#### Declarations of

### CANDIDACY

including Statements of Qualifications of CANDIDATES

## PROPOSITIONS

together with

### ARGUMENTS

and

### STATEMENTS OF CONTROLLER

Relating to Costs

to be voted on at

## GENERAL MUNICIPAL ELECTION to be held

NOVEMBER 8, 1949



Attest

Thos. A. Toomey

Registrar of Voters

Published under provisions of Sections 176 and 183 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

## INDEX

## DECLARATIONS OF CANDIDACY

Page	ର ବିଧାର ବିଧାରଣ ବଳ । ଏହି ପ୍ରଧାର ବିଧାରଣ ହେଇଥିଲି । ପ୍ରଧାର	Page
John C. Alaimo25	Boris P. Martynow	12
James D. Bulmer 3		
George Christopher 5	Clarence W. Morris	30
Eustace Cullinan, Jr28	C. A. Mourgos	14
Dan Gallagher 4	Gerald J. O'Gara	
Robert A. Garay 6	Paul O'Leary	15
E. V. "Ernie" Gatto 7	A. Gus Oliva	
John J. Goodwin24		
Dion R. Holm21		
Roger D. Lapham, Jr 8	E. Jack Spaulding	18
Marvin E. Lewis 9	J. J. Sullivan	
Chester R. MacPhee10		•
Gordon W. Mallatratt11		
Edward T. Mancuso26		
	_	

### **PROPOSITIONS**

•		Page
A	Airport Bonds	31
B	Cherry Valley Dam Bonds	38
C	Retirement Allowance for Retired Employees	****
Ď	Retirement Allowances, Fire Department.	44
E	Consolidation Recreation and Park Departments	48
F	Vacation Allowances	53
G	Retirement Allowance, Director of Public Health	58
H	Forty Hour Week, Police Department	
1	Waiver of Statute of Limitations	62
J	District Attorney's Office, Civil Service	63
K	Compensation, Members Board of Supervisors	
L	Policemen Retired for Disability	
M	California Street Railroad Company	69
N	Minimum Traffic Fines	72

#### JAMES D. BULMER

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 8, 1949, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office. That my name is James D. Bulmer. My residence address is at No. 595 Castro Street, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Plumbing and Heating Contractor.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: A graduate of High School. Served in U. S. 4th Cavalry after World War No. 1. Interested in Public Shooting grounds—facilities for children to fish in Golden Gate Park. Improve sanitary conditions in residence dwellings. Member Eureka Valley Merchants Assc., Chairman Assc. Sportsmen of California, District No. 7. Belong to National, State and City Master Plumbers Assc. Member B.P.O.E. Lodge No. 3.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Plumber" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 8, 1949.

Signature of Candidate: JAMES D. BULMER.

Subscribed before me and filed this 28th day of September, 1949.

THOS. A. TOOMEY, Registrar of Voters.

By FRANK R. QUINN, Deputy Registrar of Voters.

#### The sponsors for James D. Bulmer are:

Phillip M. Tillson, 447 Ralston St.; Insurance & Real Estate Broker.

Mary E. Qvistgaard, 4432 - 19th St.; Real Estate Broker.

John H. Cokeley, 2959 - 20th Ave.; Realtor.

Russel D. Erney, 624 Castro St.; Owner, Bell Electric Co.

Phillip J. Wesendunk, 1925 Vicente St.; Plumbing Contractor.

R. G. Fletcher, 555A Castro St.; Pressman.

Le Roy George Daniels, 1615 - 20th Ave.; Laundry Owner.

Robert L. Mann, 67 - 7th Ave.; Attorney.

John J. Hayden, 558 Douglass St.; Real Estate Broker.

Geo. H. Allen, 920 Van Ness Ave.; Apt. 402; Newspaper Publisher.

C. F. Aaroe, 741 Castro St.; Federal Inspector.

James W. Ellingson, 523 Castro St.; Merchant.

Wesley F. Johnson, 3049 California St.; Businessman.

H. G. Douglas, 519 Shotwell St.; Contractor.

## For Supervisor DAN GALLAGHER

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on No-

vember 8, 1949, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office. That my name is Dan Gallagher. My residence address is at No. 1670 Folsom Street, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Secretary-Treasurer,

Daniel Gallagher Teaming Mercantile & Realty Co., Founded 1860.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: My candidacy for reelection to the office in which the voters have retained me for eight years is submitted with the same civic-minded concepts which have motivated my entire career of public service—Assemblyman for two terms, President of the Board of Supervisors for four consecutive years, and, currently, Chairman of the Board's most important Finance Committee. I have led the Board's continuing fight for sound yet economical municipal administration—this year's 43c tax reduction is one result. A school system free of political intrigue is my constant concern; constructive supervisorial action perpetuating a Greater San Francisco continues as my objective.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Incumbent" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General

Municipal Election to be held November 8, 1949.

Signature of Candidate: DAN GALLAGHER.

Subscribed before me and filed this 19th day of September, 1949.

THOS. A. TOOMEY, Registrar of Voters.

By JOHN J. HANNON,
Deputy Registrar of Voters.

#### The sponsors for Dan Gallagher are:

Maurice E. Harrison, 2800 Scott St.; Lawyer. James E. Rickets, 4248-20th St.; Manager. Wilson Meyer, 22-22nd Ave.; Pres., Wilson & Geo. Meyer & Co. Mrs. Edward F. O'Day, 342 Granada Ave.; Housewife. Daniel F. Del Carlo, 3962-20th St.; Labor Representative. F. V. Keesling, 20 Presidio Terrace; Attorney at Law. Adrien J. Falk, 2085 Sacramento St.; Merchant. Thomas A. Maloney, 350 Missouri St.; Insurance Broker. John L. McNab, 1000 Mason St.; Lawyer. George H. Sandy, 2201 Pacific Ave.; Merchant. George D. Collins, Jr., 1456 Union St.; Attorney at Law. Paul Verdier, 1731 Polk St.; Laundry Owner, Past Pres. Lafayette Club. Dan P. Maher, 2495-23rd Ave.; Paint Mfgr. Harold T. Lopez, 3553-18th St.; Business Rep. Teamsters Union, Local 85. Robert McCarthy, 1050 Kirkham St.; General Contractor. Maurice Moskovitz. 2900 Lake St.; Real Estate. John P. Figone, 1648 Stockton St.; Furniture. Dr. T. R. Creely, 711 Marina Blvd.; Veterinarian. Ann S. Dippel, 762 Joost Ave.; Homemaker. Reed W. Robinson, 125 Terrace Drive; Candy Mfgr.

#### GEORGE CHRISTOPHER

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 8, 1949, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office. That my name is George Christopher. My residence address is at No. 3031 ~ 25th Avenue, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Supervisor, City and County of San Francisco.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: As Chairman of the Public Health and Welfare Committee and as a member of other important committees, I have worked successfully for economy and efficiency in government, saving the taxpayers over \$7,000,000 and preventing a rise in the tax rate of at least \$1.00. Through my efforts the price of milk to the school children was reduced. I have insisted that the cost of proposed bond issues and charter amendments be spelled out to the taxpayers in terms of dollars and cents. I pledge myself to continue to serve the people of San Francisco to the best of my ability.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Incumbent" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 8, 1949.

Signature of Candidate: GEORGE CHRISTOPHER.

Subscribed before me and filed this 19th day of September, 1949.

THOS. A. TOOMEY,
Registrar of Voters
By FRANK R. QUINN,
Deputy Registrar of Voters

#### The sponsors for George Christopher are:

Mrs. George Christopher, 3031 - 25th Ave.; Housewife. Daniel F. Del Carlo, 3962 - 20th St.; Labor Representative. Jesse C. Colman, 1275 Greenwich St.; Pres., The Leighton Industries Inc. Mrs. Angelo J. Rossi, 2466 Union St.; Housewife. Adolph Uhl, 2099 Pacific Ave.; Retired. Adrien J. Falk, 2085 Sacramento St.; Merchant. John L. McNab, 1000 Mason St.; Lawyer. Maurice E. Harrison, 2800 Scott St.; Lawyer. Milton Marks, 3903 Washington St.; Attorney at Law. Hugh K. McKevitt, 510 Cole St.; Attorney at Law. George R. Reilly, 70 San Leandro Way; Member, State Board of Equalization. Mrs. Ann S. Dippel, 762 Joost Ave.; Homemaker. Theo. J. Roche, 301 Santa Clara Ave.; Attorney at Law. J. Roger Deas, 1835 Vallejo St.; Public Relations. John P. Figone, 1648 Stockton St.; Furniture. Alexander Watchman, 2083 - 20th Ave.; Industrial Accident Commissioner. Robertson Ward, M.D., 3515 Pacific Aye.; Physician. J. F. Sullivan, Jr., 3760 Jackson St.; Banker. Charles Rosenthal, 1000 Mason St.; Retired. Joseph A. Brown, 1905 Broadway; Attorney at Law.

#### ROBERT A. GARAY,

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 8, 1949, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office. That my name is Robert A. Garay. My residence address is at No. 400 Sussex Street, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Musician.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: I am an active citizen of San Francisco. A family man with two children, a girl 10 and a boy 5. A veteran of World War II and a member of American Legion post No. 1. Life member of the San Francisco Boys Club, affiliated with the civic group the "United Latin Americans of America." Having dealt with the people of San Francisco for the past 23 years I feel that their wants and needs are as my own and I feel that I am well suited to represent the people of San Francisco in the capacity of Supervisor.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Musician" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 8, 1949.

Signature of Candidate: ROBERT A. GARAY.

Subscribed before me and filed this 23rd day of September, 1949.

THOS. A. TOOMEY, Registrar of Voters.

By FRANK R. QUINN, Deputy Registrar of Voters.

#### The sponsors for Robert A. Garay are:

Laura Beatrice Garay, 400 Sussex St.; Housewife.
Joaquin Garay, Sr., 550 Munich St.; Machinist.
Mrs. Joaquin Garay, Sr., 550 Munich St.; Housewife.
R. C. Salcedo, 809 Randolph St.; Punch Press Operator.
Mary N. Salcedo, 809 Randolph St.; Cigarette Maker.
Maurilio Torres, 1105 Castro St.; Boiler Maker.
Paul V. Gomez, 235 Bartlett St.; Office Clerk.
Herman B. Ruiz, 600 Capp St.; Boiler Maker.
Hope Bernardine Montiel, 4274B - 23rd St.; Bookkeeper.
Albert Montiel, 4274B - 23rd St.; Driller.
Pascuala Gomez, 237 Bartlett St.; Housewife.
Louis Maldonado, 2602 San Bruno Ave.; Photo Engraver.
Manuel A. Maldonado, 538 Goettingen St.; Machinist.
Antonio Ibarra Sanchez, 323 Prospect Ave.; Auto Painter.
Rita V. Espinor, 1996 - 25th St.; Housewife.
Alfred A. Espinor, 1996 - 25th St.; Machinist.
Marie A. Espinor, 145 Harbor Rd.; Housewife.
H. A. Urrutia, 1260 Grove St.; Student.

#### E. V. "ERNIE" GATTO

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 8, 1949, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office. That my name is E. V. "Ernie" Gatto. My residence address is at No. 1526 Van Dyke Avenue, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Business Man.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: As a newspaperman for 15 years, I have been a part of San Francisco's Industrial, Commercial and Civic Authority, also taking part in Business and Civic Organizations. I have learned the Taxpayer's problems and know my experience can help give the individual community its personal wants and needs. Harmony, self-sacrifice, intelligent thinking among the Supervisors can accomplish the demands and the will of the people. My untiring efforts, self-sacrifice as a Civic-Worker has proven that I am a Candidate of all the people and hope to be the necessary injection to serve not only a few but all the people.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Business Man" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General

Municipal Election to be held November 8, 1949.

Signature of Candidate: E. V. "ERNIE" GATTO

Subscribed before me and filed this 26th day of September, 1949.

THOS. A. TOOMEY, Registrar of Voters.

By FRANK R. QUINN, Deputy Registrar of Voters.

#### The sponsors for E. V. "Ernie" Gatto are:

Evelyn M. Gatto, 1526 Van Dyke Ave.; Housewife.

James A. Tufo, 1358 · 33rd Ave.; Branch Manager (Bank).

Sanford Pearl, 1955 · 16th Ave.; Real Estate Broker.

Augustino Esposto, 5030 · 3rd St.; Meat Market.

Andrew Zurich, 4904 · 3rd St.; Bakery Operator.

Louis Koenig, Jr., 1895 · 47th Ave.; Asst. Mgr., American Trust Co.

Anthony Sansome, 1936 Lane St.; Gas Station.

Stephen G. Petersen, 1601 La Salle Ave.; Home Furnishing Dealer.

Michael A. Guerra, 1479 Shafter Ave.; Secretary.

Hanna Ruth Wilber, 1944 Eddy St.; Attorney at Law.

Frank L. Callero, 36 Campbell Ave.; Real Estate Broker.

L. R. Ludwigsen, D. D. S., 31 Latona St.; Dentist.

H. M. Ogden, 241 Tocoloma Ave.; Accountant.

A. Armanino, 1720 Quesada Ave.; Asst. Secty., Bay View Fed. Sav. Loan Co.

Edward T. Burns, 2519 Chestnut St.; Business Rep., Musicians Union.

A. Jack Haywood, 540 O'Farrell St., Apt. 22; Secty., Musicians Union.

#### ROGER D. LAPHAM, Jr.

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be keld in the said City and County on November 8, 1949, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office. That my name is Roger D. Lapham, Jr. My residence address is at No. 2109. Baker Street, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Insurance Broker.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: I was raised in San Francisco and live here with my wife and four children. After leaving University of California Law School in 1941, I worked as a machinist's helper until entering the Navy. Since the war, I have been an insurance broker. As a non-partisan candidate, I am seeking election to see that the taxpayers receive the most for the money to be spent for such municipal projects as street improvements, slum clearance, playgrounds and the airport. Efficient, economical government is vital. I pledge hard work and an impartial handling of our city's problems. I earnestly seek your vote.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Insurance Broker" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 8, 1949.

Signature of Candidate: ROGER D. LAPHAM, Jr.

Subscribed before me and filed this 22nd day of September, 1949.

THOS. A. TOOMEY, Registrar of Voters

By FRANK R. QUINN, Deputy Registrar of Voters

#### The sponsors for Roger D. Lapham, Jr. are:

Nancy Scott Lapham, 2109 Baker St.; Housewife.
Henry R. Rolph, 502 Vidal Drive; Attorney.
Alden Ames, 3028 Clay St.; Attorney at Law.
Antoinette M. Decker, 4845 California St.; Registered Nurse.
Roger D. Lapham, 3680 Jackson St.; Ex-Mayor.
James J. Sullivan, 2558 - 17th Ave.; Real Estate.
William C. Deamer, M. D., 3 Fifth Ave.; Physician.
J. F. Sullivan, Jr., 3760 Jackson St.; Banker.
Dante P. Lembi, 2969 Jackson St.; Property Management.
Jesse C. Colman, 1275 Greenwich St.; Pres., The Leighton Industries, Inc.
L. Peter Mikkelsen, 745 Mangels Ave.; Owner, Home Appliance Co.
Garret McEnerney, II, 3725 Washington St.; Attorney.
Kathleen N. Morrissey, 2700 Vallejo St.; Housewife.
Antone Zietich, 685 Marina Bvd.; Merchant.
Howard J. Finn, 2745 Divisadero St.; Attorney at Law.
M. Spencer Owyang, 1085 Clay St.; Banking.
W. McKinley Thomas, M. D., 2723 Sacramento St.; Surgeon.
J. Wesley Howell, 3516 Clay St.; Gen. Mgr., Haslett Warehouse Co.
Albert E. Schlesinger, 2690 Broadway; Ford Dealer.
Arthur M. Brown, Jr., 2535 Lyon St.; Insurance.

#### MARVIN E. LEWIS

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 8, 1949, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office. That my name is Marvin E. Lewis. My residence address is at No. 279, - 29th Avenue, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Supervisor, City and

County of San Francisco.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: Four years ago I was elected to the Board of Supervisors on my pledge to fight municipal extravagance; to demand improved transportation services; to speak for all the people. I have never neglected that pledge. I am founder and chairman of the nine-county Bay Area Rapid Transit Committee and prepared San Francisco's case for a Southern Bridge Crossing. I have earnestly endeavored to justify your trust. I am responsible for new legible street signs; brought back the Portola Festival; a constructive critic of railway management; proponent of playground development. Born in San Francisco; age 42; married, the father of two children.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of the Charter of said City and, County, I desire that the following designation "Incumbent" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General

Municipal Election to be held November 8, 1949.

Signature of Candidate: MARVIN E. LEWIS.

Subscribed before me and filed this 19th day of September, 1949.

THOS. A. TOOMEY. Registrar of Voters By JOHN J. HANNON, Deputy Registrar of Voters

#### The sponsors for Marvin E. Lewis are:

Adrien J. Falk, 2085 Sacramento St.; Merchant.

George R. Reilly, 70 San Leandro Way; Member, State Board of Equalization. F. V. Keesling, 20 Presidio Terrace; Attorney at Law. Daniel F. Del Carlo, 3962 - 20th St.; Labor Representative.

Dr. P. T. Angel, 850 - 40th Ave.; Dentist.

Harold A. Berliner, 10 Crown Terrace; Business Executive.

William A. O'Brien, 27 San Benito Way; Lawyer.

Ernest J. Torregano, 2299 Pacific Ave.; Past Secty. Lafayette Club of S. F.

Albert A. Axelrod, 135 Jordan Ave.; Attorney at Law. Ann S. Dippel, 762 Joost Ave.; Homemaker.

Elizabeth R. Kelly, 656 O'Farrell St.; B. A. for Waitress Union No. 48.

Hugh K. McKevitt, 510 Cole St.; Attorney at Law. Paul Verdier, 1731 Polk St.; Laundry Owner; Past Pres. Lafayette Club.

Albert K. Chow, 1445 Mason St.; Notary.

M. C. Hermann, 201 Waller St.; No. 303; Quartermaster Adj., Vets. of Foreign Wars. John P. Figone, 1648 Stockton St.; Furniture. Frank J. McCarthy, 417 Dewey Blvd.; Attorney. Walter D. McGovern, 2750 Fulton St.; Lawyer. John Diestel, 500 Hyde St.; Chairman, German-American Political Club. Francis McCarty, 3234 Divisadero St.; Attorney at Law.

#### CHESTER R. MacPHEE

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on

November 8, 1949, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office. That my name is Chester R. MacPhee. My residence address is at No. 300 St. Francis Boulevard, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Supervisor,

City and County of San Francisco.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: I have been a member of the Board of Supervisors for eight years, having been elected in 1941 and re-elected in 1945. During that time I have worked to bring sound business methods into city government while promoting legislation for better housing, more playgrounds, off-street parking facilities, repairs to streets and improved salary administration. I am seeking re-election with the desire to see that this progressive program is completed without placing a heavy tax burden on the people. A native San Franciscan, I reside at 300 St. Francis Boulevard with my wife and four children.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Incumbent" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General

Municipal Election to be held November 8, 1949.

Signature of Candidate: CHESTER R. MacPHEE.

Subscribed before me and filed this 20th day of September, 1949.

THOS. A. TOOMEY, Registrar of Voters

By FRANK R. QUINN, Deputy Registrar of Voters.

#### The sponsors for Chester R. MacPhee are:

Mrs. Chester R. MacPhee, 300 St. Francis Blvd.; Housewife. Milton Marks, 3903 Washington St.; Attorney at Law. Mrs. Ray, Schiller, 2422 - 25th Ave.; Homemaker. William C. Schiller, 2422 - 25th Ave.; Clerk. Jesse H. Steinhart, 2212 Vallejo St.; Attorney at Law. Michael Leo Bianco, 1549 Willard St.; Banker. Edw. B. Baron, 44 Casa Way; Theatre Owner. Jesse C. Colman, 1275 Greenwich St., Apt. 402; Pres. The Leighton Industries, Inc. James S. Kearney, 1871 - 35th Ave.; Labor Official. Mrs. A. S. Musante, 1821 Jones St.; Housewife. Ernest J. Torregano, 2299 Pacific Ave.; Atty. and Past Secty. Lafayette Club. John Diestel, 500 Hyde St.; Chairman, German-American Political Club. Dan P. Maher, 2495 - 23rd Ave.; Paint Mfgr. Alex. J. McDonald, 251 Upper Terrace; Broker. John E. Poletti, 1832 Greenwich St.; Sausage Processor. Paniel F. Del Carlo, 3962 - 20th St.; Labor Representative Dewey Mead, 1650 California St.; Supervisor. Don Fazackerley, 217 Juanita Way; Advertising. Margaret R. Murray, 1306 Portola Drive; Clubwoman and Housewife. Lottie H. Huenergardt, 720 Corbett Road; Homemaker.

#### GORDON W. MALLATRATT

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in said City and County on November 8, 1949, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office. That my name is Gordon W. Mallatratt. My residence address is at No. 3377. Washington Street, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Attorney at Law.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: San Francisco must provide better housing and more employment, to assure its continued growth. I pledge myself, if elected, to represent all the people in establishing sound, economical, efficient municipal government to make ours a Greater San Francisco. I am thirty-five years of age, was born and raised in this city, attended public schools here, am married and have two children. The younger was born since my four years service in the Navy during the war. Our City needs Better Government Now. On this basis I urge your support.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Attorney" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 8, 1949.

Signature of Candidate: GORDON W. MALLATRATT.

Subscribed before me and filed this 21st day of September, 1949.

THOS. A. TOOMEY, Registrar of Voters

By FRANK R. QUINN.
Deputy Registrar of Voters

#### The sponsors for Gordon W. Mallatratt are:

James Barton Phelps, 22 - 7th Ave.; Attorney. Trafton D. Muller, 418 Belvedere St.; Salesman. Walter W. Pierce, 467 Turk St.; Organizer. Clarence E. Todd, 2374 - 28th Ave.; Lawyer. William L. Ferdon, 324 Gonzales Drive; Attorney at Law. Tadini Bacigalupi, 1870 Jackson St.; Attorney at Law. John W. Bussey, 2134 Sutter St..; Attorney. B. J. Feigenbaum, 3828 Jackson St.; Attorney at Law. Mathew O. Tobriner, 3494 Jackson St.; Attorney at Law. Lena Lee Clark, 378 Parnassus Ave.; Housewife. Roger D. Lapham, 3680 Jackson St.; Ex-Mayor. Milton Stansky, 1835 - 25th Ave.; Attorney at Law. J. F. Sullivan, Jr., 3760 Jackson St.; Banker. Albert A. Rosenshine, 1000 Mason St.; Attorney. William H. Orrick, Jr., 3660 Clay St.; Attorney at Law. J. B. "Jack" Rice, 2828 Vallejo St.; Retired, Ex-Mgr., Hercules Powder Co. Chas. D. Johnson, 312 Byxbee St.; Business Representative. Andre J. Pechoultres, 2331 North Point St.; Real Estate Broker. Parker S. Maddux, 2868 Vallejo St.; Banking. Joseph L. Alioto, 82 Rico Way; Attorney.

# For Supervisor BORIS P. MARTYNOW

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 8, 1949, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office. That my name is Boris P. Martynow. My residence address is at No. 1563 - 45th

Avenue, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Real Estate.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: Since 1933 I have been a businessman with a stake in the future of this City. My experience has equipped me to deal efficiently with problems of a financial and social nature. My "Agenda for 1950" reflects my belief that San Francisco needs: Lower residential property taxes to encourage home ownership; a flat residential water rate; a Southern Bridge Crossing; an administration that will bring new business and payrolls into San Francisco. My sole intent in running for Supervisor is to apply my "business know-how" to problems of this city.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Real Estate Broker" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the

General Municipal Election to be held November 8, 1949.

Signature of Candidate: BORIS P. MARTYNOW.

Subscribed before me and filed this 22nd day of September, 1949.

THOS. A. TOOMEY, Registrar of Voters By JOHN J. HANNON, Deputy Registrar of Voters

#### The sponsors for Boris P. Martynow are:

Arthur L. Blum, 1563 Pine St.; Publisher, Polk Times. Matthew J. Boxer, 160 Dorchester Way; Director of Fillmore Merch. Ass'n. Bowen A. Bridges, 6430 California St.; Real Estate Mortgage Loans. Charles Camou, 1930 McAllister St.; Businessman. Carl G. Foss, 1675 Newcomb Ave.; Foss, True & Jensen Realty. Gertrude Glenn, 1853 Divisadero St.; Owner, Glenn Employment Agency. Carlton B. Goodlett, M. D., 1845 Fillmore St.; Physician & Surgeon. Grigory S. Grigorian, 1907 Fillmore St.; Merchant. Bernard O. Johnson, 680 Brunswick St.; Warehouseman. Newton Y. Orange, 40 - 28th St.; Realtor, St. James Realty. George G. Nicoladze, 244 Farallones St.; Claims Adjuster. James Joseph O'Rourke, 1241 Leavenworth St.; Printer. Michael Perri, 31 Meadowbrook Drive; Real Estate Broker. J. Rosenbach, 124 Sutro Heights Ave.; Real Estate Broker. Fred M. Rowe, D.D.S., 1833 McAllister St.; Dentist. Pamphil Tesluck, 110 · 20th Ave.; Real Estate & Finance. A. J. Schomacker, 66 Caselli Ave.; Optician. Charles F. Schroth, 258 - 16th Ave.; Attorney at Law. Very Rev. Vasily G. Shaposhnikoff, 864 Fulton St.; Rec. St. Mary's Cathedral. Dr. Ferd C. Shaw, 240 - 18th Ave.; Optometrist. Peter N. Williams, 232 - 15th Ave.; Building & Loan Secretary.

#### P. J. McMURRAY

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on Novem-

ber 8, 1949, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office. That my name is Patrick J. Mc Murray. My residence address is at No. 4314 Fulton Street, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Supervisor—City

and County of San Francisco.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: Elected to the Board of Supervisors four years ago and have been on the job every day since. I am chairman of the Police Committee and a member of the Streets and Health Committees. I was born and raised in San Francisco, educated in the Public Schools and have been in business here for over 40 years. Served three terms in the State Legislature and led the fight for many San Francisco projects. My experience in the legislature, plus my four years on the Board of Supervisors and life-long participation in community affairs are the qualifications I offer for re-election.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Incumbent" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General

Municipal Election to be held November 8, 1949.

Signature of Candidate: P. J. Mc MURRAY.

Subscribed before me and filed this 19th day of September, 1949.

THOS. A. TOOMEY. Registrar of Voters.

By JOHN J. HANNON,
Deputy Registrar of Voters. By JOHN J. HANNON,

#### The sponsors for Patrick J. Mc Murray are:

Mrs. Belle I. McMurray, 4314 Fulton St.; Housewife.
Maurice E. Harrison, 2800 Scott St.; Lawyer. Mrs. Carl Maritzen, 2728 Irving St.; Notary Public.

James E. Rickets, 4248-20th St.; Manager. John L. McNab, 1000 Mason St.; Lawyer. Mrs. Charles B. Porter, 142-27th Ave.; Housewife. Dan P. Maher, 2495-23rd Ave.; Paint Mfgr. Rev. F. D. Haynes, 1299 Hyde St.; Clergyman. Charles J. Powers, 3879-26th St.; Retired. Mrs. Julia F. Bode, 29 Lakewood Ave.; Homemaker. Dewey Mead, 1650 California St.; Supervisor. Daniel J. McLoughlin, 1200 Page St.; Insurance Broker. Thos. R. O'Day, 894-25th Ave.; Retired. B. Joseph Feigenbaum, 3828 Jackson St.; Attorney at Law. Michael Riordan, 1501-6th Ave.; Dairy Executive. Mrs. Ann S. Dippel, 762 Joost Ave.; Homemaker. Chauncey Tramutolo, 524 Post St.; Attorney at Law. Dr. C. A. Ertola, 253 Columbus Ave.; Dental Surgeon.

George R. Reilly, 70 San Leandro Way, Member, Board of Equalization.

David F. Supple, 953 Haight St.; Consultant to Grand Jury.

#### C. A. MOURGOS

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 8, 1949, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office. That my name is C. A. Mourgos. My residence address is at No. 338 Gaven Street, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Railroad Man.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: Modern times calls for modern man to solve the city's problems. If elected to the Board of Supervisors I advocate as follows: 1st: Railroad terminal at Third and Army Streets; 2nd: Modernization of our city's transportation; 3rd: An atomic bomb shelter in case of enemy attack; 4th: clearance of all slums from 7th Street to 3rd Street, and from Mission Street to Bryant Street. I propose an airplane landing area and underneath of same area will be a public automobile parking area. The above words are part of my dream which means millions in income to our City of San Francisco.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of the Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Railroad Man" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 8, 1949.

Signature of Candidate: C. A. MOURGOS.

Subscribed before me and filed this 19th day of September, 1949.

THOS. A. TOOMEY, Registrar of Voters

By FRANK R. QUINN, Deputy Registrar of Voters

#### The sponsors for C. A. Mourgos are:

Mrs. Bridget Foley, 375 Highland Ave.; Housewife. Mrs. H. C. Wooge, 316 Gaven St.; Housewife. Robert M. Beckers, 321 Lakeview Ave.; Sausage Maker. George C. Mourgos, 338 Gaven St.; Bus Operator. Dora Massacar, 607 Kansas St.; Housewife. Jacob Morris, 2867 Sacramento St.; Railroad Mechanic. Maude Tandy, 2620 Sacramento St.; Car Cleaner. Charles E. Buck, 3425 - 19th St.; Carman. Estelle E. Houston, 2339 California St., Apt. 11; Coach Cleaner. Sam Long, 2440 Fulton St.; Inspector. Emil G. Boscacci, 254 Silliman St.; Insurance Salesman. Ernest F. Stagnaro, 275 - 29th St.; Insurance Salesman. James Prendiville, 2877 Army St.; Lamp Tender, S. P. Railroad Co. Gerald J. Pyne, 237 Silver Ave.; Dentist. Stephen Foley, 375 Highland Ave.; Laborer. John P. Foley, 1204 Castro St.; Maintenance Man. Dr. A. J. Remmel, 81 San Felipe Ave.; Physician.

### PAUL O'LEARY

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 8, 1949, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office. That my name is Paul O'Leary. My residence address is at No. 2130 Rivera St., San Francisco. My business or occupation is Merchant.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: Born in San Francisco, a graduate of Polytechnic High School. Have had five years Banking experience and six years Traffic Control. Presently the owner of a local business with three branches. Have been in the past a member of three unions and understand the problems of labor.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Merchant" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 8, 1949.

Signature of Candidate: PAUL O'LEARY.

Subscribed before me and filed this 21st day of September, 1949.

THOS. A. TOOMEY, Registrar of Voters By CHARLES BLUMBERG, Deputy Registrar of Voters

#### The sponsors of Paul O'Leary are:

Mrs. Paul J. O'Leary, 2130 Rivera St.; Housewife.
Michael Driscoll, 157 Westgate Drive; Mortician.
Elinor J. Brodose, 1499 Clayton St.; Insurance Broker.
Bert Angelo Brodose, 1499 Clayton St.; Publisher.
Alex J. McDonald, 251 Upper Terrace; Broker.
Albert S. Covaia, 164 Brighton Ave.; Owner Delivery Service.
Laurence E. Sadler, 1560 Hyde St. No. 2; Owner Delivery Service.
Mrs. Stanley Galli, 347 Seneca Ave.; Furniture Store Owner.
R. L. Geiger, 112 Sloat Blvd.; Vice Pres. Bowerman's Phcy., Inc.
Albert A. Weber, 1717 Alabama St.; Sales & Sales Promotion.
C. A. Kilger, 1345 Brussels St.; Machine Shop Operator.
Jeanne Marie Boete, 1848 Filbert St.; Trocadero Restaurant, 609 Montgomery St.
Louis Cohen, 781 O'Farrell St.; Wesco Sales Auto Supply.
James N. Scharetg, 2124 Rivera St.; Funeral Director.
Charles Wesley Lain, 350 Gough St.; Salesman (Auto Parts).

#### A. GUS. OLIVA

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 8, 1949, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office. That my name is A. Gus. Oliva. My residence address is at No. 640 Post Street, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Retired Merchant.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: I promise if elected to the Board of Supervisors to devote my every effort to good government. I will make every effort to bring back liberal government, which the people of San Francisco have not enjoyed since the days of James Rolph and Angelo Rossi. I promise to devote all my time to legislation that will prove beneficial to all people regardless of race, creed or national origin. I promise to devote my energy to the defeat of attempts of the special interests to repeal Proposition No. 4, Article 25, of The California Constitution and to this end I will campaign for a No vote on Proposition No. 2.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Broker" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear in all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 8, 1949.

Signature of Candidate: A. GUS. OLIVA.

Subscribed before me and filed this 19th day of September, 1949.

THOS. A. TOOMEY, Registrar of Voters.

By CHARLES BLUMBERG, Deputy Registrar of Voters.

#### The sponsors for A. Gus. Oliva are:

Wm. P. Brandhove, 241 Jones St.; Political Writer.
Emily E. Reed, 651 - 7th Ave.; Housewife.
Rose O. Silver, 1750 Washington St.; Practical Nurse.
Violet A. Sowerby, 132 Kona Rd.; Housewife.
Mrs. G. A. Riley, 765 Geary St.; Housewife.
Paul O'Leary, 2130 Rivera St.; Merchant.
Mrs. Paul J. O'Leary, 2130 Rivera St.; Housewife.
Michael Driscoll, 157 Westgate Drive; Mortician.
Carl S. Rayes, 217 Eddy St.; Optician.
W. G. Cummings, 1775 - 40th Ave.; Merchant.
E. C. Walcott, 1030 Franklin St.; Special Policeman.
James M. McGee, 899 - 41st Ave.; Salesman.
George E. Bacigalupi, 3636 - 25th St.; Pharmacist.
Wm. A. Cunningham, 1971 - 30th Ave.; Salesman.
William Y. Dinan, 118 Santa Clara Ave.; Real Estate Broker.
'Arthur R. Neergaard, 1446-33rd Ave.; Union Business Representative.
Robert E. Mason, 2183 - 42nd Ave.; Chef.
Joseph E. Tracy, 962 Sanchez St.; Auto Trimmer.
Tony Devincenzi, 22 Bridgeview Drive; Supt. Sleep-Craft, Inc.
Harry W. Silvers, 3747 Cabrillo St.; Ships Clerk.

## For Supervisor LEON H. SORELL

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 8, 1949, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office. That my name is Leon H. Sorell. My residence address is at No. 98 Lakewood Avenue, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Attorney at Law.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: I am a practicing attorney and veteran of World War II. Two of the most important problems confronting the people of San Francisco are those of accomplishing an improved and integrated transportation system and a reduction in water rates. An equitable tax rate will only result from increased administrative efficiency and avoidance of haphazard planning. As your supervisor I will be pledged to an attainment of the objectives herein set forth.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Attorney at Law" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 8, 1949.

Signature of Candidate: LEON H. SORELL.

Subscribed before me and filed this 21st day of September, 1949.

THOS. A. TOOMEY. Registrar of Voters.

By CHARLES BLUMBERG, Deputy Registrar of Voters.

#### The sponsors for Leon H. Sorell are:

Frank P. Vivas, 3167 Turk St.; Salesman.
Henry J. Van Heiningen, 2194 - 44th Ave.; Salesman.
Samuel D. Hamburg, 575 Ramsell St.; Attorney at Law.
Dr. E. H. Francis, 88 Lakewood Ave.; Optometrist.
Philip L. Sisson, 2 Rockwood Court; Dentist.
L. J. Harrigan, 20 Grafton Ave.; Insurance Agent.
Louis F. Metzner, 1036A Divisadero St.; Janitor.
James G. Maher, 725 Hayes St.; Clerk.
Thelma R. Bristow, 1494 - 31st Ave.; Housewife.
Clayton H. Garvey, 845 Head St.; Attorney at Law.
Michael T. Sita, 3514 Geary Blvd.; Realtor.
Mrs. C. W. Fountaine, 339 - 17th Ave.; Housewife.
George Ong, 40 Wentworth St.; Importer & Exporter.

#### E. JACK SPAULDING

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on

November 8, 1949, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office. That my name is E. Jack Spaulding. My residence address is at No. 320 San Leandro Way, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Captain U. S. Navy Retired.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: As former Supervisor purchased and developed the San Francisco Airport; sponsored and won the Pure Milk Ordinance. As Navy Captain, procured 300,000 Seabees for the Navy's Constructions Batallions. Also was Labor Relations Director for the Navy and had charge of the Engineering work for the Sixth Naval District. My experience with industrial leaders throughout the Nation would be of great service to the people of San Francisco. I originated the East-West Shrine game, San Francisco's great charity event. As a retired Captain, I could give most of my time to the betterment of San Francisco.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Retired" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal

Election to be held November 8, 1949.

Signature of Candidate: E. JACK SPAULDING.

Subscribed before me and filed this 23rd day of September, 1949.

THOS. A. TOOMEY, Registrar of Voters.

By FRANK R. QUINN, Deputy Registrar of Voters.

#### The sponsors for E. Jack Spaulding are:

Gertrude S. Spaulding, 320 San Leandro Way; Housewife.

S. Walter Newman, 2790 Green St.; Retail Store Executive.

Hugh K. McKevitt, 510 Cole St.; Attorney at Law.

Harold C. Faulkner, 80 Marcela Ave.; Lawyer.

Thos. M. Foley, 1000 Mason St.; Superior Judge.

K. A. Lundstrom, 2632 Larkin St.; Merchant.

Edgar C. Levey, 2110 Jackson St.; Attorney at Law.

Andrew C. Kienly, 555 Post St.; Sales Manager.

Alden Ames, 3028 Clay St.; Attorney at Law.

Frank A. Walsh, 31 Castelo Ave.; Director of Basketball—Cow Palace.

Wm. H. Woodfield, Jr., 3215 Jackson St.; Investor.

Howard M. McKinley, 48 Ashbury St.; Retired.

W. H. Hughson, 290 Lombard St.; Auto Dealer.

Dr. Otto G. Freyermuth, 35 Aptos Ave.; Physician.

Louis Sutter, 1810 Jackson St.; Banking.

J. B. "Jack" Rice, 2828 Vallejo St.; Retired Ex-Mgr., Hercules Powder Co.

J. R. Klawans, 27 Avila St.; Attorney.

Parker S. Maddux, 2868 Vallejo St.; Banking.

Chas. L. Harney, 2170 Jackson St.; Contractor.

Everett M. Linoberg, 1963 - 22nd Ave.; Public Relations.

#### J. J. SULLIVAN

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 8, 1949, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office. That my name is J. J. Sullivan. My residence address is at No. 3620 - 18th Street, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Supervisor, Insurance Claims.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: I was born and reared in San Francisco. I attended Sacred Heart College here. I know what San Franciscans want in City Government and know that I can serve the people accordingly. I believe that the Cable Cars and the other colorful activities which make San Francisco famous throughout the world as the "City that knows how" should be retained. I believe that the five cent carfare should be returned and that city monies should be used for the benefit of the people. I owe allegiance to no political party and believe that a Supervisor's creed should be "More Action—Less Talk."

Pursuant to the provisions of Ection 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Supervisor Insurance Claims" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 8, 1949.

Signature of Candidate: J. J. SULLIVAN.

Subscribed before me and filed this 28th day of September, 1949.

THOS. A. TOOMEY, Registrar of Voters.

By CHARLES BLUMBERG, Deputy Registrar of Voters.

#### The sponsors for J. J. Sullivan are:

Nathan Cohn, 510 Castenada Ave.; Attorney at Law. Florian P. Ritschel, 524 Birch St.; Chiropractor. Eugene P. Connolly, 3519 - 19th St.; Bank Clerk. Laurence D. Lewis, 1290 Grove St.; Railroad Clerk. Joseph L. Doyle, 70 Jersey St.; Freight Rate Clerk. Frank T. Doyle, 4518 - 25th St.; City Freight Agt., Southern Pac. R. R. Frank C. Bordenave, Jr., 1770 - 46th Ave.; Clerk, Southern Pacific Co. Joseph M. Cox, 122 - 15th Ave.; Clerk, Southern Pacific Co. James D. Smith, 1730 - 31st. Ave.; Bank Teller. L. L. Kivett, 972 Bush St.; Insurance Adjuster. Mrs. Edward Manasse, 1069 Fell St.; Housewife. Mrs. J. J. Sullivan, 3620 - 18th St.; Housewife. Philip N. Carlisle, 43 Ramona Ave.; Bookkeeper. Dorothy Bordenave, 1770 - 46th Ave.; Housewife. Mrs. Nathan Cohn, 510 Castenada Ave.; Housewife. C. L. Andrews, 143 Randall St.; Grocer.

## For Supervisor THEODORE "TED" THEODUS

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 1.

ber 8, 1949, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office. That my name is Theodore "Ted" Theodus. My residence address is at No. 473 Vermont Street, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Service Station

Operator.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: I am a native son, reared and educated in San Francisco, A Service Station Operator in the West Porfal District and a former Candidate for the State Assembly 20th District. And a World War II Veteran and a member of the Veterans of Foreign Wars. I have always taken an active interest in my community, the people, and their problems. By understanding the problems and the people of my community. I feel certain that I am very capable of handling the job of Supervisor. We need many more new permanent industries for permanent jobs — — and permanent homes.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Service Station Operator" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the Gen-

eral Municipal Election to be held November 8, 1949.

Signature of Candidate: T. TED THEODUS.

Subscribed before me and filed this 22nd day of September, 1949.

THOS. A. TOOMEY, Registrar of Voters.

By FRANK R. QUINN, Deputy Registrar of Voters.

#### The sponsors for Theodore "Ted" Theodus are:

Wm. B. Olsen, 1407 - 5th Ave.; Student.
Constantine Theodus, 473 Vermont St.; Service Station Operator.
Thomas Kelleher, 149 Fairmount St.; Carman, Municipal Railroad.
Albert L. Anders, 141 Lower Terrace; Jeweler.
Mary B. Steil, 61 Forest Side Ave.; Housewife.
George E. Steil, 61 Forest Side Ave.; Patrol Special Police.
Patricia C. Lemstrom, 1985 Fulton St., No. 101; Housewife.
Mrs. Georgia Harris, 473 Vermont St.; Housewife.
Ernest R. Faucompre, 264 Granville Way; Retired.
James Francis Kreling, 180 San Anselmo; Student.
Anita Knight, 512 Los Palmos Dr.; Registered Nurse.
Richard J. Dowdall, 45 Allston Way; Retired (Disability) Govt. Employee.
J. H. Leighton, 75 San Lorenzo Way; Cafeteria Owner.
Ralph J. McGill, 365 Wawona St.; Real Estate & Insurance Broker.
Violet D. Pampanin, 65 Lopez Ave.; Teacher.
Robert L. Rienecker, 1081 Portola Drive; Student.
Enzo Bianucci, 1201 Cayuga Ave.; Bartender.

## For City Attorney DION R. HOLM

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of City Attorney for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 8, 1949, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office. That my name is Dion R. Holm. My residence address is at No. 97 Central Avenue, San Francisco. My business or occupation is City Attorney of San Francisco.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: With 23 years' experience, specialization and accomplishments in municipal law, I have labored as chief deputy city attorney, attorney for the water department, and chief public utilities counsel. I have fought through state and federal courts, including several cases in the United States Supreme Court, to preserve the people's rights — saving and recovering millions of dollars. Last March John J. O'Toole retired. I had the honor of succeeding him as your City Attorney. I am a World War veteran. Four of my sons are veterans of World War II. On my record I respectfully seek your vote.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Incumbent" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 8, 1949.

Signature of Candidate: DION R. HOLM.

Subscribed before me and filed this 27th day of September, 1949.

THOS. A. TOOMEY, Registrar of Voters.

#### The sponsors for Dion R. Holm are:

John J. O'Toole, 14 Fair Oaks St.; Retired City Attorney. Jesse C. Colman, 1275 Greenwich St.; Pres., The Leighton Industries Inc. F. V. Keesling, 20 Presidio Terrace; Attorney at Law. Grace R. Butterfield, 262-20 Ave.; Housewife. Emily E. Reed, 651-7th Ave.; Housewife. Ruby Bacigalupe, 1870 Jackson St.; Housewife. Henry Lem, 1044 Stockton St.; Pres., Chinese Times. Paul Verdier, 1731 Polk St.; Laundry Owner; Past Pres., Lafayette Club. Thos. R. O'Day, 589-25th Ave.; Retired. Thos. J. Riordan, 199 San Anselmo Ave.; Attorney. Hubert Joseph Kertz, 1496-29th Ave.; Appraiser. James S. Kearney, 1871-35th Ave.; Labor Official. Mrs. Ann S. Dippel, 762 Joost Ave.; Homemaker. Theo. J. Roche, 301 Santa Clara Ave.; Attorney at Law. John P. Figone, 1648 Stockton St.; Furniture. Garret McEnerny II, 3725 Washington St.; Attorney. J. F. Sullivan, Jr., 3760 Jackson St.; Banker. Ben Selig, 350 Willard St.; Wholesale Butcher. Constant J. Auger, 3819 Jackson St.; Retail Jeweler. John Allen Francis, 1610 Jones St.; U. S. Retired.

## For City Attorney GERALD J. O'GARA

I hereby déclare myself a candidate for the Office of City Attorncy for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 8, 1949, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office. That my name is Gerald J. O'Gara. My residence address is at No. 2701 Green

Street, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Attorney at Law.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: Son of Attorney John O'Gara, I was born here, 46 years ago. My wife and I actually live here as required by the Charter. An attorney 23 years, for nine years I represented the Better Business Bureau. Elected Supervisor in 1941, I resigned to enter the Navy and served overseas. As San Francisco's State Senator, I know our City's problems. If elected, I will vitalize this vital office, to make your law office the City's best. To conserve taxpayers' money, deputies will concentrate on City business, not private practice. Outlawing special privileges, I promise vigorous, efficient and independent service.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Lawyer" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal

Election to be held November 8, 1949.

Signature of Candidate: GERALD J. O'GARA.

Subscribed before me and filed this 26th day of September, 1949.

THOS. A. TOOMEY, Registrar of Voters.

By JOHN J. HANNON, Deputy Registrar of Voters.

#### The sponsors for Gerald J. O'Gara are:

Mrs. Gerald J. O'Gara, 2701 Green St.; Housewife.

John L. McNab, 1000 Mason St.; Lawyer.

Arthur M. Brown, Jr., 2535 Lyon St.; Insurance.

Francis Carroll, 118 Alta St.; Attorney at Law.

Daniel F. Del Carlo, 3962 - 20th St.; Labor Rep.

Thomas A. Driscoll, 800 Francisco St.; Real Estate Broker.

Ben C. Duniway, 23 Fifth Ave.; Attorney at Law.

Norman Elkington, 190 Aptos Ave.; Attorney at Law.

Dr. C. A. Ertola, 775 Francisco St.; Dental Surgeon.

Daniel V. Flanagan, 40 Piedmont St.; Western Dir., Amer. Fed. of Latteriton B. Goodlett, 1845 Fillmore St.; Physician & Surgeon.

W. D. Hadeler, 1275 Greenwich St.; Sec., California Grocers Ass'n.

George W. Johns, 42 Idora St.; Labor Representative, A.F.L.

Leland J. Lazarus, 38 Seal Rock Drive; Attorney at Law.

Joseph I. McNamara, 275 - 28th Ave.; Attorney at Law.

Joseph A. Moore, Jr., 2590 Green St.; Shipbuilder.

Vincent J. Mullins, 3383 Washington St.; Attorney at Law.

Timothy J. O'Neill, 2570 - 40th Ave.; Bus. Agt. Loc. 105 - Unit. Bro. Tel. Wkrs. C.I.O.

Edward E. Pencevich, 1030 Sacramento St.; Attorney at Law.

James E. Rickets, 4248 - 20th St.; Manager.

#### For Treasurer

### THOMAS P. O'TOOLE

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Treasurer for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 8, 1949, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of

at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office.

That my name is Thomas P. O'Toole. My residence address is at No. 1319 - 38th Avenue, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Accountant.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: As City Treasurer I will never allow the Taxpayers' money to be deposited in foreign countries or to be left on deposit anywhere without interest. Educated in the Public Schools of San Francisco and St. Mary's College. Graduate of the American Institute of Banking and Commercial Law with ten years of actual banking experience. Past Commander of the American Legion Post 538. I am married and have six daughters and as an independent candidate pledge to devote my entire time to the duties of this office and the welfare of the people of San Francisco.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Accountant" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 8, 1949.

Signature of Candidate: THOMAS P. O'TOOLE.

Subscribed before me and filed this 4th day of October, 1949.

THOS. A. TOOMEY, Registrar of Voters.

By FRANK R. QUINN, Deputy Registrar of Voters.

#### The sponsors for Thomas P. O'Toole are:

J. W. McDougall, 1627 - 16th Ave.; Printer and Publisher. W. A. Madison, 1935 Franklin St.; Bar Owner. Leo W. Johnson, 447 Eddy St.; Salesman. Glen Ridenour, 81 Delmar St.; Meat Cutter. Effie Hartman, 1510 - 29th Ave.; Nurse. Elly Kaufmann, 50 Church St.; Salesman. Harry L. Ryberg, 1800 - 19th Ave.; Chiropractor. Cyril W. Denike, 1586 Newcomb Ave.; Restaurant Owner. Mrs. Bebe Ryberg, 1800 - 19th Ave.; Physio-Therapist. Dale D. Ames, 1554 - 32nd Ave.; Druggist. Mrs. Lilian A. Stopher, 1366 - 29th Ave.; Housewife. William F. Meade, 2122 Thomas St.; Warehouse Manager. Melvin Sosnick, 178 Stanyan St.; Wholesale Tobacconist. Henry D. Goldsmith, 1115 Post St.; Clerk. R. J. O'Rourke, 233 Anderson St.; Executive. Mrs. Mary A. Cassidy, 821 Duncan St.; Secretary. Mrs. Helen F. Wilbur, 3099 Washington St.; Clerk.

#### For Treasurer

#### JOHN J. GOODWIN

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Treasurer for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 8, 1949, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office. That my name is John J. Goodwin. My residence address is at No. 426 Jersey Street, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: I am thoroughly familiar with all departments of the office of City and County Treasurer, having entered the department as a clerk, and through successful passage of civil service examination, achieved the position of Chief Deputy Treasurer. During my incumbency as Treasurer, the office has been on a self-supporting basis, requiring no support from taxes. If retained I shall continue to devote my efforts to the maintenance of an efficient and well operated office.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Incumbent" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 8, 1949.

Signature of Candidate: JOHN J. GOODWIN.

Subscribed before me and filed this 21st day of September, 1949.

THOS. A. TOOMEY, Registrar of Voters
By FRANK R. QUINN, Deputy Registrar of Voters

#### The sponsors for John J. Goodwin are:

Mrs. Ethel M. Goodwin, 426 Jersey St.; Housewife. J. F. Sullivan, Jr., 3760 Jackson St.; Banker. L. M. Giannini, 945 Green St.; Banker. Garret McEnerney II, 3725 Washington St.; Attorney. Parker S. Maddux, 2868 Vallejo St.; Banking. Joseph F. Rae, 835 Diamond St.; Prop. of Dolores Press, Printer & Publisher. Mrs. Thomas A. Maloney, 350 Missouri St.; Housewife. John P. Figone, 1648 Stockton St.; Furniture. Maurice Moskovitz, 2900 Lake St.; Real Estate. Jos. P. Osterloh, 1137 Diamond St.; Superintendent. Thos. R. O'Day, 894 - 25th Ave.; Retired. Albert K. Chow, 1445 Mason St.; Notary. Rudolph I. Coffee, 2400 Buchanan St.; Clergyman, Francis P. Walsh, 20 San Felipe Ave.; Attorney at Law. Michael Riordan, 1501 - 6th Ave.; Dairy Executive. Mrs. Ann S. Dippel, 762 Joost Ave.; Homemaker. Daniel F. Del Carlo, 3962 - 20th St.; Labor Representative. Harold A. Berliner, 10 Crown Terrace; Business Executive. Elizabeth R. Kelly, 656 O'Farrell St.; Business Agt., Waitress Union 48. M. J. Buckley, 35 El Plazuela; Shipping.

## For Judge of Municipal Court Office 1 JOHN C. ALAIMO

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Judge of Municipal Court Office I for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 8, 1949, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office. That my name is John C. Alaimo. My residence address is at No. 2329 Taraval

Street, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Attorney at Law.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: I am thirty-five years old, married and the father of two sons. I was admitted to practice law in the State of California in December, 1941. I am and have been a member in good standing of the California bar during my approximate eight years of practice. During my admittance, I have been actively engaged in my profession, handling all types of cases particularly trial work with and without juries.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Attorney at Law" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General

Municipal Election to be held November 8, 1949.

Signature of Candidate: JOHN C. ALAIMO.

Subscribed before me and filed this 27th day of September, 1949.

THOS. A. TOOMEY, Registrar of Voters.

By FRANK R. QUINN, Deputy Registrar of Voters.

#### The sponsors for John C. Alaimo are:

Helen J. Alaimo, 2329 Taraval St.; Housewife.
Frank J. Kudelka, 1221 Shrader St.; Machinist.
Jess R. Burton, 95 Rotteck St.; Electrician.
Ethel M. Alaimo, 2071 Vicente St.; Housewife and Secretary.
William Seehuber, 2333 Taraval St.; Refrigeration.
Nellan D. Lopez, 2510 - 22nd Ave.; Housewife.
Wm. A. Alaimo; 2071 Vicente St.; Real Estate Broker, Construction.
J. Ray Conlan, 1572 Palou Ave.; Real Estate.
Frances Conlan, 1572 Palou Ave.; School Teacher.
Chas. A. Roberts, 288 Delano Ave.; File Clerk.

# For Judge of Municipal Court Office 1 EDWARD T. MANCUSO

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Judge of Municipal Court Office 1 for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 8, 1949, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office. That my name is Edward T. Mancuso. My residence address is at No. 1450 Pacheco Street, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Attorney at Law.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: This Judgeship has never been filled by the vote of the people. Believing I am qualified to occupy the position, I am seeking election thereto and cite my 20 years experience as an active practicing lawyer, and 4 years as Chairman of the Board's Judiciary committee. I was born and reared in San Francisco. If elected, I will dispense impartial justice with fairness, tolerance and courtesy to all, irrespective of race, color or creed and perform the duties thereof with whatever intelligence and ability I may have shown in my long career as an elected public official.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Attorney at Law" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General

Municipal Election to be held November 8, 1949.

Signature of Candidate: EDWARD T. MANCUSO.

Subscribed before me and filed this 27th day of September, 1949.

THOS. A. TOOMEY, Registrar of Voters.

By CHARLES BLUMBERG, Deputy Registrar of Voters.

#### The sponsors for Edward T. Mancuso are:

Mrs. Edward T. Mancuso, 1450 Pacheco St.; Housewife. Thomas Wu, D. D. S., 1170 Jackson St.; Doctor of Dental Surgery. Mrs. Elizabeth Collins, 663 Fell St.; Apartment Manager. Phillips S. Davies, 1859 Vallejo St.; Banker. Nellie B. Null, M. D., 4346 Third St.; Physician & Surgeon. Parker S. Maddux, 2868 Vallejo St.; Banking. Grace R. Butterfield, 262 - 20th Ave.; Housewife. Fred D. Parr, 170 - 24th Ave.; Terminal Executive. Margaret M. Krsak, 520 Roosevelt Way; Homemaker. O. H. Barthol, 779 - 18th Ave.; Assistant Treasurer. Elisa M. Kennedy, 146 Dolores St.; Housewife. Alessandro Baccari, 735 Union St.; Artist. Raymond J. McShane, 2722 Fulton St.; Accountant. John Wynne Herron, 199 Quintara St.; Attorney at Law. Emily E. Reed, 651 - 7th Ave.; Housewife. M. V. Benatar, 261 Cervantes Blvd.; Retail Drug Merchant. William G. Menary, 2919 - 19th Ave.; Merchant. F. E. Rathjens, 201 Capra Way; Wholesale Butcher. J. W. McDougall, 1627 - 16th Ave.; Printer & Publisher.

## For Judge of Municipal Court Office 1 HERMAN A. VAN DER ZEE

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Judge of Municipal Court Office 1 for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 8, 1949, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office. That my name is Herman A. van der Zee. My residence address is at No. 534 Dewey Blvd., San Francisco. My business or occupation is Presiding Judge

of the Municipal Court.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: Municipal Judge of San Francisco since February 1947; the other eleven Municipal Judges have approved my judicial record by electing me Presiding Judge for 1949; graduate of the University of San Francisco; member of State Bar of California since 1922; combat veteran of San Francisco's own 363rd Infantry; 91st Division; former Assistant United States Attorney; former County Clerk and Clerk of the Superior Court; my family consists of my wife, two daughters who are students at the University of California, and one son, who is a high school student in San Francisco.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Municipal Judge" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 8, 1949.

Signature of Candidate: HERMAN A. VAN DER ZEE.

Subscribed before me and filed this 27th day of September, 1949.

THOS. A. TOOMEY, Registrar of Voters.

#### The sponsors for Herman A. van der Zee are:

Mrs. Herman A. van der Zee, 534 Dewey Blvd.; Housewife. Theo. J. Roche, 301 Santa Clara Ave.; Attorney at Law. F. V. Keesling, 20 Presidio Terrace; Attorney at Law. Maurice Moskovitz, 2900 Lake Street; Real Estate. M. C. Hermann, 201 Waller St., No. 303; Quartermaster-Adj., Dept. of Calif. V.F.W. Thos. R. O'Day, 894 - 25th Ave.; Retired. Daniel F. Del Carlo, 3962 - 20th St.; Labor Representative. Louis Ferrari, 151 Upper Terrace; Attorney. Julia F. Bode, 29 Lakewood Ave.; Homemaker. W. D. Hadeler, 1275 Greenwich St.; Executive Secy. Calif. Grocers Ass'n. William J. Raffetto, 2860 Pierce St.; Realtor. Jack Goldberger, 266 - 30th St.; Labor Official. Garret McEnerny, II, 3725 Washington St.; Attorney. Antoinette V. Musante, 1821 Jones St.; Housewife. John Diestel, 500 Hyde St.; Travel Bureau Atlas Agencies. John J. Casey, 538 Dewey Blvd.; Civil Engineer. Mrs. Prentis Cobb Hale, 2430 Vallejo St.; Housewife. Emile Doud Torre, 2526 Francisco St.; Physician & Surgeon. Wm. H. Woodfield, Jr., 3215 Jackson St.; Investor. Dan P. Maher, 2495 - 23rd Ave.; Paint Mfgr.

## For Judge of Municipal Court Office 2 EUSTACE CULLINAN, Jr.

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Judge of the Municipal Court, Office 2, for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 8, 1949, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time of taking such office. That my name is Eustace Cullinan, Ir. My residence address is at No. 329 Lombard

Street, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Municipal Judge.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: I was born in San Francisco and was graduated from St. Ignatius High School, University of Notre Dame (A.B.) and Stanford Law School (J.D.). For nine years prior to World War II I was a partner in the law firm of Sullivan, Theodore J. Roche and Senator Hiram W. Johnson. During the war I served overseas with a United States Naval Air Squadron and upon being honorably discharged in 1946 I resumed private practice. I was appointed Municipal Judge in 1947 and now am the Presiding Judge of the Municipal Court in the Criminal Division. I have endeavored to be fair and industrious and seek election on my record.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Municipal Judge" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General

Municipal Election to be held November 8, 1949.

Signature of Candidate: EUSTACE CULLINAN, Jr.

Subscribed before me and filed this 19th day of September, 1949.

THOS. A. TOOMEY, Registrar of Voters

By JOHN J. HANNON, Deputy Registrar of Voters

#### The sponsors for Eustace Cullinan, Jr. are:

Eustace Cullinan, Sr., 2778 Green St.; Attorney at Law. Garret McEnerney II, 3725 Washington St.; Attorney. Thomas A. Maloney, 350 Missouri St.; Insurance Broker. Arthur B. Dunne, 2090 Vallejo St.; Attorney at Law. F. M. McAuliffe, 524 Post St.; Attorney at Law. Eugene M. Prince, 3421 Pacific Ave.; Lawyer. Maurice Moskovitz, 2900 Lake St.; Real Estate. Elizabeth R. Kelley, 656 O'Farrell St.; Bus. Agt., Waitress' Union 48. Doris A. Schnacke, 44 Entrada Court; Deputy District Attorney. Mrs. Abraham M. Dresow, 851 - 28th Ave.; Housewife. Katherine Amati, 1920 Leavenworth St.; Hsewife, Past Gr. Pres. Cath. Lad. Aid So. John T. McCarthy, 166 Denslowe Drive; Attorney at Law. John P. Figone, 1648 Stockton St.; Furniture. George Liebermann, 778 - 12th Ave.; Attorney at Law. Ernest S. Torregano, 2299 Pacific Ave.; Attorney at Law. Ernest S. Torregano, 2299 Pacific Ave.; Attorney. Peter Leveroni, 758 Green St.; Salesman, Borden's Dairy Delivery. John T. Rudden, Jr., 2469 - 14th Ave.; Attorney. Harmon D. Skillin, 2226 - 29th Ave.; Attorney at Law. Aaron N. Cohen, 2677 Larkin St.; Attorney.

### For Judge of Municipal Court Office 3 ALVIN E. WEINBERGER

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Judge of the Municipal Court Office 3 for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 8, 1949, and declare the following to be

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office. That my name is Alvin E. Weinberger. My residence address is at No. 2155 Buchanan Street, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Judge of the

Municipal Court.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: Attended San Francisco public schools, graduating from Polytechnic High School, 1922. Graduated from the University of California, 1926, the University of California Law School, 1929. Engaged in general practice of law since graduation; served six years in the S. F. District Attorney's Office, and resigned the position of Chief Trial Deputy to become Municipal Judge. Married to Mildred Louise Weinberger, and father of son, Jimmy, 10. The basic principles of justice, integrity, and impartiality have always governed my conduct of public office, and will continue to be the standard upon which I hase my candidacy for retention as Municipal Judge.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Municipal Judge" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General

Municipal Election to be held November 8, 1949.

Signature of Candidate: ALVIN E. WEINBERGER. Subscribed before me and filed this 28th day of September, 1949.

THOS. A. TOOMEY, Registrar of Voters. By FRANK'R. QUINN,

Deputy Registrar of Voters.

#### The sponsors for Alvin E. Weinberger are:

Mrs. Alvin E. Weinberger, 2155 Buchanan St.; Housewife. J. Joseph Sullivan, 314 San Leandro Way; Pres. Board of Supervisors, S. F.

F. V. Keesling, 20 Presidio Terrace; Attorney at Law. Adrien J. Falk, 2085 Sacramento St.; Merchant.

Parker Maddux, 2868 Vallejo St.; Banking.

Robert I. McCarthy, 1699 - 17th Ave.; Attorney. Albert K. Chow, 1445 Mason St.; Notary.

Jack Goldberger, 266 - 30th St.; Labor Official

Daniel F. Del Carlo, 3962 - 20th St.; Labor Representative.

George Hardy, 2026 Lawton St.; International Vice-Pres.. Bldg. Service Employees' Union, A. F. L.

George R. Reilly, 70 San Leandro Way; Member, Board of Equalization.

J. F. Sullivan, Jr., 3760 Jackson St.; Banker.

Julia G. Porter, 142 - 27th Ave.; Housewife.

Mrs. Jean Laing Carter, 2468 Broadway; Housewife. Florence G. Colman, 1275 Greenwich St.; Housewife.

Andre J. Pechoultres, 2331 North Point St.; Real Estate Broker.

Maurice Moskovitz, 2900 Lake St.; Real Estate.
Wm. E. Newsom, 2050 Jefferson St.; General Contractor.
John P. Figone, 1648 Stockton St.; Furniture.
Thos. J. Mellon, 50 San Pablo Ave.; Vice Pres., Wesix-Electric Heater Co.

## For Judge of Municipal Court Office 4 CLARENCE W. MORRIS

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Judge of Municipal Court Office 4 for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 8, 1949, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office. That my name is Clarence W. Morris. My residence address is at No. 124 San Aleso Avenue, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Judge of the

Municipal Court.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: I was born in San Francisco and have always resided here; attended public schools; graduated from Humboldt Evening High School and San Francisco Law School; practiced law in San Francisco for twenty-five years before going on the bench. Have served as Municipal Judge for a period of twelve years, presiding over all departments thereof, both Civil and Criminal, as well as serving as Presiding Judge. Have conscientiously endeavored to preside fairly and impartially in all matters assigned to me, whether they be Civil or Criminal in their nature, and have always stretched forth a helping hand to aid first offenders.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Municipal Judge" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General

Municipal Election to be held November 8, 1949.

Signature of Candidate: CLARENCE W. MORRIS.

Subscribed before me and filed this 27th day of September, 1949.

THOS. A. TOOMEY, Registrar of Voters.

By FRANK R. QUINN, Deputy Registrar of Voters.

#### The sponsors for Clarence W. Morris are:

Louis Aguirre, 1825 Beach St.; Wholesale Druggist.

Peter T. Angel, 850 · 40th Ave.; Dentist.

Daniel F. Del Carlo, 3962 · 20th St.; Labor Representative.

John Diestel, 500 Hyde St.; Atlas Agencies, Airlines-Travel.

Harry W. Gaetjen, 555 · 45th Ave.; Retired.

Stephen F. Gilligan, 1652 Dolores St.; Business Rep., Milk Drivers Union.

Robert Grosso, 2362 Bay St.; Dentist.

W. D. Hadeler, 1275 Greenwich St.; Executive Secty, Calif. Grocers Ass'n.

Louis R. Lurie, 2100 Pacific Ave.; Real Estate Operator.

Parker Maddux, 2868 Vallejo St.; Banking.

Frank Marini, 653 Green St.; Mortician.

Mrs. Clarence W. Morris, 124 San Aleso Ave.; Housewife.

Maurice Moskovitz, 2900 Lake St.; Real Estate.

Matt Rawlings, 278 Anderson St.; Business Agent, Local 75 · IL.W.U.

Reed W. Robinson, 125 Terrace Drive; Candy Manufacturer.

A. T. Schabiague, 480 Eddy St.; Apt. House Owner.

Melvin Sosnick, 178 Stanyan St.; Wholesale Tobacconist.

Mrs. Harold S. Stein, 111 Commonwealth Ave.; Housewife.

Paul Verdier, 1731 Polk St.; Laundry Owner, Past Pres., Lafayette Club.

Clarence J. Walsh, 124 Delano Ave.; Organizer, Bakery Wagon Drivers No. 484.

#### PROPOSITION A

ARPORT BONDS, 1949. To incur a Bonded Indebtedness in the sum of \$10,000,000 for the acquisition, contruction and completion of the San Francisco Airport in San Mateo County.

File No. 4645

Ordinance No. 5682

(Series of 1939)

CALLING AND PROVIDING FOR A SPECIAL ELECTION TO BE HELD IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ON TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 8, 1949, FOR THE PURPOSE OF SUB-MITTING TO THE VOTERS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO A PROPOSITION TO INCUR A BONDED DEBT OF THE CITY AND COUNTY TO THE AMOUNT OF \$10,000,000 FOR THE ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION AND COMPLETION BY THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRAN-CISCO OF THE FOLLOWING MUNICIPAL IMPROVEMENT, TO WIT: IMPROVEMENT OF THE SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORT IN SAN MATEO COUNTY; INCLUDING THE PLANNING, DE-SIGNING, AND CONSTRUCTION OF A NEW PASSENGER TERMINAL AND ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, INCLUDING ALL FURNITURE, EQUIPMENT AND APPURTENANCES NECESSARY THEREFOR; THE CONSTRUCTION OF NEW AND THE RECONSTRUCTION, REPLACEMENT AND IM-PROVEMENT EXISTING OF RUNWAYS; TAXIWAYS: APRONS; PARKING AREAS; ROADWAYS; BRIDGES; CUL-VERTS; DRAINAGE SYSTEMS; SEWAGE SYSTEMS; UTILITIES SERVICES; LIGHTING SYSTEMS; VISUAL, ELECTRONIC, AND SONIC NAVIGATIONAL AND SIGNALLING SYSTEMS; HAR-BORS, DOCKS, PIERS AND RAMPS; MARINE RAILWAYS: CONVENTIONAL RAILWAYS AND SPUR TRACKS; MARINE NAVIGATIONAL AIDS; HANGARS; SHOPS; STRUCTURES: ALTERATION OF EXISTING PASSENGER TERMINAL AND ADMINISTRATION BUILDINGS; GARAGES; RAMPS; CON-COURSES; AIRCRAFT FUELING-SYSTEMS; FIELD MAINTEN-ANCE SERVICE SHOPS; GARAGE; HEATING FACILITIES: POWER AND UTILITIES DISTRIBUTION; POWER STANDBY AND CONTROL BUILDINGS AND STRUCTURES; AIR MAIL, CARGO. AND EXPRESS BUILDINGS AND STRUCTURES: THE REHABILITATION AND RECONSTRUCTION AND REPLACE-MENT OF EXISTING AND THE ACQUISITION OF NEW EQUIPMENT OF ANY NATURE WHATSOEVER NECESSARY THE EFFICIENT MAINTENANCE, OPERATION AND FUNCTIONING OF THE AIRPORT AND ITS FACILITIES IN-CLUDING AUTOMOTIVE EMERGENCY, RESCUE, MAINTEN-ANCE, SERVICE, AND UTILITY VEHICLES; CONVEYORS AND CONVEYING SYSTEMS; COMPUTING, ACCOUNTING, AND TALLYING DEVICES; SIGNS; TRAFFIC CONTROL SYS-TEMS AND DEVICES: TOGETHER WITH THE ACQUISITION OF ALL OTHER EQUIPMENT AND DEVICES AND THE DO-

ING OF ALL OTHER THINGS AND MATTERS WHICH WILL ENABLE THE SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORT TO FUNCTION AS A COMPLETELY EQUIPPED AIRPORT AND TO MEET ALL LOCAL, STATE, AND FEDERAL REQUIREMENTS, REGULATIONS, AND STANDARDS NECESSARY AND COMMON TO A COMBINED LAND PLANE AND SEAPLANE INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT OF UNLIMITED RATING AS TO OPERATIONAL CAPABILITIES; ALL IN ORDER TO DO AND PERFORM ANY AND ALL OF THE MATTERS HEREINABOVE REFERRED TO FOR THE IMPROVEMENT OF SAID SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORT; FIXING PAYMENT OF INTEREST OF SAID BONDS AND PRESCRIBING NOTICE TO BE GIVEN OF SUCH ELECTION.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 8th day of November, 1949, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said city and county a proposition to incur a bonded indebtedness of the City and County. of San Francisco in the principal amount of \$10,000,000 for the acquisition, construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of the following municipal improvement, to wit: Improvement of the San Francisco Airport in San Mateo County; including the planning, designing, and construction of a new passenger terminal and administration building, including all furniture, equipment and appurtenances necessary therefor; the construction of new and the reconstruction, replacement and improvement of existing runways; taxiways; aprons; parking areas; roadways; bridges; culverts; drainage systems; sewage systems; utilities services; lighting systems; visual, electronic, and sonic navigational and signalling systems; harbors, docks, piers and ramps; marine railways; conventional railways and spur tracks; marine navigational aids; hangars; shops; structures; alteration of existing passenger terminal and administration buildings; garages; ramps; concourses; aircraft fueling systems; field maintenance service shop; garage; heating facilities; power and utilities distribution; power standby and control buildings and structures; air mail, cargo, and express buildings and structures; the rehabilitation and reconstruction and replacement of existing and the acquisition of new equipment of any nature whatsoever necessary to the efficient maintenance, operation and functioning of the Airport and its facilities including automotive emergency, rescue, maintenance, service, and utility vehicles; conveyors and conveying systems; computing, accounting, and tallying devices; signs; traffic control systems and devices; together with the acquisition of all other equipment and devices and the doing of all other things and matters which will enable the San Francisco Airport to function as a completely equipped airport and to meet all local, State and Federal requirements, regulations, and standards necessary and common to a combined land plane and seaplane international airport of unlimited rating as to operational capabilities; all in order to do and perform any and all of the matters hereinabove referred to for the improvement of said San Francisco Airport.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein was fixed by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 9031 (Series of 1939), passed by more than two-thirds of said board, and approved by the Mayor in the sum of \$10,000,000, and such sum is, and was found by said Resolution, to be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income

and revenue of the city and county, in addition to the other annual expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco in the principal amount specified in Section 1 hereof.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and in all particulars not herein recited said election shall be held according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the General Municipal Election to be held Tuesday, November 8, 1949, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said General Municipal Election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth by the Registrar of Voters of polling places and election officers for the said General Municipal Election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said General Municipal Election.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Airport Bonds, 1949. To incur a bonded indebtedness in the sum of \$10,000,000 for the acquisition, construction and completion of the San Francisco Airport in San Mateo County."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purposes stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same shall comply in all respects with the provisions of law.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the voters voting on said proposition voted in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purposes set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and

bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the municipal improvement described herein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials," and shall bear interest at a rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 7. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest on said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy and in the manner for such general tax levy provided, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid, or until there be a sum in the Treasury of said City and County set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest on said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds as the same become due and also such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the proceeds of a tax levied at the time for making the next general tax levy can be made available for the payment of such principal.

Section 8. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in The San Francisco Chronicle, a newspaper published daily in the City and County of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said city and county and such publication shall constitute notice of said election and no other notice of the election hereby called need be given.

Read Second Time and Finally Passed—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, September 19, 1949.

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Fazackerley, Gallagher, Halley, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullvan, John J. Sullivan.

Noes: None.

Absent: None.

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

> JOHN R. McGRATH, Clerk. Approved September 21, 1949.

> > ELMER E. ROBINSON, Mayor.

### Arguments in Favor of Propositions A and B Vote Yes on Propositions "A" and "B"

Yes on "A" - Our International Airport

- 1. To complete it and to maintain its leading place in world aviation.
- 2. To bring thousands of new jobs and millions of dollars in new payrolls to San Francisco.
- 3. To increase revenues so that the cost to taxpayers will be substantially reduced.

Vote Yes on "B" - Cherry Valley Water Supply

- 1. To protect San Francisco against water shortage at a time when other communities are stricken by drought.
- 2. To obtain Federal Aid of \$9,000,000 at a cost of only \$4,000,000 to us.
- 3. To keep San Francisco's Water supply on a self-supporting basis without tax support.

Unanimously Approved by Bond Screening Committee

In March of this year the Board of Supervisors requested the Mayor to appoint a citizens' committee to study all bond proposals, the necessity therefor and the proper amounts of each proposal. The Mayor appointed 15 distinguished San Franciscans who made exhaustive studies, after which they recommended to the Board only two such proposals — Propositions "A" and "B" enumerated above.

Yes — on Proposition "A" — Airport Completion

Few people realize that more passengers come in and out of San Francisco Airport than enter and leave San Francisco by trains — excluding commuters. More than 1.000,000 passengers annually. Within five years this number will be more than 2,000,000 passengers. This is one of San Francisco's biggest industries — and getting bigger. Facilities have now reached their saturation point.

Recently completed studies indicate that the San Francisco Airport brings \$45,000,000 annually to us in new payrolls, new employments and new dollars from transient trade. This sum will increase substantially each

year.

The \$10,000,000 bond issue proposed under Proposition "A" will develop the revenue-producing facilities of the Airport. These revenue-producing facilities will produce sufficient income to more than off-set the \$10,000,000 investment proposed. If this bond issue is not passed, the airport cannot meet the demands upon it. It cannot accommodate the additional passengers. It cannot gain the additional revenues. It is the contention of the Citizens' Committee advocating the Bond Issue that passage of Proposition "A" will greatly reduce the costs to the taxpayers for operation of the Airport and will, in fact, pay off both principal and interest on the Bond Issue.

Vote "Yes" on Proposition "A" for Airport completion.

Yes - On Proposition "B" - Water Supply

We narrowly averted a disastrous water shortage last year. We cannot afford to gamble again. Cities which had gambled found themselves on water rations — saw their lawns and crops dry up. This is San Francisco's opportunity to take the leadership and assume a position of water supply control in the Bay Area.

There is but one way for us to guarantee our water supply and that is to build additional storage to meet the threat of dry years. The Federal government is willing to aid us in this program. They will pay \$9,000,000 of the costs of the proposed new Cherry Valley storage dam if San Francisco will put up \$4,000,000 (all of which would be paid out of revenues without support from taxes). This is our great opportunity.

Vote "Yes" on Proposition "B" for Adequate Water Supplies!

Propositions "A" and "B" are the only two bond issues on the ballot this year. Both protect San Francisco's present and future in two vital utilities. Both are vital to San Francisco's prosperity.

Vote "Yes" on "A" and "B".

On September 19, 1949, the foregoing argument was approved by the Board of Supervisors and authorized for inclusion in the election pamphlet for November 8, 1949, by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Fazackerley, Gallagher, Halley, Lewis, MacPhee Mancuso McMurray Mead I Joseph Sullivan John I Sullivan

MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan. Noes: None.

Noes: None. Absent: None.

> JOHN R. McGRATH, Clerk Board of Supervisors.

الأرابة

## CONTROLLER'S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 183

#### PROPOSITION "A"

### \$10,000,000 BOND ISSUE FOR SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORT

Should the proposed \$10,000,000 Airport Bonds-1949 be authorized, and when all shall have been issued, the average amount required annually to pay the interest thereon and redemption thereof will be \$773,300 or an equivalent of 7.35 cents in the tax rate, based upon the current assessment roll (1949-1950)?

The San Francisco Airport always has required and does now require tax support for its operations and to pay the costs of bond interest and bond redemption. Here is shown the amounts of taxes and their equivalent taxcents for the fiscal years indicated:

	Amount of Tax Support	Equivalent Tax Cents
1946-1947	\$ 836,527	9.71
1947-1948	1,457,300	15.95
1948-1949	2,771,117	27.70
1949-1950	2,989,746	28.45

It is the Controller's opinion that the issuance of the proposed Airport Bonds-1949, in the sum of \$10,000,000, if approved, will not materially affect the bonded debt limit of the City and County of San Francisco.

The Public Utilities Commission reports that it proposes to expend the funds derived from this bond issue, if approved, for the following general purposes:

New terminal building and concourse	\$ 4,500,000
Apron, airplane servicing facilities and other work in connec-	
tion with new terminal area	4,297,000
Land reclamation, roads, utilities and structures for corporation	
and privately-owned aircraft and other non-airline	
activities	700,000
Improving and rehabilitating existing terminal building	110,000
Completion of water supply	130.000
Aids to air navigation for all-weather flying	110,000
Miscellaneous	153,000

The statement as of June 30, 1949, next following, shows the estimated undepreciated plant and equipment in service and under construction and the available resources, net, to complete the work now in progress and in plan under the construction program presently being prosecuted:

Plant and equipment;	
In service, undepreciated,	\$32,681,165
Under construction	3,324,822
Available resources, net	5,345,935

\$10,000,000

The following table reflects the Controller's record of the Airport Bonded Debt as of June 30, 1949:

<b>6</b> 0	Bond Issues			
Authorized Unsold	<b>1933</b> \$260,000	<b>1938</b> \$2,850,000	1945 \$20,000,000 2,500,000	<b>Total</b> \$23,110,000 2,500,000
Authorized and sold Redeemed	\$260,000 260,000	\$2,850,000 2,850,000	\$17,500,000 3,650,000	\$20,610,000 6,760,000
Outstanding June 30, 1949	\$	\$	\$13,850,000	\$13,850,000

The Public Utilities Commission further reports that upon completion of the construction and improvements scheduled under the proposed Airport Bonds-1949, if approved, the excess of revenues over funded expenditures, Exclusive of taxes, Bond interest and Bond redemption, will increase annually and such annual increases will be proportionate to the results shown for the four fiscal years ending June 30, as follows:

1954	1959	1964	1969
\$182,000	\$332,365	\$523,634	\$766,346

The following is a comparative statement of operating results for the Airport for the fiscal years indicated, adjusted for the purpose of this report, to show the amounts of such results which have required or will require contributions from taxes:

#### Revenues

ACC   CALCOLD	1946-47	1947-48	19	48-49
Flight	\$ 90,375	\$118,658		0,101
Non-flight	197,651	244,170	28	0,732
Other (net)	18,934	25,908	4	2,743
	\$306,960	\$388,736	\$ 45	3,576
Expenses				
Operation, maintenance and repairs and fixed charges	\$689,901	\$823,793	\$1,14	1,466
Deficit before bond interest and bond redemption	\$382,941	\$435,057	\$ 68	7,890

To meet the cash requirements for operation, maintenance, repairs and fixed charges and to pay bond interest and bond redemption, the Airport has required and will require tax support for the fiscal years here shown:

Year	Amount of Tax Support	Equivalent Tax Cents	
1946-1947	\$ 836,527	9.71	
1947-1948	1,457,300	15.95	
1948-1949	2,771,117	27.70	
1949-1950	2,989,746	28.45	

HARRY D. ROSS, Controller, City and County of San Francisco. Charles Control

## PROPOSITION B

CHERRY VALLEY DAM BONDS, 1949. To incur a Bonded Indebtedness in the sum of \$4,000,000 for the acquisition, construction and completion of the Cherry Valley Dam in Tuolumne County, including its appurtenances.

File No. 4644 Ordinance No. 5681

(Series of 1939)

CALLING AND PROVIDING FOR A SPECIAL ELECTION TO BE HELD IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ON TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 8, 1949, FOR THE PURPOSE OF SUB-MITTING TO THE VOTERS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO A PROPOSITION TO INCUR A BONDED DEBT OF THE CITY AND COUNTY TO THE AMOUNT OF \$4,000,000 FOR THE ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION AND COMPLETION BY THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCIS. CO OF THE FOLLOWING MUNICIPAL IMPROVEMENT, TO WIT: THE PLANNING, DESIGNING, CONSTRUCTION AND COMPLETION OF THE CHERRY VALLEY DAM TO BE LO. CATED IN TUOLUMNE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, ITS APPUR-TENANCES INCLUDING THE CHERRY-ELEANOR TUNNEL, ALL PART OF THE HETCH HETCHY WATER SUPPLY OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, AND INCLUDING THE ACQUISITION OF ALL LANDS, RIGHTS OF WAY, EQUIP. MENT AND ALL OTHER PROPERTY OR STRUCTURES NECES. SARY OR CONVENIENT FOR CREATING AND DEVELOPING A WATER SUPPLY FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ON THE CHERRY RIVER, AND THAT THE ESTI-MATED COST TO THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRAN-CISCO OF SAID MUNICIPAL IMPROVEMENT IS AND WILL BE TOO GREAT TO BE PAID OUT OF THE ORDINARY AN-NUAL INCOME AND REVENUE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO; ALL IN ORDER TO DO AND PERFORM ANY AND ALL OF THE MATTERS HEREINABOVE REFERRED TO FOR THE ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION AND COMPLE-TION OF SAID CHERRY VALLEY DAM TOGETHER WITH ITS APPURTENANCES; FIXING PAYMENT OF INTEREST OF SAID BONDS AND PRESCRIBING NOTICE TO BE GIVEN OF SUCH ELECTION.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 8th day of November, 1949, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County a proposition to incur a bonded indebtedness of the City and County of San Francisco in the principal amount of \$4,000,000 for the acquisition, construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of the following municipal improvement, to wit: the planning, designing, construction and completion of the Cherry Valley Dam to be located in Tuolumne County, Cali-

fornia, its appurtenances including the Cherry-Eleanor Tunnel, all part of the Hetch Hetchy water supply of the City and County of San Francisco and including the acquisition of all lands, rights of way, equipment and all other property or structures necessary or convenient for creating and developing a water supply for the City and County of San Francisco on the Cherry river; all in order to do and perform any and all of the matters hereinabove referred to for the acquisition, construction and completion of said Cherry Valley Dam together with its appurtenances.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein was fixed by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 9030 (Series of 1939), passed by more than two-thirds of said board, and approved by the Mayor in the sum of \$4,000,000, and such sum is, and was found by said resolution, to be, too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County, in addition to the other annual expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpise.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco in the principal amount specified in Section 1 hereof.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the results thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and in all particulars not herein recited said election shall be held according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the General Municipal Election to be held Tuesday, November 8, 1949, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said General Municipal Election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth by the Registrar of Voters of polling places and election officers for the said General Municipal Election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said General Municipal Election.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Cherry Valley Dam Bonds, 1949. To incur a bonded indebetedness in the sum of \$4,000,000 for the acquisition, construction and completion of the Cherry Valley Dam in Tuolumne County, including its appurtenances."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purposes stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purposes stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting

machines shall be so tranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same shall comply in all respects with the provisions of law.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the voters voting on said proposition voted in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purposes set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the municipal improvement described herein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials," and shall bear interest at a rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 7. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest on said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy and in the manner for such general tax levy provided, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid, or until there be a sum in the treasury of said City and County set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest on said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds as the same become due and also such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the proceeds of a tax levied at the time for making the next general tax levy can be made available for the payment of such principal.

Section 8. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in The San Francisco Chronicle, a newspaper published daily in the City and County of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said city and county and such publication shall constitute notice of said election and no other notice of the election hereby called need be given.

Read Second Time and Finally Passed—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, September 19, 1949.

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Fazackerley, Gallagher, Halley, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullvan, John J. Sullivan.

Noes: None.

Absent: None.

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

JOHN R. McGRATH, Clerk. Approved September 21, 1949.

ELMER E. ROBINSON,
Mayor.

## CONTROLLER'S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 183

#### PROPOSITION "B"

#### \$4,000,000 BOND ISSUE FOR CHERRY VALLEY DAM

The cost of the Cherry Valley Project is estimated at \$13,000,000. The Federal Government will furnish \$9,000,000 if the City will furnish \$4,000,2000. It is proposed that the City's share is to be furnished from the proposed bond issue of \$4,000,000 which will be paid for out of utility revenues.

The cost of the operations of the new facilities are estimated not to exceed \$15,000 annually which, also, will be paid from utility revenues.

The authorization and issuance of these bonds will not affect the bonded debt limit of the City and County of San Francisco because they are excluded

by Charter from the bonded debt limitation.

The City has entered into agreements whereby, in consideration of the work to be performed and the funds to be provided for the Cherry Valley Project, it will participate with the Modesto and Turlock Irrigation Districts in the construction of a new reservoir at Don Pedro. The construction of the new Don Pedro Reservoir will be subject to the fiscal provisions of the Charter and prior approval by the voters of the City. It is estimated that the City's share of the cost will be \$35,000,000.

HARRY D. ROSS, Controller, City and County of San Francisco.

## PROPOSITION C

Adds Section 165.1.1 to the Charter; increases future monthly retirement allowance payments of employees who retired under Section 165 before July 1, 1947 after 20 years' service, by \$25 and by proportionately less after less service.

## PROPOSITION "C"

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the charter of said city and county by adding thereto Section 165.1.1, relating to an increase in the retirement allowance payable by the San Francisco City and County Employees Retirement System to persons who, prior to July 1, 1947, retired as members of said system under Section 165 of said Charter.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors, at an election to be held on November 8, 1949, a proposal to amend the charter of the said city and county, by

adding thereto Section 165.1.1, to read as follows:

Section 165.1.1. Every retirement allowance payable by the San Francisco city and county employees' retirement system, for time commencing on the effective date of this section, hereby designated as the first day of the month next following its ratification by the Legislature, to or on account of

any person who was retired prior to July 1, 1947, as a member of said system under section 165, is hereby increased by the amount of twenty-five dollars per month, provided such member was entitled to be credited under the retirement system with at least twenty years of service upon which the retirement allowance was determined at retirement. If the member was entitled to be credited with less than twenty years of such service, then said monthly increase shall be an amount which shall bear the same ratio to twenty-five dollars that the service with which the member was entitled to be credited at effective date of retirement, bears to twenty years. This section does not give any member retired prior to the effective date hereof, or his successors in interest, any claim against the city and county for any increase in any retirement allowance paid or payable for time prior to said effective date. If a member elected at retirement to have his retirement allowance modified under Options 2 or 3, provided by ordinance, and if his beneficiary is living on said effective date, the increase in his allowance shall be modified under the option elected at retirement, and on the basis of current ages, mortality tables and interest rate. If the beneficiary of such a person who elected at retirement to have his allowance modified under one of said options is not living on said effective date, or if the retired member is not living on said effective date and the beneficiary is receiving the modified retirement allowance, then the allowance shall be increased as provided herein for persons who did not elect an option.

The increase in the retirement allowance shall be apportioned between service rendered prior to the entry of the member into the retirement system and service rendered as a member, in the same proportion that such prior and current service respectively, bears to the total service credited at retirement. Contributions to the retirement system necessary for the payment of the increases in the retirement allowances provided in this section, shall be provided, with respect to the portion of the benefit based on service rendered as members, from the reserves held by the retirement system on account of miscellaneous members, the necessary amount being transferred upon said effective date, from said reserves to the reserves held by the retirement system to meet the obligations on account of benefits that have been granted and on account of prior service of members. The contribution being required of the city currently, as percentages of salaries of persons who are members under section 165.2, shall be increased to percentages determined by the actuary as necessary to replace the reserves so transferred. Contributions to the retirement system necessary for the payment of said increases with reference to prior service, shall be paid to the system by the city and county by annual appropriations, provided that such appropriation for any year shall not be less than the amount disbursed during that year on account of said increases.

Ordered submitted:—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, August 29, 1949.

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Fazackerley, Halley, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, John J. Sullivan.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Charter Amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

JOHN R. McGRATH, Clerk.

## Argument in Favor of Proposition C

Vote Yes on Proposition "C"

Your retired employees living on inadequate pensions call upon the

citizens of San Francisco for relief!!!

Do You Know that there are former conductors, motormen, clerks, teachers, water workers, gardeners, janitors, laborers, per diem workers, etc., who are living out the end of their days on pensions insufficient to cover their bare needs?

Two-thirds of this group are over the age of 70. All of this group retired prior to July 1, 1947. Their pensions are based on salaries which were

65% lower than present day salaries.

Pensions based upon 1922 low salaries, due to the 70.9% increase in

the cost of living, are pitifully inadequate in 1949.

This proposed charter amendment will grant this group, many of whom have exhausted their meager savings, an increase of twenty-five dollars per month for those with twenty years or more of service at retirement, and a pro rata of that sum for those with fewer years.

Federal, State and Municipal governments, as well as private enterprise, have recognized this problem and increased the pensions of their

retired, loyal servants.

Citizens of San Francisco should do the same for its aged, pensioned loyal employees.

Do not keep your old pensioned employees in want!

We, the Forgotten People, now appeal to the voters of the City we have faithfully served for many years!

Vote "Yes" on Charter Amendment "C"

This argument is sponsored by the Retired Municipal Employees League of San Francisco.

LUCIEN C. FARLEY
Secretary-Treasurer

On September 19, 1949, the foregoing argument was approved by the Board of Supervisors and authorized for inclusion in the election pamphlet for November 8, 1949, by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Fazackerley, Gallagher, Halley, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan.

Noes: None. Absent: None.

JOHN R. McGRATH, Clerk Board of Supervisors.

A "Yes" vote on Proposition "C" is endorsed by: San Francisco Labor Council.

# CONTROLLER'S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 183

## PROPOSITION "C"

## \$25.00 MONTHLY INCREASE IN RETIREMENT ALLOWANCE FOR MISCELLANEOUS EMPLOYEES RETIRED PRIOR TO JULY 1, 1947

The total estimated annual increase in cost if this amendment should be approved, based on report of the San Francisco Employees' Retirement

43

System, will be \$374,384, of which \$72,251 will come from revenues other than taxes and \$302,133 will come from ad valorem taxes. Based upon the current assessment roll, this will amount to an increase of \$.028752 in the tax rate.

HARRY D. ROSS, Controller City and County of San Francisco.

## PROPOSITION D

Amends Charter Sections 171.1, 171.1.2, 171.1.5, 171.1.7; allows proportionate retirement allowances to fire department members retiring at 65 or older with under 25 years' service; provides dependent allowances at death after qualification for, but before retirement.

## PROPOSITION "D"

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the charter of said city and county by amending Sections 171.1, 171.1.2, 171.1.5 and 171.1.7 thereof, relating to retirement allowances for members of the Fire Department.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county at an election to be held therein on November 8, 1949, a proposal to amend the charter of said city and county by amending Sections 171.1, 171.1.2, 171.1.5 and 171.1.7 thereof, so that the same shall read as follows:

Note: Additions or substitutions are indicated by bold-face type; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Section 171.1. Members of fire department, as defined in section 171.1.1, who are members of the retirement system under sections 165, 165.2, or 171 of the charter on the effective date hereof, hereby designated as the first day of July, 1949, and persons who become members of said department after said effective date, shall be members of the retirement system under this section 171.1 on and after said date, and shall be subject to the following provisions of section 171.1 and sections 171.1.1, 171.1.2, 171.1.3, 171.1.4, 171.1.5, 171.1.6, 171.1.7, 171.1.8, 171.1.9, 171.1.10, 171.1.11, 171.1.12, 171.1.13, 171.1.14 (which shall apply only to members under Section 171.1 unless otherwise indicated) in addition to the provisions contained in sections 158 to 161, both inclusive, of this charter nothwithstanding the provisions of any other section of the charter. Members of the said department who are members of the retirement system under section 165 or 165.2 of the charter, on ((said effective date)) July 1, 1950, however, shall have the option to be exercised in writing, on a form furnished by the retirement system and to be filed at the office of said system not later than ninety days after ((the effective date hereof)) said date, of being members of the system under sections 165 or 165.2 instead of section 171.1, the election under said option to be effective on said ((effective)) date, provided, that members who are absent by reason of service in the armed forces of the United States or by reason of any other service included in section 161 of the charter, on the said effective date of the amendment shall have the same option of electing to be members under sections 165 or 165.2, as the case may be, instead of section 171.1, until ninety days after their return to service in the fire department. On and after said date the persons who affirmatively exercise said option, shall continue to be members of the system under sections 165 or 165.2, respectively, and shall not be subject to any of the provisions of Section 171.1.

Section 171.1.2. Any member of the fire department who completes at least thirty years of service in the aggregate, regardless of age, or at least twenty-five years of service in the aggregate and attains the age of fifty-five years, said service to be computed under section 171.1.9, may retire for serve ice at his option. Members shall be retired on the first day of the month next following the attainment by them of the age of sixty-seven years during the twelve months ending June 30, 1949; the age of sixty-six years during the twelve months ending June 30, 1950, and thereafter, following the attainment of the age of sixty-five years. A member retired after meeting the service and age requirements in the ((sentence)) two sentences next preceding, shall receive a retirement allowance equal to fifty per cent of the final compensation of said member, as defined in section 171.1.1, plus an allowance at the rate of one and two-thirds per cent of said final compensation, for each year of service rendered prior to attaining age sixty and after qualify. ing as to age and service for retirement. A member retired after attaining the age of sixty-five years, but before completing twenty-five years of service in the aggregate computed under section 171.1.9, shall receive a retirement allowance which bears the same ratio to fifty per cent of the final compensation of said member, as defined in section 171.1.1, as the service with which he is entitled to be credited, bears to twenty-five years. Any member may retire, regardless of age, after rendering twenty-five years of service in the aggregate, computed under section 171.1.9, but in such event, his retirement allowance shall be such as can be provided at the age of retirement by the actuarial value, at the age of retirement, of the retirement allowance to which he would be entitled at the date upon which he would qualify for retirement under the first sentence of this paragraph, deferred to that date, If, at the date of retirement for service, or retirement for disability resulting from an injury received in performance of duty, said member has no wife, children or dependent parents, who would qualify for the continuance of the allowance after the death of said member, or with respect to the portion of the allowance which would not be continued regardless of dependents, or upon retirement for disability resulting from other causes, with respect to all of the allowance and regardless of dependents at retirement, a member rea tired under this section, or section 171.1.3, may elect before the first paya ment of the retirement allowance is made, to receive the actuarial equivalent of his allowance or the portion which would not be continued regardless of dependents, as the case may be, partly in a lesser allowance to be received by him throughout his life, and partly in other benefits payable after his death to another person or persons, provided that such election shall be subject to all the conditions prescribed by the board of supervisors to govern similar election by other members of the retirement system, including the character and amount of such other benefits.

Section 171.1.5. Upon the death of a member after qualification for service retirement, under section 171.1.2, or after retirement for service of because of disability which resulted from injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of duty, and if death shall result from other cause than such injury or illness, one-half of his retirement allowance to which the member would have been entitled if he had retired for service at the time of death or one-half of the retirement allowance as it was at his death, as the

case may be, shall be continued throughout life or until remarriage, to his surviving wife. If there be no surviving wife entitled to an allowance hereunder, or if she die or remarry before every child of such deceased member attains the age of eighteen years, then the allowance which the surviving wife would have received had she lived and not remarried shall be paid to his child or children under said age, collectively, to continue until every such child dies or attains said age, provided that no child shall receive any allowance after, marrying or attaining the age of eighteen years. Should said member leave no surviving wife and no children under the age of eighteen years, but leave a parent or parents dependent upon him for support, the parents so dependent shall collectively receive a monthly allowance equal to that which a surviving wife otherwise would have received, during such dependency. No allowance, however, shall be paid under this section to a surviving wife unless she was married to the member prior to the date of the injury or onset of the illness which was incurred in performance of duty and which results in death, or if death does not result from such injury or illness, unless she was married to the member at least one year prior to his retirement.

The surviving wife, in the event of death of the member after qualification for but before service retirement, may elect before the first payment of the allowance, to receive the benefit provided in section 171.1.7, in lieu of the allowance which otherwise would be continued to her under this section. If there be no surviving wife, the guardian of the child or children under age eighteen, may make such election, and if there be no such children, the dependent parent or parents may make such election. Persons heretofore ((or hereafter)) retired under ((other)) charter section 171, as members of the fire department at the time of retirement, shall be subject to the provisions of this section. "Qualified for service retirement", "Qualification for service retirement" or "Qualified as to age and service for retirement", as used in this section and other sections to which persons who are members under section 171.1 are subject, shall mean completion of thirty years of service regardless of age, or twenty-five years of service and attainment of age fifty-five, said service to be computed under section 171.1.9.

Section 171.1.7. If a member of the fire department shall die, before retirement, from causes other than an injury received in or illness caused by the performance of duty, or regardless of cause, if no allowance shall be payable under section 171.1.4 or 171.1.5 preceding, a death benefit shall be paid to his estate or designated beneficiary, the amount of which and the conditions for the payment of which shall be determined in the manner prescribed by the board of supervisors for the death benefit of other members of the retirement system. Upon the death of a member after retirement and regardless of the cause of death, the sum of five hundred dollars shall be paid to his estate or designated beneficiary in the manner and subject to the conditions prescribed by the board of supervisors for the payment of a similar benefit upon the death of other retired members.

Ordered submitted:—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, September 8, 1949.

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Fazackerley, Gallagher, Halley, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Charter Amendment was Ordered Submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

人名英格兰姓氏 计数据分析

and the second of the second

JOHN R. McGRATH, Clerk.

## Argument in Favor of Proposition D

## Vote Yes on Proposition "D"

Nineteen men of the Fire Department have been innocently deprived

of their rights under the retirement law.

By the mere omission of a few important words in the drafting of the Charter Amendment of 1948, which amended the retirement conditions of the members of the Fire and Police Departments, these nineteen men failed to receive the intended benefits. They will be forced to leave the department at Age 65 with no retirement allowance because they cannot complete the necessary years of service to qualify for full service retirement.

Proposition D will correct this unfair condition; a Yes vote will restore to these men the right to receive a pro-rated retirement allowance, based on

the years of service they have contributed to the retirement system.

The cost is negligible and was included in the actuarial cost survey of 1948.

Vote "Yes" on "D"

This argument is sponsored by the David Scannell Club, Inc.

Local 798, I.A.F.F., A.F.L. LEON E. MOFFATT, President.

On September 19, 1949, the foregoing argument was approved by the Board of Supervisors and authorized for inclusion in the election pamphlet for November 8, 1949, by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Fazackerley, Gallagher, Halley, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan.

Noes: None.
Absent: None.

JOHN R. McGRATH, Clerk Board of Supervisors

A "Yes" vote on Proposition "D" is endorsed by: Mayor Elmer E. Robinson; Board of Fire Commissioners; Edward P. Walsh, Chief of Fire Department; San Francisco Real Estate Board; San Francisco Labor Council; Board of Directors, San Francisco Committee for National C.I.O.; San Francisco Building and Construction Trades Council; Union Labor Party; Civic League of Improvement Clubs.

# CONTROLLER'S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 183

## PROPOSITION "D"

# RETIREMENT BENEFITS FOR MEMBERS CF THE FIRE DEPARTMENT

The total estimated annual increase in cost if this amendment should be approved, based on report of the San Francisco Employees' Retirement System, will be \$4,486 which will come from ad valorem taxes. Based upon the current assessment roll this will amount to an increase of \$.000426 in the tax rate.

HARRY D. ROSS, Controller City and County of San Francisco.

## PROPOSITION E

Amends Sections 40, 41, 42 and 11 of the Charter; creates Recreation and Park Department and consolidates park and recreation departments into one department.

#### PROPOSITION "E"

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the charter of said city and county by amending Sections 40, 41, 42 and 11 thereof by consolidating the Recreation Department and the Park Department into one department to be known as the Recreation and Park Department, and by establishing the tenure in office of commissioners of said department.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county at an election to be held therein on November 8, 1949, a proposal to amend the charter of said city and county by amending Sections 40, 41, 42 and 11 thereof so that the same shall read as follows:

Note: Additions or substitutions are indicated by bold-face-type.

## RECREATION AND PARK DEPARTMENT

Section 40. The recreation department and the park department are hereby consolidated into one department, to be known as the recreation and park department, which shall be under the management of a recreation and park commission. The recreation and park department and the recreation and park commission shall be, respectively, the successors in all regards of the recreation department and the park department and of the recreation commission and the park commission, which departments, commissions and offices of commissioner thereof shall cease to exist.

A recreation and park commission is hereby created, the members of which shall serve as commissioners thereof without compensation. Said commission shall consist of seven members, who shall be appointed by the mayor for a term of four years; provided that the respective terms of office of those first appointed shall be as follows: two for two years, two for three years, and three for four years from the effective date of this section. Vacancies, occurring in the offices of appointive members, either during or at expiration of term, shall be filled by the mayor. Not less than two members of said commission shall be women.

This section and sections 41 and 42 as herein amended, shall take effect on the filing with the secretary of state of the legislative resolution of approval thereof, except that the existing commissions and departments shall continue for all purposes pertaining to the current fiscal year until the first day of the fiscal year next succeeding the filing of such resolution and the recreation and park commission shall have power prior to such date only in relation to matters pertaining to its own organization and to such next succeeding fiscal year and thereafter.

Section 41. The recreation and park commission shall appoint a general manager, who shall hold office at the pleasure of the commission. The commission shall also appoint a secretary, subject to the civil service provisions of this charter; provided that the incumbent occupying the position of secretary, recreation commission, on and for more than one year immediately prior to the effective date of this section, shall be deemed appointed

and shall become the secretary of the recreation and park commission, and thereafter shall be subject to and be governed by the civil service provisions of this charter.

The general manager shall be the chief executive officer of the department. Subject to the approval of the commission, he shall have power to appoint and to remove a superintendent of recreation, a superintendent of parks, a director of the zoo, and an executive secretary to the general manager, all of whom shall be exempt from the civil service provisions of this charter, and shall hold office subject to such power of removal on approval of the commission; provided, however, that the incumbents occupying the positions of superintendent of recreation, superintendent of parks, and director of the zoo on the effective date of sections 40, 41 and 42, as amended, shall be deemed appointed to their respective positions subject to the provisions of this section; provided, further, that the incumbent occupying the position of secretary, park commission on the effective date of this section shall be deemed appointed and shall become the executive secretary to the general manager, subject to the provisions of this section.

The civil service rights of persons employed under the civil service provisions of this charter in either the recreation or park departments shall continue in the recreation and park department. Seniority of any such employees who acquired civil service status in either the recreation department under the provisions of section 42 of the charter as effective January 8, 1932, or in the park department under the provisions of section 40 of the charter as amended and effective January 21, 1941, shall be determined for all purposes in each instance by the date of commencement of full-time continuous service with either the recreation or park departments. If records of the date of commencement of full-time continuous service do not exist or are inadequate in any instance from which to determine such date with certainty, other proof may be received.

Section 42. The recreation and park commission shall have the complete and exclusive control, management and direction of the parks, play-grounds, recreation centers and all other recreation facilities, squares, avenues and grounds which are in the charge of either of said commissions on the effective date hereof, or are thereafter placed in the charge of this commission, including exclusive power to erect and to superintend the erection of buildings and structures thereon, and to construct new parks, squares, playgrounds and recreation centers, except as in this charter otherwise provided.

It shall be the policy of the commission to promote and foster a program providing for organized public recreation of the highest standard.

The commission, through the general manager, shall utilize the property under its control and organize the personnel under its direction, to the end that all functions of the department be performed with the greatest possible efficiency.

The San Francisco unified school district shall supervise and direct recreational activities in facilities under its jurisdiction, and the commission and the school district shall have the power to supervise and direct other adjacent recreational and park facilities either jointly or severally by agreement.

The commission shall not lease any part of the lands under its control nor permit the building or maintenance or use of any structure on any park, square, avenue or ground, except for recreation purposes, and each letting or permit shall be subject to approval of the board of supervisors by ordinance, but the commission may lease to the highest responsible bidder for

as it may determine, subsurface space under any public park or square and the right and privilege to conduct and operate therein a public automobile parking station, provided that the said construction, when completed, and the operation will not be, in any material respect or degree, detrimental to the original purpose for which said park or square was dedicated or in contravention of the conditions of any grant under which said park or square might have been received. The revenues derived from any such lease shall be credited to the recreation and park department fund.

The recreation and park commission shall have the power to lease or rent any stadium or recreation field under its jurisdiction for athletic contests, exhibitions and other special events and may permit the lessee to charge an admission fee.

The amount of money to be provided by tax levy for recreation and park purposes shall not be less than the total of the amounts now or hereafter provided for parks and squares and for playgrounds under the provisions of section 78 of this charter.

#### SUSPENSION AND REMOVAL

Section 11. Any elective officer, and any member of the civil service commission or public utilities commission or school board may be suspended by the mayor and removed by the board of supervisors for official misconduct, and the mayor shall appoint a qualified person to discharge the duties of the office during the period of supension. On such suspension, the mayor shall immediately notify the supervisors thereof in writing and the cause therefor, and shall present written charges against such suspended officer to the board of supervisors at or prior to its next regular meeting following such suspension, and shall immediately furnish copy of same to such officer, who shall have the right to appear with counsel before the board in his defense. Hearing by the supervisors shall be held not less than five days after the filing of written charges. If the charges are deemed to be sustained by not less than a three-fourths vote of all members of the board, the suspended officer shall be removed from office; if not so sustained, or if not acted on by the board of supervisors within thirty days after the filing of written charges, the suspended officer shall thereby be reinstated.

The mayor must immediately remove from office any elective official convicted of a crime involving moral turpitude, and failure of the mayor so to act shall constitute official misconduct on his part.

Any appointee of the mayor, exclusive of civil service, recreation and park, and public utilities commissioners, and members of the school board, may be removed by the mayor. Any nominee or appointee of the mayor whose appointment is subject to confirmation by the board of supervisors, except the chief administrative officer and the controller, as in this charter otherwise provided, may be removed by a majority of such board and with the concurrence of the mayor. In each case, written notice shall be given or transmitted to such appointee of such removal, the date of effectiveness thereof, and the reasons therefor, a copy of which notice shall be printed at length in the journal of proceedings of the board of supervisors, together with such reply in writing as such official may make. Any appointee of the mayor or the board of supervisors guilty of official misconduct or convicted of crime involving moral turpitude must be removed by the mayor or the board of supervisors, as the case may be, and failure of the mayor or any supervisor to take such action shall constitute official misconduct on his or their part.

Ordered Submitted:-Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, August 29, 1949.

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Fazackerley, Halley, MacPhce, Man-

cuso, J. Joseph Sullivan.

Noes: Supervisors Lewis, McMurray, Mead. Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, John J. Sullivan.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Charter Amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

JOHN R. McGRATH,

Clerk.

## Argument in Favor of Proposition "E"

## For Economy, Efficiency, Better Recreation Yes on Proposition "E"

Consolidates Recreation and Park Departments into a single Recreation and Park Department.

A yes vote on Proposition "E" assures:

Economy of land use. With one-fifth of San Francisco's critically limited land areas already held for recreation and park purposes, land must be used as efficiently as possible.

End duplication and overlapping of personnel and equipment. With the two departments brought under a single administrative organization, every swing, playground director, gardener, and piece of maintenance equipment can be used more economically and efficiently.

Every district in the city adequately served. One department (with one commission in charge) will develop one complete, organized recreation pro-

gram for every part of the city.

Every other major city has already consolidated. Of the fourteen major cities in the United States, only San Francisco continues to maintain separate Recreation and Park Departments.

Every present employee will be needed. With additional areas and facilities to be maintained and supervised in the city's new expanded program, no

present employee will lose his job through the consolidation.

Yes on "E" means better recreation for everyone, for every family, and a better break for every taxpayer through more economical and efficient use of existing funds, personnel, equipment and facilities.

Everybody benefits from yes on "E".

Urge Your Friends to Vote "Yes" on "E".

This argument is sponsored by the Citizens' Committee for Better Recreation:

J. ROGER DEAS. General Chairman.

in cooperation with the

San Francisco Junior Chamber of Commerce: HERBERT M. CHISHOLM, President.

On September 19, 1949, the foregoing argument was approved by the Board of Supervisors and authorized for inclusion in the election pamphlet for November 8, 1949, by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Pazackerley, Gallagher, Halley, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan.

Noes: None. Absent: None.

JOHN R. McGRATH, Clerk Board of Supervisors.

## Argument Against Proposition E

Why You Should, In the Interest of Good Government, Fairness to the Taxpayer, Preservation of Civil Service and An Efficient Recreation Program for Children and Adults.

Vote No on Proposition "E"

No on E because it is a proposition designed to create new positions at high salaries for individuals who have had no previous training in recreational and youth activities;

No on E because it is planned to take away from the present efficient Recreation Commission the investing of \$12,000,000 voted by the public for recreational purposes —not parks:

No on E because it freezes individuals in positions without a test to de-

termine their fitness for such positions;

No on E because it would permit leasing of subsurfaces of public parks without approval of the board of supervisors. This removes a safeguard to prevent waste of the taxpayers' money. It is a dangerous innovation;

No on E because it provides that the quasi-State Board of Education shall have partial control over recreational facilities, thereby creating an-

other department. San Francisco has no control over the school department; neither does it control employments of that department;

No on E because it was placed on the ballot with the approval of only six Supervisors and then only after strong pressure from interested persons:

No on E because the proposed new commission would reduce women's

influence in the recreational program;

No on E because there is no economy involved. The proposition demands the same total of funds for the new commission as is now raised by tax levies for the present commissions. This in spite of the fact that the Board of Education is authorized to conduct recreation programs.

No on E because it has been condemned by the Native Daughters; Building & Trades Construction Council, A. F. of L.; C. I. O.; Down Town Association; Women's Chamber of Commerce; City and County Federation of Women's Clubs and all groups interested in child welfare and efficient recreation programs.

CITIZENS' COMMITTEE TO PRESERVE RECREATION,
MRS. ANN S. DIPPEL, Chairman.

## CONTROLLER'S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 183

### PROPOSITION "E"

#### CONSOLIDATED RECREATION AND PARK DEPARTMENTS

It is not possible at this time to determine if an increase or decrease in cost will result from a consolidation of these two departments.

HARRY D. ROSS, Controller City and County of San Francisco.

## PROPOSITION F

Adds Section 151.4 to the Charter and amends Section 151 thereof; provides city employees' vacation allowance, after five years' service of fifteen working days.

### PROPOSITION "F"

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said city and county by adding thereto Section 151.4, and amending Section 151 thereof, relating to annual vacations for persons employed by the City and County.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at an election to be held therein on November 8, 1949, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding thereto Section 151.4 and by amending Section 151 thereof, to read as follows:

Note: Additions or substitutions are indicated by bold-face type; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

#### ANNUAL VACATION OF EMPLOYEES

Section 151.4. Every person employed in the City and County service shall, after one year's service, be allowed a vacation with pay of two calent dar weeks, annually, as long as he continues in his employment, provided that, after five years' service every person so employed shall be allowed, as long as he continues in his employment, a vacation with pay of fifteen days, annually, calculated as hereinafter provided. For the purpose of computing vacation time, each employee shall be considered to work five days each week and holidays occurring within any such five days shall be considered as works ing days. For the purpose of computing vacation pay, no employee shall be considered to work more than five days each week and pay for holidays occurring on any of such fifteen days shall be computed on a straight time basis. Vacation pay for employees working less than five days each week shall be computed proportionately. The time when vacations are taken shall be at the convenience of the department with due regard for seniority.

This section shall become effective on January 1st, 1951.

## STANDARDIZATION OF COMPENSATION

Section 151. The board of supervisors shall have power and it shall be its duty to fix by ordinance from time to time, as in this section provided, all salaries, wages and compensations of every kind and nature, except pension or retirement allowances, for the positions, or places of employment, of all officers and employees of all departments, offices, boards and commissions of the city and county in all cases where such compensations are paid by the city and county.

Compensations specified in this charter shall not be subect to the progressions of this section. Compensations of the teaching and other technical forces of the school department and employees of the Steinhart Aquarium and law library departments, construction employees engaged outside of the city and county, part-time employees, and inmate and institutional help receiving less than fifty dollars (\$50.) per month, shall be fixed by the department head in charge thereof, with the approval of the board or commission, if any, in charge of the department concerned and subject to the budget and

appropriation provisions of this charter; provided that part-time employees shall be recorded as such by a department head, only with the approval of the civil service commission and, when so recorded, shall be noted as part-time on pay-rolls, budget estimates, salary ordinance and similar documents.

In fixing schedules of compensation as in this section provided, the civil service commission shall prepare and submit to the board of supervisors and the board shall adopt a schedule of compensations which shall include all classifications, positions and places of employment the wages or salaries for which are subject to the provisions of this section; provided, that the civil service commission shall from time to time prepare and submit to the board of supervisors and the board shall adopt amendments to the schedule of compensations which are necessary to cover any new classifications added by the civil service commission. Under the schedules of compensation recommended by the civil service commission and adopted by the board of supervisors as herein provided, like compensation shall be paid for like service, based upon the classification as provided in section 141 of the charter, and for those classifications of employment in which the practice is customary, the proposed schedules of compensation shall provide for minima, intermediate, and maxima salaries and for a method of advancing the salaries of employees from the minimum to the intermediate and to the maximum with due regard to seniority of service. The compensations fixed as herein provided shall be in accord with the generally prevailing rates of wages for like service and working conditions in private employment or in other comparable governmental organizations in this state; provided, that for specialized services which are peculiar to the municipal service and not duplicated elsewhere in private or other governmental organizations in this state, the commission shall recommend and the board of supervisors shall fix a compensation which shall be in accord with the wages paid in private employment or other governmental organizations in the state for the nearest comparable service and working conditions; and provided further that if the civil service commission determines on the basis of facts and data collected as hereinafter provided that the rates generally prevailing for a particular service in private employment or in other governmental organizations are inconsistent with the rates generally prevailing in private employment or other governmental organizations for services requiring generally comparable training and experience, the commission shall set forth these data in its official records and shall recommend and the board of supervisors shall fix a compensation for such service that shall be consistent with the compensations fixed by the board of supervisors for other services requiring generally comparable training and experience; and provided further that the minimum compensation fixed for full time employments subject to the civil service provisions of this charter shall be not less than one hundred and six dollars (\$106.) per month.

The proposed schedules of compensation or any amendments thereto shall be recommended by the civil service commission solely on the basis of facts and data obtained in a comprehensive investigation and survey concerning wages paid in private employment for like service and working conditions or in other governmental organizations in this state. The commission shall set forth in the official records of its proceedings all of the data thus obtained and on the basis of such data the commission shall set forth in its official records an order making its findings as to what is the generally prevailing rate of pay for each class of employment in the municipal service as herein provided, and shall recommend a rate of pay for each such classification in accordance therewith. The proposed schedules of compensation recommended by the civil service commission shall be transmitted to the

board of supervisors, together with a compilation of a summary of the data obtained and considered by the civil service commission and a comparison showing existing schedules. Before being presented to the board of supervisors for consideration, the proposed schedules and a comparison with existing schedules shall be published once a week for two weeks.

The board of supervisors may approve, amend or reject the schedule of compensations proposed by the civil service commission; provided, that before making any amendment thereto the data considered by the board of supervisors as warranting such amendment shall be transmitted to the civil service commission for review and analysis and the commission shall make a report thereon to the board of supervisors, together with a report as to what other changes, and the cost thereof such proposed amendment would require to maintain an equitable relationship with other rates in such schedule.

Where any compensation paid on January 1st, 1931, is higher than the standard compensation fixed as provided in this section for such position or employment, said compensation shall be continued to the incumbent of such position as long as he legally holds said position, and department heads, in cooperation with the civil service commission where said commission has jurisdiction, shall continuously offer all possible opportunities to said incumbents to assume duties and responsibilities in higher classifications consistent with the higher rates of compensation hereby continued. The salaries and wages paid to employees whose compensations are subject to the provisions of this section shall be those fixed in the schedule of compensations adopted by the board of supervisors as herein provided and in accord with the provisions of the ordinance of the board of supervisors adopting the said schedule, and the compensations set forth in the budget estimates, and the annual salary ordinance and appropriations therefor shall be in accord therewith.

Not later than January 15th, 1944, and every five years thereafter and more often if in the judgment of the civil service commission or the board of supervisors economic conditions have changed to the extent that revision of existing schedules may be warranted in order to reflect current prevailing conditions, the civil service commission shall prepare and submit to the board of supervisors a schedule of compensations as in this section provided: A schedule of compensations or amendments thereto as provided herein which is adopted by the board of supervisors on or before April 1st of any year shall become effective at the beginning of the next succeeding fiscal year and a schedule of compensations or amendments thereto adopted by the board of supervisors after April 1st of any year shall not become effective until the beginning of the second succeeding fiscal year. The board of supervisors shall appropriate twelve thousand five hundred dollars (\$12,-500.00) to the civil service commission to be known as the salary survey fund and to be used exclusively for defraying the cost of surveys of wages in private employment and in other governmental jurisdictions and making reports and recommendations thereon and publication thereof as herein provided. No expenditures shall be made therefrom except on authorization of board of supervisors. In the event of the expenditure of any of said funds, the board of supervisors in the next succeeding annual budget shall appropriate a sum sufficient to reimburse said salary survey fund.

Where compensations for services commonly paid on an hourly or a per diem basis are established on a weekly, semi-monthly or monthly salary basis for city and county service, such salary shall be based on the prevailing hourly or per diem rate, where this can be established, and the application thereto of the normal or average hours or days of actual working time,

In the city and county service, including an allowance for annual vacation. (Every person employed in the city and county service shall, after one year's service, be allowed a vacation with pay of two calendar weeks, annually, as long as he continues in his employment.))

This deletion shall become effective on January 1st, 1951.

Ordered submitted:—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, September 6, 1949.

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Fazackerley, Gallagher, Halley, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Charter Amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

JOHN R. McGRATH,

Clerk.

## Argument in Favor of Charter Amendment P

## Vote Yes on Charter Amendment "F"

A "Yes" vote on Charter Amendment "F" equalizes annual vacations in

accordance with existing trends in public and private employment.

Charter Amendment "F" provides fifteen working days vacation for employees of the city and county of San Francisco who have completed five years of service.

#### Based On Years Of Service

Good modern business practice requires vacations to be computed in accordance with years of service. Private industry — large and small — has followed this trend and practice in recent years.

## Fair — Reasonable — Progressive

An efficient civil service, with fair and reasonable working conditions is a safeguard to progressive public administration. The United States Government and the State of California provide by law vacation allowances much more advanced than San Francisco. 29 cities and 34 counties in California, in addition to private industry, have more modern annual vacation plans than San Francisco, according to an impartial survey.

Charter Amendment "F" is Fair, Progressive, and Sound — It Is Good Business For San Francisco: Vote "Yes"!

This argument is sponsored by the Municipal Improvement League.

RICHARD M. MEAGLES, Secretary.

On September 19, 1949, the foregoing argument was approved by the Board of Spuervisors and authorized for inclusion in the election pamphlet for November 8, 1949, by the following votes:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Fazackerley, Gallagher, Halley, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, John J. Sullivan.

Noes: None.

Absent: Supervisor J. Joseph Sullivan.

JOHN R. McGRATH, Clerk Board of Supervisors. A "Yes" Vote on Proposition "F" is endorsed by: Mayor Elmer E. Robinson; San Francisco Labor Council; San Francisco Building and Construction Trades Council; San Francisco C.I.O. Council; Union Labor Party; Herbert C. Clish, Superintendent of Schools; Civic League of Improvement Clubs.

## Argument Against Proposition F

Vote "No" on Proposition "F" - 3 Weeks' Vacation

Don't be fooled by Amendment F. This Proposition provides for a three-weeks' vacation, with pay, on the basis of a 5 day week for City employees, using the wording "fifteen working days" to accomplish this purpose. This proposal is based on a 5 day work week and would give all City employees 3 weeks' vacation after 5 years' service, with vacation pay for 15 working days. This has been rejected twice by the voters.

Do you enjoy a three-weeks' vacation each year, with pay? If so, how long did you have to work to earn that privilege? Under this proposal City,

employees would get three weeks after only 5 years service.

City employees already enjoy superior working conditions and high salaries. They enjoy sick leave, pensions, and security of employment not

possessed by most taxpayers.

This extravagant vacation proposal will cost the City an estimated \$203,535 per year for hiring replacements, according to Controller's estimates, and will yield no benefit to the public. In addition, the payroll cost of employees on vacation will total almost a million dollars.

Vote "No" on the three-week vacation scheme for city employees.

Argument sponsored by the following members of The San Francisco Municipal Conference:

Apartment House Association of S. F., Inc.; Down Town Association; Building Owners & Managers Association; S. F. Chamber of Commerce; California Northern Hotel Association; S. F. Real Estate Board.

THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CONFERENCE, ARTHUR E. WILKENS, Chairman

# CONTROLLER'S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 183

## PROPOSITION "F"

# FIFTEEN DAY VACATION FOR CITY AND COUNTY EMPLOYEES AFTER FIVE YEARS' SERVICE

Based on cost data received from departments and from an analysis of replacement costs indicated in the budget for the fiscal year 1949-1950, the increased cost for replacements during the next fiscal year will approximate \$203,535, of which \$140,965 will come from revenues other than taxes and \$62,570 will come from ad valorem taxes. Based upon the current assessment roll this will amount to an increase of \$.005954 in the tax rate.

HARRY D. ROSS, Controller City and County of San Francisco.

## PROPOSITION G

है इस ने अने कार के लिए हों है जिल्ला है जा कर है है है है जा है जा है है है

Adds Section 158.2 to the Charter; provides for Direcfor of Public Health retirement allowance of \$500 monthly after attaining age 65 with 20 years' service; terminates contributions at age 65; makes retirement compulsory at age 70.

## PROPOSITION "G"

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the charter of the city and county by adding section 158.2 thereto, relating to the retirement allowance of the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county at an election to be held therein on November 8, 1949, a proposal to amend the charter of said city and county by adding section 158.2 thereto, reading as follows:

## RETIREMENT OF DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC HEALTH

Section 158.2. Notwithstanding the provisions of any other section of this charter, the director of public health shall receive a retirement allowance equal to one-half of the compensation received by him at the time of retirement; provided that such allowance shall not exceed five hundred dollars per month. Contributions required to provide the payment of the benefits under this section, not provided by the member's contributions, shall be paid to the retirement system by the city and county of San Francisco. Said director of public health shall only be eligible for this retirement allowance after a minimum of twenty years' service and only after having attained the age of sixty-five years, at which age retirment shall be optional. No further payments by said director of public health shall be required after attaining the age of sixty-five years and it is further provided that retirement of said director of public health shall be compulsory at age of seventy years.

Ordered submitted: Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, August 29, 1949.

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Fazackerley, Halley, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, John J. Sullivan.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Charter Amendment was submitted By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

JOHN R. McGRATH, Clerk.

Proposition G has been endorsed by: San Francisco Labor Council.

## CONTROLLER'S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 183

## PROPOSITION "G"

## INCREASED RETIREMENT ALLOWANCE FOR DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC HEALTH

The total estimated annual increase in cost if this amendment should

be approved, based on report of the San Francisco Employees' Retirement System, is as follows:

- a. Assuming retirement at February 1, 1952, the cost will be \$20,954.21, which will come from ad valorem taxes. Based upon the current assessment roll this will a mount to an increase of \$.001994 in the tax rate.
- b. Assuming retirement at December 1, 1955, the total annual increase in cost will be \$4,404.10, which will come from ad valorem taxes. Based on the current assessment roll this will amount to an increase of \$.000419 in the tax rate.

HARRY D. ROSS, Controller City and County of San Francisco.

## PROPOSITION H

Ž.

Amends Section 35.5½ of the Charter; provides for members of the Police Department a 40 hour basic week of service with 2 days off each week, unless public interest or necessity requires otherwise.

#### PROPOSITION "H"

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the charter of said city and county by amending Section 35.5½ thereof, relating to the basic work week of members of the Police Department.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county at an election to be held therein on November 8, 1949, a proposal to amend the charter of said city and county by amending Section 35.5½ thereof so that the same shall read as follows:

Note: Additions or substitutions are indicated by bold-face type; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Section 35.5½. (a) The word "member" or "members" as used in this section shall mean the members of the several ranks in the police department set forth in section 35.5 of this charter.

- (b) The basic week of service for each member shall be ((not more than forty-four (44))) Forty hours and the annual compensation set forth in section 35.5 of this charter shall be based upon said basic week of service.
- (c) Each member shall be entitled to at least ((one (1) day)) two days off during each week, except as hereinafter provided.
- (d) Whenever in the judgment of the police commission public interest or necessity requires the services of any member to serve in excess of the basic week of service during any week, the said police commission may authorize the chief of police to permit said service, and said member shall be compensated therefor or shall receive equivalent time credited to him in liest thereof in accordance with this sub-section. For service performed in excess of the basic week, members shall be compensated on the basis of straight time in accordance with the ratio which said excess service bears to the basic

week of service and the annual compensation provided therefor in section

35.5, or in lieu thereof equivalent time off duty with pay.

(e) Nothing contained in this section shall be deemed to interfere with a vacation, as provided for in section 151 of this charter, or the normal ((day)) days off per week; provided, however, that when in the judgment of the police commission public interest or necessity requires the services of any member to serve on his vacation, or part thereof, or normal ((day)) days off, the said commission may authorize the chief of police to permit said member to serve during said vacation, or part thereof, or normal ((day)) days off, and he shall receive additional compensation for the period so served. Said additional compensation shall be computed on the basis of straight time in accordance with the ratio which said extra service performed bears to the basic week of service and the annual compensations provided therefor in section 35.5.

(((f) The police commission is hereby authorized to require a member or members to work more than forty-four (44) hours per week in any week when public necessity requires such services, and the member or members so serving more than forty-four (44) hours shall be granted added compen-

sation or time off with pay for said extra service performed.))

(((g))) (f) Nothing in this section shall abridge or limit in any way the provisions of Section 301, Part I, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, approving rule 32 of the civil service commission, insofar as sick leave and

disability leaves for members are concerned.

(((h))) (g) Whenever in the judgment of the police commission the efficient performance of police duty requires that one or more members of the police department should report for roll call, orders, and assignments, prior to going on duty, the said commission may designate a period not to exceed fifteen minutes in any one day for said reporting, and the said periods of fifteen minutes need not be compensated for in money or in time off

with pay.

(((i))) (h) Notwithstanding the provisions of any of the foregoing subsections, the ((police commission is empowered to designate certain legal holidays as additional days off with pay for members of the police department and)) Members of the police department shall be entitled to the days declared to be holidays for employees whose compensations are fixed on a monthly basis in the schedules of compensations adopted by the Board of Supervisors pursuant to the provisions of Section 151 of the Charter as additional days off with pay. Members required to perform police service in said department on said days shall be compensated on the basis of straight time as herein computed or shall be granted equivalent time off duty with pay in the judgment of ((said)) The Police Commission.

(((j))) (i) ((This section shall become effective on the 1st day of July, 1948, provided the same is ratified prior to said date by the Legislature of the State of California. If not ratified prior to said date,)) This section shall become effective on the first day of the month immediately following the date

of ratification.

Ordered submitted:—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, September 6. 1949.

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Halley, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, John J. Sullivan.

Noes: Supervisors Fazackerley, J. Joseph Sullivan.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Charter Amendment was Ordered Submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

JOHN R. McGRATH,

## Argument in Favor of Proposition H

## Vote Yes on "H"

A yes vote for Proposition H would provide a forty (40) hour week for

Police Department members.

Following are some California Police Departments whose members now have a forty (40) hour week: Los Angeles, Berkeley, Alameda, Richmond, Palo Alto, Vallejo, San Jose, Long Beach, Fresno, California Highway Patrol, California State Police.

The passage of this proposition will give your policemen the same work week now prevailing in Industry, Labor, Federal, State and other local

governments.

The forty (40) hour week is recognized as the most efficient police work week by the F.B.I. and leading police administrators throughout the country.

### Vote Yes on "H"

This argument is sponsored by the San Francisco Police Officers Association.

JAMES J. HEGARTY,

Secretary.

On September 19, 1949, the foregoing argument was approved by the Board of Supervisors and authorized for inclusion in the election pamphlet for November 8, 1949, by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Halley, Lewis, MacPhee,

Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, John J. Sullivan.

Noes: Supervisor Fazackerley. Absent: J. Joseph Sullivan.

JOHN R. McGRATH, Clerk Board of Supervisors.

A "Yes" Vote on Proposition "H" is endorsed by: San Francisco Labor Council; San Francisco Committee for National C.I.O.; San Francisco C.I.O. Council; Building and Construction Trades Council of San Francisco; War Veterans Citizens Committee.

## Argument Against Proposition H

## Vote No on Proposition "H"

Proposition H should be defeated primarily because it is an attempt to administer the Police Department by charter amendment. The Police Commission now has the power to establish a 40-hour week, but they have not decided to do so; neither have they endorsed this proposition.

The Commission says it would take 125 additional officers to put this proposition into effect, and the City Controller estimates the annual additional cost to taxpayers at \$700,000. This Department is now costing in excess of

\$7,200,000 annually, with an additional pension cost of \$2,366,226.

San Francisco police officers now enjoy a shorter work week than that of most large cities throughout the country, as shown by the 1949 Municipal Year Book:

City: Chicago, Hours: 48; Detroit, 40; Philadelphia, 48; Baltimore, 56;

Buffalo, 48; Cleveland, 48; Milwaukee, 48; Pittsburgh, 54; St. Louis, 56; Washington, D. C., 51; Cincinnati, 48; Columbus, Ohio, 48; San Francisco, 44; Oakland, 44; Seattle, 47; Portland, 48; San Diego, 44; Los Angeles, 41.

Remember, this proposition is not necessary, and will increase the tax load by \$700,000. Vote No on Proposition H.

Argument sponsored by the following members of The San Francisco Municipal Conference:

Apartment House Association of S. F., Inc.; Down Town Association; Building Owners & Managers Association; S. F. Chamber of Commerce; California Northern Hotel Association; S. F. Real Estate Board.

THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CONFERENCE, ARTHUR E. WILKENS, Chairman,

# CONTROLLER'S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 183

## PROPOSITION "H"

# FORTY-HOUR BASIC WORK WEEK FOR MEMBERS OF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT

The total annual increase in cost if this amendment should be approved is estimated not to exceed \$700,815, which will come from ad valorem taxes. Based upon the current assessment roll this will amount to an increase of \$.066692 in the tax rate.

HARRY D. ROSS, Controller City and County of San Francisco.

## PROPOSITION I

Adds Section 13.1 to the Charter; provides for three-fourths vote of all members of Board of Supervisors for waiver of any statute of limitation available to the city and county.

#### PROPOSITION "I"

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said city and county by adding thereto Section 13.1 relating to the waiver of statutes of limitation.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county at an election to be held therein on November 8, 1949, a proposal to amend the charter of said city and county by adding thereto Section 13.1 to read as follows:

## Waiver of Statutes of Limitation

Section 13.1. Any ordinance or resolution waiving, or authorizing the waiving, by the city and county of the benefit of any statute of limitation of a state, or of the United States, available to the city and county in any action

or proceeding against it shall require for its passage a three-fourths vote of all members of the board of supervisors on each reading.

Ordered submitted: Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, September 6,

1949.

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Fazackerley, Halley, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan.

Noes: Supervisors Gallagher, McMurray, John J. Sullivan.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Charter Amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

JOHN R. McGRATH, Cherk.

## PROPOSITION J

Amends Section 34.1 of the Charter; makes positions and certain occupants thereof in office of district attorney, except attorneys, one confidential secretary and investigators, subject to civil service provisions of Charter.

## PROPOSITION "J"

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the charter of said city and county by amending Section 34.1, providing that certain positions in the office of district attorney and certain occupants thereof shall be subject to civil service.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county at an election to be held therein on November 8, 1949, a proposal to amend the charter of said city and county by amending Section 34.1 thereof, to read as follows:

Note: Additions or substitutions are indicated by **bold-face type**; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

((EMPLOYEES IN OFFICES OF CITY ATTORNEY AND PUBLIC DEFENDER, EXCEPT ATTORNEYS, UNDER CIVIL SERVICE))

# ASSISTANTS AND EMPLOYEES IN OFFICES OF CITY ATTORNEY, DISTRICT ATTORNEY AND PUBLIC DEFENDER

Section 34.1 Notwithstanding any other provisions of this charter, occupants of all positions in the office of city attorney and the public defender, except assistant attorneys in the offices of the city attorney and the public defender, shall be subject to the civil service provisions of this charter, provided that all such occupants who are actually employed, or who may be on military leave of absence from employment, on ((the effective date of this amendment,)) January 16, 1945, and who have been continuously employed for one year immediately preceding such date or such military leave, shall be continued in their respective positions as if appointed thereto after examination and certification from a civil service list of eligibles, and there-

after shall be governed and be subject to the civil service provisions of this charter. Upon their return to service, occupants who have been on military

leave shall be appointed according to priority of service.

Notwithstanding any other provisions of this charter, occupants of all positions in the office of district attorney, except assistant attorneys, one confidential secretary and occupants of positions classified as senior investigator or investigator, shall be subject to the civil service provisions of this charter, provided that all such occupants of positions not so excepted who are actually employed on the effective date of this amendment, and who have been continuously employed for one year immediately preceding such date, shall be continued in their respective positions as if appointed thereto after examination and certification from a civil service list of eligibles, and thereafter shall be governed by and shall be subject to the civil service provisions of this charter.

Ordered submitted:—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, September 8, 1949.

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Fazackerley, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan.

Noes: Supervisors Halley, Lewis.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Charter Amendment was Ordered Submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

JOHN R. McGRATH, Clerk.

## Argument in Favor of Proposition J Vote Yes on Proposition "J"

This amendment is in line with previous amendments upon which the citizens have voted favorably in recent years, bringing employees of other departments under civil service, including the City Attorney's office and the office of the Public Defender.

This amendment effects only the clerical employees of the District At-

forney's office.

It will give opportunity to qualified citizens to compete for employment in this as in other departments of the city government.

It will keep the office functioning efficiently in spite of changes in ad-

ministration.

It is in accordance with good government practice and with San Francisco's recognized policy of upholding the merit system.

The passage of this amendment will not entail any additional cost to the

citizens as it will not effect the tax rate.

This argument is sponsored by the San Francisco Order of Cincinnatus.

DANIEL K. BESWICK,

President.

On September 19, 1949, the foregoing argument was approved by the Board of Supervisors and authorized for inclusion in the election pamphlet for November 8, 1949, by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Fazackerley, Gallagher, MacPhee,

Mancuso, McMurray, John J. Sullivan.

Noes: Supervisors Halley, Lewis, Mead.

Absent: J. Joseph Sullivan.

Francis San Harts Commen

ring of the extrement of the section of the con-

JOHN R. McGRATH, Clerk Board of Supervisors. Proposition I has been endorsed by: San Francisco Labor Council.

# CONTROLLER'S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 183

## PROPOSITION "J"

# EXTENDING CIVIL SERVICE TO CLERICAL EMPLOYEES OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY

The proposed charter amendment will not, in the opinion of the Constroller, create any increased cost.

HARRY D. ROSS, Controller City and County of San Francisco.

## PROPOSITION K

Amends Charter Section 10; establishes salary for Board of Supervisors: Board president \$400 monthly, members \$300 monthly plus \$20 for each standing committee meeting aftended; limits additional compensation to \$100 for first 5 meetings attended monthly.

## PROPOSITION "K"

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the charter of said city and county by amending Section 10 thereof, relating to compensation for members of the Board of Supervisors.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county at an election to be held therein on November 8, 1949, a proposal to amend the charter of said city and county by amending Section 10 thereof, so that the same shall read as follows:

Note: Additions or substitutions are indicated by bold-face type; deleations are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

## NUMBER, COMPENSATION AND MEETINGS OF SUPERVISORS

Section. 10. The board of supervisors shall consist of eleven members elected at large ((, provided that for the period January 8, 1932, to January 8, 1934, the board shall consist of fifteen members)). Each member of the board shall be paid a salary of ((twenty-four hundred dollars (\$2,400) per year, and)) three hundred dollars per month. Each member shall execute an official bond to the city and county in the sum of five thousand dollars (((\$5,000))).

At twelve o'clock noon on the 8th day of January next following their election, the newly elected and continuing members of the Board of Supervisors shall meet at the legislative chamber in the City Hall, and thereafter regular meetings shall be held as fixed by resolution. The supervisors constituting the new board shall, on January 8, ((1932, and every second year

thereafter,)) of every even numbered year, elect one of their number as president of the board for a two-year term. The president shall preside at all meetings, shall appoint all standing and special committees of the board and shall have such other powers and duties as the supervisors may provide.

The president shall receive, as additional salary, one hundred dollars per month and each member of the board other than the president shall receive, as additional salary, twenty dollars for each meeting actually attended of a standing committee of which he is a member, provided that the total compensation of a member for committee attendance in any month shall not exceed one hundred dollars.

The meetings of the board shall be held in the City Hall, provided that, in case of emergency, the board, by resolution, may designate some other appropriate place as its temporary meeting place. The board shall cause a calendar of the business scheduled for each meeting to be ((published)), prepared and shall keep ((and publish)) a journal of its proceedings. ((No-tice of any special meeting shall be published at least twenty-four hours in advance of such special meeting.))

Ordered submitted:—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, September 6, 1949.

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Fazackerley, Gallagher, Halley, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Charter Amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

JOHN R. McGRATH, Clerk.

## Argument in Favor of Proposition K

## Vote Yes on Proposition "K"

The proposed increase in salary for the office of Supervisor should receive a unanimous "Yes" vote from the citizens of San Francisco. Here are some of the reasons why:

- 1. The increase is long overdue. The salary of \$200 a month may have been right in 1911 when it was established, and in 1931 at the bottom of the great depression when the present charter was adopted, but it is grossly inadequate in 1949.
- 2. In 1911 San Francisco had a population of 343,000. Since then the population has increased by more than half a million persons.

The scope of city government in San Francisco has expanded tremendously during this period, and the responsibilities of the Board of Supervisors have increased proportionately. But we still pay our Supervisors at the 1911 rate of \$200.

- 3. Under our combined city and county government, the Board of Supervisors have the responsibilities of a County Board of Supervisors in addition to those of a City Council. Despite this dual responsibility, our Supervisors receive less pay than the average city councilmen in comparable cities of the nation.
- 4. This charter amendment was recommended by the Citizens' Charter Advisory Committee after a careful and prolonged study of the work of the Board of Supervisors and its Standing Committee.

Like the Federal Congress and the California Legislature, the Board of Supervisors must depend to great extent upon its Committees to hold public

hearings and to do much of the preliminary investigation necessary for sound legislation. This charter amendment recognizes the increasing demands upon a Supervisor's time in the proper discharge of his Committee responsibilities and provides an incentive compensation for taking an active part in Committee work.

This salary adjustment for the Office of Supervisor is long overdue.

Let's not delay any longer.

This argument is sponsored by the Citizens Committee for Supervisors

Pay Adjustment:

Daniel F. Del Carlo, Co-Chairman; Theresa Meikle, Co-Chairman; Wm. H. Woodfield, Jr., Director; William J. Raffetto, Director; Mrs. Joseph Mesquite, Director; Albert K. Chow, Director; Walter A. Haas, Director; Maurice E. Harrison, Director; Richard Lynden, Director; M. C. Hermann, Director.

On September 19, 1949, the foregoing argument was approved by the Board of Supervisors and authorized for inclusion in the election phamplet

for November 8, 1949, by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Fazackerley, Gallagher, Halley, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan.

Noes: None. Absent: None.

JOHN R. McGRATH, Clerk Board of Supervisors.

A "Yes" Vote on Proposition "K" is endorsed by: San Francisco Labor Council: San Francisco Hotel Association.

# CONTROLLER'S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 183

#### PROPOSITION "K"

# INCREASED COMPENSATION FOR MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

The total estimated annual increase in cost if this amendment should be approved will be \$26,400, which will come from ad valorem taxes. Based upon the current assessment roll this will amount to an increase of \$.002512 in the tax rate.

HARRY D. ROSS, Controller City and County of San Francisco.

## PROPOSITION L

Amends Charter Section 163.1.12; allows former policemen retired from July 1, 1945 to June 30, 1949 under Section 168.1 for disability resulting from performance of duty, to accept employment outside of city service without reducing retirement allowance.

## PROPOSITION "L"

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francsico to amend the Charter of said City and

County by amending Section 168.1.12 thereof relating to retirement allowances paid to members of the Police Department disabled by the performance of duty.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco at an election to be held therein on November 8, 1949, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 168.1.12 thereof to read as follows:

Note: Additions are indicated by bold-faced type.

Section 168.1.12. No person retired as a member under section 168.1 after June 30, 1945, for service or disability and entitled to receive a retirement allowance under the retirement system shall serve in any elective or appointive position in the city and county service, including membership on boards and commissions, nor shall such person receive any payment for service rendered to the city and county after retirement, provided that service as an election officer or juror shall not be affected by this section.

Notwithstanding any provision in this charter to the contrary, should any such retired person, except persons retired prior to July 1, 1949, because of disability which resulted from injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of duty, engage in a gainful occupation prior to attaining the age of sixty, the retirement board shall reduce that part of his monthly retirement allowance which is provided by contributions of the city and county, to an amount which, when added to the amount earned monthly by him in such occupation, shall not exceed the amount of the compensation earnable at the time he engages in the gainful occupation, by the member if he then held the position which he held at the time of his retirement, or, if that position has been abolished, the compensation earnable by the member if he held the position from which he was retired, immediately prior to its abolition.

The effective date of this amendment shall be the first day of the month following ratification by the State Legislature.

Ordered submitted:—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, September 8, 1949.

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Fazackerley, Gallagher, Halley, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan and John J. Sullivan.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Charter Amendment was Ordered Submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

JOHN R. McGRATH, Clerk.

## Argument in Favor of Charter Amendment L

Vote Yes on Charter Amendment "L"

The proposed amendment to Sec. 168.1.12 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco applies to former members of the San Francisco Police Department, retired for disability incurred in the performance of duty after June 30, 1945, and prior to July 1, 1949.

This charter amendment will clarify a subsection of Charter Amendment "N" approved by the electorate of the City and County of San Francisco on November 9, 1948, thereby alleviating a hardship imposed upon this group.

In the passage of Charter Amendment "N", the date of June 30, 1945 was inadvertently inserted, whereas it should have read July 1, 1949.

The approval of this amendment by the electorate will rectify the above error and return these men to the status which they previously held.

This argument is sponsored by the San Francisco Veteran Police Officers

Association:

Charles Ute, President; Thomas F. Meehan, Secretary-Treasurer.

On September 19, 1949, the foregoing argument was approved by the Board of Supervisors and authorized for inclusion in the election pamphlet for November 8, 1949, by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Fazackerley, Gallagher, Halley, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan.

Noes: None.
Absent: None.

JOHN R. McGRATH, Clerk Board of Supervisors.

# CONTROLLER'S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 183

#### PROPOSITION "L"

# CIBERALIZED RETIREMENT ALLOWANCES FOR MEMBERS OF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT RETIRED BECAUSE OF DISABILITY

The total annual increase in cost if this amendment should be approved, based on report of the San Francisco Employees' Retirement System, will be \$2,576.28, which will come from ad valorem taxes. Based upon the current assessment roll this will amount to an increase of \$.000245 in the tax rate.

HARRY D. ROSS, Controller. City and County of San Francisco.

## PROPOSITION M

ADDS SECTION 119.4 to the Charter; provides for acquisition by City and County of San Francisco of operative properties of California Street Cable Railroad Company at a maximum Purchase price of \$150,000.

#### PROPOSITION "M"

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the charter of said city and county by adding thereto Section 119.4 relating to the acquisition of the operative properties of the California Street Cable Railroad Company.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county at an election to be held therein on November 8, 1949, a proposal to amend the charter of said city and county by adding Section 119.4 thereto, reading as follows:

SECTION 119.4. The City and County of San Francisco shall have

power and is hereby authorized, in addition to all other powers howsoever conferred upon said city and county, to extend the existing San Francisco municipal railway by the acquisition of the operative properties of the California Street Cable Railroad Company, and to acquire said operative properties.

In addition to all other operative properties of every kind and character, said operative properties shall include lot 1 of assessor's block 250, being the southwest corner of California and Hyde Streets, 219 feet 6 inches on California Street by 137 feet 6 inches on Hyde Street, and all of the right, title and interest of said company in lot 2 of assessor's block 25, located on the west side of Hyde Street, 46 feet south of Beach Street, 10 feet 6 inches on Hyde Street, by a depth of 23 feet.

The adoption of this section shall be deemed to and shall constitute a finding by the people of the City and County of San Francisco that the public interest and necessity demand the extension of the existing municipal railway by the acquisition of said operative properties, thereby providing a unified municipal railway system for the benefit of said city and county and its inhabitants.

Whenever the public utilities commission, with the advice and approval of the mayor and the board of supervisors, shall agree with the California Street Cable Railroad Company upon the terms and conditions of such acquisition of said operative properties, it shall be the duty of the commission and the mayor to execute such contract for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco and in its name. Such contract shall provide, among other things:

- (a) That the maximum purchase price of said properties shall be \$150,-000, the period of payment of which shall not exceed one year;
- (b) That the title to said operative properties shall be transferred to the city and county upon execution of said agreement and the execution of proper instruments of conveyance and shall be good and merchantable title free and clear of all claims, judgments, liens and encumbrances of every kind and character, whether in favor of the California Street Cable Railroad Company or in favor of any one other than the California Street Cable Railroad Company;
- (c) That upon the delivery of such instruments of conveyance, California Street Cable Railroad Company shall assign and transfer to the city and county all franchises, permits and licenses of any kind or character necessary or desirable in connection with the operation of said operative properties, and shall surrender and cancel its existing operating permit, whereupon all rights, privileges and obligations under said operating permit and all other permits and franchises granted by the city and county shall be terminated and cancelled.

It shall be the duty of the board of supervisors, and it shall have power, to provide funds for the payment of said purchase price out of the general funds, or any other funds, which may legally be made available in accordance with the fiscal provisions of the charter.

Prior to the acquisition of said operative properties, the public utilities commission shall submit, and the mayor shall approve and the board of supervisors shall adopt, a budget relating to the operation of said properties in the same manner and subject to the same conditions except time as provided in the charter and in this section 119.4, for the submission and approval of the annual budget, the annual appropriation ordinance and the annual salary ordinance. Such budget and ordinance shall become effective upon such acquisition.

In the acquisition of said properties, the provisions of section 119 of this charter shall not be applicable; and the provisions of section 119.3 shall not be applicable in the operation thereof.

Ordered submitted: -Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, September 19,

1949.

2.

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Fazackerley, Gallagher, Halley, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan.

Noes: Supervisor Mead.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Charter Amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

JOHN R. McGRATH,

Clerk.

## CONTROLLER'S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 183

Proposition "M"

# Acquisition of the Operative Properties of the California Street Cable Railroad Company Maximum Purchase Price of \$150,000

Should the proposed charter amendment be approved, it is the Controller's opinion that if the above company's cable car lines are continued in operation, the loss per year would be approximately \$206,798. This estimate is based on the cable car hours operated by the referenced company during July, 1949, and the cost of cable car operations of the Municipal Railway for the month of July, 1949.

The Department of Public Works reports estimated costs which are or will be the obligation of the referenced company:

1. If cable car service is continued:

a.	Immediate pavement repairs should be made at a cost of	\$ 9	,900
b.	Additional repairs including replacement of basalt blocks should be made at a cost of	13	3,200
c.	For taking up and placing tracks on bridge to be constructed at Broadway and Hyde Sts	7	7,500
	To provide special arrangements for uninter- rupted service, if desired, while Broadway Tunnel is being constructed	30	000,
If	Table car service is discontinued and abandoned:  Demove track and repaye track area	380	0,000

HARRY D. ROSS, Controller, City and County of San Francisco.

## PROPOSITION N

DECLARATION OF POLICY. Shall violators of vehicle parking restrictions effective for "bus zones," "no stopping streets," and areas "on or near railroad tracks," be assessed a minimum fine of \$5.00?

SUBMITTING TO THE ELECTORS A DECLARATION OF POLICY, REGARDING THE MINIMUM AMOUNT OF FINE FOR VIOLATIONS OF CERTAIN PARKING REGULATIONS FOR VEHICLES.

The undersigned members of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submit to the qualified electors of said City and County, at an election to be held therein November 8, 1949, the following Declaration of Policy, and direct that the Registrar of Voters place said Declaration of Policy upon the ballot at said election, so that the electors can express their preference for or against said Declaration voting "Yes" or "No" thereon, to-wit:

Shall violators of vehicle parking restrictions effective for "bus zones," "no-stopping streets," and areas "on or near railroad tracks," be assessed a minimum fine of \$5.00?

(Signed) Chester R. MacPhee George Christopher Don Fazackerley Edward T. Mancuso

Submitted in accordance with the provisions of Section 179 of the Charter.

HENRY F. BUDDE PUBLICATIONS, INC. 125 Valencia Street, San Francisco



19

**(8)** 

## VOTE EARLY

Polls Open From 7 A.M. to 8 P.M.

Please do not wait until the last minute


The Voting Machine Will Record Votes ONLY Where The Pointers Are Left DOWN, Covering names of Candidates.




## DIRECTIONS FOR VOTING




- 1st. MOVE RED HANDLE LEVER of VOTING MACHINE to the RIGHT as f will go and LEAVE IT THERE.
- 2nd. TO VOTE FOR CANDIDATES of your choice, pull down the POINTERS o names of the CANDIDATES for whom you wish to VOTE and LEAVE DOWN.
- 3rd. TO VOTE for a person whose name does not appear on the BALLOT CARD, raise numbered slide at top of machine corresponding to number o






SCHOOL BONDS. Constitutional Amendment. Directs issue of \$250,000,000 of State bonds to



tains maximum monthly payments allowances. at \$75 for aged, \$85 for blind, but authorizes increase or decrease by Legislature.






provide loans and grants to school districts.


| State and counties to participate; re- at \$300 monthly; regulates expense | cific Exposition, and indebtedness of certain counties and cities.









State tax system, exemption of Panama-Pacific Exposition. San Francisco Bay Exposition, and reassessment following 1933 earthquake.



YES PROPOSITION NO



sition, construction and completion of the San Francisco Airport in San Matco County.








000,000 for the acquisition, construction and completion of the Courty, including its appurtenqualification for, but before retirement.



AIRPORT BONDS, 1949. To incur a Bonded Indebtedness in the cur a Bonded Indebtedness in the sum of \$10,000,000 for the acquisition and \$4,
Indebtedness in the sum of \$4,
Indebtedness in the sum





age 70.



11

1.4

15

VOTE FOR FIVE

VOTE FO



JAMES D. Bulmer 2-A GEORGE

DAN Gallagher Incumbent

4-A ROBERT A.

Gatto



7-A MARVIN E. Lewis Incumbent



MacPhee



Mallatratt Attorney



11-A P. J. McMurray

12-A C. A. Mourgos Railroad

13-A PAUL

- A BM - CL C CONTROL CARREST OF ELLER - ET CHECKEN PRO CONTROL CONTROL CONTROL CONTROL

O'Leary Merchant

14-A A. GUS Oliva

Broker

15-A LEON H. Sorell

Attorney at Law

Plumber

Christopher Incumbent

3-A

Garay Musician

5-A E. V. "ERNIE"

Businessman

Lapham, Jr. Insurance Broker

Incumbent

Real Estate Broker

Incumbent

Mar as it

over the - THEM

T. LABEL of office on OFFICE TITLE CARD, and write name of candidate on paper under slide. (Do not pull down pointer over name of any candidate in office group in which you intend to write in name of a candidate, except for the office of Supervisor in which case after writing in one or more names of candidates, you may pull down such number of pointers over the printed names of candidates so that the aggregate will not exceed five, the number of candidates for which you are entitled to vote.)

4th. TO VOTE FOR OR AGAINST PROPOSITIONS or FOR OR AGAINST CONFIRM-


ATION OF MEMBER OF BOARD OF EDUCATION, pull DOWN pointers over the words "YES" or "NO" as you may desire to vote and LEAVE THEM DOWN.

5th. LEAVING THE POINTERS DOWN as you have placed them, move the RED HANDLE LEVER of the VOTING MACHINE to the LEFT as far as it will go and you have voted and your vote is registered.

IF IN DOUBT AS TO OPERATING THE VOTING MACHINE, REQUEST INSTRUC-TIONS FROM THE INSPECTOR OR JUDGE OF THE ELECTION BOARD BEFORE ATTEMPTING TO VOTE.


## SAMPLE BALLOT General Municipal Election

November 8th 1949




YES PROPOSITION NO


ADOPTION OF 1879 CONSTI-TUTION. Constitutional Amend- | Constitutional Amendment. Rement. Repeals inoperative provisions governing election upon question of adopting 1879 Constitution.



suspension Provision. peals inoperative section suspending effect of rejected prohibition amendment of 1914.



salaries of constitutional officers, September. legislators and judges.




STATE OFFICERS, LEGISLA- DAYLIGHT SAVING TIME. TORS, JUDGES. Constitutional Initiative. Advances time one hour Amendment. Deletes provisions during period from last Sunday formerly regulating terms and in April until last Sunday in






State Measures



Mand county.



vestigators, subject to civil service provisions of charter.




for first 5 meetings attended ducing retirement allowance.



provides for three-fourths vote of ter; makes positions and certain lishes salary for Board of Super- allows former policemen retired provides for acquisition by City provides for three-fourths vote of ler, makes positions and certain visors: Board president \$400 from July 1, 1945 to June 30, and County of San Francisco of monthly members for waiver of any statute of limitation available to the city one confidential secretary and inmittee meeting attended; limits of duty, to accept employment at a maximum purchase price of additional compensation to \$100 outside of city service without re- \$150,000.



Adds Section 13.1 to the Charter; Amends Section 34.1 of the Char- Amends Charter Section 10; estab- Amends Charter Section 168.1.12; Adds Section 119.4 to the Charter;



DECLARATION OF POLICY. Shall violators of vehicle parking restrictions effective for "bus zones," "no-stopping streets," and areas "on or near railroad tracks," be assessed a minimum fine o



23 \* 24 22 19 20 MEMBER BOARD Judge of Judge of Judge of JUDGE OF MUNICIPAL CITY Municipal Municipal Municipal OF EDUCATION TREASURER COURT OFFICE 1 ATTORNEY Court Court Court FIVE Office 2 Nominated by Mayor for Office 3 Office 4 Confirmation by Electors VOTE FOR ONE VOTE YES OR NO THE RESIDENCE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY. 25-A 29-A 30-∧ 24-A 28-A 23-A 26-A 27-A 21-A 22-A 20-A 18-A 19-A 17-A 16-A THEODORE "TED" EDWARD T. HERMAN A. NO THOMAS P. JOHN C. **EUSTACE** CLARENCE W. YES JOHN J. ALVIN E. DION R. GERALD J. J. J. ØE. JACK van der Zee Cullinan, Jr. Weinberger O'Toole Mancuso Alaimo Morris Goodwin O'Gara JOHN G. Holm Theodus Sullivan **traulding** Municipal Levison Attorney Attorney Municipal Municipal Municipal Accountant Incumbent Service Station Incumbent Lawyer Supervisor, In-3 Retired Judge at Law at Law Judge Judge Judge Operator surance Claims