of the mayor so to act shall constitute official misconduct on his or her part.

Any appointee of the mayor, exclusive of civil service, health, recreation and park, and public utilities commissioners, and members of the school board, may be removed by the mayor. Any nominee or appointee of the mayor whose appointment is subject to confirmation by the board of supervisors, except the chief administrative officer and the controller, as in this charter otherwise provided, may be removed by a majority of such board and with the concurrence of the mayor. In each case, written notice shall be given or transmitted to such appointee of such removal, the date of effectiveness thereof, and the reasons therefor, a copy of which notice shall be printed at length in the journal of proceedings of the board of supervisors, together with such reply in writing as such official may make. Any appointee of the mayor or the board of supervisors guilty of official misconduct or convicted of crime involving moral turpitude must be removed by the mayor or the board of supervisors, as the case may be, and failure of the mayor or any supervisor to take such action shall constitute official misconduct on his or their part.

TEXT OF PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT PROPOSITION F — Continued from page 69

"Retirement allowance," or "allowance," shall mean equal monthly payments, beginning to accrue upon the date of retirement, and continuing for life unless a different term of payment is definitely

provided by the context.

"Compensation," as distinguished from benefits under the ~~workmen's~~workers' compensation laws of the State of California shall mean all remuneration whether in

cash or by other allowances made by the city and county, for service qualifying for credit under this section.

"Compensation earnable" shall mean the compensation as determined by the re-

tiement board, which would have been earned by the member had he worked, throughout the period under consideration, the average number of days ordinarily worked by persons in the same grade or class of positions as the positions held by him during such period and at the rate of pay attached to such positions, it being assumed that during any absence he was in the position held by him at the beginning of the absence, and that prior to entering city-service he was in the position first held by him in city-service.

"Benefit" shall include "allowance," "retirement allowance," and "death benefit."

"Average final compensation" shall mean the average monthly compensation earned by a member during any five consecutive years of credited service in the retirement system in which his average final compensation is the highest, unless the board of supervisors shall otherwise provide by ordinance enacted by three-fourths vote of all members of the board.

For the purposes of the retirement system and of this section, the terms "miscellaneous officer or employee," or "member," as used in this section shall mean any officer or employee who is not a member of the fire or police departments as defined in the charter for the purpose of the retirement system, under section 8.507 of the charter.

"Retirement system" or "system" shall mean San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System as created in section 8.500 of the charter.

"Retirement board" shall mean "retirement board" as created in section 3.670 of the charter.

"Charter" shall mean the charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Words used in the masculine gender shall include the feminine and neuter genders, and singular numbers shall include the plural and the plural the singular.

"Interest" shall mean interest at the rate adopted by the retirement board.

B (b) Any member who completes at least twenty years of service in the aggregate credited in the retirement system and attains the age of fifty years, or at least ten years of service in the aggregate credited in the retirement system, and attains the age of sixty years, said service to be computed under subsection **G (g)** hereof, may retire for service at his option. Members shall be retired on the first day of the month next following the attainment by them of the age of sixty-five years. A member retired after reaching the age of sixty years shall receive a service retirement allowance at the rate of 2 per cent of said average final compensation for each year of service; provided, however, that upon

the compulsory retirement of a member upon his attainment of the age of sixty-five years, if the allowance available to such member pursuant to the provisions of subsection **F (f)** of this section shall be greater in amount than the service retirement allowance otherwise payable to such member under this subsection **B (b)**, then such member shall receive as his service retirement allowance, in lieu of the allowance otherwise payable under this subsection **B (b)**, an allowance computed in accordance with the formula provided in said subsection **F (f)**. The service retirement allowance of any member retiring prior to attaining the age of sixty years, after rendering twenty years or more of such service and having attained the age of fifty years, computed under subsection **G (g)**, shall be an allowance equal to the percentage of said average final compensation set forth opposite his age at retirement, taken to the preceding completed quarter year, for each year of service, computed under subsection **G (g)**:

Age at Retirement	Percent for Each Year of Credited Service
50	1.0000
50¼	1.0250
50½	1.0500
50¾	1.0750
51	1.1000
51¼	1.1250
51½	1.1500
51¾	1.1750
52	1.2000
52¼	1.2250
52½	1.2500
52¾	1.2750
53	1.3000
53¼	1.3250
53½	1.3500
53¾	1.3750
54	1.4000
54¼	1.4250
54½	1.4500
54¾	1.4750
55	1.5000
55¼	1.5250
55½	1.5500
55¾	1.5750
56	1.6000
56¼	1.6250
56½	1.6500
56¾	1.6750
57	1.7000
57¼	1.7250
57½	1.7500
57¾	1.7750
58	1.8000
58¼	1.8250
58½	1.8500
58¾	1.8750

Age at Retirement	Percent for Each Year of Credited Service
59	1.9000
59¼	1.9250
59½	1.9500
59¾	1.9750
60	2.0000

In no event shall a member's retirement allowance exceed seventy-five percent of his average final compensation.

Before the first payment of a retirement allowance is made, a member retired under this subsection or subsection **C (c)** of this section, may elect to receive the actuarial equivalent of his allowance, partly in an allowance to be received by him throughout his life, and partly in other benefits payable after his death to another person or persons, provided that such election shall be subject to all the conditions prescribed by the board of supervisors to govern similar elections by other members of the retirement system, including the character and amount, of such other benefits; provided, however, that at any time within ~~thirty~~ 30 days after the date on which his compulsory retirement would otherwise have become effective, a member who has attained the age of ~~sixty-five~~ 65 years may elect, without right to revocation, to withdraw his accumulated contributions, said election to be exercised in writing on a form furnished by the retirement system and filed at the office of said system and a member so electing shall be considered as having terminated his membership in said system on the date immediately preceding the date on which his compulsory retirement would otherwise have become effective and he shall be paid forthwith his accumulated contributions, with interest credited thereon. Notwithstanding the provisions of section 8.514 of the charter, the portion of service retirement allowance provided by the city and county's contributions shall be not less than **one hundred (\$100) dollars** per month upon retirement after thirty years of service and after attaining the age of sixty years, and provided further that as to any member within fifteen years or more of service at the compulsory retirement age of sixty-five, the portion of the service retirement allowance provided by the city and county's contribution shall be such that the total retirement allowance shall not be less than **one hundred (\$100) dollars** per month. In the calculations under this subsection of the retirement allowance of a member having credit for service in a position in the evening schools and service in any other position, separate retirement allowances shall be calculated, in the manner prescribed for

each class of service, the average final compensation in each case being that for the respective class of service; provided that the aggregate retirement allowance shall be taken into account in applying the provisions of this subsection providing for a minimum retirement allowance. Part time service and compensation shall be reduced to full time service and compensation in the manner prescribed by the board of supervisors, and when so reduced shall be applied on full time service and compensation in the calculation of retirement allowances.

§ (c) Any member who becomes incapacitated for performance of duty because of disability determined by the retirement board to be of extended and uncertain duration, and who shall have completed at least ten years of service credited in the retirement system in the aggregate, computed as provided in subsection § (g) hereof, shall be retired upon an allowance of one and eight-tenths percent of the average final compensation of said member, as defined in subsection A (a) hereof for each year of credited service, if such retirement allowance exceeds forty percent of his average final compensation; otherwise one and eight-tenths percent of his average final compensation multiplied by the number of years of city-service which would be credited to him were such city-service to continue until attainment by him of age sixty, but such retirement allowance shall not exceed forty percent of such average final compensation. In the calculation under this subsection of the retirement allowance of a member having credit for service in a position in the evening schools and service in any other position, separate retirement allowance shall be calculated, in the manner prescribed for each class of service, the average final compensation in each case being that for the respective class of service; provided that the average final compensation upon which the minimum total retirement allowance is calculated in such case shall be based on the compensation earnable by the member in the classes of service rendered by him during the one (1) year immediately preceding his retirement. Part time service and compensation shall be reduced to full time service and compensation in the manner prescribed by the board of supervisors, and when so reduced shall be applied as full time service and compensation in the calculation of retirement allowances. The question of retiring a member under this subsection may be brought before the retirement board on said board's own motion, by recommendation of any commission or board, or by said member or his guardian. If his disability shall cease, his

retirement allowance shall cease, and he shall be restored to service in the position or classification he occupied at the time of his retirement.

§ (d) No modification of benefits provided in this section shall be made because of any amounts payable to or on account of any member under ~~workmen's~~ workers' compensation laws of the State of California.

§ (e) If a member shall die, before his retirement, ~~regardless of cause~~:

(1) If no benefit is payable under subdivision (2) of this subsection § (e):

(A) ~~Regardless of cause~~, a death benefit shall be paid to his the member's estate or designated beneficiary consisting of the compensation earnable by him the member during the six months immediately preceding death, plus his the member's contributions and interest credited thereon.

(B) If a member sustains a traumatic bodily injury through external and violent means in the course and scope of employment and death results within 180 days of such injury, an additional insurance benefit of twelve months of compensation earnable shall be paid to the member's estate or designated beneficiary.

(2) If, at the date of his death, he was qualified for service retirement by reason of service and age under the provisions of subsection § (b) of this section, and he has designated as beneficiary his surviving spouse, who was married to him for at least one full year immediately prior to the date of his death, one-half of the retirement allowance to which the member would have been entitled if he had retired for service on the date of his death shall be paid to such surviving spouse who was his designated beneficiary at the date of his death, until such spouse's death or remarriage, or if there be no surviving spouse, to the unmarried child or children of such member under the age of eighteen years, collectively, until every such child dies, marries or attains the age of eighteen years, provided that no child shall receive any allowance after marrying or attaining the age of eighteen years. If, at the death of such surviving spouse, who was receiving an allowance under this subdivision (2), there be one or more unmarried children of such member under the age of eighteen years, such allowance shall continue to such child or children collectively, until every such child dies, marries or attains the age of eighteen years, provided that no child shall receive any allowance after marrying or attaining the age of eighteen years. If the total of the payments of allowance made pursuant to this subdivision (2) is less than the benefit which was otherwise payable

under subdivision (1) of this subsection, the amount of said benefit payable under subdivision (1) less an amount equal to the total of the payments of allowance made pursuant to this subdivision (2) shall be paid in a lump sum as follows:

a (A) If the person last entitled to said allowance is the remarried surviving spouse of such member, to such spouse.

b (B) Otherwise, to the surviving children of the member, share and share alike, or if there are no such children, to the estate of the person last entitled to said allowance.

The surviving spouse may elect, on a form provided by the retirement system and filed in the office of the retirement system before the first payment of the allowance provided herein, to receive the benefit provided in subdivision (1) of this subsection in lieu of the allowance which otherwise would be payable under the provisions of this subdivision. If a surviving spouse, who was entitled to make the election herein provided, shall die before or after making such election but before receiving any payment pursuant to such election, then the legally appointed guardian of the unmarried children of the member under the age of eighteen years may make the election herein provided before any benefit has been paid under this subsection § (e), for and on behalf of such children if in his judgment it appears to be in their interest and advantage and the election so made shall be binding and conclusive upon all parties in interest.

If any person other than such surviving spouse shall have and be paid a community property interest in any portion of any benefit provided under this subsection § (e), any allowance payable under this subdivision (2) shall be reduced by the actuarial equivalent, at the date of the member's death, of the amount of benefits paid to such other person.

Upon the death of a member after retirement and regardless of the cause of death, a death benefit shall be paid to his estate or designated beneficiary in the manner and subject to the conditions prescribed by the board of supervisors for the payment of a similar death benefit upon the death of other retired members;

F (f) Should any miscellaneous member cease to be employed as such a member, through any cause other than death or retirement, all of his contributions, with interest credited thereon, shall be refunded to him subject to the conditions prescribed by the board of supervisors to cover similar terminations of employment and reemployment with and without redeposit of withdrawn accumulated contributions of other members of the retirement system,

provided that if such member is entitled to be credited with at least ten years of service or if his accumulated contributions exceed one thousand dollars (\$1,000), he shall have the right to elect, without right of revocation and within ninety 90 days after said termination of service, or if the termination was by lay-off, ninety 90 days after the retirement board determines the termination to be permanent, whether to allow his accumulated contributions to remain in the retirement fund and to receive benefits only as provided in this paragraph. Failure to make such election shall be deemed an irrevocable election to withdraw his accumulated contributions. A person who elects to allow his accumulated contributions to remain in the retirement fund shall be subject to the same age requirements as apply to other members under this section for service retirement but he shall not be subject to a minimum service requirement. Upon the qualification of such member for retirement by reason of age, he shall be entitled to receive a retirement allowance which shall be the actuarial equivalent of his accumulated contributions and an equal amount of the contributions of the city and county, plus one and two-thirds (1-2/3) percent of his average final compensation for each year of service credited to him as rendered prior to his first membership in the retirement system. Upon the death of such member prior to retirement, his contributions with interest credited thereon shall be paid to his estate or designated beneficiary.

G (g) The following time and service shall be included in the computation of the service to be credited to a member for the purpose of determining whether such member qualifies for retirement and calculating benefits:

(1) Time during which said member is a member of the retirement system and during and for which said member is entitled to receive compensation because of services as a miscellaneous officer or employee.

(2) Service in the fire and police departments which is not credited as service of a member under this section shall count under this section upon transfer of a member of either of such departments to employment entitling him to membership in the retirement system under this section, provided that the accumulated contribution standing to the credit of such member shall be adjusted by refund to the member or by payment of the member to bring the account at the time of such transfer to the amount which would have been credited to it had the member been a miscellaneous employee throughout the period of his service in either of such departments at the

compensation he received in such departments.

(3) Time during which said member is absent from a status included in paragraphs (1) or (2) next preceding which is not deemed absence from service under the provisions of section 8.520 of the charter and for which such member is entitled to receive credit as service for the city and county by virtue of contributions made in accordance with the provisions of such section.

(4) Prior service determined and credited as prescribed by the board of supervisors for persons who are members under section 8.507.

(5) The board of supervisors, by ordinance enacted by a three-fourths vote of its members, may provide for the crediting as service under the retirement system of service, other than military service, rendered as an employee of the federal government and services rendered as an employee of the State of California or any public entity or public agency in the State of California. Said ordinance shall provide that all contributions required as the result of the crediting of such service shall be made by the member and that no contributions therefore shall be required of the city and county.

H (h) All payments provided under this section shall be made from funds derived from the following sources, plus interest earned on said funds:

(1) There shall be deducted from each payment of compensation made to a member under section 8.509 a sum equal to seven and one-half (7-1/2) percent of such payment of compensation. The sum so deducted shall be paid forthwith to the retirement system. Said contribution shall be credited to the individual account of the member from whose salary it was deducted, and the total of said contributions, together with interest credited thereon in the same manner as is prescribed by the board of supervisors for crediting interest to contributions of other members of the retirement system, shall be applied to provide part of the retirement allowance granted to, or allowance granted on account of said member under section 8.509, or shall be paid to said member or his estate or beneficiary as provided in sections 8.509(e) and 8.509(f).

(2) Contributions based on time included in paragraphs (1) and (3) of subsection **G (g)**, and deducted prior to July 1, 1947, from compensation of persons who become members under this section, and standing with interest thereon, to the credit of such members on the records of the retirement system on said date, shall continue to be credited to the individual ac-

counts of said members and shall be combined with and administered in the same manner as the contributions deducted after said date.

(3) The total contributions, with interest thereon, made by or charged against the city and county and standing to its credit, on July 1, 1948, in the accounts of the retirement system, on account of persons who become members under this section, shall be applied to provide the benefits under this section.

(4) The city and county shall contribute to the retirement system such amounts as may be necessary, when added to the contributions referred to in the preceding paragraphs of this subsection **H (h)**, to provide the benefits payable under this section. Such contributions of the city and county to provide the portion of the benefits hereunder which shall be based on service rendered by each member prior to the date upon which his rate of contribution is determined in paragraph (1), subsection **H (h)**, shall not be less during any fiscal year than the amount of such benefits paid during said year. Such contributions of the city and county to provide the portion of the benefits hereunder which shall be based on service rendered by respective members on and after the date stated in the next preceding sentence, shall be made in annual installments, and the installment to be paid in any year shall be determined by the application of a percentage to the total salaries paid during said year, to persons who are members under this section, said percentage to be the ratio of the value of the effective date hereof, or at the later date of a periodical actuarial valuation and investigation into the experience under the system, of the benefits thereafter to be paid under this section, from contributions of the city and county, less the amount of such contributions, and plus accumulated interest thereon, then held by said system to provide said benefits on account of service rendered by respective member after the date stated in the sentence next preceding, to the value at said respective dates of salaries thereafter payable to said members. Said values shall be determined by the actuary, who shall take into account the interest which shall be earned on said contributions, the compensation experience of members, and the probabilities of separation by all causes, of members from service before retirement and of death after retirement. Said percentage shall be changed only on the basis of said periodical actuarial valuation and investigation into the experience under the system. Said actuarial valuation shall be made every even-numbered year and said investigation into the experience under the system shall be

made every odd-numbered year.

Notwithstanding the provisions of this subdivision 5 (4), any additional liabilities created by the amendments of this section 8.509 contained in the proposition therefor submitted to the electorate on November 6, 1973, shall be amortized over a period of thirty 30 years.

(5) To promote the stability of the retirement system, through a joint participation in the result of variations in the experience under mortality, investment and other contingencies, the contributions of both members and the city and county, held by the system to provide the benefits under this section, shall be a part of the fund in which all other assets of said system are included. Nothing in the section shall affect the obligations of the city and county to pay to the retirement system any amounts which may or shall become due under the provision of the charter prior to the effective date herof, and which are represented on July 1, 1947, in the accounts of said system by debits against the city and county.

I (i) Upon the completion of the years of service set forth in Subsection B (b) of this section as requisite to retirement, a member shall be entitled to retire at any time thereafter in accordance with the provisions of said subsection B (b), and nothing shall deprive said member of said right.

J (j) No person retired under this section, for service or disability and entitled to receive a retirement allowance under the retirement system, shall serve in any elective or appointive position in the city and county service, including membership on boards and commissions, nor shall such persons receive any payment for service rendered to the city and county after retirement, provided that service as an election officer or juror shall not be affected by this section.

K (k) Any section or part of any section in this charter, insofar as it should conflict with this section, or with any part thereof, shall be superseded by the contents of this section. In the event that any word, phrase, clause or subsection of this section shall be adjudged unconstitutional, the remainder thereof shall remain in full force and effect.

L (l) Notwithstanding the provisions of subsections B (b), e (e), F (f) and I (i) of this section, any member convicted of a crime involving moral turpitude, committed in connection with his duties as an officer or employee of the City and County of San Francisco, shall, upon his removal from office or employment pursuant to the provisions of this charter, forfeit all rights to any benefits under the retirement system except refund of his accumulated con-

tributions; provided, however, that if such member is qualified for service retirement by reason of service and age under the provisions of subsection B (b) of this section, he shall have the right to elect, without right of revocation and within ninety 90 days after his removal from office or employment, whether to withdraw all of his accumulated contributions or to receive as his sole benefit under the retirement system an annuity which shall be the actuarial equivalent of his accumulated contributions at the time of such removal from office or employment.

~~(M) The amendments of this section contained in the proposition therefor submitted to the electorate on November 6, 1973, shall be effective on the first day of the month next following their ratification by the State Legislature. Said amendments do not and shall not increase any allowance first in effect prior to the effective date of said amendments, nor shall they give any person retired prior to said effective date, or his successors in interest, any claim against the city and county for any increase in any retirement allowance paid or payable for time prior to said effective date.~~

~~The amendment of Section 8.509 contained in the proposition submitted to the electorate on November 2, 1982 shall be effective July 1, 1983.~~

(m) The amendments of this section contained in the proposition submitted to the electorate on November 6, 1984 are hereby declared to be prospective and shall not give any person a claim against the city and county relating to a death prior to ratification of this amendment by the State Legislature.

8.584-5 Death Benefit

If a member shall die, before his retirement, regardless of cause:

(a) If no benefit is payable under Subsection B (b) of this section:

(1) Regardless of cause, a death benefit shall be paid to his the member's estate or designated beneficiary consisting of the compensation earnable by him the member during the six months immediately preceding death, plus his the member's contributions and interest credited thereon.

(2) If a member sustains a traumatic bodily injury through external and violent means in the course and scope of employment and death results within 180 days of such injury, an additional insurance benefit of twelve months of compensation earnable shall be paid to the member's estate or designated beneficiary.

(b) If, at the date of his death, he was qualified for service retirement by reason of service and age under the provisions of Ssection 8.584-2, and he has designated as beneficiary his surviving spouse, who was

married to him for at least one full year immediately prior to the date of his death, one-half of the retirement allowance to which the member would have been entitled if he had retired for service on the date of his death, shall be paid to such surviving spouse who was his designated beneficiary at the date of his death, until such spouse's death or remarriage, or if there be no surviving spouse, to the unmarried child or children of such member under the age of 18 eighteen years, collectively, until every such child dies, marries or attains the age of 18 eighteen years, provided that no child shall receive any allowance after marrying or attaining the age of 18 eighteen years. If, at the death of such surviving spouse, who was receiving an allowance under this Ssubsection (b), there be one or more unmarried children of such member under the age of 18 eighteen years, such allowance shall continue to such child or children, collectively, until every such child dies, marries or attains the age of 18 eighteen years, provided that no child shall receive any allowance after marrying or attaining the age of 18 eighteen years. If the total of the payments of allowance made pursuant to this Ssubsection (b) is less than the benefit which was otherwise payable under Ssubsection (a) of this section, the amount of said benefit payable under Ssubsection (a) less an amount equal to the total of the payments of allowance made pursuant to this Ssubsection (b) shall be paid in a lump sum as follows:

(1) If the person last entitled to said allowance is the remarried surviving spouse of such member, to such spouse.

(2) Otherwise, to the surviving children of the member, share and share alike, or if there are no such children, to the estate of the person last entitled to said allowance.

The surviving spouse may elect, on a form provided by the retirement system and filed in the office of the retirement system before the first payment of the allowance provided herein, to receive the benefit provided in Ssubsection (a) of this section in lieu of the allowance which otherwise would be payable under the provisions of this subdivision. If a surviving spouse, who was entitled to make the election herein provided, shall die before or after making such election but before receiving any payment pursuant to such election, then the legally appointed guardian of the unmarried children of the member under the age of 18 eighteen years may make the election herein provided before any benefit has been paid under this section, for and on behalf of such children if in his judgment it appears to be in their interest and advantage, and the election so

made shall be binding and conclusive upon all parties in interest.

If any person other than such surviving spouse shall have and be paid a community property interest in any portion of any benefit provided under this section, any allowance payable under this ~~§~~subsection (b) shall be reduced by the actuarial equivalent, at the date of the member's death, of the amount of benefits paid to such other person.

Upon the death of a member after retirement and regardless of the cause of death, a death benefit shall be paid to his estate or designated beneficiary in the manner and subject to the conditions prescribed by the board of supervisors for the payment of a similar death benefit upon the death of other retired members.

Upon the death of a member after retirement, an allowance, in addition to the death benefit provided in the immediately preceding paragraph, shall be paid to his surviving spouse, until such surviving spouse's death or remarriage, equal to one-half of his retirement allowance as it was prior to optional modification and prior to reduction as provided in ~~§~~subsection (a) of ~~§~~section 8.514 of this charter, but exclusive of the part of such allowance which was provided by additional contributions. No allowance, however, shall be paid under this paragraph to a surviving spouse unless such surviving spouse was married to said member at least one year prior to his retirement. If such retired person leaves no such surviving spouse, or if such surviving spouse should die or remarry before every

child of such deceased retired person attains the age of ~~48~~ **eighteen** years, the allowance which such surviving spouse would have received had he or she lived and not remarried shall be paid to retired person's child or children under said age, collectively, to continue until every such child dies or attains said age, provided that no child shall receive any allowance after marrying or attaining the age of ~~48~~ **eighteen** years.

The amendments of this section contained in the proposition submitted to the electorate on November 6, 1984 are hereby declared to be prospective and shall not give any person a claim against the city and county relating to a death prior to ratification of this amendment by the State Legislature.

TEXT OF PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT PROPOSITION G

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are indicated by **bold-face type**; deletions are indicated by ~~strike-out~~ type.

8.340 Dismissal During Probation Period

Any person appointed to a permanent position shall serve a probationary period. The civil service commission shall by rule establish a probationary period of not less than six months' service and up to a maximum of twelve months' service for each classification, provided that the probationary period for entrance positions in the uniformed rank of the police department fire department, sheriff's department and San Francisco International Airport ~~P~~ police ~~F~~ force shall be for ~~one-year~~ **twelve months** except that, with respect to members of the uniformed ranks of the police department, the probationary period shall be completed after twelve months' service from the day following completion of the prescribed department field training officer program, but in no case to exceed eighty-four weeks from the date of appointment; provided further that probationary members of the uniformed ranks of the police department charged with breach of duty or misconduct

shall be afforded the procedural rights set forth in section 8.343 for such charges. Probationary periods of twelve months and up to a maximum of twenty-four months may be established for executive and management classifications. At any time during the probationary period the appointing officer may terminate the appointment upon giving written notice of such termination to the employee and to the civil service commission specifying the reasons for such termination. Except in the case of members of the uniformed ranks of the police and fire departments the civil service commission shall review the termination. The civil service commission shall by rule establish the procedures for such review. If the appointment resulted from an entrance examination the commission may declare such person dismissed or may return the name to the list of eligibles under such conditions for further appointment as the commission may deem just. If the appointment resulted from a promotional examination the employee shall have the right of appeal and hearing before the civil service commission. The commission shall render a decision within thirty days after receipt of the

notice of termination and (a) may declare such person dismissed; or (b) order such person reinstated ~~in his~~ to the position without prejudice, and the commission may in its discretion order that the employee be paid salary from time of the termination of ~~his~~ the appointment; or (c) order the return of such person to a position in the classification from which ~~he~~ that person was promoted and may reestablish the employee's eligibility to a list of eligibles for the same promotive classification under such conditions as the commission may deem just. The decision of the commission shall be final. Immediately prior to the expiration of the probationary period the appointing officer shall report to the civil service commission as to the competence of the probationer for the position, and if competent, shall recommend permanent appointment. Nothing in this section shall preclude the civil service commission from reviewing terminations for the purpose of future employability including terminations in the uniformed ranks of the police and fire departments.

TEXT OF PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT PROPOSITION H

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are indicated by **bold-face type**; deletions are indicated by ~~strike-out~~ type.

8.405 Salaries of Uniformed Forces in the Police and Fire Departments.

(a) Not later than the 1st day of August of each year, the civil service commis-

sion shall survey and certify to the board of supervisors rates of compensation paid police officers or ~~patrolmen~~ **patrol officers** employed in the respective police departments in all cities of 350,000 population or over in the State of California, based upon the latest federal decennial census. For the purpose of the civil service commission's survey and certification the rates contained

in said certification shall be the average of the maximum rates paid to each police officer or ~~patrolman~~ **patrol officer** classification performing the same or essentially the same duties as police officers or ~~patrolmen~~ **patrol officers** in the City and County of San Francisco.

Thereupon the ~~B~~board of ~~S~~supervisors shall have the power, and it shall be its

duty, by ordinance, to fix rates of compensation for the members of the police department whose annual compensations are set forth in Section 3.531 of this Charter and said rates shall be in lieu of said annual compensations and shall be effective from the first day of July of the current fiscal year.

The rates of compensation, fixed in said ordinance,

(1) for the fourth year of service and thereafter for police officers, police patrol drivers and women protective officers the compensation shall be fixed at a rate which is the average maximum wage paid to the police officers or patrolmen patrol officer classifications in regular service in the cities included in the certified report of the civil service commission. "Average wage" as used in this paragraph shall mean the sum of the maximum averages certified by the civil service commission divided by the number of police officer classifications in cities in said certification;

(2) for the first, second and third year of service for police officers, police patrol drivers and women protective officers shall be established in accordance with the general percentage differential between seniority steps found in the salary ranges included in the cities certified by the civil service commission for the same class;

(3) for said members of the police department other than police officers, police patrol drivers and women protective officers shall include the same percent of adjustment as that established by said ordinance for police officers in the fourth year of service; and

(4) shall be set at the dollar amount nearest the fractional amount which may result from percentage adjustment specified in this section, half dollars being taken to the next higher dollar amount.

The rates of compensation set forth in the budget estimates, the budget and the annual salary ordinance shall be those fixed by the board of supervisors as in this section provided and appropriations therefor shall be based thereon.

The expression "rates of compensation", as used in this section in relation to said survey, is hereby declared to apply only to a basic amount of wages, with included range scales, and does not include such working benefits as might be set up by any other city by way of holidays, vacations, other permitted absences of any type whatsoever, overtime, night or split shift, or pay for specialized services within a classification or rank, or other premium pay differentials of any type whatsoever. The foregoing enumeration is not exclusive, but it is the intent of this section that nothing other than a basic amount of wages, with

included range scales, is to be included within the meaning of "rates of compensation".

Working benefits and premium pay differential of any type shall be allowed or paid to members of the police department referred to herein only as is otherwise provided in this charter.

For all purposes of the retirement system, the expression "rates of compensation" as used in this section, shall mean "salary attached to the rank" as used in Section 166 of the charter of 1932, as amended, and, with the addition of fifteen dollars (\$15.00) per month now provided in Subsection (b) with respect to members assigned to two-wheel motorcycle traffic duty, shall also mean "compensation earnable" as used in Section 8.549.

The term "police officers or patrolmen patrol officers" as used in this section shall mean the persons employed in the police departments of said cities of 350,000 population or over or of the City and County of San Francisco, to perform substantially the duties being performed on the effective date of this section by police officers, police patrol drivers and women protective officers in the San Francisco Police Department.

In determining years of service necessary for a police officer, woman protective officer and police patrol driver to receive the annual compensation as provided for herein, service rendered prior to the effective date of this amendment shall be given full credit and allowed.

The absence of any police officer, woman protective officer or police patrol driver on military leave, as defined by Section 8.361 of this Charter, shall be reckoned a part of his service under the city and county, for the purpose of computing years of service in gaining added compensation as provided for herein.

On the recommendation of the chief of police, the commission may reward any member of the department for heroic or meritorious conduct. The form or amount of said reward is to be discretionary with the commission, but not to exceed one month's salary in any one instance.

If any member of the department appointed as an assistant inspector is a sergeant at the time of the appointment or is appointed a sergeant thereafter, he such member shall receive the rate of compensation attached to the rank of sergeant.

(b) Not later than the first day of August of each year the civil service commission shall survey, and certify to the board of supervisors, additional rates of pay paid to members assigned to all two-wheel motorcycle traffic duty in the respective police departments of all cities of 350,000 popu-

lation or over in the State of California, based upon the latest decennial census. For the purpose of the civil service commission's survey and certification the additional rates for two-wheel motorcycle traffic duty shall include the average additional amount paid to members assigned to two-wheel motorcycle traffic duty in the cities surveyed.

Thereupon the board of supervisors shall have the power, and it shall be its duty, by ordinance, to fix the additional rate of pay for the members of the police department who are assigned two-wheel motorcycle traffic duty. The additional rate of pay will be determined by the average additional wage paid to members in regular service in the cities included in the certified report of the civil service commission who are assigned to two-wheel motorcycle traffic duty. "Average wage" as used in this paragraph shall mean the sum of the additional rates of pay certified by the civil service commission divided by the number of cities in said certification. Said additional rates shall be in lieu of said annual compensations and shall be effective from the first day of July of the current fiscal year.

Said rate of pay shall be in addition to the rate of compensation provided for in Subsection (a).

In no event shall the additional rate so fixed be less than fifteen dollars (\$15.00) per month.

(c) Not later than the first day of August of each year, the civil service commission shall survey and certify to the board of supervisors rates of compensation paid firemen firefighters employed in the respective fire departments of all cities of 350,000 population or over in the State of California, based upon the latest federal decennial census. For the purpose of the civil service commission's survey and certification the rates contained in said certification shall be the average of the maximum rates paid to each fireman firefighter classification performing the same or essentially the same duties as firemen firefighters in the City and County of San Francisco.

Thereupon, the board of supervisors shall have the power, and it shall be its duty, by ordinance, to fix rates of compensation for the members of the fire department whose annual compensations are set forth or otherwise provided in section 3.542 of this charter, and said rates shall be in lieu of said annual compensations and shall be effective from the first day of July of the current fiscal year.

The rates of compensation, fixed in said ordinance,

(1) for the fourth year of service and thereafter the rate of compensation shall

be fixed at a rate which is the average of the maximum compensation paid **firemen firefighter** classifications in regular service in the cities included in the certified report of the civil service commission. "Average wage" as used in this paragraph shall mean the sum of the maximum averages certified by the civil service commission divided by the number of **firemen firefighter** classifications in cities in said certification;

(2) for the first, second and third year of service for **firemen firefighters** shall be established in accordance with the general percentage differential between seniority steps found in the salary ranges included in the cities certified by the civil service commission for the same class;

(3) for said members of the fire department other than **firemen firefighters** shall include the same percent of adjustment as that established by said ordinance for **firemen firefighters** in the fourth year of service; and

(4) shall be set at the dollar amount nearest the fractional amount which may result from percentage adjustment specified in this section, half dollars being taken to the next higher dollar amount.

The expression "rates of compensation" as used in this section, in relation to said survey, is hereby declared to apply only to a basic amount of wages, with included range scales, and does not include such working benefits as might be set up by any other city by way of holidays, vacations, other permitted absences for any type whatsoever, overtime, night or split shift, or pay for specialized services within a classification or rank, or other premium pay differentials of any type whatsoever. The foregoing enumeration is not exclusive, but it is the intent of this section that nothing other than a basic amount of wages, with included range scales, is to be included within the meaning of "rates of compensation".

Working benefits and premium pay differentials of any type shall be allowed or paid to members of the fire department referred to herein only as is otherwise provided in this charter. For all purposes of the retirement system, the expression "rates of compensation", as used in subsections (c) and (d) of this section shall mean "salary attached to the rank" as used in section 169 of the charter of 1932, as amended and "compensation earnable" as used in section 8.549.

The term "**firemen**" "**firefighters**" as used in this section shall mean the persons employed, in the fire departments of said cities of 350,000 population or over or of the City and County of San Francisco, to perform substantially the duties being performed on the effective rate of this section

by drivers, stokers, tillermen, truckmen, or hosemen, in the San Francisco Fire Department.

The expression "members of the fire department" does not include members of the fire commission.

The absence of any officer or member of the fire department on military leave of absence, as defined by section 8.361 of this charter, shall be reckoned a part of ~~his~~ **such member's** service under the city and county, for the purpose of computing years of service in gaining added compensation as provided in this charter.

On the recommendation of the chief of department, the commission may reward any officer or member of the department for heroic or meritorious conduct, the form or amount of said award to be discretionary with the fire commission, but not to exceed one month's salary in any one instance.

The rates of compensation for the ranks of captain, bureau of fire prevention and public safety, and lieutenant, bureau of fire investigation, shall be thirteen percent (13%) above the compensation established for the ranks of captain and lieutenant as provided for in this section. The rates of compensation for the ranks of inspector, bureau of fire prevention and public safety, and investigator, bureau of fire investigation, shall be ten percent (10%) above the compensation established for the rank of chief's operator as provided for in this section. The rate of compensation shall be set at the dollar amount nearest the fractional amount which may result from percentage adjustment specified in this subsection, half dollars being taken to the next higher dollar amount.

(d) The rates of compensation fixed pursuant to the provisions of subsection (a) (1), (2) and (3) and the rates of compensation fixed pursuant to the provisions of subsection (c) (1), (2) and (3) shall be the same. Such rates shall not exceed the highest average rate of compensation fixed pursuant to subsections (a) (1), (2) and (3) and (c) (1), (2) and (3) above, whether it be paid to police officers, ~~patrolmen~~, **patrol officers** or **firemen; firefighters**; provided, further, that the minimum rate of compensation attached to the rank of sergeant in the police department shall be equal to the rate of compensation attached to the rank of lieutenant in the fire department.

(e) Not later than the 25th day of August the board of supervisors shall have the power and it shall be its duty, subject to the fiscal provisions of the charter but, without reference or amendment to the annual budget, to amend the annual appropriation ordinance and the annual salary ordinance as necessary to include the pro-

visions of paying the rates of compensation fixed by the board of supervisors as in this section provided for uniformed members of the police and fire departments for the then current fiscal year.

(f) Not later than the first day of August of each year, the civil service commission shall determine and certify to the board of supervisors the percentage of increase or decrease in the cost of living during the twelve-month period ending March 31st of that same year as shown by the Consumer Price Index, All Items San Francisco, and the percentage of increase or decrease in the cost of living during the same period as shown by the Consumer Price Index, All Items, in the cities included in the certified report of said commission. The Consumer Price Index referred to herein is defined as that certain index issued by the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics and published in the Monthly Labor Review or a successor publication. In the event the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics discontinues the compilation and publication of said indexes, the board of supervisors shall have the power, and it shall be its duty, to appoint a statistical fact finding committee to determine the same data pursuant to the methods theretofore used by the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. The cost of living adjustments as hereinafter provided shall be based upon the percentage of such increases or decreases. The board of supervisors may, in addition to the rates of compensation as established herein, and at the same time said rates of compensation are established, increase said rates of compensation by an amount equal to the difference between the average cost of living increase of the cities included in the certified report of the civil service commission and the actual cost of living increase for San Francisco. In the event the board of supervisors elects not to grant such cost of living increase in any year in which any such increase might be granted, the board of supervisors shall, upon a written request filed with the clerk of the board of supervisors not later than the 10th day of September of said year by representatives of the uniformed members of the police and fire departments, as designated by the police and fire commissions, respectively, submit the question of said cost of living increase to the qualified electors of the city and county at the next succeeding city-wide election. In the event said cost of living increase is approved by a majority of the qualified electors voting thereon, said cost of living increase shall be effective as of the first day of the then current fiscal year.

(g) Notwithstanding any of the provisions contained in this section, no uni-

formed member of the police or fire department employed before July 1, 1976, whose compensation is fixed pursuant to the formula contained herein, shall suffer a salary reduction by the application of any new compensation schedules, and the rates for fiscal year 1975-76 shall continue until such time as the new schedules equal or exceed the current salary increment schedules, provided, however, that such time

shall not be extended beyond June 30, 1982, and provided further that this prohibition against reduction of compensation for the designated employees shall not be deemed to supersede the provisions of section 8.406 of this charter.

(h) Notwithstanding any of the provisions contained in this section, no uniformed member of the police or fire department, whose compensation is fixed

pursuant to the formula contained herein, shall suffer a salary reduction by the application of the compensation schedules provided for herein. Provided, however, that this prohibition against reduction of compensation for the designated employees shall not be deemed to supersede the provisions of section 8.406 of this charter.

IMPORTANT FACTS ABOUT VOTING

You can vote for any candidate, regardless of party. This is a General Election and you can vote for any candidate on your ballot. Political party restrictions only apply at Primary Elections (in June).

Do not vote for more than the authorized number of candidates or none of your votes for that contest will be counted. Most candidate contests specify "Vote for one" but you can vote for up to six supervisors and up to four School and College Board members. If you make a mistake and vote for more than six candidates for supervisor you can get a replacement ballot.

If you move, you must re-register. The most common complaint we get on election day is that someone can't vote because they have moved and not re-registered. If you have moved, your name will probably not be on the rolls anymore and even if it is, it is not legal to vote using your old polling place (except in certain rare circumstances). Next time you move, don't leave your vote behind; remember to re-register.

You don't have to be sick or out-of-town to get an absentee ballot. Any voter can request an absentee ballot for any reason, or for no reason. To become a "permanent" absentee voter you must be disabled but ordinary absentee voters do not have to have a reason.

Your registration will not necessarily be cancelled if you don't vote but chances of that happening will increase if you don't vote. After the election, we will send a postcard confirming the address of all nonvoters. If the Post Office or the current resident says you don't live there anymore, your registration will be cancelled. No one is automatically cancelled for not voting at any election.

It is not necessary to re-register before each election. Your registration is permanent and will remain in effect until you move, die or are convicted of a felony.

HOW TO VOTE ON THE VOTOMATIC VOTE RECORDER

SPECIAL NOTE:

IF YOU MAKE A MISTAKE, RETURN YOUR CARD AND GET ANOTHER.

如何用自動投票機

A 請特別注意

如有錯誤，請向助理員換取新選票。

STEP

1


Nota: Si hace algun error, devuelva su tarjeta de votar y obtenga otra.

USING BOTH HANDS INSERT THE BALLOT CARD ALL THE WAY INTO THE VOTOMATIC.

Usando las dos manos, meta la tarjeta de votar completamente dentro del "Votomatic."

B 第一步

請雙手持票向自動機將整張選票插入。



STEP


2

BE SURE THE TWO SLOTS IN THE STUB OF YOUR CARD FIT DOWN OVER THE TWO RED PINS.

Paso 2. Asegúrese de que los dos orificios que hay al final de la tarjeta coinciden con las dos cabecitas rojas.

C 第二步

請切記將選票插入時，票尾之二孔，接合於二紅點之上。



STEP


3

HOLD PUNCH VERTICAL (STRAIGHT UP). PUNCH STRAIGHT DOWN THROUGH THE BALLOT CARD TO INDICATE YOUR CHOICE. DO NOT USE PEN OR PENCIL.

Para votar, sostenga el instrumento de votar y perfore con él la tarjeta de votar en el lugar de los candidatos de su preferencia. No use pluma ni lápiz.

D 第三步

請把帶鍊之選舉針，由小孔內垂直插入打孔投票。



STEP

4

AFTER VOTING, WITHDRAW THE BALLOT CARD AND PLACE IT INSIDE THE ENVELOPE POCKET, WITH THE STUB SHOWING.

Después de votar, saque la tarjeta del "Votomatic" y póngala bajo el cierre del sobre.

E 第四步

投票選舉之後，把選票取出，放入空封袋內，票尾凸出在外。

在封袋上，有空白格預備為投票人應用。

POLL WORKERS NEEDED

Earn \$49 to \$58 (plus bonuses)!

Meet Your Neighbors!

Serve Your Community!

There is a shortage of poll workers in most San Francisco neighborhoods. Voters who are interested in this important work are encouraged to apply as soon as possible at the Registrar's Office at City Hall. If you apply while there still is a large selection of vacancies, **it is probable that you will be assigned to a poll in your own neighborhood.**

The Registrar is trying to build a permanent corps of polling officials, therefore **housewives and retired people**, as well as others who are interested in **community service** are particularly urged to apply.

The higher-paying and more responsible positions will be reserved for persons who **apply in person**. Others may mail in the application form provided below:

(The workday is from 6:45 a.m. to about 8:30 p.m., with breaks for lunch and dinner.)

APPLICATION TO SERVE AS ELECTION OFFICIAL

I want to work at the polls on Tuesday, November 6. Please assign me to a polling place.

Name _____

Address _____ Apt. # _____

Telephone No. (required) _____

Do you have an automobile? yes ☐ no ☐

Availability:

I want to work in the following area(s): _____

Second choice locations (if any) _____

Signature _____

APPLICATION FOR ABSENTEE VOTER'S BALLOT
APLICACION DE BALOTA DE VOTANTE AUSENTE
缺席選票申請表

Election Date _____

I hereby apply for an absent voter's ballot:

Por la presente, solicito una balota de votante ausente:

請寄一份缺席選票給本人，以參加上述所示之選舉。

FOR REGISTRAR'S USE ONLY

Prec. No. _____

A.V. Prec. No. _____

Ballot Type _____

Affidavit No. _____

Signature and Registration
Verified as Correct:

Date Deputy Registrar

PRINTED NAME-LETRAS DE IMPRENTA- 正楷書寫姓名 _____

SIGNATURE-FIRMA- 申請人簽名 _____

DATE-FECHA- 日期 _____

RESIDENCE ADDRESS-RESIDENCIA DIRECCION- 住址 _____

Please mail ballot to me at:

Por favor envíeme la balota
por correo:

Mailing address, if different from above
Dirección Postal (si es diferente)

郵址 (如與住址不同)

請將選票寄給本人下址

TYPE OR PRINT CLEARLY OR YOUR REQUEST WILL NOT BE HONORED

PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER

I hereby apply for status as a Permanent
Absentee Voter. In accordance with the
requirements of Elections Code Section
1451, I claim the following as my reason
for requesting Permanent Absentee
Voter status:

Description of Handicap/Disability _____

Voter's Initials _____

**VOTANTE AUSENTE
PERMANENTE**

Por la presente solicito clasificación
como Votante Ausente Permanente. De
conformidad con los requerimientos de la
Sección 1451 del Código Electoral, pre-
sento la siguiente razón de mi solicitud
para clasificación como Votante Ausente
Permanente.

Descripción del
Impedimento/Incapacidad _____

Iniciales del Votante _____

永久缺席選民

本人在此於舊金山縣申請永久
缺席選民身份。根據選舉法第
一四五一段的要求，我在下面說明我
申請成為永久缺席選民身份的原因。

說明障礙情形及性質 _____

選民簽名 _____

EXTRA APPLICATION FOR ABSENTEE BALLOT

Since this page would have been blank anyway, we have used the space to reprint an extra copy of the absentee ballot application form.

Your "real" absentee ballot application appears as a postcard inserted into this book. We would prefer that you use the postcard form because it is easier to process and less likely to get lost.

If two persons in your household are requesting absentee ballots, you may put both postcard forms into an envelope in order to save postage.

The **disabled** may apply as permanent absentee voters by completing the little box at the bottom of the form. Disabilities that qualify for permanent absentee status include circulatory problems and mobility impairments as well as more severe disabilities.

If you have not yet received your absentee ballot, then you are probably not on our permanent absentee mailing list. The permanent absentee voters' ballots were mailed out the week of October 9th.